

कात्यायनस्मृतिसारोद्धारः

OR

KĀTYĀYANASMṚTI

ON

VYAVAHĀRA (LAW AND PROCEDURE)

Text (reconstructed), Translation, Notes and Introduction

(*Reprint from the Hindu Law Quarterly, Bombay*)

BY

P. V. Kane. M. A., LL. M.,

Advocate High court, Bombay, Vice President and Fellow, Royal  
Asiatic Society, Bombay Branch author of 'History of  
Sanskrit Poetics, 'History of Dharmasastra &c

*Sole Distributors —*

ORIENTAL BOOK AGENCY

15, SHUKRAWAR, POONA 2

*Rupees Four annas eight*

## P R E F A C E

While engaged in writing a history of dharmaśāstra, it occurred to me several years ago that it would be a very useful and interesting thing if I culled together from the several *nibandhas* the quotations from the dharmaśūtra of Śaṅkha-līkhita, that of Hārīta and the smṛti of Kātyāyana (on vyavahāra) and reconstructed these works. The former I published in the Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Institute at Poona (vide volumes VII-VIII) and the latter is now offered here. Kātyāyana represents the high watermark of smṛti literature on judicial procedure and the substantive law of inheritance, contracts &c. In the following pages about one thousand verses of Kātyāyana on vyavahāra will be found collected from about a score of Sanskrit commentaries and digests and presented under appropriate topics. It is further proposed to translate these verses into English, to add explanatory and illustrative notes, to point out the provisions of the modern Indian Statute Law wherever the parallels are striking and to indicate the important cases wherein the texts of Kātyāyana have been relied on. Dr. Jolly intended to publish a similar restoration of Kātyāyana, but did not carry out his intentions. Mr. Narayan Chandra Bandopadhyaya published about 800 verses of Kātyāyana at Calcutta in 1927. But he left the work incomplete in several respects. He collected passages from five works only, viz. the *Dāya-*

bhāga, the *Vivādaratnākara*, the *Smṛticandrikā*, the *Parāśara-Mādhaviya* and the *Vīramitrodaya*. He did not cast his net over a wide area and so his restoration is not as thorough as could be wished. Besides he did not publish a translation nor did he append explanatory notes. It is my intention to add also an introduction on the age of Kātyāyana and his importance in the ancient Hindu Law. In my history of *Dharmaśāstra*, which will be published in a few weeks, these topics have been dealt with (at pp. 213-221), but I propose to enter into greater details in the introduction to this work. References have been given as to each verse and important readings have been pointed out. I hope that this work on which I have spent much of my time will be found useful and suggestive to all those who are interested in the development of Hindu Law and in the study of comparative jurisprudence.

The system of transliteration adopted here is that of the Bhandarkar Oriental Institute. The works consulted, the editions used and the abbreviations employed in the notes to the text are noted below.

P. V. Kane.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY AND ABBREVIATIONS

अपरार्क—commentary of Aparārka on the Yājñavalkya-smṛti  
( published by the Ānandaśrama Press, Poona )

कुङ्कु—commentary of कुङ्कु on मनुस्मृति ( Nirṇayasāgara edition )

कल्यकल्पतरु—Ms of the कल्यकल्पतरु or कल्पतरु of लक्ष्मीधर on राजधर्म  
from the India Office collection and a Ms of a fragment of  
the व्यवहार portion in the Benares Sanskrit College

टोडरानन्द—Ms in the Deccan College collection ( now at the  
Bhandarkar Institute ) of the portion on vyavahāra called  
Vyavahārasaṅkhyā

दायतत्त्व of रघुनन्दन ( printed by Jivananda )

दायमान of श्रीमृतवादन ( Jivananda's edition 1893 )

परा भा = पराशरभाष्यी ( vol III )—com of शास्त्राचार्य on the  
पराशरस्मृति ( Bombay Sanskrit Series edition )

मद वा = मदनपारिजात ( ed in Bibliotheca Indica series, Calcutta ).

मिता० = मिताश्रित of मित्रनेश्वर a com on याज्ञवल्क्यस्मृति

मेधा = भाष्य of मेधातिथि on मनुस्मृति ( ed by Mr J R Oharpure )

राज र = राजनीतिरत्नाकर of चण्डेश्वर edited by Mr K. P. Jayswal,  
Patna

वि चि = विवादचिन्तामणि of वाचस्पतिमिश्र ( Calcutta edition of 1837 ).

वि र = विवादरत्नाकर of चण्डेश्वर ( published in the Bibliotheca  
Indica series )

विश्वरूप—com of विश्वरूप on याज्ञवल्क्यस्मृत ( Trivandrum Sanskrit  
series )

वीर० = वीरमिश्रोदय of विदमिश्र ( on व्यवहार published by Jivananda  
and on राजनीति in the Chowkhamba Sanskrit series )

व्यव ट = व्यवहारतत्त्व of रघुनन्दन ( edited by Jivananda )

व्य म = व्यवहारमयूस of नरहरि ( edited by me for the Govt.  
Oriental series Poona 1926 )

(iv)

घ. मा. = व्यवहारमातृका of जीपूतगाहन (edited by Sir Asutosh Mukerji in vol. III of the Memoirs of the Asiatic Society of Bengal ).

घ. वि = सरस्वतीविलास of प्रतापरुद्रदेव ( published in the Mysore Govt. Oriental Library series ).

स्तुतिच० = स्तुतिचन्द्रिका of देवगुप्त ( published in the Mysore Govt. Oriental Library series )

हरदत्त—हरदत्त's commentaries on गीतगोपनीय (Ānandāśrama Press) and आपस्तम्बगोपनीय (Kumbhakonam edition)

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

|   | PAGES    |
|---|----------|
| Introduction  | vii xxii |
| The Smṛti of Kātyāyana  | vii      |
| The predecessors of Kātyāyana                                 | x        |
| Characteristics and special<br>doctrines of Kātyāyana         | xiv      |
| Date of Kātyāyana   | xv       |
| Kātyāyana and Western Jurisprudence                           | xvii     |
| Index of half verses  | xxiii    |
| Errata  | xi       |
| Text  | 1-116    |
| Translation and notes   | 117-339  |
| Appendix A— Index of cases and reports                        | 339 342  |
| Appendix B— List of important and technical Sanskrit<br>words | 343 346  |
| General Index to the translation and notes                    | 348-372  |

## INTRODUCTION.

*Kātyāyana* occupies a very prominent place among *smṛti* writers on law and procedure. Next to *Nārada* and *Bṛhaspati* he is cited on *vyavahāra* more frequently than any other *smṛtikāra* in such commentaries and digests as the *Mitākṣarā*, the *Smṛticandrikā*, the *Vīramitrodaya* and the *Vyavahāra-mayūkha*. *Kātyāyana*'s work on law and procedure must have been of considerable extent. In the present work, over nine hundred quotations from *Kātyāyana* have been collected. The *smṛti* of *Nārada* as printed by Dr Jolly contains 1028 verses while the quotations from *Bṛhaspati* collected and translated by the same learned scholar in the Sacred Books of the East series (vol. 33) number 697 verses. Therefore even on a very modest computation the *smṛti* of *Kātyāyana* on law and procedure must have contained about fifteen hundred verses, if not more. This conclusion is further strengthened by a comparison of the number of quotations from *Kātyāyana* on certain

| Topics  | Verses<br>in<br>Yāj | Verses<br>in<br>Nārada | Verses<br>from<br>Bṛ. | Verses<br>from<br>Kāt |
|---|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Courts, procedure, plaint,<br>reply and trial | 21                  | 136                    | 128                   | 189                   |
| Documents                                     | 11                  | 12                     | 31                    | 64                    |
| Witnesses                                     | 16                  | 82                     | 35                    | 72                    |
| Ordeals                                       | 19                  | 103                    | 33                    | 53                    |
| Deposits &c.                                  | 11                  | 15                     | 15                    | 20                    |
| Partnership                                   | 7                   | 18                     | 32                    | 14                    |
| Resumption of gifts                           | 2                   | 12                     | 11                    | 19                    |
| Breach of contract of service                 | 8                   | 43                     | 20                    | 19                    |
| Sale by one not the owner                     | 6                   | 6                      | 11                    | 12                    |
| Violation of conventions                      | 8                   | 7                      | 24                    | 15                    |
| Boundary Disputes                             | 9                   | 43                     | 29                    | 36                    |
| <i>Dāyabhāga</i>                              | 35                  | 52                     | 101                   | 95                    |
| <i>Vākparuṣya</i>                             | 8                   | 32                     | 15                    | 11                    |
| <i>Dandaparūṣya</i>                           | 18                  | 8                      | 16                    | 16                    |
| <i>Prakīrṇaka</i>                             | 13                  | 55                     | 26                    | 30                    |

selected topics of law and procedure with the number of verses devoted to the same topics in the works of Yājñavalkya and Nārada and in the quotations from Bṛhaspati. The above table will be very instructive from this point of view.

A few observations about the text presented would be quite appropriate here. Owing to oversight a few verses have been repeated (viz 253 and 507, 477-78 537-88, 471 and 641, 566 and 654 485 and 957). In a few cases the text of Katyāyana is presented in two versions (viz 61-62, 174-175 537-538, 800-900) by the authorities consulted in reconstructing the text. About a dozen verses are somewhat obscure or difficult of explanation as we are ignorant of their proper setting or context (e.g. vv 17, 160, 256 300-10, 401, 541 700 851, 932, 971). A few of the verses here presented as Katyāyana's are ascribed by some authorities to other smṛti-kāras. The following tables will illustrate this.

( 1 Ascribed to Bṛhaspati )

| Verses   | by whom ascribed      | Verses  | by whom ascribed   |
|----------|-----------------------|---------|--------------------|
| 53-54    | Sm C                  | 536     | Par M and V M      |
| *58      | Vir                   | *539    | Vir                |
| *141-142 | Apar and Sm C         | 550     | Sm C, Par M V R    |
| *152     | Par M                 | 568     | V R                |
| 154-155  | Par M                 | 621 623 | Apar               |
| 167      | Vy Mat                | 630-630 | V R                |
| 170      | Par M                 | 636     | Apar, V R*         |
| *171     | Vir                   | 692     | V, C and V R       |
| 206      | (first half line) Vir | 695     | V R, and V C       |
| 208      | Vy Mat                | 757     | Apar and Par M     |
| 279      | Par M                 | 822A    | Gṛhasatharatnakara |
| 282      | Par M                 | 822 823 | Sm C               |
| 291-93   | Apar                  | 889 91  | Sm C               |
| 329      | Par M                 | 963     | Par M              |
| 378      | Apar, V M, Vir        |         |                    |
| *439     | Saras                 |         |                    |
| *496     | Vir                   |         |                    |
| 600      | Sm C                  |         |                    |

1 It will be noticed from the notes attached to the text and the English notes that the verses marked with an asterisk are ascribed to both Bṛhaspati and Katyāyana even in some of the earliest digests and commentators like Viśvarūpa and the Vyavahāra mātṛkā.



## ( 2 Ascribed to Nārada )

| Verses  | by whom ascribed | Verses | by whom ascribed |
|---------|------------------|--------|------------------|
| 27      | Sm C.            | 774    | Sm C             |
| 159     | Vy Mit           | 811    | Mit, Par M.      |
| 331-32  | Sm C             | 820    | Y R              |
| 353 334 | Sm C.            |        |                  |

## ( 3 Ascribed to Manu )

|                  |        |     |     |
|------------------|--------|-----|-----|
| 82               | Vy Mat | 619 | Mit |
| 605 <sup>a</sup> | Vir    | 740 | Vir |

## ( 4 Ascribed to Vyāsa )

|     |          |                  |        |
|-----|----------|------------------|--------|
| 103 | Sm C     | 282-334          | V. M.  |
| 105 | Sm C     | 595              | Vir.   |
| 216 | Sm C, Vi | 597 <sup>2</sup> | Par M  |
| 242 | Vir      | 682-95           | Par. M |
|     |          | 854              | D B    |

Besides these, verses 908 909 and 916 are ascribed to Devaia by the Vyavaharamayukha, verses 425-426 are ascribed to Pitāmaha by the Viramitrodaya and verses 485 86 to the same author by the Parasarāmadhaviya, verses 402-403 are ascribed to Prajapati by the Parās'aramādhaviya, verses 384 5 are ascribed to Vasistha by the Smṛticandrika and several other digests and verse 404 to the same author by the Smṛticandrikā, verses 418-419 are ascribed to Vṛddha Manu by the Sarasvatī vilāsa, verse 659 to the same by the Viramitrodaya and verses 818 19 to the same by the Vivadaratnākara

Several verses quoted as Katyayana's in the digests occur in the printed Nārada. The following are such verses 73,<sup>1</sup> 82<sup>5</sup>, 91<sup>1</sup>, 129 169, 249 289, 305 321, 345, 356 357, 400-401 437, 451, 455, 493 494 553 569 70 825, 893-94 896 698 99, 714, 780 31, 765-66, 769, 893 939. The corresponding verses of

1 Verses 159 and 320 are ascribed to both Nārada and Kāt. by some writers

2 Verse 605 is ascribed to both Kāt. and Manu and is not found in Manu

3 Verse 597 is ascribed to both Vyāsa and Kāt. by Vir

4 This is also found in Manu

5 82 is ascribed to both Manu and Kāt. by the Vy Mit

6 91, 356 57 are ascribed to both Nar and Kat by the Mat. and 129 to both of them by the Todarānanda

Nārada are indicated in the notes to the translation. A dozen verses attributed to Kātyāyana are found in Manu.<sup>1</sup> Besides verses 83 and 884A occur in the Kauṭilya Arthaśāstra, and verses 326 and 327 are the same as Viṣṇu V 166-167 and verses 463 and 716 (first half) are the same as Yajñavalkya II 113 and 183 (latter half).

It is impossible to hold that verses of other authors were in all such cases ascribed to Kātyāyana owing to lapses of memory on the part of the authors of digests or to the careless copying of manuscripts. The fact that such early writers as Vyāsa and Jimutavahana ascribe several verses to two authors should rather induce us to hold that some verses were borrowed by Kātyāyana, Brhaspati and others from still earlier works which are now lost or that Kātyāyana bodily took some verses from his predecessors and incorporated them in his work.

### The predecessors of Kātyāyana.

The authors and schools expressly named by Kātyāyana are Bhṛgu, Brhaspati, Gargya (v 649), Gautama<sup>2</sup> (v 823), Kauṭilya (v 825), Lakṣṇa (v 910), Mānavas (vv 649, 823), Manu (vv 432, 573, 792). It is to be noted that in v 519 Kātyāyana himself is mentioned. It is possible that instead of saying 'this is my view', he uses the less emphatic and more modest method of giving his own views. One remarkable fact is that the views ascribed to Manu and the Mānavas are not found in the extant Manusmṛiti. On the contrary the view of the extant Manu is opposed to that of the Mānavas indicated in v 823. It is possible that the reading 'pravāsanam' (in v 823) is wrong and we have to read in its place 'pramāṇam'. In that case only that verse referring to the views of the Mānavas will agree with Manu 9 270. The view ascribed to the Gargya and Mānavas

1 342-343=M. 8 79 50 344=M. 8 87 351=M. 8 68 393=M. 8 78 535=M. 8 158 655=M. 8 165 722=M. 8 413, 782=M. 8 296 793=M. 8 265 894=M. 9 184

2 The Gautama-dharmasūtra contains no such rule. The subject of this is treated of in Gautama XII. 14

about taking bribes ( v 640 ) is not the same as that contained in Manu 9 231 Brhaspati is expressly mentioned in the following verses viz 152 170<sup>1</sup> 346 474 537 664 682 718 871, 874 884 As the smṛti of Brhaspati has not yet been recovered and as we have only the quotations from Brhaspati contained in the D gēṣṭa it is not possible to say whether all the views attributed to Brhaspati were really held by him Verse 152 is attributed to Brhaspati by the Par M and to both Brhaspati and Kātyāyana by the Viramitrodaya We find that the views attributed to Brhaspati in v 884 were held by him as is clear from the six verses quoted by Apararka ( p 720 ) from Brhaspati on Yaj II 110

That Kātyāyana looked upon Brhaspati as a model and as an author whose d cta were entitled to respect follows from several verses of Kātyāyana Verses 29 and 30 show that Kātyāyana had before him the d v s on of the 18 titles of law into 'dhanamula ( civil ) and hiṁsā mula ( criminal ) made by Brhaspati Similarly the discussion about decision by dharma vyavahāra caritra and rajasaṁsāra ( in verses 35-51 ) is an elaboration of Brhaspati's teaching on this subject Verses 284 ( about three kinds of documents ) 477-78 ( about the modes of recovering a debt ) 470 ( which employs the very word n sṛṣṭārtha used by Brhaspati ) 497 500 ( about several kinds of interest ) 564 565 ( about the duty of the son as regards the liability of the father incurred through lust or wrath ) 644 645 ( about the kinds of valid gifts ) presuppose a knowledge of Brhaspati's text

The references to Bhṛgu's views are many and create some difficult problems It is generally held that references to Bhṛgu in the smṛti s and d gēṣṭa are intended for the extant Manu smṛti ( which is Bhṛgu's version thereof ) Even as early a writer as Brhaspati says<sup>1</sup> Bhṛgṛi spoke of sale without ownership after deposit listen to it attentively I shall speak of it

1 This verse is variously read V r reading has Bhṛgur—  
abhravid and Todaraṇanda as Manu abhravid instead of Gurur  
abhravid

2 निष्ठावान नर दासो भृगुणास्व साधक्य । भूतानां तत्पवननं सविशेषं नदी  
भृगुम् ॥ from the निवाहर नाकर P 100

in greater detail. This clearly refers to Manu 8.4 where also by one not the owner immediately follows 'deposit'. *Brhaspati* elsewhere refers to the extant *Manusmṛiti* not as *Bṛghu*'s work but as Manu's: e.g. 'Manu has spoken of quantities (units of weight etc.) beginning from the mote in the sun beam to the *Kāśāpana*' (this is an obvious reference to Manu 8.132-136) and 'Manu forbade gambling as it destroys truth, purity and wealth' (this refers to Manu 9.221, 224). *Kātyāyana* therefore must be regarded as referring to the work of Manu whenever he speaks of *Bṛghu*. But verses 231, 395, 413, 478, 555, 715, 781, 842<sup>2</sup> wherein the views of *Bṛghu* are referred to have nothing corresponding to them in the extant *Manusmṛiti*, while the views of *Bṛghu* in verses 482, 515, 672, 801, 872, 884A, 886, 900 correspond more or less with the views of the *Manusmṛiti*. *Kulluka* on Manu VIII.350 quotes *Kātyāyana*'s verse (801) and expressly says 'from the mention of the word *Bṛghu* in this verse it is clear that *Kātyāyana* explains the verse declared by Manu. Therefore the explanation of the fact that some views ascribed to *Bṛghu* are not found in the extant *Manusmṛiti* lies in this that either *Kātyāyana* had a text of Manu largely differing from our extant Manu or that he had another *smṛiti* of *Bṛghu* before him which has not come down to us or that instead of 'Bṛghu' in those verses we have to read 'Gurū' (i.e. *Brhaspati*).

That *Kātyāyana* nowhere expressly mentions *Nārada* or *Keutilya* is a matter for great surprise especially when he mentions *Bṛghu* and *Brhaspati* a dozen times or more. No reason can be assigned for this omission. But from several circumstances it is clear that he knew the *smṛiti* of *Nārada* and used it and elaborated it. To take only a few examples, *Nārada* (p. 7 vv. 10-11) states that *dharma*, *vyavahāra*, *cāritra* and royal edict are the four feet (*padas*) of *vyavahara* and briefly describes in what their essence consists, while *Kātyāyana* in verses 35-51 elaborately defines these four and dwells at length

1 हृष्या रामभक्त्यान्वितो मनुना समुदाहृतः । कार्षापणाद सा दिव्ये निबोद्धा  
वित्तं तदा ॥ quoted by *अश्वरक्ष* on Manu II.99.

2 In v. 842 it is probable that the proper reading is *सुहृन्मन्त्रपुर* and not *सुहृन्* since as pointed out in the notes to the translation, *Brhaspati* has a verse which is almost the same as this.

upon their conflicts and interactions. In verse 202 Kātyāyana prescribes the fines for five kinds of *ānāvodeśa* which are enumerated by him in the same order in which they occur in Nārada (S B E vol 33 p 31 v 33). In a very long list about persons incompetent to be witnesses Nārada (pp 86 89 vv 177 187) mentions 'śanābhī (180) and *rajaपुरसा* (v 185). These two are defined by Kātyāyana in vv 362 and 364 respectively. Nārada (p 24 v 1) says that a plain *litigant* comes to court after considering the strength of his own case and after resolving to prosecute his cause and so should write down the plaint at once. Kātyāyana, according to the *Vyavahara mātṛkā* explains in verse 134 the force of two adjectives in that verse of Nārada. Nārada (p 129 v 8) enumerates seven kinds of gifts two of which are *bhṛta* and 'pratyapakaratah'. In vv 644 645 Kātyāyana explains at length what is meant by these two terms. Bṛhaspati also mentions the seven kinds of gifts of which *bhṛta*<sup>1</sup> is one. But Kātyāyana uses the very word 'pratyapakaratah' that occurs in Nārada while the corresponding word in Bṛhaspati is *upakāraṃ*. Nārada enumerates (pp 135-136 vv 26 28) fifteen kinds of slaves two of which are 'pavrajyavanta and *anākāla bhṛta*. Both of these are mentioned in the same words by Kātyāyana in verse 731 the latter half of which is the same as Nārada (p 136 v 31 first half). These examples leave no room for doubt that Kātyāyana had before him the work of Nārada and tried to elucidate and elaborate the laconic treatment of law in it.

Another important problem is to consider the relation of Kātyāyana and Kātyāyana. In the notes to the translation of the verses 466 620 755 843 845 857 861 902 921 it has been shown how closely Kātyāyana agrees with Kātyāyana in some cases the very words of Kātyāyana in prose occurring in the garb of verse in Kātyāyana. Two verses as shown above are common to both. Considering how advanced general jurisprudence, rules of procedure and substantive law are in Kātyāyana there can be no doubt that Kātyāyana is later by

1 भृतिरुद्देशादप्यन्यत् सर्वमुत्तरमुपकारिणि । अत्रात्रावयवस्य त्वा दत्तं यत्न दत्तं  
१६३ ॥ quoted in Vir p 398

several centuries than Kautilya. Therefore if there is a borrowing it must be Katyayana who borrows. It is not unlikely that both may have borrowed, particularly the two verses that are common to both, from still earlier sources.

### Characteristics and special doctrines of Katyāyana

(1) Katyāyana represents the high watermark of *smṛti* rules about procedure. In some respects he goes even beyond Nārada and Bṛhaspati and is more stringent and elaborate than those two writers. For example in verse 221 he propounds a rule similar to the rule of constructive *res judicata*.

(2) He has a great penchant for distinctions and gives numerous definitions, such as those of *vyavahara*, *prādivākya*, *stobhaka*, *trita*, *anuvāsa sāmanta*, *manā*, *ṛddha* &c.

(3) He coins several new terms. He makes a distinction between *jayapātra* and *pacāthara* (vv 259-265) while all other writers employ the word *jayapātra* for all judgments (whether delivered after a contest or *ex parte*). Kautilya no doubt uses the word *pacāthara* but it is used in a different sense<sup>1</sup>. He separately defines (vv 576-578) wealth obtained by 'fauṛya' and 'dhvajāḥṛta', while Nārada and Bṛhaspati includes both under 'fauṛyadhara'. Similarly he makes a distinction between 'bhāryadhara' and 'vāṛdhāna' (vv 872-880), while Nārada (p 100 v 6) and Bṛhaspati (p 381 v 78) include both under 'bhāryadhara'.

(4) He gives a more elaborate treatment of 'vidyādhana' (vv 567-575) than is contained in any other *smṛtikāra*.

(5) He devotes at least 27 verses to *Strīdhana* and his treatment of that topic has attained classical rank. Nārada devotes only two verses (*dāyabhāga* verses 8-9) to that topic, Yājñavalkya only six and the quotations from Bṛhaspati on this topic are not many.

1 वाच्यमिदं ययनसिद्धयर्थं इति १५५ (१५६) : If a man (defendant or accused) does not reply to a charge of injury (or assault) then he is defeated (or punished) that very day.

(6) Kātyāyana (v 530) speaks of five kinds of sureties, while Nārada knows only three and Yājñavalkya and Bṛhaspati only four

(7) In verses 16-17 he allows only a limited (and not absolute) ownership to the king over all land

(8) The court consisted of the judge, the *sabhyas*, *brahmanas* merchants (vv 57-59)

(9) Champerty and maintenance were not countenanced (vv 89-90)

(10) The employment of recognised agents and pleaders was permitted (vv 91-92) Nārada (p 29 vv 22-23) has similar provisions

(11) Kātyāyana holds, differing from Vasistha Yājñavalkya and other sages, that a man has no ownership over his wife or son and cannot sell his son (v 471)

(12) Kātyāyana allowed the pledge of an article without possession (vv 517-518)

(13) Kātyāyana (v 857) allows one fourth to a son who is not aurasa, when an aurasa son is born to the father, provided the former is of the same caste In Manu and Yājñavalkya no such proviso is added

(14) Kātyāyana permits the practice of *nyaya* following ancient writers like Gautama Vasistha, Yāj and Nārada though it was condemned by Manu (9 64-66) and Bṛhaspati (p 369 vv 12-13)

### The date of Kātyāyana

The foregoing discussion has established that Kātyāyana is later than Kauṭilya, Yājñavalkya Nārada and Bṛhaspati In his treatment of ordeals he is less elaborate than Piṅgaba Piṅgaba quotes Bṛhaspati.<sup>1</sup> So Kātyāyana is later than Bṛhaspati and earlier than Piṅgaba The external evidence will enable us to arrive at a more precise date Kātyāyana

1 *मानवोऽपि पुरमेवोत्तराद्विवाहिकान् १ चकाराद्विवाहेन विवाहेनो ११११११* quoted in *संस्कृतिका* III p 58. Vide Br p 267 v 28

is profusely quoted by the *Mitākṣarā* *Aparārka* and other writers of the 11th and 12th centuries as a *smṛtikāra* of equal authority with *Yājñavalkya*, *Nārada* and *Bṛhaspati*. In their opinion therefore he was an ancient author. In the *Valipattana* plate of the Śāhāra king *Raṭṭariga* dated take 832 (1010 11 A D) one verse of *Kātyāyana* (296) about the requisites of a valid royal edict is quoted as a *smṛti*.<sup>1</sup> *Medhātithi* on *Manu* (VII 1) ascribes to *Kātyāyana* the rule that in case of conflict between the dictates of *dharmaśāstra* and of *arthaśāstra*, the king should prefer the former (v 20). *Medhātithi* on *Manu* VIII 216 speaks of a *Kātyāyanasūtra*.<sup>2</sup> Whether he refers to another work of *Kātyāyana* in prose or whether *Kātyāyana's* *smṛti* on *vyavahāra* included prose passages also, or whether *Medhātithi* only gives in prose the purport of a verse of *Kātyāyana* similar in principle to v 857 it is difficult to say. The second alternative is almost improbable, as no other commentator or digest has quoted a single prose passage on *vyavahāra* from *Kātyāyana*. In favour of the first alternative, it may be urged that *Kātyāyana* is enumerated as one of the exponents of *Dharma* in *Saṅkha-Lakṣita*, *Yājñavalkya* (1 4-5) and *Parāśara*. As the quotations from *Kātyāyana* represent a stage of jurisprudence later than the extant *Yājñavalkya*, the reference to *Kātyāyana* in *Yājñavalkya* must be to some earlier work of another *Kātyāyana* or the verses about the exponents of *dharma* in *Yājñavalkya* must be a later addition. As *Medhātithi* knew a verse of *Kātyāyana* which is quoted by the *Mit* and other digests there can be little doubt that *Medhātithi* at least knew a work in verse of *Kātyāyana*. Therefore it appears that the third alternative referred to above is more probable than the other two. *Medhātithi* flourished between 825-900 A D (vide my *History of dharmaśāstra* Vol I p 275). *Viśvarupa* (on *Yaj*) quotes eleven verses of *Kātyāyana* (124 125, 126, 130 136, 139, 140 141, 541, 806 828). *Viśvarupa* flourished in the first half of the 9th century (vide *History of dharmaśāstra* p 263). *Viśvarupa* looked upon *Kātyāyana* as a great *smṛti* writer like *Yaj*,

1 Vide 'Indian Historical Quarterly' for 1926 p 218

2 'ये वाच्य आचक्षिपयि धनमानस्य वर्धते निर्वर्तेति कालावलीय वृत्ते धनस्य धन्य आचक्ष्य धनस्य कारित्वा यदि वर्धते निर्वर्तेत सोऽपि तदर्थेदित्यनुक्तम्'.



Nārada and Bṛhaspati. This position he could not have attained in a century or two. Hence Kātyāyana cannot be placed later than 600 A. D. As Kātyāyana is later than Yājñavalkya, Nārada and Bṛhaspati he could not have flourished before the 3rd or 4th century A. D. Therefore the smṛti of Kātyāyana must be placed between 300 to 600 A. D.

There is a work called Karmapradīpa on śācīya, śrāddha and other topics (excluding vyavahāra) ascribed to Kātyāyana. Vide History of dharmaśāstra Vol. I pp. 218-221 for a discussion as to its authorship and other matters.

### Kātyāyana and Western Jurisprudence

Kātyāyana represents the last stage of the development of law and procedure in the smṛtis. He comes almost at the end of the period of about a thousand years during which from scanty and scattered beginnings in the earliest Dharmaśāstras, principles of an indigenous system of jurisprudence were slowly evolved and matured. It would be unfair to institute a comparison between Kātyāyana and modern jurisprudence of this or the last century. Even so, some of his rules such as those about the contents and characteristics of good plaints and written statements, about the evidence of witnesses and about documents about constructive *res judicata* are startling in their modernity. A study of the verses indicated in the Index where there is a close correspondence between the dicta of Kātyāyana and modern Anglo-Indian Codes (such as the Civil Procedure Code, the Contract Act, the Evidence Act the Limitation Act the Transfer of Property Act) will amply corroborate this statement. In order to appreciate the intrinsic merits of ancient Indian Jurisprudence as represented by Kātyāyana, the proper course would be to compare it with the most developed system of ancient Jurisprudence in the West, viz., the Roman. Kātyāyana probably flourished as shown above about the same time as Justinian (483-565 A. D.) or perhaps a century or two earlier. In the following an attempt will be made to compare a few of the dicta of Kātyāyana with the Institutes of Justinian, the great Roman legislator and it is hoped that it will be found that both present a striking

The rules of Hindu law were therefore more equitable to the acquirer than the rule of Justinian.

According to ancient Roman Law if any one had received *bonafide* by purchase, gift or other legal means a thing from a person who was not the owner he became the owner by one year's use in the case of moveables and by two years' use in the case of immoveable property situated in *ecum italicum*. Justinian (Lib II Tit VI) altered these unreasonably short periods and prescribed that moveables should be acquired by user for three years and immoveable property by the 'possession of long time' i.e. for ten years for persons present and twenty years for persons absent, wherever the property might be situated. Ancient Indian jurisprudence showed more concern for rights of ownership in property. Ancient writers like Manu (VIII 147) and Gautama (XII, 34) held that if a chattel were enjoyed for ten years before the eyes of the owner by another without protest the ownership was lost. Yajñavalkya (II 24) appears to have prescribed 20 years in the case of immoveable property and ten years in the case of moveables for loss of ownership by adverse possession. Narada (p 62 v 89 and p 63 v 91) prescribed that possession within living memory cannot confer ownership if unaccompanied by title but when it has been enjoyed for three generations and thus passes beyond living memory it becomes independent proof of ownership. But there was divergence about what was meant by living memory or 'three generations'. Brhaspati (p 313 vv 23-24) says that a generation means a period of 30 years so three generations would come to about 90 or 100 years and this is the period of living memory according to the *Mitā kṣarā* on Yajñavalkya (II 27). Vyāsa followed a middle course and cut down the period of living memory to sixty years and Kātyāyana (v, 318) does the same. Considering the natural inclination of most strong men to seize the property of the weak and the unsettled state of the country in those times owing to wars and foreign invasions the period prescribed by Kātyāyana is not unreasonable. It may be noted that till the passing of the Prescription Act (2 and 3 William IV Chapter 71) English law clung to the singular rule that the expression 'time immemorial' included and denoted the whole period of time from the reign of King Richard I (1189

A.D.) and that the Prescription Act prescribes a period of sixty years for making a right by enjoyment absolute and indefeasible

Justinian (Lib II Tit VI 1, 9) prescribed that possession however long would not confer ownership in the case of free persons, sacred or religious things, fugitive slaves and things that belong to the state *fiscus*. A similar rule is given by Kātyāyana (v 380)

According to Justinian (Lib III Tit XIV. 2-3) he who receives a thing but for his use (*commodatum*) is bound to employ his utmost diligence in keeping and preserving it and it would not be sufficient for him to show that he had taken the same care of it which he was accustomed to take of his own property. But a person with whom a thing is deposited (*depositum*) was only answerable if he was guilty of fraud and not for a fault such as carelessness or negligence. Kātyāyana on the other hand places all kinds of bailment on the same footing (v 592) and prescribes for all bailments the rule that the bailee would not be liable if he takes as much care of the thing bailed as he takes of his own property (v 594, 598). That the rule of Kātyāyana is more reasonable will be clear to any one and the Indian Contract Act (sections 151-152) requires the same amount of care from every bailee as Kātyāyana does.

death over slaves to their masters. On the contrary Manu said (VIII 299-300) that a master could not inflict more punishment on his slave than he could inflict on his own son and that punishment was to be administered only on the back (and never on the head) with a rope or a small bamboo stick. Manu (IV 150) enjoined on a man not to quarrel with his parents' children, wife and slaves and laid down that, as a slave was like one's shadow and as the daughter was the highest object of tenderness, one must not even when offended by them show resentment (IV 185). Manu (VIII 416) no doubt prescribed that what a slave acquired belonged to the master. Kātyāyana however (v 724) appears to have held that what the slave got through the favour of his master did not belong to the master. Kātyāyana further provided a remarkable rule (v 729) viz that a master was to be fined two hundred *panas* if being well off he desired to sell a female slave who was devoted to him and who wept over the proposed separation. These and other provisions show that the ancient Hindu Law as to slaves was decidedly more humane than the Roman Law.

Justinian (Lib III Tit XXIII) says 'If earnest has been given, then whether the contract was written or unwritten, the purchaser, if he refuses to fulfil it loses what he has given as earnest and the seller if he refuses has to restore double'. This very rule occurs in Kātyāyana (v 541).

Kātyāyana was the greatest ancient Indian champion of the rights of women to their property. He defines at great length what is woman's peculiar property. He prescribed that over woman's wealth of the type called *saudayika* (v 901) she had absolute power of disposal, even as regards immoveable property (vv 905-906). Not even the husband nor her son had any power over her peculiar wealth and Kātyāyana went so far as to say that the husband and others, if they deprived her of such wealth, were liable to be fined (vv 912, 916). Comparing these provisions with Justinian's (Lib II Tit VII 3, Lib II Tit VIII, Lib IV Tit VI 37) it appears that the position of the wife as regards property was better under Hindu Law than under Justinian. The position of the wife under the English Common Law was that of utter legal subjection. By that law, as the husband and wife were regarded as one person, the wife

was incapable with some insignificant exceptions, of acquiring or enjoying any property, real or personal, independently of her husband. This continued till so late as 1882, when the Married Women's Property Act (45 and 46 Vict. Chapter 75) was passed. Even after that Act, the old law continues as regards marriages celebrated before 1st January 1883.

It is beyond the scope of this Introduction to compare and contrast exhaustively the rules of Kātyāyana and the Institutes of Justinian. Enough has already been stated to show that a comparison between the two would be far from unfavourable to the Indian sage. The only point of importance in which Justinian can be claimed to have gone much beyond the Indian sage is in the law of wills. Owing to the universal prevalence of the joint family system in India and the practice of adoption the law of wills was not developed in ancient India. Kātyāyana however made a very near approach to wills when he provided that, if a gift was promised by a man for a religious purpose whether in good health or when suffering from a disease, or when a man promised a gift to a brahmana, the son or other heir was to be made to deliver or pay the promised gift even after the death of the promisor (vv. 566, 642).

It is gratifying to see that human reason, whether in the East or in the West, reaches fundamentally identical solutions of practical problems, when untrammelled by the dead weight of scriptural authority or when not dwarfed by alien domination.



# INDEX OF HALF-VERSES

- अक्षममन- 525  
 अकुर्वन्तु 673  
 अकुर्वन्तु 541  
 अकमोदासुत- 862  
 अकमोदासुत- 863  
 अकूतो मधुरः 65  
 अकृशना- 22  
 अह-छेदी 668  
 अह-छेदे 964  
 अचोरार् 821  
 अनातधर्मि 169  
 अनातधर्म 666  
 अनाशुक्त- 446  
 अनामनाशित 593  
 अनामोक्षी 741  
 अत क्रिया 211  
 अतप्य ताप 295  
 अतप्य धावित 778  
 अतथ सुत- 641  
 अतिक्रमे 119  
 अतिक्रान्ते 194  
 अतो यथा 383  
 अतो येषु दिवादपु 405  
 अतो येषु समा-435  
 अतो येषु 68  
 अतो यदयद् 393  
 अतोवाक् 695  
 अथ कार्य- 609  
 अथ चेन् प्रतिम्- 117  
 अथ चेत् 908  
 अथ दैववित- 456  
 अथ पश्य- 285  
 अथ प्रागेव 649  
 अथवा कितो 939  
 अथ विवृति- 800
- अथ स्वहस्तेना- 370  
 अथावेय 763  
 अदत्तयुक्त 614  
 अदत्त्वा तु 566, 654  
 अदर्शय त 535  
 अदायिक 931  
 अदुष्टमेव 656  
 अदुष्टस्यैव 774  
 अदुष्टां योषित 806  
 अदेशकाल- 437  
 अघमोक्षम- 665  
 अधर्मत 74  
 अधर्माय 78  
 अधिकां 120  
 अधिकारो- 90  
 अधिष्ठाता कथ 509  
 अन्धगन्ध्या- 804  
 अन्धबहविक 806  
 अतमिज्ञो 941  
 अनाकारभृती 731  
 अनाज्ञासित- 804  
 अनाथास्ते 962  
 अनापदिस्थ 729  
 अनाम्नातानि 951  
 अनियम्यास्त- 637  
 अनियुक्तस्तु 653  
 अनिरुद्धा यथा- 755  
 अनिर्णते 70  
 अनिर्दिष्ट च 519  
 अनिर्दिष्ट तु 491  
 अनिर्दिष्ट 248  
 अनियुक्ता- 834  
 अनाज्ञा स्थापन- 915  
 अनुकुर्या- 768  
 अनुत्तमत 181
- अनुत्तीर्णाथ 108  
 अनुपराध- 619  
 अनुमानार् 315  
 अनुमार्गेण 611  
 अनुतास्तान- 778  
 अनेकपद- 136  
 अनेकार्था- 473  
 अनन विधिना 43  
 अनेन विधिना 264  
 अनेन विधिना 447  
 अनेन विधिना 645  
 अनेन विधिना 945  
 अत्वरमने 807  
 अ य त्वे 761  
 अ-यथ रजक 570  
 अन्धथा कारिता 498  
 अ यथा तुर्य 777  
 अ यथ दृष्ट- 163  
 अ यथा दूरत 295  
 अन्धथा न 639  
 अन्धथा न 80  
 अ-यथा बधन 43  
 अ-युक्त 132  
 अ यथा- 195  
 अ यथादी 202  
 अ-यथापादि 265  
 अ-यथा 773  
 अ-यहस्ता 811  
 अ यापदेश- 774  
 अ यायेन 19  
 अ-यादेनापि 75  
 अ यापोया- 971  
 अ येन हारयेत् 438  
 अ तेन हि 860  
 अ य पुन- 858

अर्धेर्वा 678  
 अयेषु चान 93  
 अ य याव- 886  
 अ व घय तद्- 899  
 अपवाह- 928  
 अपास्य ध्येति- 931  
 अपि-य इति 865  
 अपुनरया 927  
 अपुना घयन 921  
 अपृष्ट सर्व 402  
 अप्रज वाय- 919  
 अप्रवर्षाणि 847  
 अपहृष्ट 51  
 अप्रतिह 140  
 अप्रतिह विरुद्ध 173  
 अप्रसङ्ग 845  
 अप्रसङ्गवद्वाक्ये 553  
 अप्राप्तिर्- 684  
 अप्रसप्त 619  
 अप्रियाय च 855  
 अप्रु प्रवेष्टने 444  
 अपावयाग 181  
 अपक्षयमद्ये 84  
 अपावय च 384  
 अपादे दद- 920  
 अपादेर्दृष्ट 932  
 अपिबुद्ध 456  
 अपिबुद्ध 311  
 अपिबुद्धाय 244  
 अपिबुद्धाद्य दाल- 411  
 अपिबुद्धो- 163  
 अपिबुद्धि- 167  
 अपिबुद्धा घन 613  
 अपिबुद्धप्रति 260  
 अपिबुद्ध 54  
 अपिबुद्धे 255  
 अपिबुद्ध च 235  
 अपिबुद्ध 770  
 अपिबुद्धि 732  
 अपिबुद्ध 553

अपतेनेव 571  
 अपाव शोध 768  
 अप सदान 825  
 अपपाक 439  
 अपुत 206  
 अपुक्त च 671  
 अपुक्तद्वयो- 248  
 अपुक्त कारण 572  
 अप्रतिद्वय- 764  
 अप्रतिद्वय- 598  
 अप्रतिद्वय 237  
 अप्रतिद्वय 166  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 20  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 389  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 331  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 353  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 336  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 91  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 371  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 374  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 388  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 346  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 259  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 215  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 877  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 822  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 711  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 71  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 224  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 147  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 711  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 859  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 454  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 654  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 623  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 621  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 844  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 828  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 822  
 अप्रतिद्वयो- 835

अपिबुद्ध 624  
 अपिबुद्ध 9  
 अपिबुद्ध 669  
 अपिबुद्ध 723  
 अपिबुद्ध 174  
 अपिबुद्ध 187  
 अपिबुद्ध 315  
 अपिबुद्ध 765  
 अपिबुद्ध 784  
 अपिबुद्ध 479  
 अपिबुद्ध 859  
 अपिबुद्ध 961  
 अपिबुद्ध 952  
 अपिबुद्ध 414  
 अपिबुद्ध 418  
 अपिबुद्ध 29  
 अपिबुद्ध 435  
 अपिबुद्ध 618  
 अपिबुद्ध 935  
 अपिबुद्ध 803  
 अपिबुद्ध 933  
 अपिबुद्ध 249  
 अपिबुद्ध 140  
 अपिबुद्ध 186  
 अपिबुद्ध 783  
 अपिबुद्ध 433  
 अपिबुद्ध 821  
 अपिबुद्ध 182  
 अपिबुद्ध 465  
 अपिबुद्ध 497  
 अपिबुद्ध 692  
 अपिबुद्ध 44  
 अपिबुद्ध 96  
 अपिबुद्ध 612  
 अपिबुद्ध 702  
 अपिबुद्ध 267  
 अपिबुद्ध 253  
 अपिबुद्ध 113  
 अपिबुद्ध 385  
 अपिबुद्ध 520

आसेपो निष्ठुर 760  
 आचतुर्दशका 463  
 आचारद्वय 160  
 आचरणक- 171  
 आचार्यस्य 481  
 आश्रय वि 701  
 आटपः वि 201  
 आतत विनि 801  
 आघर्षणेन 802  
 आदित्यार्थ- 586  
 आदित्य 726  
 आदित्यात् 521  
 आदाने वा 911  
 अ दी तु कारण 322  
 आयादही 82  
 आयो तु वित्त 538  
 आघाता यत् 529  
 आयन विरूपो 518  
 आयनस हत 302  
 अ धि दुष्टेन 528  
 आधिव्य न्यूनता 732  
 आधिमोक्ष- 501  
 आधिम य 524  
 आधिमोक्ष द्वयो- 517  
 आधीकृत तु 523  
 आपक रक्तता 498  
 आपकाल तु 639  
 आपकृतहते 569  
 आपा शुद्धा 347  
 आम धनेन 780  
 आमहृद् 412  
 आ मर्थ विनि- 674  
 आ माय 9  
 अ युक्ति 44  
 आरक्षण 813  
 अरम्भहृत् 832  
 अरम्भात्मक 110  
 आरम्भे प्रथम 900  
 आरम्भ सत्य 870  
 आर्तयोग 681

आवदपति 33  
 आवेष तु 104  
 अवेष प्र- 210  
 आनय 138 832  
 आभितक्ष्य 574  
 असन प्रारम्भ 55  
 अस्तु दिपु 920  
 आश्रय 110  
 आश्रय 106  
 आश्रयस्थ 105  
 आश्रय 617  
 आहती भुक्ति- 323  
 आहती रमते 319  
 आहारक ले 582  
 अ हृतम् 202  
 आहृतस्य 100  
 आहृत स क्षिण 345  
 आहृत्य पर 044  
 आहृत्य व्रीधन 573  
 अ हनाद 199  
 हतार्य मे 90  
 हार्य ने 434  
 उक्त मुक्तिर 565  
 उतादस्तरे 709  
 उक्त तु 392  
 उक्तो साधितो 379  
 उक्त वायथा 408  
 उक्तपा सर्व 672  
 उक्तपति 84  
 उक्तपति 193  
 उक्तपति 226  
 उक्तपति 231  
 उक्तपति तु 538  
 उक्तपति 251  
 उक्तपति 857  
 उक्तपति 28  
 उक्तपति यो 103  
 उक्तपति 65  
 उक्तपति 882  
 उक्तपति 344

उक्तपति 884 A  
 उक्तपति तु 785  
 उक्तपति ततो 745  
 उक्तपति 591  
 उक्तपति तु 800  
 उक्तपति 802  
 उक्तपति 110  
 उक्तपति 464  
 उक्तपति 151  
 उक्तपति 868  
 उक्तपति 542  
 उक्तपति 644  
 उक्तपति 821  
 उक्तपति 745  
 उक्तपति 340  
 उक्तपति वा 680  
 उक्तपति साम 962  
 उक्तपति 194  
 उक्तपति 833  
 उक्तपति 74  
 उक्तपति 206  
 उक्तपति 376  
 उक्तपति 801  
 उक्तपति 401  
 उक्तपति तु 898  
 उक्तपति 306  
 उक्तपति 503  
 उक्तपति तु 800  
 उक्तपति 502  
 उक्तपति 617  
 उक्तपति 657  
 उक्तपति 544  
 उक्तपति 850  
 उक्तपति 546  
 उक्तपति 849  
 उक्तपति पुत्रात् 549  
 उक्तपति 403  
 उक्तपति पति- 365  
 उक्तपति 396  
 उक्तपति 572



चोत्तमार्थं मुख्य- 634  
 चोरण वा 811  
 चौरव्युत्पत्ति- 132  
 चरिद्वय 816  
 चर्मम-येन 309  
 छर्दिमन्- 784  
 छिपाद्वत् 822  
 छेदने चोत्तमो 781  
 जलम रथावर 616  
 ज मनस- 890  
 जयपत्र ततो 476  
 जयध्वज- 32  
 जाति सहा 127  
 जातिभक्त्यो 772  
 जात्य ध- 550  
 जित व समिक- 940  
 जित पुरा 178  
 जितधैर 172  
 जावतादपि 675  
 जीवद्विभाग 843  
 जीवरथा पति- 915  
 जावन्नापि 116  
 जेदुर्दपात् 937  
 जेद्वेन वर्त- 162  
 ज्ञातिसमर्थ- 628  
 ज्ञात्यादीनन्तु- 702  
 ज्ञाता प्रत्य- 699  
 ज्ञाता भिद्युष्ट 914  
 ज्ञाता लेट्या 418  
 ज्ञातौ ज्ञातौ 504  
 ज्ञातौ प्रत्य- 104  
 ज्ञातौ ज्ञातौ 184  
 ज्ञातुर्द्वय 23  
 ज्ञातुर्द्वय 819  
 ज्ञातुर्द्वय- 769  
 ज्ञातुर्द्वय 424  
 ज्ञातौ विद्वति- 298  
 ज्ञातुर्द्वय- 943  
 ज्ञातुर्द्वय 17  
 ज्ञातुर्द्वय- 614

उत्तर- 22  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 708  
 उत्तुर्द्वय- 274  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 433  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 16  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 714  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 168  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 523  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 676  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 79  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 779  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 14  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 909  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 719  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 121  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 729  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 213  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 254  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 323  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 567  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 286  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 285  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 687  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 272  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 793  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 852  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 787  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 276  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 308  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 786  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 676  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 88  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 695  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 312  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 891  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 401  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 391  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 816  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 926  
 उत्तुर्द्वय 360

तदभावे विता 927  
 तदर्थं योपितो 487  
 तदर्थार्थस्य नाशे तु लो 421  
 तदर्थार्थस्य नाशे तु लो 420  
 तदभावात्- 784  
 तदभावात्- 767  
 तदर्थार्थस्य नाशे- 967  
 तदा तत्र 63  
 तदा दण्ड 959  
 तदासेध 104  
 तदर्थं रथा- 608  
 तदण धनिने 847  
 तदेव यद्यु- 913  
 तदोमिति 129  
 तदर्थं च 803  
 तदर्थं साक्षिणी 346  
 तदर्थं साक्षिणी 848  
 तदर्थं साक्षिणी 594  
 तदर्थं साक्षिणी 515  
 तदन पुत्र- 558  
 तदर्थं धुष्टो 361  
 तदर्थं सर्व 343  
 तदर्थं सर्व 807  
 तदर्थं साक्षिणी- 889  
 तदर्थं साक्षिणी 361  
 तदर्थं साक्षिणी 743  
 तदर्थं सर्व 83  
 तदर्थं सर्व 460  
 तदर्थं सर्व 470  
 तदर्थं सर्व 451  
 तदर्थं सर्व 635  
 तदर्थं सर्व 807  
 तदर्थं सर्व 517  
 तदर्थं सर्व 121  
 तदर्थं सर्व 720  
 तदर्थं सर्व 5  
 तदर्थं सर्व 45  
 तदर्थं सर्व 80  
 तदर्थं सर्व 589

अविशेन तु 494  
 अणिसाक्षि-284  
 अणिसाक्षिलक्ष- 268  
 अनिरवहस्त 286  
 अणे लेट्य 233  
 अनुयय- 807  
 अविहत्यायेन 872  
 एक एव प्रमाण 351  
 एक चेद्वहो 708  
 एकक्रिया- 518  
 एकच्छायाप्र-538  
 एकच्छायाधिते 537  
 एकद्विनि- 632  
 एतपाथे च 673  
 एतभावा- 708  
 एकरूपा 938  
 एकाद्या- 60  
 एकरधाना 829  
 एतान्तेनैव 490  
 एक दृश्य 112  
 एकदि लिखित 513  
 एवैक वादयेत् 395  
 एवो वदन्तयेत् 747  
 एवो ह्यनोयः 864  
 एतस्य विता 860  
 एतस्य प्रदा- 543  
 एतस्य विमारे 877  
 एतदकुल- 185  
 एतद्वय 623  
 एतपयार्थ 260  
 एतद्विधाधन 873  
 एतानि वारि- 205  
 एत न्दशप- 948  
 एताभिः 950  
 एतच्चैकामिदो- 367  
 एतयामप- 481  
 एतैवकामिदो- 429  
 एतै रनामय- 392  
 एतै समप- 969  
 एतैरेव गुणे- 11

एतस्य निगुणानां 430  
 एव चेतसदा 973  
 एव तत्र 42  
 एव दुष्ट 279  
 एव धर्मासह- 475  
 एवं धर्मो 654  
 एव पृथ 87  
 एव प्रत्ययि- 277  
 एव प्रव- 18  
 एव विचारयन् 421  
 एवमास्यान् 803  
 एव एव विधि- 910  
 एव दण्डो हि 063  
 एवामन्वतयो 838  
 एतु वादपु 432  
 एतदेवैकाल 829  
 ए निहो वा 466  
 ए यवना 858  
 वन्यागत तु 879  
 वन्याया दूषणे 151  
 वन्यायातकन 881  
 वन्यावेववदिकं 543  
 वन्यः खदोष 396  
 वन्यार्थ वरद 704  
 वन्योऽध्यात- 781  
 वन्येन तेन 8  
 वन्येन न 740  
 वन्येन क्षत्र-586  
 वन्येन वन्य- 585  
 वन्येनमनु 657  
 वन्येनान् क्षत्र 480  
 वन्येनैकदश 643  
 वन्येन मूल्य- 706  
 वन्येनो वरय 102, 490  
 वन्यो तु 493  
 वन्येन दन्ता 180  
 वन्यं तस्य 187  
 वन्यकोधा- 647  
 वन्यात्तु सधित 727  
 वन्यापुराय 757

वन्यातां रविरिणी 831  
 वारण युक्ति- 322  
 वारणात् 211  
 वारयेदाम- 718  
 वारयेत्तद्वै- 432  
 वार्य तु वारिणा- 81  
 वार्य तु धावयेत् 954  
 वार्य हि साध- 216  
 वार्यस्य निर्णयं 80  
 वार्योनां निर्णयो 476  
 वार्योनां निर्ण- 36  
 वार्यो 971  
 वार्येष्वप्य 357  
 वार्येषो- 494  
 वार तत्र न 140  
 वार विनादे 145  
 वार क्षति 147  
 वार तत्र- 166  
 वार प्रमाण 320  
 वारदेवविरोधे 436  
 वारहीनं 601  
 वारतातेषु 153  
 वारि वार्यो- 80  
 वारि तु विधिना 552  
 वारि दीवते 224  
 वारि व्यति 533  
 किं वार्यं वा 80  
 किं विधूयं 597  
 किं सवेव 185  
 वीहिते यदि 741  
 वृद्धवार्धन- 542  
 वृत्त पत्र 512  
 वृत्तच्छात्र 60  
 वृत्तः कर्माण 825  
 वृत्तमेवाद्या 750  
 वृत्तमेवैक्यमि- 625  
 वृत्तं जीवते 855  
 वृत्तधर्म 85  
 वृत्तशील- 58  
 वृत्तादि- 496

- बुलानि 82  
 बुलीनार्थ- 885  
 बुले विनीत- 874  
 बुल्काः कुल- 357  
 बुल्काः लव- 863  
 बुल्कानां वचनं 858  
 बुद्धिनां वर्जये- 425  
 बुद्धसादृश्यादि 68  
 बुद्धीर्षा 290  
 बुद्धप्रामुख्य- 658  
 बुद्धमन्यकृत 710  
 बुद्धमर्यादितानि 270  
 बुद्धादित- 283  
 बुद्धाप्रयत्न- 24  
 बुद्धावधारित 879  
 बुद्धोदात्त- 503  
 बुद्धलैर्ध्यात- 402  
 बुद्धेन करिष्यन् 87  
 बुद्धिः शार्ङ्ग 426  
 बुद्ध्या विमुक्तानां 120  
 बुद्ध्यप्रोक्ति- 592  
 बुद्ध्यविक्रय- 702  
 बुद्ध्यविक्रयणे 707  
 बुद्ध्यावाद- 31  
 बुद्ध्या वचनार्थ 221  
 बुद्ध्याकारिण 320  
 बुद्ध्या न दीक्षित 223  
 बुद्ध्यावमूर्ध- 415  
 बुद्ध्यावधार- 148  
 बुद्धेन तत्त्वमिने 688  
 बुद्ध्या गच्छन्तु- 687  
 बुद्ध्यावधार- 686  
 बुद्ध्या प्राप्त 783  
 बुद्ध्या मूल्येन 698  
 बुद्ध्यावधार 827  
 बुद्ध्या विहाय 880  
 बुद्धेन मयो- 807  
 बुद्ध्यावधार 718  
 बुद्ध्यावधारितानि 126  
 बुद्ध्यावधार- 458  
 बुद्धे तु 448  
 बुद्धे चेद्विषय 785  
 बुद्धे साधनार्थ 889  
 बुद्धे कृप- 749  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त- 734  
 बुद्धेवार्त्तानि 469  
 बुद्धेवार्त्तमयुद्धा- 705  
 बुद्धेवार्त्तमविही- 664  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 859  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त- 789  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त- 822 A  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त चेद् 272  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त- 10  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त- 682  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 874  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त- 675  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 443  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 806  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 670  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 455  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त- 83  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 101  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 766  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 376  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त- 841  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त- 230  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त प्रकाश- 275  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 736  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त- 749  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त- 663  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 500  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 120  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 179  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 407  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 826  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 834  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 813  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त- 762  
 बुद्धेवार्त्तमयुद्धा- 888  
 बुद्धेवार्त्तमयुद्धा 842  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 815  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त- 791  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 85  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 884  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त- 848  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 423  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त- 823  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 664  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 513  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त- 505  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 508  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 356  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 735  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 522  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 814  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 738  
 बुद्धेवार्त्तमयुद्धा 864  
 बुद्धेवार्त्तमयुद्धा- 909  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 600  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 250  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 509  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त- 601  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 450  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 712  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 484  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 580  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 889  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त- 461  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त- 812  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त- 508  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त- 681  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त- 254  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 337  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त- 824  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त- 825  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त- 176  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 284  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 236  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 831  
 बुद्धेवार्त्त 827

दूतोपचार- 829  
 दूषण रक्षितो- 247  
 दूषणेतिङ्- 759  
 दूषिते पत्रके 283  
 दूषते च 938  
 दूषमान 841  
 दूषमाना 842  
 दूषन्तःवेन 945  
 दृष्टिपात 753  
 दृष्टे पत्रे 299  
 देय तद्वानिके 559  
 देय पुत्रकृत 579  
 देय प्रतिभुत 544  
 देय मापिकृत- 578  
 देय मयेति 180  
 देयाप्रदान 30  
 देवतास्नान- 458  
 देवमाधन- 844  
 देवा काल धन 399  
 देशकालविहीनश्च 138  
 देशकालवय- -118  
 देशवाड्यार्थे 230  
 देशपवन- 47  
 देशधैव 127  
 देशस्थिता 629  
 देशस्य जाते 884 A  
 देशस्यात्पर- 37  
 देशस्यानु- 48  
 देशाचरविहङ्ग 270  
 देशाचरस्त्विति- 252  
 देशाचार्युत 268  
 देशाचारेण चायास्तु 477  
 देशाचारेण दाप्या 583  
 देशेद्वय- 787  
 देवापकृत दीव 162  
 देवराजकृता- 593  
 देवराजकृती 161  
 देवराज्ये 224  
 देवित्री वा 233  
 दीवराज 35

दोषानुरूप 208  
 दूत नैव तु 933  
 दूते समाहूये 228  
 द्रविणार्थ 557  
 द्रव्य गृहीत्वा यद्वेत् 300  
 द्रव्य गृहीत्वा वृद्धवर्ष 516  
 द्रव्यस्य 700  
 द्रव्यस्य 576  
 द्रव्योर्विवादे 734  
 द्वादशाह- 685  
 द्वायमार्ग 226  
 द्विगुण तु 699  
 द्विगुणारत्नस्य 746  
 द्विजातिः 118  
 द्विजानां 15  
 द्वितीयेद्वि 200  
 द्वितीयेद्वि दत्त 699  
 द्विपयो द्वादश 700  
 द्विपदार्थ- 694  
 द्विपदे 29  
 द्विमेवा सा 216  
 द्वौ साक्षिणौ 373  
 द्युग्द्वयोर् 851  
 धन पत्र- 882  
 धनदानासङ् 479  
 धनमेवविध 850  
 धनिवत्सोप- 273  
 धनिकेन 281  
 धर्मशास्त्रविचारण 52  
 धर्मशास्त्रार्थे 32  
 धर्मशास्त्रार्थकृत- 57  
 धर्मस्य 39  
 धर्मार्थे मीति- 648  
 धर्मोत्सुका- 98  
 धर्मविरुद्ध- 580  
 धन रत्न- 883  
 धेनावनहुति 150  
 धुव दूतात् 934  
 ध्वजाङ्क 878  
 न कर्षको 109

न कश्चिदग्नि- 244, 411  
 न कालद्वय 889  
 न कुर्याद्यदि 923  
 न क्षेपयुद्ध- 467  
 नक्षिनां श्रुतिनां 805  
 नगरमाम- 384  
 न च सत्कारण 379  
 न च मापिकृत- 569  
 न च याचेत 630  
 न चारके 584  
 न चार्थसिद्धि- 190  
 न चैकनिक- 524  
 न चेत्प्रत्यग्नि- 370  
 न चेकस्मिन् 190  
 न न तु ज्ञापण 453  
 न तत्र रोप- 756  
 न तत्का-या 616  
 न तत्सिद्धि- 292  
 न तत्रय 13  
 न तस्यान्येन 111  
 न तु दाप्यो 659  
 न दण्ड तु 441  
 न दण्डाण- 642  
 न दाता तत्त 651  
 न दिव्यैः साक्षिणि 306  
 न देय तेषु 431  
 न प्रनास्तु 21  
 न न्यायधर- 400  
 न मर्ता नैव 911  
 न भर्ता 465  
 न मोक्ष कस्यप्ये 330  
 न मयामिदित 196  
 न मूत्र 861  
 न वेत्सुदि- 290  
 न रात्रा तु 27  
 न रत्नानामेव 636  
 न हेतुकेन 277  
 न हेतुयति 139  
 न लोहविरुद्ध- 424  
 न लङ्कायु 414

|                      |                    |                     |
|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| नटस्य रे- 832        | निर्दोष नै इत 555  | न्यायेनाश्रय 28     |
| न त तं प्रभु- 730    | निर्दोष प्रवित 297 | न्यायदायादु 604     |
| न तस्य तेषु 368      | निर्धना बन्धने 966 | न्यायादक 596        |
| न तस्य साक्षिणि- 369 | निर्धना प्रभ- 970  | य स यचित्के 150     |
| न स्त्रीयो 497       | निर्धनान- 567      | पसद 222             |
| न स्वामी न च 114     | निर्मात्रैव 843    | पक्षेददशव्याप्य 188 |
| न हीनपक्षा 87        | निर्मात्रैव 843    | पक्षद्वय 189        |
| नानानेव हि 741       | निर्मात्रैव 721    | पक्षनया 494         |
| नातयेन 278, 380      | निर्मात्रैव 205    | पक्षनय 220          |
| नानव्यक्त- 514       | निर्मात्रैव 800    | पक्षनय- 203         |
| नादपक्ष 607          | निर्मात्रैव 753    | पक्षनय 420          |
| नानापक्ष- 678        | निर्मात्रैव 124    | पक्षनय- 332         |
| नानापक्ष 678         | निर्मात्रैव 201    | पक्षनय 102 490      |
| नानसदेव- 20          | निर्मात्रैव 141    | पक्षनय 507          |
| नायका तु 51          | निर्मात्रैव 98     | पक्षनय- 709         |
| नायक-पक्ष- 552       | निर्मात्रैव 949    | पक्षनय- 846         |
| नायिकापक्ष- 930      | निर्मात्रैव 769    | पक्षनय- 835         |
| नायिकापक्ष- 662      | निर्मात्रैव 744    | पक्षनय 926          |
| नायिकापक्ष 116       | निर्मात्रैव 409    | पक्षनय 282          |
| नायिकापक्ष 875       | निर्मात्रैव 479    | पक्षनय 332          |
| नायिका 116           | निर्मात्रैव 434    | पक्षनय 671          |
| नायिकापक्ष 614       | निर्मात्रैव 34     | पक्षनय 962          |
| नायिकापक्ष 488       | निर्मात्रैव 394    | पक्षनय 64           |
| नायिकापक्ष 775       | निर्मात्रैव 34     | पक्षनय 826          |
| नायिकापक्ष 593       | निर्मात्रैव 355    | पक्षनय 867          |
| नायिकापक्ष 500       | निर्मात्रैव 528    | पक्षनय 833          |
| नायिकापक्ष 184       | निर्मात्रैव 430    | पक्षनय 504          |
| नायिकापक्ष 119       | निर्मात्रैव 458    | पक्षनय 246          |
| नायिकापक्ष- 930      | निर्मात्रैव 49     | पक्षनय 728          |
| नायिकापक्ष 531       | निर्मात्रैव 293    | पक्षनय 696          |
| नायिकापक्ष 445       | निर्मात्रैव 115    | पक्षनय 3            |
| नायिकापक्ष 69        | निर्मात्रैव 548    | पक्षनय 2 6          |
| नायिकापक्ष 652       | निर्मात्रैव 316    | पक्षनय 605          |
| नायिकापक्ष 204       | निर्मात्रैव 202    | पक्षनय 203          |
| नायिकापक्ष 114       | निर्मात्रैव 160    | पक्षनय 704          |
| निर्मात्रैव- 289     | निर्मात्रैव 771    | पक्षनय 5            |
| निर्मात्रैव 41       | निर्मात्रैव 845    | पक्षनय 816          |
| निर्मात्रैव 259      | निर्मात्रैव 76     | पक्षनय 264          |
| निर्मात्रैव- 400     | निर्मात्रैव 72     | पक्षनय 294          |
| निर्मात्रैव- 6:9     | निर्मात्रैव 38     | पक्षनय 856          |
|                      | निर्मात्रैव 139    |                     |

पञ्चादम- 618  
 पाण्डुता न 181  
 पाप्यो 1 कृ 452  
 पाङ्ग्य वृट्- 95  
 पार्थिव 412  
 पितरो ज्ञान- 839  
 पिता ऋषु 363  
 पिता स्वतः 466  
 पितृभूमवर्ता 425  
 पितृवैतामह 128  
 पितृभ्यां च 910  
 पितृमातृपति- 902  
 पितृणां सुनुभि- 551  
 विध्य विध्यर्ष- 849  
 पितृवै विद्य 559  
 पित्रा हट्- 555  
 पित्रा मुक्त तु 326  
 पित्रा भाषाया 903  
 पीडयद् मर्त्य- 526  
 पीडयेत् मर्त्य 589  
 पीडनेनोप- 585  
 पुतां च पीडये 844  
 पुत्र्यानि पाद- 759  
 पुत्र्यानि तु 858  
 पुत्र्यानि तु 580  
 पुत्र्यानि तु 581  
 पुत्र्यानि 571  
 पुत्रे राज्य 872  
 पुत्रे तदमात्रे 562  
 पुत्रस्तत्र 445  
 पुत्रा गृहीत 179  
 पुत्रा मया 178  
 पुत्राणि 225  
 पुत्र्यानि 780  
 पुत्रे दत्ता 677  
 पुत्र्यानि 165  
 पुत्र्यानि स्वमात्रे 131  
 पुत्र्यानि 81  
 पुत्र्यानि 189  
 पुत्र्यानि 150

पूर्ववत् 122  
 पूर्ववादी किय 183  
 पूर्ववादपि 215  
 पूर्ववादा 450  
 पूर्वमात्र 243  
 पूर्वोक्त इत्येव स्यात् 944  
 पूर्वोक्तानुशेष च 946  
 पूर्वोक्तो वातु- 581  
 पूर्वोक्त च 840  
 पूर्वोक्त तु 556  
 पूर्वोक्त समान 839  
 पूर्वोक्त 845 A  
 पूर्वोक्त च 616  
 पूर्वोक्त दत्त 939  
 पूर्वोक्त वा 615  
 पूर्वोक्त विक्रयात् 724  
 पूर्वोक्त सर्व 668  
 पूर्वोक्ता 951  
 पूर्वोक्त सादृश 229  
 पूर्वोक्तकुल- 347  
 पूर्वोक्तः 2  
 पूर्वोक्तानुशेष- 821  
 पूर्वोक्त वा 810  
 पूर्वोक्तानुशेष 835  
 पूर्वोक्तानु 16  
 पूर्वोक्तानु 752  
 पूर्वोक्तानु 499  
 पूर्वोक्तानु- 141  
 पूर्वोक्तानु 109  
 पूर्वोक्तानु तदर्थ 182  
 पूर्वोक्तानु मया 177  
 पूर्वोक्तानु तु 383  
 पूर्वोक्तानु 956  
 पूर्वोक्तानुमत्यानां 783  
 पूर्वोक्तानुमत्यानां वा 804  
 पूर्वोक्तानुमत्यानां 40  
 पूर्वोक्तानुमत्यानां 181  
 पूर्वोक्तानु न 95  
 पूर्वोक्तानु मने- 335  
 पूर्वोक्तानु मया 408

प्रतिज्ञा 80  
 प्रतिज्ञा द्वाद- 333  
 प्रतिज्ञातस्या- 643  
 प्रतिज्ञा 82  
 प्रतिज्ञा दत्त 388  
 प्रतिज्ञातु- 288  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 381  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 212  
 प्रतिज्ञा च 377  
 प्रतिज्ञा 850  
 प्रतिज्ञातु- 320  
 प्रतिज्ञातु पूर्व 191  
 प्रतिज्ञातु दत्ता- 170  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 488  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 942  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 408  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 51  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 804  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 175  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 954  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 318  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 248  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 241  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 792  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 25  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 203  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 620  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 601  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 378  
 प्रतिज्ञातु न 684  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 636  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 486  
 957  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 862  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 721  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 679  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 731  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 620  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 441  
 प्रतिज्ञातु 940

- अस्तुतादस्य 187  
 अस्तुतामोप— 381  
 आकारं भेदये- 809  
 आक्षन्त्य 675  
 आह्वयि स च 245  
 आहुविवाद्यो 70  
 आहुविवाको निपु- 342  
 आगद्वयप- 804  
 आगसशय- 646  
 आणायये तु 482  
 आणान्तिक- 232  
 आतद्वय 53  
 आतिभाव्य तु 689  
 आतिभाष्य- 561  
 आतिशयोच्यप्रस्तानां दिव्य  
 435  
 आतिशयोच्यप्रस्तानां नि 433  
 प्राप्त कामेन 303  
 प्राप्त शिखरे 904  
 प्राप्तकाले 607  
 प्राप्तमेतेरनु 631  
 प्राप्नुया सादस पूर्वमात्रे  
 600  
 प्राप्नुयात्सादस पूर्व तरवात्  
 713  
 प्राप्नुयात्सादस पूर्व द्रव्य  
 607  
 प्राप्नुयात्सादस पूर्वमा- 527  
 प्राप्नुयात्सादस पूर्वम्- 590  
 प्रायश्चित्तं च 472  
 प्राची दासी- 720  
 प्रीत्या दध तु 897  
 प्रीतिदण्ड न 505  
 प्रीत्या निवृत्त- 608  
 प्रेक्ष बाहुयि- 423  
 प्रेक्षितम् तु 815 A  
 प्रेक्षितस्या- 545  
 प्रोक्ततरदिवा 480  
 प्रोक्तं तद्वत् 533  
 फल पुत्र च 760  
 बन्नीयादस्य- 809  
 बन्धुदत्त तु 918  
 बन्धुनापहृत 888  
 बन्धुनामयमात्रे 865  
 बन्धुनामपि 888  
 बलात्कार 657  
 नवादकाम 627  
 बलात्कारेण 181  
 बलीकृतेषु 229  
 बहु प्राप्ति 137  
 बहुमित्र 408  
 बहुना तु 750  
 बहुना समतो 627  
 बालवाची- 725  
 बालपुत्रा- 574  
 बाटपुत्रे मृते 845 A  
 बालपुत्रा- 363  
 बालधातिय- 330  
 बालवर्मा 331  
 बाल्यः 1  
 बाल्यमाह्वय- 03  
 बाह्य तु 11  
 बाह्यस्य हि 717  
 बाह्यानां 680  
 बाह्या यत्र 67  
 बाह्यमेव 449  
 बाह्यनिष्पत्ति 404  
 बह्विधोपि 200  
 बाह्य सौदय 697  
 बाहि यो बाह्यः 017  
 बाह्ये च बृह 446  
 बाह्ये तु 685  
 बाय काल 205  
 बायशाला 645  
 बायशाल- 745  
 बाहु रिपो 000  
 बाहु स्थाप्य 104  
 बाहुय 578  
 बाहुय मृते 007  
 बाहुयुक्ते 540  
 मर्षा प्रतिश्रुत 916  
 भवेत् 281  
 भाष्यविषय- 625  
 भाष्यतिक्रम- 803  
 भाषितं च 847  
 भाषिता मन्त्रिण 384  
 भाषान्तरेण 176  
 भाषकाले तु 395  
 भुक्तिरेव तु 314  
 भुक्तिरेव हि 226  
 भुक्तिर्वल्लवही 829  
 भुक्तिस्तु द्विविधा 917  
 भुक्तीनामात्रात् 921  
 भुक्तानां 17  
 भूमेर्दगादे 685  
 भूमा निवेष्ट 180  
 भूमौ विवाद- 152  
 भूतधन 986  
 भूतानी तु 16  
 भूतानिधि 656  
 भूतौ कर्मफल 525  
 भूतनुमति 923  
 भूय एव तु 853  
 भोग पक्ष 335  
 भूतस्मरेषु 893  
 भूता वा जननी 923  
 भूतु तद्वत् 901  
 भूतुमात्रपत्र 894  
 भूमा विभु- 846  
 बलिपुत्रा- 510  
 बलिपुत्रे 133  
 बलिनीत्यते 135  
 बललोप- 971  
 बलो मन्त्रे 692  
 बलवर्मा- 426  
 बलुपि- 449  
 बलुपिमात्र 94  
 बलुपिमात्र 782  
 बलुपिमात्र 424  
 बलिपुत्रे 12

|                            |                         |                       |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| यथा दृष्टी 183             | मूयमेव 913              | यथोक्तं तस्य 953      |
| सर्तुर्कामेन 547           | मूल्यात्स्वप्- 712      | यदा कुर्यात् 63       |
| मर्मधातो ह 708             | मृतसाक्षि 302           | यदा तु पक्षि 661      |
| मर्षाद्यविहितं 522         | मृते पितरि 537          | यदा तु सतिथता 269     |
| मर्त्यैर्देहित 312         | मृते मर्तरि मर्मज्ञ 924 | यदा त्वेव- 143        |
| मरुते लिति- 717            | मृते मर्तरि वा 837      | यदा मूलवृष- 017       |
| यद्वा मणि- 778             | मेखला भ्रम 752          | यदा शुद्धा 409        |
| मद्-दोषो 339               | मेदुधोन्माद 861         | यदि तत्कार्य- 606     |
| महापातक्युक्तानां 427      | मोहाप्रमादात् 775       | यदि लब्ध 304          |
| महापातक्युक्तेषु 431       | मोहाद्वा यदि 193        | यदि संध्य- 468        |
| महापातक्योक्ता 772         | स्पृतिशक्त 86           | यदि स्वाशुक्ति- 294   |
| मास विहत् 155              | स्नेहकपाक- 843          | यदि स्व नैव 620       |
| मातापितृद्विज- 427         | यः धावित- 374           | यदि धादाव- 590        |
| मातापि पितरि 851           | य साक्षी नैव 404        | यदि शैकतरो 912        |
| मानुष्यसु- 362             | य धर्म स्वाप- 88        | यदेवमाह 177           |
| मानवा. सप 823              | यं परंपरया 691          | यद्यत् परतः 464       |
| मातुली तत्र 218            | यत्तु सोपाधिकं 903      | यद्दृष्टं दत्त- 554   |
| मातुली लेख्य- 216          | यत्तु ग्राम 677         | यदेवं पितृभि- 558     |
| मायायोग- 83                | यत्त्वसंज्ञिते- 770     | यद्दृश्यं तत्त्वक 040 |
| माययादो 401                | यायाद्व्य- 810          | यद्दृष्टोन्त्यो- 843  |
| मायो विहित- 493            | यत्तुनलेभते 696         | यद्यत्तदा- 520        |
| मित्रादिषु 953             | यत्र कर्माणि 14         | यद्यदाचार्य- 37       |
| मित्र्या चैव 180           | यत्र धर्मो 78           | यद्यतो दर्शयेत् 532   |
| मित्र्या तसु 167           | यत्र वै भावित 408       | यद्येकदेश 210         |
| मित्र्याभियोगे दण्ड्य 278, | यत्र ह्याहारि- 776      | यद्येवो मातुली 216    |
| 390                        | यत्र ह्याहारोपचं 238    | यद्यस्मत् 175         |
| मित्र्यैतथा- 169           | यत्र हिता 605           | यद्वाप दाव- 879       |
| मित्र्योर्लो च 245         | यत्राधिक्यते 52         | यद्योत्त- 804         |
| मुन वन- 693                | यत्रोच्यो 492           | यद्यत् 136            |
| मूल्या वैतामरी 318         | यत्रोपदिश्यते 462       | यस्तत्र तत्र 757      |
| मुद्रा वा 88               | यथा कालोप- 883          | यस्तु कार्य- 872      |
| मुद्रागुह्यं 206           | यथा क्षीरं 828          | यस्तु न 713           |
| मुद्रितः सप्त 818          | यथाभियोग 619            | यस्तु सर्वस्व- 521    |
| मूर्धेष्टमेध 439           | यथा यथा मवेद् 782       | यत्तपि 528            |
| मूल वा साक्षिणो 157        | यथा यथा विभ- 859        | यत्तिवद्विद- 106      |
| मूलविषः तु 338             | यथार्थमुत्तर 192        | तस्मात्कार्य- 184     |
| मूलानवन- 615               | यथाईमेतात् 54           | तस्मात्तदाह- 905      |
| मूलं तदाभिक 516            | यथा लेख्यनिधो 263       | तस्मादपह- 819         |
| मूलं तद्दि- 689            | यथाशक्त- 834            | यस्मादेते 13          |
| मूलं स्वप्न तु 808         | यथाशक्त्या 602          | यस्माद्गो 725         |



|                        |                        |                            |
|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| यस्य दोषस्य 46         | यो याचितव्यमादाय न 610 | रुद्रोपे चैत्रे 817        |
| यस्य दोषस्य 504        | यार्थेन च 170          | रुद्रोपे चैत्रे 809        |
| यस्य दोषस्य 118        | या विद्यायां 570       | रुद्रोपे त उने 8.6         |
| यस्य यो 980            | यो विद्यायां 577       | रुद्रोपे त स 850           |
| यस्य बाधप्रति 655      | या हीनवाक्येन 200      | रुद्रोपे त 146             |
| यस्य बाध- 123          | या तद्विहित 447        | रुद्रोपे त 656             |
| यस्य स्या- 127         | यस्य निर्गमन 314       | रुद्रोपे त 700             |
| यस्य स्या येन 540      | यागादीनां 129          | रुद्रोपे त 287             |
| याचमानाय 28 103        | राजकार्य- 115          | रुद्रोपे त 564             |
| याचितार्थ- 595         | राजकार्य 268           | रुद्रोपे त लिखितेन 189     |
| याचितार्थ- 606         | राजक शास्त्र 945       | रुद्रोपे त लिखितेन 214     |
| याचमान- 505 506        | राजधर्म 946            | रुद्रोपे त लिखितेन 212     |
| याचमानो न 603          | राज वेति 422           | रुद्रोपे त लिखितेन 313     |
| या तु कार्यस्य 648     | राजवर्ति- 670          | रुद्रोपे त लिखितेन 910     |
| यावन्ती न 924          | राजाज्ञा 266           | रुद्रोपे त 48              |
| यावत् दण्ड 560         | राजा तु स्वाभिने 477   | रुद्रोपे त धाम- 340        |
| यावत् पेटक 563         | राज वदस्य- 710         | रुद्रोपे त प्रशस्त नां 428 |
| यावन्मात्र 601         | राजप्रसाद 577          | रुद्रोपे त प्रश- 95        |
| याव यस्मिन् 164        | राजादेशेन 831          | रुद्रोपे त 197             |
| या स्वयम् 573          | राजस्य स्वयमेव 587     | रुद्रोपे त द्वि विध 249    |
| युक्तिविह- 230         | राजाना मन्त्रिण- 961   | रुद्रोपे त स लि- 262       |
| युक्तियुक्त च 671      | राजा पुन- 24           | रुद्रोपे त विनित् 292      |
| युक्तियुक्त तु 39      | राजाधर्मोपेक्षा- 856   | रुद्रोपे त लिखिते 305      |
| युक्तिव्यवस्था- 237    | राजाशक्त, 529          | रुद्रोपे त स्वरूप- 202     |
| युक्तिविह- 893         | राज स्वयमेव 577        | रुद्रोपे त 307             |
| य तत्र पूर्व 743       | राज स्वयमेव 577        | रुद्रोपे त 878             |
| येन कार्यस्य 407       | राज स्वयमेव 577        | रुद्रोपे त 306             |
| येन ते वृत्ता 280      | राज स्वयमेव 577        | रुद्रोपे त 266             |
| येन दोषस्य 485         | राज स्वयमेव 577        | रुद्रोपे त 827             |
| येन येन 822            | राज स्वयमेव 577        | रुद्रोपे त 733             |
| येना गर्थ 794          | राज स्वयमेव 577        | रुद्रोपे त 369             |
| येनोपाय हि 324         | राज स्वयमेव 577        | रुद्रोपे त 222             |
| येन सादिकपने 603       | राज स्वयमेव 577        | रुद्रोपे त 214             |
| योगाचमन- 655           | राज स्वयमेव 577        | रुद्रोपे त 413             |
| योग्यतायां वीर्य- 773  | राज स्वयमेव 577        | रुद्रोपे त 859             |
| यो दहत- 583            | राज स्वयमेव 577        | रुद्रोपे त 776             |
| यो दहत न तम् 535       | राज स्वयमेव 577        | रुद्रोपे त 68              |
| यो दहतार्थे 91         | राज स्वयमेव 577        | रुद्रोपे त 621             |
| यो याचितव्यमादाय न 610 | राज स्वयमेव 577        | रुद्रोपे त 478             |
| 502                    |                        |                            |

# ERRATA

N B Misprints that would be easily detected have not been shown

| Page | Verse | Correct            | Incorrect        |
|------|-------|--------------------|------------------|
| 1    | 10    | नरक                | नरके             |
| 4    | 83    | राज्ञापचादित       | सहा प्रचीदित     |
| 11   | 65    | प्रमायातो          | क्षमायातो        |
| 19   | 120   | मृहीतमृधो          | मृहीतमृधो        |
| 24   | 163   | -पोत्तार नाभियुजीत | -येत्ताम मेयुजीत |
| 30   | 216   | धटादि—             | वधादि—           |
| 33   | 240   | परिहारयेत्         | परिधारयेत्       |
| 34   | 271   | मत्तेनोपाधि        | मत्तेनोपाधि      |
| 44   | 357   | प्रज्ञापनाभेद      | प्रज्ञापना भेद   |
| 46   | 448   | नोऽदृष्ट्याव-      | नोऽदृष्ट्याव     |
| 56   | 444   | शिरोमान            | शिरोमान          |
| 56   | 445   | दृष्टयेत्          | दृष्टयेत्        |
| 56   | 445   | देशचिह्न—          | देशचिह्न—        |
| 59   | 466   | पिता रवत न         | पिताऽरवत-न       |
| 59   | 472   | दण्डश्च            | दण्ड च           |
| 62   | 499   | चर्षिक             | चर्षिकम्         |
| 64   | 510   | फलकटाविहरय         | फलकटाविहरय       |
| 64   | 520   | यो विषयमान         | यो विषयमान       |
| 65   | 522   | यद्                | म न              |
| 89   | 735   | साम-तमावे-याम ते   | साम तमावेऽयाम त  |
| 91   | 745   | रमृता              | रमृत             |
| 91   | 747   | समाहित             | समाहिता.         |
| 92   | 755   | अनिरुद्धा          | अनिरुद्धो        |
| 100  | 824   | प्रयुक्त चिह्न—    | प्रयुक्तचिह्न—   |
| 101  | 833   | -वत् तुमोदक        | -वत्तुमोदक       |
| 116  | 901   | विशेषादन—          | विशेषादेव—       |
| 148  | 170   | 'Adharya' means    | Adharya          |
| 156  | 207n  | 'weakness          | means 'weakness' |
|      |       | fate               | etc              |

समानादि 717  
 समविधाधका 870  
 समनगदनु 621  
 समनगदनु यद्व 630  
 समनगदनु यद्व 894  
 समनगदनु यद्व 700  
 समनगदनु 315  
 समन गतम 707  
 समानगदमे 767  
 समानुलो 332  
 समुच्च नमय 787  
 समुच्च 287  
 समुच्च 633  
 समुच्च 143  
 समुच्च 682  
 समुच्च 676  
 समुच्च 689  
 समुच्च 679  
 समुच्च पर- 814  
 समुच्च 217  
 समन साक्षिणं 217  
 समुच्च 742  
 समुच्च 341  
 समुच्च 300  
 समुच्च 311  
 समुच्च 86  
 समुच्च 117  
 समुच्च 700  
 समुच्च 417  
 समुच्च 474  
 समुच्च 07  
 समुच्च 71  
 समुच्च 987  
 समुच्च 643  
 समुच्च 010  
 समुच्च 041  
 समुच्च 474  
 समुच्च 725  
 समुच्च 900

समुच्च 210  
 समुच्च 437  
 समुच्च 422  
 समुच्च 602  
 समुच्च 241  
 समुच्च 590  
 समुच्च 857  
 समुच्च 207  
 समुच्च 529  
 समुच्च 912  
 समुच्च 09  
 समुच्च 50  
 समुच्च 19  
 समुच्च 55  
 समुच्च 57  
 समुच्च 705  
 समुच्च 371  
 समुच्च 461  
 समुच्च 824  
 समुच्च 403  
 समुच्च 228  
 समुच्च 309  
 समुच्च 375  
 समुच्च 245  
 समुच्च 207  
 समुच्च 382  
 समुच्च 278  
 समुच्च 540  
 समुच्च 340  
 समुच्च 240  
 समुच्च 473  
 समुच्च 276  
 समुच्च 405  
 समुच्च 308  
 समुच्च 319  
 समुच्च 210  
 समुच्च 435  
 समुच्च 007  
 समुच्च 125  
 समुच्च 199

समुच्च 25  
 समुच्च 30  
 समुच्च 168  
 समुच्च 397  
 समुच्च 550  
 समुच्च 796  
 समुच्च 201  
 समुच्च 735  
 समुच्च 746  
 समुच्च 192  
 समुच्च 222  
 समुच्च 837  
 समुच्च 796  
 समुच्च 152  
 समुच्च 305  
 समुच्च 672  
 समुच्च 362  
 समुच्च 751  
 समुच्च 760  
 समुच्च 257, 301  
 समुच्च 471  
 समुच्च 8  
 समुच्च 984  
 समुच्च 563  
 समुच्च 374  
 समुच्च 554  
 समुच्च 171  
 समुच्च 183  
 समुच्च 905  
 समुच्च 906  
 समुच्च 835  
 समुच्च 2  
 समुच्च 650  
 समुच्च 306  
 समुच्च 416  
 समुच्च 351  
 समुच्च 070  
 समुच्च 917  
 समुच्च 271  
 समुच्च 508

|                                   |                        |                     |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| घोषु वृषो- 830                    | स्वदेशे यत् 815        | स्वाभ्यर्थे 878     |
| स्थावरे षट्- 737                  | स्वमावेनेव 393         | स्वार्थसिद्धौ 739   |
| स्तीतज्ञे सादसे 397               | स्वभावे, छं 392        | स्वेच्छया य 642     |
| स्थानघटा- 269                     | स्वयं कार्याणि 27      | स्वेच्छादेयं 629    |
| स्थावरे विक्रया- 311              | स्वयं चांया- 839       | इत्यंत 73           |
| स्थावरेषु निवासेषु दृष्टि-<br>128 | स्वामेदम् 386          | इतिद्विधात् 808     |
| स्थावरेषु निवासेषु दिव्या-<br>240 | स्वर्गात् स्वामिनि 922 | इत्यभ्यगो- 662      |
| स्नेहादज्ञान- 79                  | स्वामेनापि 605         | इत्यभ्यानां 805     |
| स्मरसेचं 251                      | स्वस्याक्षरः 142       | दितेषु चैव 978      |
| स्मार्तकाले 321                   | स्वस्थेपराधे 452       | हीनमून्यं 709       |
| स्मार्तकाले ह्यर्थिना 372         | स्वभावबहीनो 209        | हीनस्य मृदते 108    |
| स्वामे दत्त- 703                  | स्वशक्त्याय- 866       | हीने कर्मणि 101     |
| स्वज्ञानशंसना- 869                | स्वस्थेनात्तन 566, 654 | हीनो (ने) यदि 108   |
| स्वतन्त्रायामनो 715               | स्वदत्तलेखं 250        | हुक्कारः कात्तन 768 |
| स्वदायी यस्तु 723                 | स्वानन्त्यं हि 553     | हुत नष्ट च 887      |
| स्वदेशचातिनो 820                  | स्वामिनं त 761         | हुतं भय 788         |
| स्वदेशेपि 504                     | स्वामिने नार्पणे- 663  | हेत्वादिभिर्न 779   |
|                                   | स्वामी तु 665          | हेमममाण- 417        |
|                                   | स्वामी दत्ता 622       |                     |

| Page | Verse | Correct   | Incorrect  |
|------|-------|---|--|
| 168  | 211n  | ' while in the<br>other two kinds...<br>the plaintiff'  | ' while in the<br>other two kinds<br>...the defendant'     |
| 185  | 353n  | Omit the figure<br>' 354 ' and read<br>' This reading im-<br>mediately after an-<br>ornament etc ' in<br>the preceding line |  |
| 220  | 520   | as <i>ādīṣṭa</i>  | as <i>śīrḍīṣṭa</i>   |
| 234  | 566n  | 30 Mad. 340   | 30 Mad 34  |
| 302  | 857n  | ' the father and<br>' aurasa son take<br>four-ninths each '   | ' the father and<br>' aurasa son take<br>one-fourth each ' |
| 304  | 850n  | मंत्रसंकारं   | मंत्रसंकारं  |
| 318  | 882   | वास्तुविभागो  | वास्तुविभागो   |

# कात्यायनस्मृतिसारोद्धारः

( राजगुणा )

विनीतः शास्त्रसंपन्नः कोशशौर्यसमन्वितः ।  
ग्रहण्यो दानशीलः स्यात्सत्यधर्मपरो नृप ॥१॥  
स्तम्भोपतापपैशुन्यचापलक्रोधवर्जितः ।  
प्रगल्भः सन्नतोदग्रः संभाषी प्रियदर्शनः ॥२॥  
यद्येन्द्रियं जितात्मानं धृतदण्डं चिकारिषु ।  
परीक्ष्यकारिणं धीरमत्यन्तं श्रीर्निपेवते ॥३॥

( राजधर्मा )

शौर्यविद्यार्थबाहुल्यात्प्रभुत्वाच्च विशेषतः ।  
सदा चित्तं नरेन्द्राणां मोहमायाति कारणात् ॥४॥  
तस्माच्चित्तं प्रयोद्धव्यं राजधर्मे सदा द्विजैः ।  
पवित्रं परमं पुण्यं स्मृतिवाक्यं न लङ्घयेत् ॥५॥  
वेदध्वनिप्रभावेण देवाः स्वर्गनिवासिनः ।  
तेपि तत्र प्रमोदन्ते वृत्तास्तु द्विजपूजनात् ॥ ६ ॥  
तस्माद्यत्नेन कर्तव्या द्विजपूजा सदा नृपे ।  
तेन भूयोपि शक्यं नरेन्द्रत्वं पुनः पुनः ॥ ७ ॥  
सुराध्यक्षश्च्युतः स्वर्गाश्रृपरूपेण निष्ठति ।  
कर्तव्यं तेन तन्नित्यं येन तत्त्वं समाप्नुयात् ॥ ८ ॥  
आत्मीये संस्थिता धर्मे नृपा शक्यत्वमाप्नुयुः ।  
अवीचिवासिनो ये तु व्यपेताचारिणः सदा ॥ ९ ॥  
गच्छेत्सम्यगविज्ञाय यशः क्रोधस्य यो नृपः ।  
यसेत्स नरकं घोरे कल्पार्थं तु न संशयः ॥ १० ॥

1 3 कृत्यकल्पतरु, वीर० ( राजनीतिप्रकाश ) pp 120 121

4-5 वीर० ( राज० ) p 136 कृत्यकल्पतरु

6 8 कृत्यकल्पतरु वीर० ( राज० ) p 139

9 कृत्यकल्पतरु, वीर० ( राज० ) p 145

10 कृत्यकल्पतरु.

एतैरेव गुणैर्युक्तममात्यं कार्यचिन्तकम् ।  
 ब्राह्मणं तु प्रकुर्वीत नृपभक्तं कुलोद्बहम् ॥ ११ ॥  
 मन्त्रिणो यत्र सभ्याश्च धेक्षाश्च प्रियवादिन ।  
 राज्याद्धर्मात्सुखात्तत्र क्षिप्रं ह्रीयेत पार्थिवः ॥ १२ ॥  
 न तस्य वचने कौपमेतेषां तु प्रवर्तयेत् ।  
 यस्मादेतैः सदा वाच्यं न्याय्यं सुपरिनिष्ठितम् ॥ १३ ॥  
 यत्र कर्माणि नृपति स्वयं पश्यति धर्मत ।  
 तत्र साधुसमाचारा निवसेयुः सुख प्रजाः ॥ १४ ॥  
 प्रजानां रक्षणं नित्यं कण्टकानां च शोधनम् ।  
 द्विजानां पूजनं चैव एतदर्थं कृतो नृप ॥ १५ ॥  
 भूस्वामी तु स्मृतो राजा नान्यद्रव्यस्य सर्वदा ।  
 तत्फलस्य हि पङ्भागं प्राप्नुयाद्भान्धयेव तु ॥ १६ ॥  
 भूतानां तन्निवासित्वात्स्यामित्य तेन कीर्तितम् ।  
 तत्क्रिया बलिपङ्कजं शुभाशुभनिमित्तजम् ॥ १७ ॥  
 एवं प्रवर्तते यस्तु लोभं त्यक्त्वा नराधिप ।  
 तस्य पुत्रा प्रजायन्ते राष्ट्र कोशश्च वर्धते ॥ १८ ॥  
 अन्यथेन हि यो राष्ट्रात् करं दण्डं च पार्थिव ।  
 सस्यभानं च शुल्कं चाप्याद्वर्तत स पापभाक् ॥ १९ ॥  
 अर्थशास्त्रोक्तमुत्तुज्य धर्मशास्त्रोक्तमाग्रजेत् ॥ २० ॥  
 बुद्धस्यापि नरेन्द्रस्य तद्राष्ट्रं न विनाशयेत् ।  
 न प्रजानुमतो यस्मादन्यायेषु प्रवर्तते ॥ २१ ॥  
 अहोरोनार्थिने यस्तु राजा सम्यक् निवेदयेत् ।  
 तत्तारयत्यनन्तं स्याद्धर्माथ दानमीदृशम् ॥ २२ ॥  
 न्यायेनाक्रम्य यद्व्ययं रिपु निर्जित्य पार्थिव ।  
 तच्छुद्धं तत्प्रदेयं सन्नान्यद्योपहृतं वचित् ॥ २३ ॥

11-13 इत्युक्त्यतः वीर० { राज० } p 178

14-16 कृत्यकल्पतरु, वीर० { राज० } p 254

16-17 इत्युक्त्यतः, वीर० { राज० } p 271

18-19 वीर० { राज० } p 276, इत्युक्त्यतः

20 मेधा० on मनु० 7 I

21 वीर० { राज० } p 411, इत्युक्त्यतः

22-23 कृत्यकल्पतरु

राजा पुरोहितं कुर्यादुदितं ब्राह्मणं हितम् ।  
कृताध्ययनसंपन्नमनुग्धं मत्पुत्रादिनम् ॥ २४ ॥

(व्यवहारलक्षणादि )

प्रयत्नसाध्ये विच्छिन्ने धर्माख्ये न्यायविस्तरे ।  
साध्यमूलस्तु यो वादे व्यवहारः स उच्यते ॥२५॥  
यि नानार्थेऽयं संदेहे हरणं द्वार उच्यते ।  
नानासंदेहहरणाद् व्यवहार इति स्मृतः ॥२६॥  
न राजा तु वशित्वेन धनलोभेन वा पुनः ।  
स्वयं कार्याणि कुर्वीत नराणामविवादिनाम् ॥२७॥  
उत्पादयति यो हिंसां देयं वा न प्रयच्छति ।  
याचमानाय दौःशील्यादाकृष्योसौ नृपाश्रया ॥२८॥  
द्विपदे साध्यभेदाच्च पदाष्टादशतां गते ।  
अष्टादश क्रियाभेदाद्भिन्नान्यष्टसहस्रशः ॥२९॥  
साध्यवादस्य मूलं स्याद्वादिना यन्निवेदितम् ।  
देयाप्रदानं हिंसा चेत्युत्थानद्वयमुच्यते ॥३०॥  
पूर्वपक्षश्चोत्तरं च प्रत्याकलितमेव च ।  
क्रियापादश्च तेनायं चतुष्पात्समुदाहृतः ॥३१॥  
धर्मशास्त्रार्थशास्त्रे तु स्कन्धद्वयमुदाहृतम् ।  
जयश्चैवावसायश्च द्वे फले समुदाहृते ॥३२॥

24 अ. वि. p. 20.

25 अपरार्क p. 596, स्मृतिच. III p. 1, वीर. p. 5, पर. मा. III. p. 6.

26 अ. मा. p. 283, पर. मा. III. p. 7, कुडूक on मनु 8. 1. अ. मा. reads शिष्यः for स्मृतः.

27 अ. मा. p. 285, डोडराजन्द, कुडूक on मनु 8. 43. अ. मा. reads न वागमविवादिनाम् for नराणामविवादिनाम्.

28 अ. मा. p. 285, अपरार्क p. 605.

29 स्मृतिच. III p. 3 ( latter half only ), पर. मा. III. p. 20 ( reads भिन्नान्यथ तद्वत्तथा ).

30 स्मृतिच. III. p. 27.

31 अपरार्क p. 616, वीर. p. 59, स्मृतिच. III. p. 27.

32 स्मृतिच. III p. 27.



अप्रवृत्तं कृतं यत्र धुनिस्मृत्यनुमोदितम् ।  
नान्यथा तत्पुनः कार्यं न्यायापेतं विचर्जेयेत् ॥ ५१ ॥

( धर्माधिकरण )

धर्मशास्त्रविनारेण मूलसारप्रियेचनम् ।  
यथाधिक्रियते स्थाने धर्माधिकरणं हि तत् ॥ ५२ ॥  
प्रातरतथाय नृपतिं शोच कृत्वा समाहित ।  
गुरुं ज्योतिर्विन्द वेद्यान्वेद्यान्विप्रांश्चपुरोहितान् ॥ ५३ ॥  
यथाहमेतान्संपूज्य सुपुष्पाभरणाम्बरैः ।  
अभिवन्द्य च गुर्वादीन् सुमुष्पां प्रविशेत् समाम् ॥ ५४ ॥  
विनीतेष्वेव नृपतिं सभां गत्वा समाहित ।  
आसीनं प्राहमुद्य स्यित्वा पश्येत्कार्याणि कार्थिणाम् ।  
सह प्रैविद्यदृष्टैश्च मन्त्रक्षेत्र्यैश्च मन्त्रिभिः ॥ ५५ ॥  
सप्राह्वियान्न सामान्यं सम्राज्यपुरोहितः ।  
ससभ्यः प्रेक्षको राजा स्वयं तिष्ठति धर्मतः ॥ ५६ ॥  
सह सभ्यै स्विरेर्युक्ते प्राज्ञैर्माहर्षिजोचमे ।  
धर्मशास्त्रार्थकुशलैर्यशास्त्रविदारदे ॥ ५७ ॥  
कुलशालिचयोनृत्तप्रित्तर्द्धिरमतर्हः ।  
यणिग्भिः स्वात्कृतिपर्यं कुलभूतैरधिष्ठितम् ॥ ५८ ॥

52 स्मृतिच० III p. 42 परा मा III p 22, वीर० p 11 (which reads धर्मशास्त्राविवेचनम्)

53-54 परा मा III p 22 These are ascribed to वृहस्पति by स्मृतिच० (III p 24)

55 स्मृतिच० III p 62 अथ मा p 278 (first two lines)

56 मिता० (on वा II 2), अथ मा p 278, स्मृतिच० III p. 30, परा मा. III p 31, वीर० p 41

57 मिता० (on वा II 2) अथ मा p 278, स्मृतिच० III p 33, वीर० p. 41 All except अथ मा read तत्तु मध्ये, अथ मा reads तत्राहर्षि. for प्राज्ञैर्माहर्षि and पुनः for पुनः सह र. p 87 has the half verse धर्मतः.

58 मिता० (on वा II 2), स्मृतिच० III p 33, परा मा III p 32, वीर० p 41, पदहारतस्य p 109 स्मृतिच० reads वृत्ति for वृत्त.

श्रोतारो वाणिजस्तत्र कर्तव्या न्यायदक्षिण ॥ ५९ ॥

( कथदशनकाल )

समास्थानेषु पूर्वाह्ने कार्याणां निर्णय नृप ।

कुर्याच्छास्त्रप्रणीतेन मागणतमिश्रकर्षण ॥ ६० ॥

दिवस्तस्याष्टम भाग मुन ग कालत्रय तु यत् ।

स कालो व्यवहाराणां शास्त्रदृष्ट पर स्मृत ॥ ६१ ॥

आद्यावद्दोष्टभागाद्यदूष्य भागप्रय भवेत् ।

स कालो व्यवहारस्य शास्त्रे दृष्टो मनीषिभि ॥ ६२ ॥

( प्राडविशक )

यदा कुर्यान्न नृपति स्वय कार्यविनिर्णयम् ।

तदा तत्र नियुक्तात् शास्त्रेण शास्त्रपारगम् ॥ ६३ ॥

दक्ष कुलीनमध्यस्थमनुद्वेगमर स्थिरम्

परत्र भीरु धर्मिष्ठमयुक्त माधवर्जितम् ॥ ६४ ॥

अकूरो मधुर स्निग्ध क्षमायातो विचक्षण ।

उत्साहवानलुब्धश्च वादे योज्यो नृपेण तु ॥ ६५ ॥

एकशास्त्रमधीयाना न विद्यात्कार्यनिश्चयम् ।

तस्माद्वहागम कार्या विधादपूतमा नर्प ॥ ६६ ॥

59 स्मृतिच० III p 38, पर मा III p 31 वीर० 1 41 स्मृतिच० reads  
यदिदक्षिणे

60 अथ मा p 284 वीर० p 28 अथ मा reads समास्थान तु and शास्त्र  
प्रमाणन

61 अथ मा p 234 वीर० p 28 परा म III p 23 व्यवहारतरव p 200

62 अपराक p 601 स्मृतिच० III p 60

63 अथ मा p 279 स्मृतिच० III p 36 राज र p 18 वीर० p 33  
अथ मा and राज० र read यदि उपपन्नं ना न परपन्नावनिर्णयम्  
व्यवहारतरव p 193 reads यदा कथदशा नयम् (as अथ मा does)

64 भित्ता० ( on य II 3 ) स्मृतिच० III p 36 ग मा p 279 वीर  
p 33 भित्ता and ग मा read दात कुलीनम्

65 स्मृतिच० III p 36 परा म III 29 ( not c t d as कथयते but  
from स्मृ-यन्तर )

66 अपराक p 601 स्मृतिच० III p 36 राज र p 23 वीर p 33  
अपराक reads एक शास्त्रमधीने यो न and राज र reads एव शास्त्र०  
राज र reads तरन दुग गम काय निवादनपरा

शास्त्रेण निन्दितं त्वर्थमुत्तयो राघा प्रचोदितः ।  
 आवेदयति यः पूर्वं स्तोभनः स उदाहृतः ॥३३॥  
 नृपेणैव नियुक्तो यः परदोषमवेक्षितुम् ।  
 नृपस्य सूचयेज्ज्ञात्वा सूचकः स उदाहृतः ॥३४॥  
 ( धर्म व्यवहार चरित्र-नाजडासनादीनां बलावलविचारः )  
 दोषकारी तु कर्तृत्वं धनस्वामी स्वकं धनम् ।  
 विवादे प्राप्नुयाद्यत्र धर्मेणैव स निर्णयः ॥३५॥  
 स्मृतिशास्त्रं तु यत्किञ्चित्प्रथितं धर्मसाधकैः ।  
 कार्याणां निर्णयार्थं तु व्यवहारः स्मृतो हि सः ॥ ३६ ॥  
 यद्यदाचर्यते येन धर्म्यं वाधर्म्यमेव वा ।  
 देशस्याचरणादित्य चरित्रं तत्प्रकीर्तितम् ॥३७॥  
 न्यायशास्त्राविरोधेन देशदृष्टेस्तथैव च ।  
 यं धर्मं स्यापयेद्राजा न्याय्यं तद्वाजशासनम् ॥३८॥  
 युक्तियुक्तं तु कार्यं स्याद् दिव्यं यत्र विचर्जितम् ।  
 धर्मस्तु व्यवहारेण बाध्यते तत्र नान्यथा ॥३९॥  
 प्रतिलोमप्रसूतेषु तथा दुर्गन्यासिषु ।  
 विद्वद्वं नियतं ग्राह्यस्तु धर्मं न विचालयेत् ॥ ४० ॥  
 निर्णयं तु यदा दुर्यात्तेन धर्मेण पार्थिव ।  
 व्यवहारश्चरित्रेण तदा तेनैव बाध्यते ॥ ४१ ॥

- 33 स्मृतिच० III 65, परा मा III 45, वीर० p 51 परा मा reads  
 त्वर्णं मुख्यार्थं and वीर० reads यापूर्वं, स्मृतिच० reads मुख्यार्थप्र०  
 34 स्मृतिच० III 65, परा मा III, 45, वीर० p 51 वीर० reads नृपेण  
 विनियुक्तो...दोषानवेक्षणे, परा मा reads नृपस्य समयं हारत्वा.  
 35 स्मृतिच० III p 21, परा मा III p 16, वीर० p 9  
 36 स्मृतिच० III p. 29, कृष्णकल्पतरु, परा मा. p 17, वीर० p 9 वीर०  
 reads नियमार्थेषु for निर्णयार्थं द. कृष्णकल्पतरु reads निर्णयार्थं च and  
 स्मृतिशास्त्रे  
 37 स्मृतिच० III p 22 परा मा p 17, वीर० p 9, दोडरानन्द दोडरानन्द  
 reads समुदाचर्यते येन धर्मो वाधर्म्यं एव वा  
 38 स्मृतिच० III p 22, परा मा III p 17, वीर० p 10  
 39 वीर० p 120  
 40-41 दोडरानन्द, वीर० p 120

विरुद्धं न्यायतो यत्तु चरित्रं कल्प्यते नृपै ।  
 एवं तत्र निरस्येन चरित्रं तु नृपाज्ञया ॥ ४२ ॥  
 अनेन विधिना युक्तं वाचकं यच्चतुत्तरम् ।  
 अन्यथायाधनं यत्र तत्र धर्मो विहन्यते ॥ ४३ ॥  
 अस्वर्ग्यां लोकनाशाय परानीकमथावहा ।  
 आयुर्वीजहरी राज्ञा मतिं वान्ये स्वयं कृतिः ॥ ४४ ॥  
 तस्माच्छास्त्रानुसारेण राजा कार्याणि साधयेत् ।  
 वाक्याभावे तु सर्वेषां देशदृष्टेन सन्नयेत् ॥ ४५ ॥  
 यस्य देशस्य यो धर्मः प्रवृत्तः सार्वनालिकः ।  
 धृतिस्मृत्याविरोधेन देशदृष्टः स उच्यते ॥ ४६ ॥  
 देशपत्तनगोष्ठेषु पुरग्रामेषु वासिनाम् ।  
 तेषां स्वसमयेधर्मशास्त्रतोऽन्येषु ते सः ॥ ४७ ॥  
 देशस्यानुमतेनेव व्यवस्था या निरूपिता ।  
 लिखिता तु सदा धार्म्या मुद्रिता राजमुद्रया ॥ ४८ ॥  
 शास्त्रप्रचलतो रक्षया तां निरीक्ष्य विनिर्णयेत् ।  
 नैगमस्थैस्तु यत्नार्यं लिखितं यद् दृश्यस्थितम् ॥ ४९ ॥  
 तस्मात्तत्सप्रयत्नेन नान्यथैव प्रवर्तयेत् ॥ ५० ॥  
 प्रमाणदेशदृष्टः तु यदेवमिति निश्चितम् ।

42 टोडरानन्द, वीरः p 121 वीरः reads गुणे for कृपे

43 टोडरानन्द, वीरः p 121

44 अपरार्क p 599, स्मृतिच. III p 57, वीरः p 124 (which reads  
 राजा मस्ति वाक्येऽपि कृतिः ) स्मृतिच. reads अस्वर्गलोकः and आयु  
 वीजहरी

45 अपरार्क p 599 स्मृतिच. p 57, परा मा. III 41, वीरः p 124 स्मृतिच.  
 reads दृष्ट मत् अपरार्क reads सार्धम् परा मा. and स्मृतिच. read  
 दृष्टमत् मयत्

46 स्मृतिच. III 58, परा मा. III p. 41.

47 स्मृतिच. III, 58, परा मा. III p 41 परा मा. reads वादिनाम् and  
 धर्म शास्त्रतो &c

48-49 स्मृतिच. III 58, टोडरानन्द ( which reads लेखिता तु सदा धर्मा  
 लेखिता राजः )

50-51 टोडरानन्द

प्राहणो यत्र न म्यानु श्रित्यं तत्र योजयेत् ।  
 वैश्यं वा धर्मशास्त्रज्ञं शूद्रं यत्नेन वर्जयेत् ॥ ६७ ॥  
 अतोऽन्यैर्यत्कृतं नार्यमन्वायेत कृतं तु तत् ।  
 नियुक्तैरपि विधेयं देवाद्यद्यपि शास्त्रतः ॥ ६८ ॥  
 व्यवहाराधितं प्रश्नं पृच्छति प्राडिति स्थितिः ।  
 यिवेचयति चस्तस्मिन्प्राड्विवाहस्ततः स्मृतः ॥ ६९ ॥  
 अनिर्णीते तु यद्यर्थे संभाषेत रक्षोधिना ।  
 प्राड्विवाहोऽदण्डश्च स्यात्सभ्याश्चैव विशेषतः ॥ ७० ॥  
 ( सभ्या )

अनुद्धा घनयन्तश्च धर्मशा सत्यवादिनः ।  
 सर्वशास्त्रप्रवीणाश्च सभ्या कार्या द्विजोत्तमाः ॥ ७१ ॥  
 न्यायशास्त्रमनिकम्प सभ्यैर्यत्र विनिश्चितम् ।  
 तत्र धर्मो हाधर्मेण हतो हन्ति न संशयः ॥ ७२ ॥  
 यत्र धर्मो हाधर्मेण सत्यं यत्रानृतेन च ।  
 हन्यते प्रेक्षमाणानां हनास्तत्र सभासदः ॥ ७३ ॥  
 अधर्मेन प्रवृत्तं तु नोपेक्षेत् सभासदः ।  
 उपेक्षमाणा स्मृता नरकं यान्त्यधोमुखा ॥ ७४ ॥

67 मिता (उप या II 3), अपराधः । 601, व्य मा p 279, राज र. p 23, परा मा III p 29 अपराध and वीर and सुहृद (on मनु, 8 20) read यत्र विशेषेण न विद्वान् स्यात् and व्य. मा p 279 reads यदि विशेषेण न and राज र reads यत्र विद्वान् विप्रः स्यात् स्मृतियः (III p. 37) gives यत्र विशेषेण न विद्वान् स्यात् and प्राहणो यत्र न स्यात् as two different verses of कात्यायन, though the last three pādas of each are the same

68 अपराधः p 601 राज र p 23, व्यवहारात्तत्र p 198

69 व्य. मा p 278 अपराधः p 602 (quotes it as from पृष्ठपूहस्पति)

70 स्मृतियः III p 51 स डि p 69, परा मा III p 35, व्य मा. p 253 (which reads दण्डं रक्षोधिना) स्मृतियः and व्य मा read ० धैव न संशय

71 अपराधः p 601 राज र p 23 ( which reads कार्या नृपैर्द्विजाः )

72 अपराधः p 601, स्मृतियः III p 47 परा मा III p 32

73 अपराधः p 604 This is मनु 8 14

74 स्मृतियः III p 47, परा मा III p 33, अपराधः p 604, राज. र. p. 25. परा मा reads अवन्यायप्रवृत्तम्

अन्यायेनापि त शान्त येनुयान्ति समासद ।  
 तेपि तद्भागिनस्तस्माद् याधनीय स तेर्नुप ॥ ७१ ॥  
 न्यायमार्गादयेत तु शात्या चित्त महीपते ।  
 वक्तव्यं तत्प्रिय तत्र न सभ्यं सिद्धिर्भी भयेत् ॥ ७२ ॥  
 सभ्येनावश्यवत्तव्यं धर्मार्थसहितं यत् ।  
 शृणोति यदि नो राजा स्यात्तु सभ्यस्ततो नय ॥ ७३ ॥  
 अधर्माय यदा राजा नियुज्यति विवादिनाम् ।  
 विशाप्य नृपतिं सभ्यस्तदाचार्यं निघर्तयेत् ॥ ७४ ॥  
 छेदादक्षानतो वापि लोमाद्वा मोहतोपि वा ।  
 तत्र सभ्योन्यथावादी दण्डयोऽस्तभ्यं स्मृतो हि स ॥ ७५ ॥  
 कार्यस्य निर्णयं सम्यगज्ञात्वा सभ्यस्ततो वदेत् ।  
 अन्यथा नैव वक्तव्यं वक्ता द्विगुणदण्डमान् ॥ ७६ ॥  
 सभ्यदोषान्तु यन्नष्ट देयं सभ्येन तत्तदा ।  
 कार्यं तु वाचिणामेव निश्चितं न विचालयेत् ॥ ७७ ॥

( वाचिर्निर्णयः कुलापस्य )

कुलानि श्रेणयश्चैव गणस्यधिष्ठितो नृप ।

प्रतिष्ठा व्यवहाराणां गुणस्यस्तूनरोत्तरम् ॥ ७८ ॥

75 क्लृप्ता- ( on वा II ) स्मृतच III ) 47 परा मा III p 33  
 अपराक p 603 राज र p 25 अपराक add राज र read अ वाचता  
 विवादिनां स्मृतिच= read's वक्तव्यं शनृप

76 स्मृतिच= III p 47 राज र p 25 परा मा III p 33 दोषरान्द  
 ( which reads वक्तव्यं तत्प्रियं वाच ) स्मृतच reads मत for मन  
 राज र reads वक्तव्यं तु प्रियं वाच पर मा reads वक्तव्यं for  
 वक्तव्यम्

77 स्मृतिच= III 49 परा मा III p 33 राज र p 25 व्यवहारतर प  
 199 ( reads तद्वत् )

78 राज र p 24

79 स्मृतिच= III p 50 अपराक p 603 परा मा III p 34 स्मृतिच=

reads माहंश लामतप वा

80 स्मृतच= III p 50 अ राक p 603

81 स्मृतच III p 51 स वि p 60 ( वाचिणामेव for वाचिणाम् )

82 मा मा 280 ( which asserts it to मनु and वाचा ) This is

नारद । 7

नपस्विनां तु कार्याणि त्रिविधैरेव कारयेत् ।  
 मायायोगविदां चैव न स्वयं कोपकारणात् ॥ ८३ ॥  
 सम्यग्विज्ञानसंपन्ने नोपदेशं प्रकल्पयेत् ।  
 उत्कृष्टजातिशीलानां गुर्गंचार्पणपस्विनाम् ॥ ८४ ॥  
 गोप्रस्थितिस्तु या तेषां क्रमादायाति धर्मतः ।  
 कुलधर्मं तु तं प्राहुः पालयेत्त तथैव तु ॥ ८५ ॥

( प्रथमस्तक )

काले कार्याधिनेन पृच्छेत् प्रणतं पुरतः स्थितम् ।  
 किं कार्यं वा च ते पीडा मा भैषीद्विहि मानव ॥ ८६ ॥  
 केन कस्मिन्कदा कस्मात् पृच्छेदेव समागत ।  
 एव पृष्ट स यद्वृथान्तस्तभ्येज्राहणे सह ॥ ८७ ॥  
 विमृश्य कार्यं न्याय्य चद्राहानार्थमतः परम् ।  
 मुद्रा वा निक्षिपेत्तस्मिन् पुरुष वा समादिशेत् ॥ ८८ ॥

( प्रतिनिधि )

समर्पितोर्थिना योन्यः परो धर्माधिकारिणि ।  
 प्रतिवादी स विभेद्यः प्रतिपन्नश्च यः स्वयम् ॥ ८९ ॥

83 अथ मा p 281 ( attributes to both गृहस्थति and कात्यायन ) बीर०  
 p 30 ascribes it to गृहस्थति

84 बीर० p 31

85 बीर० p 29

86 स्मृतिच० III p 70, अथ मा p 286, परा मा III p 52, बीर० p 47  
 मिता० ( on या III 5 ) quotes this and the following two  
 as from स्मृत्युतर. अपरार्क also p 605 quotes them without  
 citing the name स वि p 75 quotes this verse as कात्यायन's  
 gloss on the words 'एन कस्मिन् कदा यत' which it attributes  
 to मनु

87 स्मृतिच० III p 70, परा मा III p 52, बीर० p 47 ( which  
 reads सभागृह्य ), अथ मा p 286 ( first half )

88 मिता० ( on या II 5 ), अपरार्क p 60० स्मृतिच० III p 72, परा  
 मा III p 53, बीर० p 52

89 स्मृतिच० III p 72, अपरार्क p 639, स वि p 80

अधिकारोभियुक्तस्य नेतरस्यास्त्यसङ्गते ।  
 इतरोप्यभियुक्तेन प्रतिरोधीकृतो मत ॥ ९० ॥  
 अर्थिना सनियुक्तो वा अर्थार्थिप्रहितोपि वा ।  
 यो यस्यार्थे विवदने तयोर्ज्ञेयपराजयो । ९१ ॥  
 दास्य कर्मकरा शिष्या नियुक्ता बान्धवास्तथा ।  
 धादिनो न च दण्ड्या स्यु यस्त्वतोऽन्य स दण्डभाक् ॥ ९२ ॥  
 ब्रह्महत्यासुरापातस्त्यगुर्वङ्गनागमे ।  
 अन्येषु चातिपापेषु प्रतिवादी न दीयते ॥ ९३ ॥  
 मनुष्यमारणे स्तेये परदारभिमर्शने ।  
 अभक्ष्यभक्षणे चैव कन्याहरणदूषणे ॥ ९४ ॥  
 पारुष्ये कूटसरूपे नृपद्रोहे तथैव च ।  
 प्रतिवादी न दानव्य कर्ता तु विवदेस्त्वयम् ॥ ९५ ॥

( अङ्गान् )

घर्मोत्सुकानभ्युदये रोगिणोथ जडानपि  
 अस्यस्थमत्तोन्मत्तार्तस्त्रियो नाहानयेन्मृप ॥ ९६ ॥

- 90 स्मृतिच० III p 73 अपरार्क p 639 ( which reads अधिकृतो  
 मत ) वीर० p 52 ( first half ) स वि p 80
- 91 व्य मा p 287 ( ascribes it to नरद and कात्यायन ) अपरार्क p  
 639 स्मृतिच० III p 71 परा म III p 53 वीर० p 48  
 कृ यकस्मत्तस्म ascr bes it to नरद and काय वन
- 92 अपरार्क p 635, स्मृ तच० III p 71 परा मा III p 54
- 93 व्य मा p 287 अपराक p 639 स्मृतिच III p 75 वीर० p 54  
 अपरार्क reads अन्धैः सङ्गवदिषु and स्मृतिच reads अन्धैः सङ्ग-  
 and व्य मा reads अन्धैः सङ्ग-
- 94 व्य मा p 287, अपरार्क p 639 स्मृ तच० III p 75 वीर p 54  
 व्यवहारतत्त्व p 200
- 95 व्य मा p 287 अपराक p 639 ( reads न दाप्य स्यात् ) वीर० p 54  
 ( reads कर्तारि ) स्मृतिच० III p 75 ( reads दाप्य स्व दर्थिप्रत्यर्थि  
 नोरपि ) व्यवहारतत्त्व p 200
- 96 स्मृ तिन० III 74 परा म III p 51 वीर p 53 ascribes अवश्य  
 बालस्य वीर विषमस्य कदाचुल म् । क भीतपालिभ्यस नेतृपदाश्लेषाकुल्यान् । यतो  
 'मनुप्रमत्तातद्व्यावाहानवे'प' to क यायन but they are omitted  
 here as these are ascribed to हारीत by स्मृतिच० and परा मा  
 and to नरद by व्य मा



न हीनपक्षां युवतिं कुरु जाता प्रसूतिकाम् ।  
 सर्ववर्णोत्तमा कन्या ता प्रातिप्रसूता स्मृता ॥ ९७ ॥  
 तदर्धानकुटुम्बिन्य स्वगिण्यो गणिताश्च या ।  
 निष्पुला याश्च पतितास्नासामादानमिष्यते ॥ ९८ ॥  
 सदाख्योत्तरीयो वा मुक्तेश्च महात्मन ।  
 वामहस्तेन वा वाद वदन्दण्डमवाप्नुयात् ॥ ९९ ॥  
 आहूतस्त्वयमन्येन य शक्तो राजशासनम् ।  
 तस्य कुर्याध्रुषो दण्ड विधिरष्टेन कर्मणा ॥ १०० ॥  
 हीने कर्मणि पञ्चाशन्मध्यमे द्विशतावर ।  
 गुरुकार्येषु दण्ड स्यान्नित्य पञ्चशतावर ॥ १०१ ॥  
 कल्पितो यस्य यो दण्डस्त्वपराधम्य यन्तत ।  
 पणानां ग्रहण तु स्यात्तन्मूल्य याश्च राजानि ॥ १०२ ॥

( सामय )

उत्पादयति यो हिंसा देय वा न प्रयच्छति ।  
 याचमानाय दौ शील्यानादृश्योर्ता नृपाक्षया ॥ १०३ ॥  
 आवेद्य तु नृपे वार्यमसदिग्धे प्रतिभुते ।  
 तदासेध प्रयुञ्जीत यावदाक्षानदर्शनम् ॥ १०४ ॥

97 स्मृतिच० III 74 परा मा III p 51 ( which reads स्वजाति-  
 प्रसूतां चैव तथा माद्वानयन्वप ) वीर p 52 मिता० on या II, 5 cites  
 this as स्मृत्य ११

98 स्मृतिच० III p 74, परा मा III p 51, वीर० p 53 मिता० on या  
 II 5 cites as स्मृत्यंतर

99 अपराकं p 605 च मा p 286 न वि 76 अपराकं reads ' वा सची, '  
 for वा वाद च मा reads मुत्तरच्छ

100 अपराकं p 607 स्मृतिच० III p 76, परा मा III p 51

101 अपराकं p 607 स्मृतिच० III p 76, परा मा III p 51 अपराकं  
 reads मण्यमेन शतावरम् and पञ्चशतावरम् परा मा reads मण्यमे तु  
 शतावर

102 स्मृतिच० III p 76 न वि p 82 ( reads यचित for यन्तत )

103 वीर० p 55 स्मृतिच० III p 76 ascribes it to व्येष्ट

104 वीर० p 55

आसेधयोग्य आसिद्ध उत्क्रामन्दण्डमर्हति ॥ १०५ ॥

( अनासेध्या )

यस्त्विन्द्रियनिरोधेन व्याहारेच्छवसनादिभिः ।

आसेधयेदनासेधस्य स दण्डयो न त्यतिनमी ॥ १०६ ॥

वृक्षपर्वतमारूढा हस्यम्बरयनौस्थिता ।

विषमस्याश्च ते सर्वे नासेध्या कार्यसाधकैः ॥ १०७ ॥

व्याध्यास्ता व्यसनस्याश्च यजमानास्तथैव च ।

अनुत्तीर्णाश्च नासेध्या मत्तोन्मत्तजडास्तथा ॥ १०८ ॥

न कार्यको बीजकाले सेनाकाले नु सैनिक ।

प्रतिज्ञाय प्रयातश्च कृतकालश्च नान्तरा ॥ १०९ ॥

उद्युक्तं कर्षकं सस्ये तोयस्यागमने तथा ।

आरम्भात्संग्रहं यावत्तत्कालं न विधादयेत् ।

आसेधयस्यनासेधं राशौ शास्य इति स्थितिः ॥ ११० ॥

अभियुक्तश्च रुद्धश्च तिष्ठेयुश्च नृपाक्षया ।

न तस्यान्येन कर्तव्यमभियुक्तं विदुर्बुधा ॥ १११ ॥

प्रकाद्वह्यहाचपेक्षं देशकालाद्यपेक्षया ।

कृताय साधिते कार्ये तेन भक्तं प्रदापयेत् ॥ ११२ ॥

105 वीर० p 56 स्मृतिच० III p 68 ascribes it to व्यास

106 स्मृतिच० III p 67, परा मा III p 48, वीर० p 56, स्व मा p 9 परा मा reads श्ववहापेक्षनादिभिः अनासेध्याः and अतिवमात् स्व मा, has the last two, वीर० has अनतिषेधं and अतिवमम्

107 स्मृतिच० III p 68, परा मा III p 48, वीर० p 56

108 स्मृतिच० III p 68, परा मा III p 49, वीर० p 56 (has first half)

109 स्मृतिच० III p 68, परा मा III p 49, इत्यक्षयतह (has latter half)

110 इत्यक्षयतह, स्मृतिच० III p 69, परा मा III 49 (which reads अप्र श्यागमने) वीर० p 56 (last line), स्व. म. p 9 (last line). The first three omit the last line.

111 इत्यक्षयतह

112 वीर० p 57, अपराधं p 607 (reads मेता for तेन) has latter half only.

देशकालचयःशक्त्याद्यपेक्षं भोजनं स्मृतम् ।

आकारकस्य सर्वत्र इति तत्त्वविदो विदुः ॥ ११३ ॥

( प्रतिभूतेनाप्रत्याः )

न स्वामी न च वै शत्रुः स्वामिनाधिष्ठितस्तथा ।

निरुद्धो दण्डितश्चैव संशयस्थायश्च न फयित् ॥ ११४ ॥

नैव रिक्थी न रिक्तश्च न चैवात्यन्तघासिनः ।

राजकार्यनियुक्तश्च ये च प्रयत्निता नराः ॥ ११५ ॥

नाशक्तो घनिने दातुं दण्डं राक्षे च तत्समम् ।

जीवन्त्यापि पिता यस्य तथैवेच्छाप्रवर्तक ।

नाविज्ञातो प्रहीतव्यः प्रतिभूत्यक्रियां प्रति ॥ ११६ ॥

अथ चेत्प्रतिभूर्नास्ति वाद्योग्यस्य वादिनः ।

स रक्षितो दिनस्यान्ते दद्याद् दूताय वेतनम् ॥ ११७ ॥

द्विजातिः प्रतिभूर्हीनो रक्ष्य स्याद् वाद्यचारिभिः ।

शूद्रादीन्प्रतिभूर्हीनान् यन्धयेत्तिगडेन तु ॥ ११८ ॥

118 वीर० p. 57, अपठकं p. 607 ( reads देशः कालो चयः शक्तिरपेक्षया भोजने तथा and धर्मविदो for तत्त्वविदो )

114 स्मृतिच० III. p. 78 ( and p. 318 ), मिता० ( on वा. II. 57 ), वि. र. p. 39, परा. मा. III. p. 57 and pp. 253 254, वीर० p. 58 and 330 ( has 114 116 ). परा. मा. at p. 254 reads ' निरुद्धो दण्डितश्चैव शिथिलश्चात्रबोद्धव्यः' and adds देशाचरेण दाप्याः सुदृश्यान् संपीड्य दापयेत्

115 स्मृतिच० III. p. 78 and 318, वि. र. p. 39, परा. मा. III. p. 57 and also p. 254, वीर० p. 58. वि. र., परा. मा. p. 254 and मिता० read विवं च for रिक्तश्च.

116 मिता० ( on वा. II. 57 ), स्मृतिच० III. p. 78, परा. मा. III. p. 57, वि. र. p. 39 मिता० and वि. र० read प्रतिभूः स्वक्रियां प्रति and परा० मा. reads प्रतिभूत्वा क्रियां. All except मिता० omit the half जीवन्त्यापि &c.

117 मिता० ( मा. II. 10 ), व्य. मा. p. 289, स्मृतिच० III. p. 78, परा. मा. III. 58, वीर० p. 59, स. वि. p. 83, व्यवहारतत्त्व p. 202. व्य. मा. reads वाद्ययोग्यश्च, मिता० reads वाद्ययोग्यस्तु; वीर० and परा. मा. read दूताय for दूताय, स. वि. reads तार्थयोग्यश्च वादिनः व्यवहारतत्त्व reads योग्यस्तु वादिनोः which is a good reading.

118 परा. मा. III. p. 58

अतिक्रमेपयाते च दण्डयेत्त पणाष्टकम् ।  
 नित्यकमापरोधस्तु न कार्यं सर्ववर्णिनाम् ॥११९॥  
 ग्रहीतग्रहणो न्याये न प्रवत्या महीभृता ।  
 तस्य वा तत्समर्प्यं स्यात्स्थापयेद्वा परस्य तत् ॥१२०॥  
 ( अभिशेकत्रादानाभुक्तिक्रम )

त्रयामियोक्ता प्राग्भूयादभियुक्तस्त्वनन्तरम् ।  
 तयोरन्ते सदस्यास्तु प्राङ्निवाकस्तत परम् ॥१२१॥  
 यस्य स्यादधिका पीडा कार्यं वाप्यधिक भवेत् ।  
 पूर्यपक्षो भवेत्तस्य न य पूर्यं निवेदयेत् ॥१२२॥  
 यस्य वार्थगता पीडा शरीरी वाधिका भवेत् ।  
 तस्यार्थिवादो दातव्यो न य पूर्यं निवेदयेत् ॥१२३॥  
 ( प्रतिष्ठास्वरूपम् )

निवेद्य कालं यप च मास पक्ष तिथिं तथा ।  
 वेलं प्रदेश विषय स्थानं जात्याकृती वय ॥१२४॥  
 साध्यप्रमाणं द्रव्यं च सप्त्या नाम तथात्मन ।  
 राज्ञा च क्रमशो नाम निवास साध्यनाम च ॥१२५॥

119 परा मा III p 58

120 अ मा p 287 स्मृतिच० III p 78 टडराव'द स्य मा and डोडराव'द  
 read न्याये for याय तस्य for तस्य वा and परस्य for परस्य

121 अपराकं p 611, स्मृतिच० III p 79 परा मा III p 58 वीर० p 57  
 स्य मा p 289 (reads तयोरुक्ता for तयोरन्ते) स इ p 83

122 स्य मा p 290 व्यवहारतत्त्व p 200 परा मा III p 59 स्मृतिच०  
 III p 79, स वि p 83 (reads one verse as यस्य व अधिका पीडा  
 अकार्यं वाधिका भवेत् । तस्यार्थिवादा०)

123 अ मा p 291, स्मृतिच० III p 79 परा मा III p 59 स्मृतिच०  
 reads कार्यं वा अधिक भवेत् and परा मा read कार्यं वाप्यधिक

124 विश्वरूप (on वा II 6), अ मा p 295 अपराकं p 608, स्मृतिच० III  
 p 81, परा मा III p. 6' वीर० p 62 परा मा reads प्रवेश for  
 प्रदेश and अपराकं reads वेलं प्रदेश विषय विश्वरूप has सेलाप्रदेश

125 विश्वरूप (on वा II 6) अ मा p 295 अपराकं p 608 परा मा III  
 p 82 स्मृतिच० III p 81, वीर० p 6' विश्वरूप reads साप्यधमान  
 स्मृतिच० reads साप्यध्वप्रमाण वीर० and अपराकं read साप्य प्रमाण  
 द्रव्य च स्य मा reads साप्यध्व च

क्रमात्पितृणां नामानि पीडां चाहर्तुदायकी ।  
 क्षमालिङ्गानि चान्यानि पक्षं संकीर्त्य कल्पयेत् ॥१२६॥  
 देशश्चैव तथा स्थानं संनिवेशस्तथैव च ।  
 जातिः संज्ञा निवासश्च प्रमाणं क्षेत्रनाम च ॥ १२७ ॥  
 पितृपैतामहं चैव पूर्वराजानुकीर्तनम् ।  
 स्वावरेषु विवादेषु दशैतानि नियेशयेत् ॥ १२८ ॥  
 रागादीनां यदेकेन कोपितः करणे चदेत् ।  
 तदोमिति लिपेत्सर्वं यादिनः फलकादिषु ॥ १२९ ॥  
 अधिधान् शोधयेदर्थान् न्यूनांश्च प्रतिपूरयेत् ।  
 भूमौ निवेशयेत्तावद्यावत्पक्षः प्रतिष्ठितः ॥ १३० ॥  
 पूर्वपक्षं स्वभावोक्तं प्राद्विधाकोभिलेखयेत् ।  
 पाण्डुलेखेन फलके ततः पत्रे विशोधितम् ॥ १३१ ॥  
 अन्यदुक्तं लिपेदन्ययोर्धिप्रत्यर्थिनां चचः ।  
 चौरवच्छासयेत्तं तु धार्मिकः पृथिवीपतिः ॥ १३२ ॥  
 सोल्लेखनं वा लभते ग्र्यहं सप्ताहमेव वा ।  
 मतिरुत्पद्यते यावद्विवादे चतुर्मिच्छतः ॥ १३३ ॥

- 126 विश्वरूप, अपरांक p 608, न्य मा. p. 295, परा. मा. III p. 62, स्मृतिच. III. p. 81, वीर. p. 62 व्य. मा. reads लेखयेत् for कल्पयेत्. विश्वरूप reads क्षमालिङ्गाद्यादिकालं.  
 127-128 अपरांक p. 608, स्मृतिच. III. p. 84, परा. मा. III. 62, वीर. p. 64, पृ. मा. reads देश काल तथाप्रमाण संनिवेश and जातिवैज्ञापि-  
 वासांश्च.  
 129 टोडरानन्द ( which ascribes it to both नारद and वात्स्यायन ), स. दि. p. 79 ( which ascribes it to गोविल ) reads आर्थिनः for  
 यादिनः.  
 130 विश्वरूप ( on या II. 6 ), अपरांक p. 611, स्मृतिच. III. p. 90, पृ. मा. III 65, अपरांक and परा मा read ज्ञातयेत् and last pada as यावदर्थोभिवर्धितः, परा मा reads छेदयेत् and प्रतिपूजयेत्, स्मृतिच. reads छेदयेत्.  
 131 मिता ( on या II. 6 ), अपरांक p. 611, परा. मा. III. p. 67, स्मृतिच. III. p. 9, व्यवहारतत्त्व p. 204.  
 132 वीर. p. 71 ( which reads ज्ञातयेत् for ज्ञातयेत् ), व्य. मा. p. 297, व्यवहारतत्त्व p. 204  
 133 परा. मा. III. p. 66

यस्मात्कार्यसमारम्भाच्चिरात्तेन विनिश्चयः ।  
 तस्मान्न लभते कालमभियुक्तस्तु कालभारः ॥ १३४ ॥  
 मतिर्नोत्सहते यत्र विद्योद कार्यमिच्छते ।  
 दातव्यस्तत्र कालः स्वाधर्मित्वाधिनोरेपि ॥ १३५ ॥  
 प्रतिज्ञादोषः ( पूर्वपक्षदोषाः )  
 यश्च राष्ट्रविरुद्धश्च यश्च राज्ञा विवर्जितः ।  
 अनेकपदसंकीर्णः पूर्वपक्षो न सिध्यति ॥ १३६ ॥  
 बहुप्रतिज्ञं यत्कार्यं व्ययहारेषु निश्चितम् ।  
 फलं तदपि गृहीयाद्राजा तत्त्वबुद्धयः ॥ १३७ ॥  
 वेशकालविहीनश्च द्रव्यसंख्याविवर्जितः ।  
 साध्यप्रमाणहीनश्च पक्षानादौ इष्यते ॥ १३८ ॥  
 न्यायस्थं नेच्छते कर्तुमन्यायं वा करोत्ययम् ।  
 न लेखयति यस्त्वेवं तस्य पक्षो न सिध्यति ॥ १३९ ॥  
 अप्रसिद्धं निराचारं निरर्थं निष्प्रयोजनम् ।  
 असाध्यं वा विरुद्धं वा पक्षाभासं विप्रर्जयेत् ॥ १४० ॥

134 अ. मा. p. 290, व्यवहारस्तत्र p. 203 ( reads विनिश्चितः )

135 अ. मा. p. 290.

136 विश्वरूप (on मा. II 5), मिता० (on मा. II. 6), अ. मा. p. 290, अपराधं  
 p. 609, स्मृतिच० III. 89, परा मा. III. p. 65, वीर० p. 68. अपराधं,  
 स्मृतिच०, परा मा. and वीर० read पुराष्टविषदम्

137 मिता० ( on मा. II. 6. ), अपराधं p. 609, अ. मा. p. 290, स्मृतिच०  
 III p. 89, परा मा. III p. 65, वीर० 69, स. वि. p. 89. अ. मा.  
 reads व्यवहारोपनिश्चितम्, स्मृतिच० reads व्यवहारे कुनिश्चितम्.

138 अपराधं p. 609 (not named), स्मृतिच० III p. 84, अ. मा. p. 297,  
 परा मा. III p. 61, वीर० p. 64, स. वि. p. 87 स्मृतिच० and स. वि.  
 read क्रियाप्रमाणहीनम्, वीर० reads क्रियामानविहीनम्

139 विश्वरूप on मा. II 6 (no name), स्मृतिच० III p. 89, वीर० p. 69.  
 विश्वरूप reads व्यसं ने नेच्छते and वीर० reads न्यायं व्यवहारे

140 विश्वरूप on मा. II 6 (no name), मिता० on मा. II 6 (no name),  
 वीर० p. 60, परा मा. III 61 and अ. मा. p. 13 (as स्मृत्यन्तर)  
 विश्वरूप reads सर्वेषु वा for निराचारं and पक्षं राजा विप्रर्जयेत्.

प्रतिज्ञादोषनिर्मुक्तं साध्यं सत्कारणान्वितम् ।  
 निश्चितं लोकसिद्धं च पक्षं पक्षविदो विदुः ॥ १४१ ॥  
 सत्याक्षरं प्रभूतायौ नि संदिग्धौ निराहुलः ।  
 विरोधिकारणैर्मुक्तो विरोधिप्रतिषेधकः ॥ १४२ ॥  
 यदा त्वेवविधः पक्षः कल्पितः पूर्ववादिना ।  
 वृत्तान्तपक्षसर्वज्ञं प्रतिवादी तदोत्तरम् ॥ १४३ ॥  
 व्याव्यमानोर्धिना यत्र यो हार्थो न विघातितः ।  
 दानकालेऽथवा तूर्णो स्थितः सोऽर्थोऽनुमोदितः ॥ १४४ ॥

(उत्तरं ह्यर्थो दातुं वा कालादौ वा दानव्यम्)

श्रुत्वा लेख्यगतं त्वर्थं प्रत्यर्थं कारणाद्यदि ।  
 कालं विवादं याचेत तस्य देयो न सशयः ॥ १४५ ॥  
 सगो वैकाहपश्चादप्यहं वा गुरुत्वाद्यवात् ।  
 लभेतासौ त्रिपक्षं वा सप्ताहं वा ऋणादिषु ॥ १४६ ॥  
 कालं शक्तिं विदित्वा तु कार्याणां च पलायनम् ।  
 अल्पं वा बहु वा कालं वृत्तात्प्रत्यर्थिने प्रभुः ॥ १४७ ॥

141 विश्वरूप on मा II 6 (no name), कृत्यकल्पतरु (ascribes this and the following two verses to both कालायन and बृहस्पति)  
 अथ मा p 201 (ascribes this and the next to both कालायन and बृहस्पति) व्यवहारतत्त्व p 203 (ascribes 141-143 to both),  
 रोडरानन्द, परा मा III p 61 (which ascribes this and the next to बृहस्पति) अपरार्क p 610 ascribes to बृहस्पति, so does स्मृतिच. III p 90

142 कृत्यकल्पतरु, अथ मा p 201, रोडरानन्द (which reads विरोधिकारणं and प्रतिरोधकं), परा मा III 61 (which reads अस्याक्षरस्त्वसन्दिग्धो बह्वर्थाप्यनाहुतः । युक्तो विरोधिकारणः) व्यवहारतत्त्व p 203 204

143 कृत्यकल्पतरु, रोडरानन्द व्यवहारतत्त्व p 204

144 कृत्यकल्पतरु

145 अपरार्क p 610, स्मृतिच. III p 94, अथ मा p 208, परा मा III p 69 बीर. p 138 अथ मा reads लब्धवत for लेख्यगत, अपरार्क and बीर. read लेख्यगते

146 अथ मा p 208, स्मृतिच. III p 95 (which reads हय एकाहपश्चादौ)

147 स्मृतिच. III p 95 अथ मा p 208

दिनं मासार्धमासौ वा क्रतुः संवत्सरोपि वा ।  
 क्रियास्थित्यनुरूपस्तु देयः कालः परेण तु ॥ १४८ ॥  
 व्यपैति गौरवं यत्र विनाशस्त्याग एव वा ।  
 कालं तत्र न कुर्वीत कार्यमात्ययिकं हि तत् ॥ १४९ ॥  
 धेनाघनडुहि क्षेत्रे स्त्रीषु प्रजनने तथा ।  
 न्यासे याचितने दत्ते नथैव कथविप्रये ॥ १५० ॥  
 कन्याया दूषणे स्तेपे कलहे साहसे निर्धौ ।  
 उपधौ कौटसाक्षे च सद्य एव विवादयेत् ॥ १५१ ॥  
 साहसस्तेयपारुष्यगोभिशापे तथात्यये ।  
 भूमौ विवादयेत् क्षिप्रमकालेपि बृहस्पतिः ॥ १५२ ॥  
 सद्यः कृतेषु कार्येषु सद्य एव विवादयेत् ।  
 कालार्ततेषु वा कालं दद्यात्प्रत्यर्थिने प्रभुः ॥ १५३ ॥  
 सद्यः कृते सद्य एव मासार्तते दिनं भवेत् ।  
 पञ्चादिके विरात्रे स्यात्सप्ताहं द्वादशादिके ॥ १५४ ॥  
 विंशत्यने दशाहं तु मासार्धं वा लभेत सः ।  
 मासं त्रिंशत्सप्तमार्तते त्रिषष्टं परतो भवेत् ॥ १५५ ॥  
 कालं संवत्सरादर्थ्यान् स्वयमेव यथेप्सितम् ।  
 संवत्सरं जडोन्मत्तमनस्के व्याधिपीडिते ॥ १५६ ॥

148 अपराङ्क p. 619, स्मृतिच. III p. 93, वीर. p. 138

149 स्मृतिच. III p. 93, अपराङ्क p. 619, वीर. p. 140.

150 अपराङ्क p. 619, स्मृतिच. III p. 94, पर. मा. III p. 71, वीर. p. 140.

151 अपराङ्क p. 620, स्मृतिच. III p. 94, पर. मा. III p. 72, वीर. p. 140.

152 वीर. p. 140 (ascribes both to बृहस्पति and काश्यायन), पर. मा. III p. 71 (ascribes it to बृहस्पति)

153 स्मृतिच. III p. 94, अपराङ्क p. 619, वीर. p. 138 (reads सद्य कृतेषु कार्येषु), व्यवहारतत्त्व p. 205.

154 अपराङ्क p. 619, स्मृतिच. III p. 95, \*व. मा. p. 298, वीर. p. 138.  
 \*व. मा. reads सद्य-कृते सद्यैवात्र-समर्पति पर. मा. III p. 70  
 ascribes this and the following two verses to बृहस्पति

155 अपराङ्क p. 619, स्मृतिच. III p. 95 \*व. मा. p. 298, वीर. p. 138.  
 अपराङ्क reads विरात्रे for विराट्\*

156 स्मृतिच. III p. 95, पर. मा. III p. 70 (latter half), अपराङ्क p. 619 (has only first half as पञ्चाहं-नक्षत्र).



दिगन्तरप्रपन्ने वा अज्ञातार्थे च यस्तुनि ।  
 मूलं वा साक्षिणो वाऽप्यपदेशे स्थिता यदा ॥ १५७ ॥  
 तत्र कालो भवेत्पुंसामा स्वदेशसमागमात् ।  
 दक्षेपि काले देय स्यात्पुनः कार्यस्य गौरवात् ॥ १५८ ॥  
 पूर्वपक्षधुतार्थस्तु प्रत्यर्थी तदनन्तरम् ।  
 पूर्वपक्षार्थसंबन्धं प्रतिपक्ष निवेदयेत् ॥ १५९ ॥  
 आचारद्वयदानेष्टृत्वोपस्थाननिर्णये ।  
 नोपस्थितो यदा कश्चिच्छले तत्र न कारयेत् ॥ १६० ॥  
 दैवराजकृतो दोषस्तस्मिन्काले यदा भवेत् ।  
 अवाधत्यागमाद्येण न भवेत्स पराजितः ॥ १६१ ॥  
 दैवराजकृतं दोषं साक्षिणि प्रतिपादयेत् ।  
 जैह्वेन वर्तमानस्य दण्डो दाप्यस्तु तदनन्तरम् ॥ १६२ ॥  
 अभियुक्तोभियोक्तारमभियुक्तात् कर्हिचित् ।  
 अन्यत्र दण्डपाप्यस्तोयसग्रहणात्पयात् ॥ १६३ ॥  
 याधान्यस्मिन्समाचारं पारंपर्यमागतं ।  
 तं प्रतीक्ष्य यथान्यायमुत्तरं दापयेन्नृप ॥ १६४ ॥  
 (चतुर्विंशतमः)  
 सत्यं मिथ्योत्तरं चैव प्रत्यवस्कन्दनं तथा ।  
 पूर्वन्यायविधिश्चैवमुत्तरं स्याच्छतुर्विधम् ॥ १६५ ॥  
 धृत्या भाषार्थमन्यस्तु यदा तं प्रतिषेधति ।  
 अर्पतं शब्दतो वापि मिथ्या तज्ज्ञेयमुत्तरम् ॥ १६६ ॥

157 स्मृतिच. III p 95 परा मा III p 70, अपराधं p 619

158 स्मृतिच. III (first half), परा मा III p 71

159 अथ मा p 299 (ascribes to कालायन and नारद)

160-62 अथ मा p 299

163 अथ मा p 299

164 अपराधं p 619 स्मृतिच. III p 96, त वि p 92 अपराधं reads  
 यो मा यस्मिन् and परीश्य for प्रतीक्ष्य

165 मिता (on या II 7) अथ मा p 299, वीर. p 74

166 अपराधं p 612 अथ मा p 301, वीर. p 76, अवरह/तत्त्व p 207  
 अथ मा reads यदि for यदा

अभियुक्तोभियोगस्य यदि कुर्यात्तु निद्वयम् ।  
 मिथ्या तत्तु धिजानीयादुत्तरं व्यवहारतः ॥ १६७ ॥  
 साध्यस्य सत्यवचनं प्रतिपत्तिरुदाहृता ॥ १६८ ॥  
 मिथ्यैतन्नाभिजानामि तदा तत्र न संनिधिः ।  
 अज्ञातश्चास्मि तत्काल इति मिथ्या चतुर्विधम् ॥ १६९ ॥  
 योर्थेनार्थः समुद्दिष्टः प्रत्यर्थी यदि तं तथा ।  
 प्रपञ्च कारणं व्यादाधर्मे गुरुत्ववीत् ॥ १७० ॥  
 आचारेणावसन्नोपि पुनर्लैख्यते यदि ।  
 सोभिधेयो जितः पूर्वं प्रादन्यायस्तु स उच्यते ॥ १७१ ॥  
 विभावयामि कुलिकैः साक्षिभिर्लिखितेन वा ।  
 जितश्चैव भयायं प्राक्प्रादन्यायस्त्रिप्रकारकः ॥ १७२ ॥

[ उक्तप्रामाण्य उत्तरदोषा वा ]

अप्रसिद्धं विरुद्धं यदल्पमतिभूरि च ।  
 संदिग्धाखंभवाव्यक्तमन्यार्थं चातिदोषवत् ॥ १७३ ॥  
 अव्यापकं व्यस्तपदं निगूढार्थं तथाकुलम् ।  
 व्याख्यागम्यमसारं च नोत्तरं शस्यते बुधैः ॥ १७४ ॥

- 167 मिता० ( on या. II. 7 ), अ. मा. p. 301, स्मृतिच० III p. 97, वीर० p. 75, स. वि. p. 92 मिता० and स. वि. read कुर्वाणवत् ।  
 168 मिता० on या. II. 7, स्मृतिच० III p. 97, स. वि. p. 92, स्मृतिच० reads तथ्यवचन. अ. मा. p. 300 attributes this to व्यास.  
 169 मिता० ( on या. II. 7 ), अपराकं p. 612, स्मृतिच० III p. 98, स. वि. p. 92. अपराकं reads तदा मे नृदसंनिधि.  
 170 अ. मा. p. 307, टोडरानन्द, वीर० p. 78, वीर० reads अर्थिनाभिहितो योर्थः and आपर्ष्य मयुरवकीत्, टोडरानन्द reads आपर्ष्य मयुरवकीत्, अ. मा. reads अधिनाभिहितो योर्थः and reads last line as प्रसन्नवदन् हि तत् and ascribes it to बृहस्पति.  
 171 मिता० ( on या. II. 7 ), वीर० p. 82 ( refers to both कात्या० and नृद० ).  
 172 स्मृतिच० III p. 98, वीर० p. 82.  
 173 अपराकं p. 614, स्मृतिच० III p. 99, परा. मा. III. p. 73, वीर० p. 84.  
 174 स्मृतिच० p. 99, व्यवहारतस्व p. 207 reads अस्तस्यस्तपदस्यापि निगूढार्थं and says that अनेके in his व्यवहारतस्व explained अस्तस्यस्तपदस्यापि as अनादिपदार्थपदार्थम्.

यद्व्यस्तपदमन्यापि निगूढार्थं तथाकुलम् ।  
 व्याख्यागम्यमसारं च नोत्तरं स्वार्थसिद्धये ॥ १७५ ॥  
 विद्वाकारसहस्रं तु समग्रं चाविज्ञानता ।  
 भाषान्तरेण वा प्रोक्तमप्रसिद्धं तदुत्तरम् ॥ १७६ ॥  
 प्रतिदत्तं मया बाल्ये प्रतिदत्तं मया नहि ।  
 यदेवमाह विश्वेयं विरुद्धं तद्विज्ञानरम् ॥ १७७ ॥  
 जितः पुरा मयाय च त्वर्थेस्मिन्निति भाषितुम् ।  
 पुरा मयायमिति यत्तदून चोत्तरं स्मृतम् ॥ १७८ ॥  
 गृहीतमिति चाप्ये तु कार्यं तेन कृतं मया ।  
 पुरा गृहीतं यद्व्यस्तमिति यथातिभूरि तत् ॥ १७९ ॥  
 देयं मयेति घक्तव्ये मयादेयमितीदृशम् ।  
 संदिग्धमुत्तरं ज्ञेयं व्यवहारे दुर्ध्वस्तदा ॥ १८० ॥  
 बलायेलन चैतेन साहसं स्थापितं पुरा ।  
 अनुक्रमेणान्वयन्ते तद्व्यस्तमितिर्भाषितम् ॥ १८१ ॥  
 अस्मै दत्तं मया सार्धं सहस्रमिति भाषिते ।  
 प्रतिदत्तं तदर्थं यत्तद्विहाशपत्रं स्मृतम् ॥ १८२ ॥  
 पूर्ववादी क्रियां यावत्सम्बन्धेनैव निवेशयेत् ।  
 मया गृहीतं पूर्वं नो तद्व्यस्तपदमुच्यते ॥ १८३ ॥  
 तत्किं सामरस्यं कश्चिद्गृहीतं प्रदास्यति ।  
 निगूढार्थं तु तत्प्रोक्तमुत्तरं व्यवहारतः ॥ १८४ ॥

- 
- 175 अपराधं p 614, परा मा III p 73, व्य मा p 303 वीर० p 84  
 मित० ( on या II 7 ) cit's as स्मृतम्  
 176 177 स्मृतिच० III p 99, परा मा III p 73, वीर० p 84  
 178 स्मृतिच० III p 99 परा मा III p 74, वीर० p 84 परा मा reads  
 भाषित and वीर० reads विवक्षित  
 179 स्मृतिच० III p 100 परा मा III p 74, वीर० p 84 परा मा  
 reads इति चेदपि  
 180 स्मृतिच० III p 100, परा मा III p 74, वीर० p 84  
 181 स्मृतिच० III p 100, परा मा III p 74, वीर० p 85  
 182 स्मृतिच० III p 101 परा मा III p 74  
 183 स्मृतिच० III p 101, परा मा p 74  
 184 स्मृतिच० III p 101 परा मा p 74 (which reads किञ्चिद्गृहीत),  
 म ति p 94 (which reads अगृहीत न दास्यति)

किं तेनैव सदा देयं मया देयं भवेदिति ।  
 एतदाकुलमित्युक्तमुत्तरं तद्विदो विदुः ॥ १८५ ॥  
 काकस्थं दन्ता नो सन्ति सन्तीत्यादि यदुत्तरम् ।  
 असारमिति तच्चेन सम्यग्ज्ञोत्तरमिष्यते ॥ १८६ ॥  
 प्रस्तुतादस्पनव्यक्तं न्यूनाधिकमसङ्गतम् ।  
 अध्याप्यसारं संदिग्धं प्रतिपक्षं न लब्धयेत् ॥ १८७ ॥  
 संदिग्धमन्यत्रप्रवृत्तादत्यल्पमतिभूरि च ।  
 पक्षैकदेशव्याप्येव तत्तु नैवोत्तरं भवेत् ॥ १८८ ॥  
 पक्षैकदेशे यत्सत्यमेकदेशे च कारणम् ।  
 मिथ्या चैकैकदेशे च सङ्करात्तदनुत्तरम् ॥ १८९ ॥  
 न चैकस्मिन्निवादे तु क्रिया स्याद्वादिनोर्द्वयोः ।  
 न चार्थसिद्धिरभयोर्न चैकत्र क्रियाद्वयम् ॥ १९० ॥

( वाददानिकराणि )

प्रपद्य कारणं पूर्वमन्यद्गुरुतरं यदि ।  
 प्रतिवाक्यगतं मूयात्साध्यते तदि नेतरत् ॥ १९१ ॥  
 यथार्थमुत्तरं दद्यादयच्छन्तं च दापयेत् ।  
 सामभेदादिभिर्भार्ययापत्तोर्य समुत्थित ॥ १९२ ॥  
 मोहादा यदि वा शाठ्याद्यन्नेक पूर्ववादिना ।  
 उत्तरान्तर्गतं चापि तद्ग्राह्यमुभयोरपि ॥ १९३ ॥

185 स्मृतिच. III p 101, परा मा III p 75

186 स्मृतिच. III p 101, परा मा III p 75, वीर. p 84 ( which reads तच्चेन सम नोत्तरमिष्यते )

187 अय मा p 303

188 अपराकं p 614, अय मा p 303, मिता. ( on वा II 7 ) cites as स्थूलतर

189 मिता. ( on वा II 7 ) अपराकं 613, अय मा p 303 स्मृतिच. III p 101, वीर. p 85, परा मा III p 77

190 अय मा p 297, मिता. ( on वा II 7 ), अपराकं p 613, वीर. p 85, परा मा III p 77, स्मृतिच. III p 102

191 अपराकं p 614 अय मा p 307, स्मृतिच. III p 110 अय. मा. reads प्रतिवाक्ये गति

192 अपराकं p 615, वीर. p 74 ( has only first half ).

193 अपराकं p 615, स्मृतिच. III p 91, वीर. p 74.

यद्द्रव्यस्तपदमन्यापि निगूढार्थं तथाकुलम् ।  
 व्याख्यागम्यमसारं च नोत्तरं स्वार्थसिद्धये ॥ १७५ ॥  
 चिदाकारसदृशं तु समयं चाविज्ञानता ।  
 भाषान्तरेण वा प्रोक्तमप्रसिद्धं तदुत्तरम् ॥ १७६ ॥  
 प्रतिदत्तं मया वाच्ये प्रतिदत्तं मया नहि ।  
 यदेवमाह विष्णवे विरुद्धं तदिहोत्तरम् ॥ १७७ ॥  
 जितः पुरा मयाय च त्वयैस्मिन्निति भाषितुम् ।  
 पुरा मयायमिति यत्तदूनं चोत्तरं स्मृतम् ॥ १७८ ॥  
 गृहीतमिति वाच्ये तु कार्यं तेन कृतं मया ।  
 पुरा गृहीतं यद्द्रव्यमिति यच्चातिभूरि तत् ॥ १७९ ॥  
 देयं मयेति धक्तव्ये मयावेयमितीदृशम् ।  
 संदिग्धमुत्तरं ज्ञेयं व्यपहारे युधैस्तदा ॥ १८० ॥  
 यलापलेन चैतेन सादृशं स्थापितं पुरा ।  
 अनुक्रमेत्तन्मन्वन्ते तदन्वार्थमितीरितम् ॥ १८१ ॥  
 अस्मै दत्तं मया सार्धं सदस्यमिति भाषिते ।  
 प्रतिदत्तं तदर्थं यत्तदिहाव्यापकं स्मृतम् ॥ १८२ ॥  
 पूर्वयात्री क्रियां यावत्सम्यग्जनैव निवेशयेत् ।  
 मया गृहीतं पूर्वं नो तद्द्रव्यस्तपदमुच्यते ॥ १८३ ॥  
 तत्किं तामरसं काञ्चिद्गृहीतं प्रदास्यति ।  
 निगूढार्थं तु तत्प्रोक्तमुत्तरे व्यपहारतः ॥ १८४ ॥

- 175 अपरांक p 614, परा मा III p 73, व्य मा p 303, बीर० p 84  
 धिता० ( on मा II 7 ) cit'ed as स्मृतम्  
 176 177 स्मृतिच० III p 99, परा मा III p 73, बीर० p 84  
 178 स्मृतिच० III p 99 परा मा III p 74 बीर० p 84 परा मा reads  
 भाषित and बीर० reads विवक्षिते  
 179 स्मृतिच० III p 100 परा मा III p 74, बीर० p 84 परा मा  
 reads हानि चेद्द्विक  
 180 स्मृतिच० III p 100, परा मा III p 74, बीर० p 84  
 181 स्मृतिच० III p 100, परा मा III p 74, बीर० p 85  
 182 स्मृतिच० III p 101 परा मा III p 74  
 183 स्मृतिच० III p 101 परा मा p 74  
 184 स्मृतिच० III p 101 परा मा p 74 (which reads निविदगृहीत),  
 रा रि p. 94 (which reads अगृहीत न दास्यति)

किं तेनैव सदा देयं मया देयं भवेदिति ।  
 एतदाकुलमित्युक्तमुत्तरं तद्विदो विदुः ॥ १८५ ॥  
 काकस्य दन्ता नो सन्ति सन्तीत्यादि यदुत्तरम् ।  
 असारमिति तत्त्वेन सम्यग्ज्ञोत्तरमिष्यते ॥ १८६ ॥  
 प्रस्तुतादल्पमव्यक्तं न्यूनाधिकमसङ्गतम् ।  
 अव्याप्यस्तारं संदिग्धं प्रतिपक्षं न लङ्घयेत् ॥ १८७ ॥  
 संदिग्धमन्यत्रकृतादित्यल्पमतिभूरि च ।  
 पक्षैकदेशव्याप्येष तत्तु नैषोत्तरं भवेत् ॥ १८८ ॥  
 पक्षैकदेशे यत्सत्यमेकदेशे च कारणम् ।  
 मिथ्या चैवैकदेशे च सङ्गरात्तदनुत्तरम् ॥ १८९ ॥  
 न चैकसिन्धवादे तु क्रिया स्याद्वादिनोर्द्ध्वयोः ।  
 न चार्थसिद्धिरुभयोर्न चैकत्र क्रियाद्वयम् ॥ १९० ॥

( वादह्निकराणि )

प्रपञ्च कारणं पूर्वमन्यद्गुरुतरं यदि ।  
 प्रतिपाक्यगतं ध्रुवास्ताध्यते तद्धि नेतरत् ॥ १९१ ॥  
 यथार्थमुत्तरं दद्यादयच्छन्तं च दापयेत् ।  
 सामभेदादिभिर्मार्गैर्वापत्सोर्थः समुत्थितः ॥ १९२ ॥  
 मोहाद्वा यदि वा गाल्याद्यजोक्तं पूर्ववादिना ।  
 उत्तरान्तर्गतं चापि तद्ग्राह्यमुभयोरपि ॥ १९३ ॥

185 स्मृतिच० III p. 101, परा. मा III p 75

186 स्मृतिच० III p. 101, परा. मा III p. 75, वीर० p. 84 ( which reads तत्त्वेन सन्नं नीतरमिष्यते ).

187 व्य. मा. p 303.

188 अपराकं p. 614, व्य. मा p 303, मिता० ( on या II. 7 ) cites as स्थयन्तर.

189 मिता० ( on या. II. 7 ), अपराकं. 613, व्य. मा. p. 303, स्मृतिच० III p. 101, वीर० p. 85, परा. मा. III. p 77

190 व्य. मा. p. 297, मिता० ( on या II 7 ), अपराकं p. 613, वीर० p. 85, परा. मा. III p. 77, स्मृतिच० III p. 102.

191 अपराकं p. 614, व्य. मा p 307, स्मृतिच० III p 110, व्य. मा. reads प्रतिवाम्ये गति.

192 अपराकं p. 615, वीर० p. 74 ( has only first half ).

193 अपराकं p. 615, स्मृतिच० III p. 91, वीर० p. 74.

उपायैश्चोद्यमानस्तु न दद्यादुत्तरं तु यः ।  
 अतिक्रान्ते सप्तरात्रे जितोसौ वातुमर्हति ॥ १९४ ॥  
 श्रावयित्वा यथाकार्यं त्यजेदन्यद्वेदसौ ।  
 अन्यपक्षाश्रयस्तेन कृतो वादी स हीयते ॥ १९५ ॥  
 न मयाभिहितं कार्यमभियुज्य परं वदेत् ।  
 विवृषश्च भवेदेवं हीनं तमपि निर्दिशेत् ॥ १९६ ॥  
 लेखयित्वा तु यो वाक्यं हीनं वाप्यधिकं पुनः ।  
 वदेद्वादी स हीयते नाभियोगं तु सोर्हति ॥ १९७ ॥  
 सभ्याश्च साक्षिणश्चैव क्रिया क्षेत्रा मनीषिभिः ।  
 तां क्रियां द्वेष्टि यो मोहात्क्रियाद्वेषी स उच्यते ॥ १९८ ॥  
 आह्वानादनुपस्थानात्सद्य एव प्रहीयते ॥ १९९ ॥  
 ब्रह्मभ्युक्तोपि न ब्रूयात्सद्यो घन्यनमर्हति ।  
 द्वितीयेहनि दुर्गन्धेर्विघातस्य पराजयम् ॥ २०० ॥  
 व्याजेनैव तु यत्रासौ दीर्घकालमभीप्सति ।  
 सापदेशं तु तद् विघादादहानिकरं स्मृतम् ॥ २०१ ॥  
 गन्धवादी पणान्पञ्च क्रियाद्वेषी पणान्दश ।  
 नोपस्थाता दश द्वौ च षोडशैव निरुत्तरः ।  
 आहूतप्रपलायी च पणान्माहस्तु विंशतिम् ॥ २०२ ॥

194 परा. मा III p 81, स्मृतिच० III p 105

195 अपराकं p 622, स्मृतिच० III p 106, वीर० p 98, स वि p 102.

196 अपराकं p 622, स्मृतिच० III p 106 वीर० p 98, स वि p 102

197 अपराकं p 622, स्मृतिच० III p 106, परा. मा III p 81, वीर० p 98, स वि p 102

198 स्मृतिच० III p 106, परा. मा III p 82, वीर० p 98, स वि p 102

199 परा. मा III p 82, वीर० p 98 स्मृतिच० III p 107, स. वि p 102

200 स्मृतिच० III p 107, परा मा III 82 वीर p 98 ( roads सत्यस्त-  
दनमर्हति ), स वि. p 102

201 अपराकं p 622 स्मृतिच० III p 107, वीर० p 98 ( roads-कालमति  
ब्रूयेत् )

202 स्मृतिच० III p 107, परा मा III p 83 वीर० p 99, स वि 103  
स्मृतिच० roads आहूतप्रपलायी and न वि reads आहूतप्रपलायी (f)

विराहतमनायान्तमाहृतप्रपलायिनम् ।  
 पञ्चरात्रमातिक्रान्तं विनयेत्तं महीपति ॥ २०३ ॥  
 ध्यायितव्यवहाराणामेकं यत्र प्रमेदयेत् ।  
 वादिनं लोभयेद्येव हीनं तमिति निर्दिशेत् ॥ २०४ ॥  
 भयं करोति भेदं वा भीषणं वा निरोधनम् ।  
 एतानि वादिनोर्थस्य व्यवहारे स हीयते ॥ २०५ ॥  
 दोषातुरूपं संप्राप्तं पुनर्वाचो न विद्यते ।  
 उभयोर्लिखिते वाच्ये प्रारब्धे कार्यनिश्चये ।  
 अयुक्तं तत्र यो मृषान्तस्मादर्थोत्सं हीयते ॥ २०६ ॥  
 साक्षिणो यस्तु निर्दिश्य कामतो न विवादयेत् ।  
 स वादी हीयते तस्मान् शिशद्रात्रात्परेण तु ॥ २०७ ॥  
 पलायनानुत्तरत्वादप्यपक्षधयेण च ।  
 हीनस्य गृह्यते वादो न स्ववाक्यजितस्य तु ॥ २०८ ॥  
 यो हीनवाक्येन जितस्तस्योद्धारं विदुर्बुधाः ।  
 स्ववाक्यहीनो यस्तु स्यात्तस्योद्धारो न विद्यते ॥ २०९ ॥  
 आपेक्षं प्रगृहीतार्थां प्रशमयान्ति ये मिथः  
 सर्वे द्विगुणदण्ड्याः स्युः विप्रलम्भाद्युपस्य ते ॥ २१० ॥

203 स्मृतिच० III p 103 परा मा p 83, वीर० p 99

204 स्मृतिच० III p 108, वीर० p 99 (reads तमपि for तमिति), स वि  
 p 103 ( reads तमपि )

205 स वि p 103

206 अपरार्क p 615 (reads अतुल for अयुक्त) परा मा III pp 83 84,  
 वीर० p 74 स्मृतिच० III p 109 परा मा and स्मृतिच० read वाक्ये  
 for वाच्ये

207 अपरार्क p 622, स्मृतिच० III p 110

208 अय मा p 310, वीर० p 103 स्मृतिच० III p 111 अय मा  
 ascribes it to बृहस्पति

209 स्मृतिच० III p 111 वीर० p 103 (reads हीननिहेन)

210 स्मृतिच० III p 112 परा मा III l 84 वीर० p 104 परा मा  
 and वीर० read प्रवृत्तार्थां



( क्रियापादः )

कारणात्पूर्वपक्षोपि ह्युत्तरत्वं प्रपद्यते ।

अतः क्रिया तदा प्रोक्ता पूर्वपक्षप्रसाधिनी ॥ २११ ॥

शोधिते लिखिते सम्प्रागिति निर्दोष उत्तरे ।

प्रत्यर्थिनोर्धिनी चापि क्रियाकरणमिष्यते ॥ २१२ ॥

वादिना यदभिप्रेतं स्वयं साधयितुं स्फुटम् ।

तत्साध्यं साधनं येन तत्साध्यं साध्यतेऽपिलम् ॥ २१३ ॥

( प्रमाणानि, तेषां च बलबलद्विविचारः )

लिखितं साक्षिणो भुक्तिः प्रमाणं त्रिविधं विदुः ।

लेशोद्देशस्तु युक्तिः स्यादिव्यानीह विषादयः ॥ २१४ ॥

पूर्वपादेमि लिखिते यथाक्षरमशेषतः ।

अर्थो तृतीयपादे तु क्रियया प्रतिपादयेत् ॥ २१५ ॥

कार्यं हि साध्यमित्युक्तं साधनं तु क्रियेऽप्यते ।

द्विमेदा सा पुनर्हया दैविकी मानुषी तथा ।

मानुषी लेख्यसाक्ष्यादिर्ब्रह्मादिर्दैविकी मता ॥ २१६ ॥

संभवे साक्षिणां प्राप्ते दैविकीं वर्जयेत्क्रियाम् ।

संभवे तु प्रयुञ्जानो दैविकीं हीयते ततः ॥ २१७ ॥

यद्येको मानुषीं द्रयादन्यो व्याप्तुं दैविकीम् ।

मानुषीं तत्र गृहीयाद्वा तु दैवीं क्रियां नृपः ॥ २१८ ॥

211 अपराकं p. 624, परा. मा. III p. 87, बीर० p. 79.

212 स्मृतिष० III p 118, बीर० p. 92, स. वि. p. 105 ( reads क्रिया  
कारणमिष्यते )

213 स्व. मा. p. 334, बीर० p. 107.

214 बीर० p 110, परा. मा. III. p. 91 ( reads साक्षिणो लिखितं भुक्तिः and  
लितोद्देशस्तु युक्तिः ).

215 बीर० p 111.

216 अपराकं p 616.

217 स्मृतिष III p 116

218 मिता ( on या. II 22 ), स्व. मा. p 315, अपराकं p. 628, स्मृतिष०  
III. p. 116, परा. मा III 87, बीर० p. 111.

यद्येकदेशश्चापि क्रिया विद्येत मानुषी ।  
 सा ग्राह्या न तु पूर्णोपि दैविकी चदतां नृणाम् ॥२१९॥  
 पञ्चप्रकारं दैवं स्यान्मानुषं त्रिविधं स्मृतम् ॥ २२० ॥  
 क्रियां बलवतीं मुक्त्वा दुर्बलां योबलम्वते ।  
 स जयेचधृते सभ्ये पुनस्तां नाप्नुयात् क्रियाम् ॥२२१॥  
 सारभूतं पदं मुक्त्वा असारणि वह्न्यपि ।  
 संसाधयेत्क्रिया या तु तां जह्यात्सारवर्जिताम् ॥  
 पक्षद्वयं साधयेन्ना तां जह्याद्दूरतः क्रियाम् ॥ २२२ ॥  
 क्रिया न दैविकी प्रोक्ता विद्यमानेषु साक्षिषु ।  
 लेख्ये च सति घादेषु न दिव्यं न च साक्षिण ॥२२३॥  
 कालेन हीयते लेख्यं दूयितं न्यायतस्तथा ।  
 अलेख्यसाक्षिके देशं व्यवहारे विनिर्दिशेत् ।  
 दैवसाध्ये पौरुषेयी न लेख्यं वा प्रयोजयेत् ॥ २२४ ॥  
 भूगर्भेणगणादीनां या स्थितिः परिकीर्तिता ।  
 तस्यास्तु साधनं लेख्यं न दिव्यं न च साक्षिणः ॥२२५॥  
 द्वारमार्गक्रियाभोगजलवाहादिके तथा ।  
 मुक्तिरेव हि गुर्वी स्यान्न लेख्यं न च साक्षिणः ॥२२६॥  
 दत्तादत्तेष्वभृत्यानां स्वामिना निर्णये सति ।  
 विक्रयादानसंबन्धे जीत्वा धनमयच्छति ॥ २२७ ॥

- 219 मिता (on या II 22), व्य मा p 311, स्मृतिच. III p 116,  
 परा मा III p 88, वीर० p. 111, अपरार्क reads प्राप्तापि for व्याप्तापि  
 and न्याय्या for ग्राह्या -य मा and वीर० also read प्राप्तापि
- 220 स्मृतिच. III p 124
- 221 मिता० (on या II. 80), -य मा pp 281, 300, 336, वीर० p. 108
- 222 स्मृतिच० III p 116 ( has first two lines ), वीर० p 108
- 223 -य मा pp 306, 308, 315, अपरार्क p 690 (which reads देवा for  
 श्रेष्ठ), परा मा III p 88 ( which reads क्रिया तु...प्राप्ता ).
- 224 -य मा p 340 (first line), स्मृतिच० III. p 149 ( has only the  
 half अलेख्य० ) वीर० p 113 114 (has last two lines)
- 225-228 स्मृतिच० III p 122, मिता० (on या II 22 without  
 name ), परा मा III pp 88-89, अपरार्क p 629, वीर० p 112

धृते समाह्वये चैव विवादे समुपस्थिते ।  
 साक्षिणः साधनं प्रोक्तं न दिव्यं न च लेख्यकम् ॥२२८॥  
 प्रक्रान्ते साहसे वादे पाठ्ये दण्डवाचिके ।  
 बलोद्धृतेषु कार्येषु साक्षिणा दिव्यमेव वा ॥ २२९ ॥  
 गृहसाहसिकानां तु प्राप्त दिव्यैः परीक्षणम् ।  
 युक्तिचिह्नैश्चिताकारवाक्यधुक्त्रैरुपैतैर्नृणाम् ॥ २३० ॥  
 उच्यते च सर्वेषु साहसेषु विचारयेत् ।  
 सद्भावं दिव्यदृष्टेन सत्तु साक्षिषु वै मृगु ॥ २३१ ॥  
 समत्वं साक्षिणां यत्र दिव्यैस्तत्रापि शोधयेत् ।  
 प्राणान्तिकविवादेषु विद्यमानेषु साक्षिषु ।  
 दिव्यमालम्ब्यते यादी न पृच्छेत्तत्र साक्षिण ॥ २३२ ॥  
 ऋणे लेख्यं साक्षिणो वा युक्तिलेशादप्येव वा ।  
 दैधिकी वा क्रिया प्रोक्ता प्रजानां हितकाम्यया ॥२३३॥  
 चोदना प्रतिफलं च युक्तिलेशस्तथैव च ।  
 तृतीयः शपथः प्रोक्तः तैर्ऋणं साधयेत्क्रमात् ॥ २३४ ॥  
 अमीशं चोद्यमानेषां प्रतिहन्यान् तद्वचः ॥  
 त्रिः चतुः पञ्च हृत्ये वा परतोऽयं समाचरेत् ॥ २३५ ॥  
 चोदनाप्रतिधाने तु युक्तिलेशे समान्वियात् ।  
 देशकालार्थ-संबन्ध परिमाण क्रियाविधि ॥ २३६ ॥

मिता• reads न दि०य for न लेख्य and जलव हादिपुकिवा, परा मा reads  
 ह्यभिना for ह्यभिना, अपराक reads दत्तादत्त तथादत्ते वीर• reads  
 दत्तादत्तेषु and रक्षयिनां and वाह्यदिषु क्रिया

229 अपराक p 629, स्मृतिच• III p 117 ( which reads बलोद्धवेषु ),  
 मिता• on या II 22 ( दिव्यमत्र च ) परा मा III p 91, व वि p  
 107 ( reads बलोद्धवेषु )

230 स्मृतिच• III p 117, वीर• p 113 ( युक्तिचिह्नैश्चिता• )

231 स्मृतिच• III p 117, परा मा III p 90 ( reads सर्वं तु दिव्य• )

232 व्य. मा p. 310 अपराक p 620, स्मृतिच• III p 121, परा मा III  
 p 90, वीर• p 114 १५ वा reads तत्र विरोधश्चेत् and दिव्यमत्र  
 यत्र स्मृतिच• reads प्रणयन्तकारश्च हे वा,

233 स्मृतिच• III p 118, परा मा III p 91 ( reads युक्तिलेशादप्येव  
 वा ) व वि p 107

234 237 परा मा III p 91 ( reads युक्तिमस्तथैव and युक्तिलेशे, शपथे

युक्तिष्वप्युत्तमर्थात् शपथरेव निर्णयेत् ।  
 अर्थकालदलापेक्षैरन्यम्युक्तुहतादिभिः ॥ २३७ ॥  
 यत्र स्यात्सोपधं लेख्यं तद्वाहः धावितं यदि ।  
 दिव्येन शोधयेत्तत्र राजा धर्मासनस्थितः ॥ २३८ ॥  
 वाक्पारुष्ये च भूमौ च दिव्यं न परिकल्पयेत् ॥ २३९ ॥  
 स्थावरेषु विवादेषु दिव्यानि परिधारयेत् ।  
 साक्षिभिरलिखितेनार्थे(र्थे) मुक्त्या चैव प्रसाधयेत् ॥ २४० ॥  
 प्रमाणैर्हेतुना वापि दिव्येनैव तु निश्चयम् ।  
 सर्वेभ्येव विवादेषु सदा कुर्यान्नराधिपः ॥ २४१ ॥  
 लिखितं साक्षिणो मुक्तिः प्रमाणं विविधं स्मृतम् ।  
 अनुमानं विदुर्हेतुं तर्कं चैव मनीषिणः ॥ २४२ ॥  
 पूर्वाभागे परेणैव नाम्यथैव कदाचन ।  
 प्रमाणैर्यादिनिर्दिष्टैर्भुक्त्या लिखितसाक्षिभिः ॥ २४३ ॥  
 न कश्चिदभियोक्तारं दिव्येषु विनियोजयेत् ।  
 अभियुक्ताय दातव्यं दिव्यं दिव्यविशारदैः ॥ २४४ ॥  
 मिथ्योक्तौ स चतुष्पात्स्यात्प्रत्ययस्कन्दने तथा ।  
 प्राङ्मन्याये स च विज्ञेयो द्विपात्संप्रतिपत्तिषु ॥ २४५ ॥

न यदयेव, बलापेक्षं). स्मृतिच० (III pp. 117-118) appears to attribute these four to नारद.

238 अपरार्क p 630, स्मृतिच० III p. 122, बीर० p 115 ( reads वहे )  
 परा. मा. III p. 90 ( यशस्वधावितं )

239 अपरार्क p. 629, स्मृतिच० III p. 121.

240 व्य. मा. p. 308.

241 अपरार्क p 628, स्मृतिच० III p 118, व्य. मा. p 314, स. वि. p. 107 ( reads सर्वेभ्यर्विविधेषु )

242 स वि p. 107, बीर० p 115 ( ascribes it to व्यास )

243 पर. मा. p. 314, स्मृतिच० III p. 118 ( first half ), अपरार्क p 628 ( first half ). स्मृतिच० reads सर्वभागे तु यत्नेन and अपरार्क reads तु यत्नेन for परेणैव.

244 पर. मा. III p. 152, बीर० p. 115.

245 स्मृतिच० III p. 120, स. वि. p. 108.

पराजयश्च द्विपिधः परोक्तः श्रुतः एव च ।  
 परोक्तः स्याद्दशविधः श्रुतः पञ्चविधः स्मृतः ॥ २४६ ॥  
 यियादान्तरसंभ्रान्तिः पूर्वोक्तगिरुद्धता ।  
 दूषणं स्वक्रियोपपत्तेः परयापयोपपादनम् ॥ २४७ ॥  
 अनिर्देशश्च देशस्य निर्देशोद्देशकालयोः ।  
 साक्षिणामुपजापश्च विद्वेषो वचनस्य च ॥  
 अयुक्तदेशोपनयः साक्षिप्रश्ननिराक्रिया ॥ २४८ ॥  
 ( लेख्यम् )

लेख्यं तु द्विपिधं प्रोक्तं स्वदस्त्वन्यद्वृत्तं तथा ।  
 असाक्षिमतसाक्षिमद्य मिद्विर्देशस्थितेस्तयोः ॥ २४९ ॥  
 प्राद्वेषेण स्वदस्तेन लिखितं साक्षिवर्जितम् ।  
 स्वदस्तेलेख्यं विमेषं प्रमाणं तत्स्मृतं युधं ॥ २५० ॥  
 उत्पत्तिजातिसंज्ञां च धनसम्पत्तां च लेखयेत् ।  
 स्मरत्येवं प्रयुक्तस्य नश्येदर्थस्यलेखितः ॥ २५१ ॥  
 लेख्यं तु साक्षिमतकार्यमविलुताक्षरम् ।  
 देशाद्यावस्थितियुतं समग्रं सर्ववस्तु ॥ २५२ ॥  
 वर्णपाकयत्रियापुत्रमसंदिग्धं स्पृष्टाक्षरम् ।  
 भर्तृनरमचिदं च लेख्यं तत्तिस्मिन्माप्नुयात् ॥ २५३ ॥  
 यातुर्विषयपुरभेषीगणपौरादिकस्थितिः ।  
 मानिष्यार्थं तु यादव्यं तद्व्योदस्थितिपत्रकम् ॥ २५४ ॥  
 अभिशापं मनुष्याणि प्रायश्चित्ते कृते जनैः ।  
 विद्वद्विषयकं लेख्यं लेख्यः साक्षिमतमविलुतम् ॥ २५५ ॥

246-248 म. म. ॥ १५ ॥ 254-255

249 परा. मा. III p. 130. This is नारद ( कृष्णार्जव verso 135 ). श्रीर. p. 110 and मित्र. on या. II. 64 ascribe it to नारद. अथर्व. I. 646 cites the verse without naming the author.

250 म. म. म. III p. 126 परा. मा. III p. 127.

251-53 १ म. नारद

254 म. म. म. III p. 128 This is ascribed to विष्णु by परा. मा. III p. 128

255 म. म. p. 115, श्रीर. I. 147 This is ascribed to विष्णु by परा. मा. p. 128 and १५ ॥ १५ ॥ म. म. I. 116.

उत्तमेषु समस्तेषु अभिशाप समागते ।  
 वृत्तानुवादलेख्य यत्तज्ज्ञेय सन्धिपत्रकम् ॥ २५६ ॥  
 सीमाविवादे निर्णीते सीमापत्र विधीयते ॥ २५७ ॥  
 राज स्वहस्तसयुक्त समुद्राचिमित तथा ।  
 राजकीय स्मृत लेख्य सर्वेष्वर्थेषु साक्षिमत् ॥ २५८ ॥  
 अर्थिप्रत्यर्थिवास्यानि प्रतिज्ञा साक्षिवाप्तथा ।  
 निर्णयश्च यथा तस्य यथा चावधृतस्ययम् ॥ २५९ ॥  
 एतद्यथाक्षर लेख्ये यथापूर्वं निवेशयेत् ।  
 अभियोक्त्रभियुक्ताना यचन प्राहनिवेशयेत् ॥ २६० ॥  
 सभ्याना प्राङ्घ्रियाकस्य बुलाना वा तत परम् ।  
 निश्चय स्मृतिशास्त्रस्य मत तत्रैव लेखयेत् ॥ २६१ ॥  
 सिद्धेनार्थेन संयोज्यो वादी सत्कारपूर्वकम् ।  
 लेख्य स्वहस्तसयुक्त तस्मै दद्यात् पार्थिव ॥ २६२ ॥  
 सभासदश्च ये तत्र स्मृतिशास्त्रमिदं स्थिता ।  
 यथालेख्यविधौ तद्वत् स्वहस्त तत्र दापयेत् ॥ २६३ ॥  
 अनेन विधिना लेख्य पश्चात्कार विदुर्बुधा ।  
 निरस्ता तु त्रिधा यत्र प्रमाणेनैव वादिना ।  
 पश्चात्कारो भवत्तत्र न सर्वासु विधीयते ॥ २६४ ॥

256 अ म प 25 वीर० p 183

257 स्मृतिच० III p 137, परा III p 128 अ म 25, वीर p 190

258 टोडरान द

259 स्मृतिच० III p 130 व्यवहारतरव p 230 अ मा p 309 ( which reads यथाचारित ) while अ म p 353 has the same verse and reads प्रतिज्ञावचन तथा and तथा चावधृत

260 स्मृतिच० III p 130 अ म p 309 (first half) reads लेख्य for कस्ये अ मा p 303 has first half and reads तद्वत्पश्चात्

261 स्मृतिच० III p 130

262 अपराधं p 684 ( reads निद चर्धेन ) स्मृतिच० III 130 p ३१० p 193

263 स्मृतिच० III 130 अ मा p 09 and p 353 वीर० p 193 ( which reads राजस्व दपश्य न )

264 स्मृतिच० III p 171, परा मा p 124 125 वीर० p 193

मन्यधाद्यादिहीनेभ्य इतरेषां प्रदीयते ।

वृत्तानुवादसंसिद्धं तच्च स्याज्जन्यपत्रकम् ॥ २६५ ॥

( लेख्यपरीक्षा )

राजाज्ञया समाहृत्य यथान्यायं विचारयेत् ।

लेख्याचारेण लिखितं साध्याचारेण साक्षिण ॥२६६॥

वर्णवाक्यक्रियायुक्तमसंदिग्धं स्फुटाक्षरम् ।

जहीननामचिह्नं च लेख्यं तत्सिद्धिमाप्नुयात् ॥ २६७ ॥

देशाचारयुतं वर्षमासपक्षादिवृद्धिमत् ।

नृणिसाक्षिलेखकानां हस्ताङ्गं लेख्यमुच्यते ॥ २६८ ॥

स्थानभ्रष्टास्त्वपङ्क्तिस्था संदिग्धा लक्षणच्युता ।

यदा तु संस्थिता वर्णाः कूटलेख्यं तदा भवेत् ॥ २६९ ॥

देशाचारविरुद्धं यत्संदिग्धं प्रमवर्जितम् ।

वृत्तमस्यामिना यच्च साध्यहीनं च दुष्यति ॥ २७० ॥

मत्तेनोपाधिमीतेन तथोन्मत्तेन पीडितं ।

स्त्रीभिर्बालास्वतन्त्रैश्च वृत्तं लेख्यं न सिध्यति ॥ २७१ ॥

ख्यापितं चेद् द्वितीयेदि न कश्चिद्विनिवर्तयेत् ।

तथा तत्स्यात्प्रमाणं तु मत्तेनमत्तवृत्ताद्वेत् ॥ २७२ ॥

265 स्मृतिच० III p 131, परा मा III 125, वीर० p 105, दोडरानन्द.  
परा मा. reads विषयवे for प्रदायते and वृत्तानुवादसंसिद्धि .

266 स्मृतिच० III p 189 (reads क्रिया समाहृत्य) and also p 195, परा  
मा III p 129, वीर० p 197 (reads राजा क्रिया ), स वि. p 119  
( also reads क्रिया समाहृत्य and suggests that समादाय would be  
good a reading)

267 स्मृतिच० III p 139, परा मा III p 129, स वि p 119.

268 स्मृतिच० III p 140

269 स्मृतिच० III p 141, परा मा III 130 (which reads भक्तान्तरा )

270 अपराधं p 686, स्मृतिच० III p 141 ( reads कृते च स्यामिना ),  
परा मा III p. 131, वीर० p 197,

271 स्मृतिच० p 141 ( मत्तेनोपाधि० ) स वि p 119,

272 दोडरानन्द

साक्षिदोषाद्भवेद्दुष्टं पत्रं च लेखकस्य वा ।  
 धनिकस्योपधादोषात्तथा धारणिकस्य वा ॥ २७३ ॥  
 दुष्टैर्दुष्टं भवेत्लेख्यं शुद्धं शुद्धैर्विनिर्दिशेत् ।  
 तत्पत्रमुपधादुष्टैः साक्षिलेखककारकैः ॥ २७४ ॥  
 प्रमाणस्य हि ये दोषा यत्कव्यास्ते विद्यादिना ।  
 गृह्यस्तु प्रकट्य सम्भवे काले शास्त्रप्रदर्शनात् ॥ २७५ ॥  
 साक्षिलेखककर्तारं कूटता यान्ति ते यथा ।  
 तथा दोषा प्रयोक्तव्या दुष्टैर्लेख्यं प्रदुष्यात् ॥ २७६ ॥  
 न लेखकेन लिखितं न दृष्टं साक्षिभिस्तथा ।  
 एव प्रत्यर्थिनोक्ते तु कूटलेख्यं प्रकीर्तितम् ॥ २७७ ॥  
 नातप्येन प्रमाणं तु दोषेणैव तु दूषयेत् ।  
 मिथ्याभियोगे दण्ड्यं स्यात्साध्याद्यापि हीयते ॥ २७८ ॥  
 एव दुष्टं नृपस्थानं यस्मिंस्तद्धि विचार्यते ।  
 विमृश्य ग्राह्यं सार्धं पत्रदोषाच्चिरूपयेत् ॥ २७९ ॥  
 येन ते कूटतां यान्ति साक्षिलेखककारकाः ।  
 तेन दुष्टं भवेत्लेख्यं शुद्धं शुद्धिं विनिर्दिशेत् ॥ २८० ॥

273 अथ मा p 338 अपराधं p 686 परा मा III p 131 स्मृतिच० III  
 p 142 ( वनिकस्यापि वा ), टिडरानद ( reads धनिकस्योपधादस्य ), वीर०  
 p 197,

274 अथ मा p 338, वीर० p 197

275 स्मृतिच० III p 142 and p 192, परा. मा. III p 132, अपराधं  
 671 अथ न p. 39, वीर० p 164

276 स्मृतिच० III p 142 परा मा III p 132 वीर० p 199

277 अपराधं p 680, स्मृतिच० III p 143 परा मा III p 132, वीर०  
 p 198

278 स्मृतिच० III p 143, परा मा III p 132 ( reads तप्येन हि  
 प्रमाणं तु )

279 स्मृतिच० III p 143, अपराधं p 689, परा मा III p 132 133  
 ( attributes to दूदस्यति )

280 स्मृतिच० III p 143 परा मा III p 133



धनिकेन स्वहस्तेन लिखितं साक्षिबर्जितम् ।  
 भवेत्कुटं न चैत्कर्ता कृतं हीति विमायेयत् ॥ २८१ ॥  
 दत्तं लेख्ये स्वहस्तं तु कृणिको यदि निहते ।  
 पत्रस्थैः साक्षिभिर्वाच्यो लेखकस्य मतेन वा ॥ २८२ ॥  
 कृताकृतविवादेषु साक्षिभिः पत्रनिर्णयः ।  
 कृपिते पत्रके चादी तदारूढांस्तु निर्दिशेत् ॥ २८३ ॥  
 त्रिविधस्यापि लेख्यस्य भ्रान्तिः संज्ञायते मृणाम् ।  
 कृणिमाक्षिलेखकानां हस्तोपत्या माधयेत्ततः ॥ २८४ ॥  
 अथ पञ्चत्वमापन्नो लेखकः सह साक्षिभिः ।  
 तत्स्वहस्तादिभिस्तेषां विगुध्येतु न संशयः ॥ २८५ ॥  
 कृणिस्वहस्तसंदेहे जीयतां वा मृतम्य वा ।  
 तत्स्वहस्तकृतैरन्यं पत्रं स्तोत्रेण निर्णयः ॥ २८६ ॥  
 समुद्रेपि यदा लेख्ये मृता सर्वेपि ते स्थिताः ।  
 लिखितं तत्प्रमाणं तु मृतेष्वपि हि तेषु च ॥ २८७ ॥  
 प्रत्यक्षमनुमानेन न कदाचित्प्रपञ्च्यते ।  
 तस्माद्द्वेष्टस्य दुष्टस्य वचोभिः साक्षिणां भवेत् ॥ २८८ ॥

281 अपरार्धे p. 686, सूत्रिव० III p 143, वीर० p 197.

282 सूत्रिव० III p 144, परा. मा. III p. 133 ( ascribes to बृहस्पति and reads साक्षिभिर्वाचा ).

283 मित्रा० on या० II. 92 ( latter half ), अपरार्धे p. 689 ( first half ), सूत्रिव० III p. 144, स वि p 120 ( latter half only ).

284 वीर० p 198, अ. मा. p. 339 ( ascribes to नरद and reads हस्तोपेत्ये ), परा. मा p 134 ( ascribes to बृहस्पति )

निर्णय स्थधनार्थं हि पत्र दूषयति स्वयम् ।  
लिखित लिखितेनेन साक्षिमसाक्षिभिर्हरेत् ॥ २८९ ॥  
कूटोक्तौ साक्षिणा यान्याहोरात्रस्य च पत्रकम् ।  
नयेच्छुद्धिं न य कूट स वाप्यो दममुत्तमम् ॥ २९० ॥  
आदयस्य निन्दस्वस्य यच्छकेन न याचितम् ।  
शुद्धर्णशङ्कया तत्तु लेख्य दुर्जलतामियात् ॥ २९१ ॥  
लेख्य विंशत्समार्तातमदणधारितं च यत् ।  
न तस्मिन्दिमपामोति तिष्ठत्स्वपि हि साक्षिणु । २९२ ॥  
प्रयुक्ते ज्ञान्तलामे तु लिखित यो न दर्शयेत् ।  
नैव याचेत शणिक न तस्मिन्दिमवाप्नुयात् ॥ २९३ ॥  
पश्चात्कारनियद्ध यत्तद्यत्नेन विचारयेत् ।  
यदि स्याद्युक्तियुक्तं तु प्रमाणं लिखितं तदा ॥ २९४ ॥  
अन्यथा दूरत कार्यं पुनरेव विनिर्णयेत् ।  
अतथ्य तथ्यभावेन स्थापितं ज्ञानविभ्रमात् ॥  
नियत्सं तत्प्रमाणं स्याद्यत्नेनापि कृतं नृपै ॥ २९५ ॥  
मुद्राशुद्ध क्रियानुद्ध भुक्तिशुद्ध सचिद्वक्त्रम् ।  
राज स्वहस्तसशुद्ध शुद्धिमायाति शासनम् ॥ २९६ ॥  
निर्दोष प्रथितं यत्तु लेख्य तस्मिन्दिमवाप्नुयात् ॥ २९७ ॥  
दृष्टे पत्रं स्फुटान् दोषावोक्तवानृणिको यदि ।

- 289 अपरांक p 689 स्मृतिच० III 145, परा मा III p 134 ( only latter half ) अपरांक has on p 690 the latter half joined to साक्षिण्यो लिखितं ययो लिखितान् तु साक्षिण ( but no author is named ) while स्मृतिच० ascribes latter half to नारद  
290 अपरांक p 689 वीर० p 199 परा मा III p 134 ( only first half ) and p 138 ( where we have whole verse and वाक्य for वाक्यात् )  
291 93 परा मा III pp 134 135 अपरांक 692 ( attributes to बृहस्पति ) वय मा p 340 ( has 291 292 and ascribes to बृहस्पति ) and p 294 ( has 291 ) स्मृतिच० III p 152 ( ascribes 291 to बृहस्पति and 292 293 to वात्स्यायन ) reads तस्मिन्दिमवाप्नुयात्  
294 95 स्मृतिच० III p 145-6  
296 अपरांक p 684 स्मृतिच० III 1 116, व दि p 121  
297 परा मा III 1 136

ततो विंशतिवर्षाणि स्थितं पत्रं स्थिरं भवेत् ॥ २९८ ॥

शक्यस्य संनिधायक्यो येन लेख्येन भुज्येत ।

वर्षाणि विंशति यावत्तत्पत्रं दोषवर्जितम् ॥ २९९ ॥

अथ विंशतिवर्षाणि आधिर्मुक्तं सुनिश्चितम् ।

तेन लेख्येन तस्मिन्निर्लेख्यदोषविवर्जितम् ॥ ३०० ॥

सीमाविवादे निर्णीति सीमापत्रं विधीयते ।

तस्य दोषा प्रयक्तव्या यावद्वर्षाणि विंशति ॥ ३०१ ॥

आधानसहितं यत्र कृणं लेख्ये निवेशितम् ।

मृतसाक्षि प्रमाणं तु स्वल्पभोगेषु तद्विदुः ॥ ३०२ ॥

प्राप्तं योनेन चेत्किञ्चिद्दानं चाप्यनिरूपितम् ।

विनापि मुद्रया लेख्यं प्रमाणं मृतसाक्षिकम् ॥ ३०३ ॥

यदि लब्धं भवेत्किञ्चित् प्रवृत्तिर्वा कृता भवेत् ।

प्रमाणमेव लिखितं मृता यद्यपि साक्षिणः ॥ ३०४ ॥

298 इयं मा p 340 ( reads कृतपत्र ), परा मा III p 136 ( reads तथा ६४ स्फुट दोष and कृतपत्र स्थित ) वीर० p 200

299 मिता० on या II 24 ( reads विंशतिवर्षाण्यतिश्रान्त ), अपरार्क p 690, इयं मा p 340 ( reads वधो for अर्थो ) स्मृतिच० III p 146 ( reads येन लेख्येन ) and 154 ( reads दश वर्षाण्यतिश्रान्त ), परा मा III p 136, वीर० p 200 and स. वि 127 ( read विंशद्वर्षाण्यतिश्रान्त )

300 मिता० on या II 24, स्मृतिच० III p 146 ( ascribes to स्मृत्यन्तर immediately after citing कल्याण ) अपरार्क p 691 ( reads तस्मिन् लेख्य दोषविवर्जितम् ), परा मा III p 136 ( reads as अपरार्क does ), स वि p 121 ( ascribes to स्मृत्यन्तर after citing कल्याण and reads like अपरार्क ), वीर० p 200, स वि p 128

301 मिता० on या II 24 अपरार्क p 691, परा मा III p 136, वीर० p 200 स्मृतिच० III p 146 and स वि p 121 attribute to स्मृत्यन्तर while स वि p 128 attributes this and the preceding to कल्याण

302 अपरार्क p 691, परा मा III p 136 ( reads मृत साक्षिप्रमाण )

303 परा मा III p 137, वीर० p 200 ( reads देव वायानरूपितम् )

304 अपरार्क p 691, परा मा III p 137, स वि p 122 अपरार्क attributes to नारद

दर्शित प्रतिकाल यद् ग्राहित स्मारित तथा ।  
 लेख्य सिध्यति सर्वत्र मृतेष्वपि च साक्षिषु ॥ ३०५ ॥  
 न दिव्यै साक्षिभिर्वापि हीयते लिपित क्वचित् ।  
 लेख्यधर्मं सदा श्रेष्ठो ह्यतो नान्येन हीयते ॥ ३०६ ॥  
 तदुक्तप्रतिलेख्येन तद्विशिष्टेन वा सदा ।  
 लेख्यक्रिया निरस्येत निरस्यान्येन न क्वचित् ॥ ३०७ ॥  
 दर्पणस्य यथा विम्बमस्तसदिव इत्यते ।  
 तथा लेख्यस्य विम्बानि कुर्वन्ति कुशला जना ॥ ३०८ ॥  
 द्रव्यं गृहीत्वा यल्लेख्य परस्मै सप्रदीयते ।  
 छत्रमन्येन चारूढं सयत चाग्नयश्मनि ॥ ३०९ ॥  
 दत्ते वृत्तेयवा द्रव्ये कचिद्विहितपूर्वके ।  
 एव एव विधिर्ह्येवो लेख्यशुद्धिनिर्णये ॥ ३१० ॥  
 स्थावरे विक्रयाधाने लेख्यं कृट् करोति यः ।  
 स सम्यग्भाषितं कार्यो जिह्वापाप्यलिप्रवर्जितं ॥ ३११ ॥  
 मलैर्बद्धेदित दग्धं छिद्रितं घीतमेव वा ।  
 तदन्यत्कारयेल्लेख्यं स्वेदेनोद्धिखितं तथा ॥ ३१२ ॥

( भुक्ति )

लिखित साक्षिणी भुक्तिः प्रमाणप्रयमिष्यते ।  
 प्रमाणेषु स्मृता भुक्तेः सल्लेख्यसमता नृणाम् ॥ ३१३ ॥

305 स वि p 122

306 अपसार्क p 692 स्मृतिच० III p 151 अथ मा p 314 ( reads तद्विषये and लेख्ये धर्मे ), स वि p 123

307 अपसार्क p 692 ( reads तदुक्ते० and न साक्षिष्वपि क्वचित् ) स्मृतिच० III p 151 स वि p 123 ( तदुक्तं प्रति० ) दोहरानन्द ( reads like अपसार्क )

308 स्मृतिच० III p 148 अथ मा p 339 वी० p 197

309-310 स्मृतिच० III p 152

311 एव मा III p 198 ( reads असम्यग्भाषितं ) स्मृतिच० III p 150

312 अपसार्क p 687 स्मृतिच० III p 158

313 स्मृतिच० III p 153 स वि p 124 ( reads स्थिरा भुक्तिः यद्वैक्या समता )

रथ्यानिर्गमनद्वारजलवाहादिसंशयेः।  
 भुक्तिरेव तु गुर्वी स्यात्प्रमाणेष्विति निश्चयः ॥ ३१४ ॥  
 अनुमानाद् गुरुः साक्षी साक्षिभ्यो लिखितं गुरु।  
 अव्याहता त्रिपुरुषी भुक्तिरेभ्यो गरीयसी ॥ ३१५ ॥  
 नोपभोगे यत्नं कार्यमाहर्षा तत्सुतेन वा।  
 पशुखीपुरुषादीनामिति धर्मो व्यवस्थितः ॥ ३१६ ॥  
 भुक्तिस्तु द्विविधा प्रोक्ता सागमानागमा तथा।  
 त्रिपुरुषी या स्वतन्त्रा सा चेदल्पा तु सागमा ॥ ३१७ ॥  
 मुख्या पैतामही भुक्तिः पैतृकी चापि संमता।  
 त्रिभिरेतैरविच्छिन्ना स्थिरा पष्ट्याब्दिकी मता ॥ ३१८ ॥  
 सागमेन तु भुक्तेन सम्यग्भुक्तं यदा तु यत्।  
 आहर्ता लभते तत्तु नापहार्यं तु तत्कचित् ॥ ३१९ ॥  
 प्रनष्टागमलेख्येन भोगारूढेन धादिना।  
 कालः प्रमाणं दानं च कर्तव्यनीयानि संसदि ॥ ३२० ॥  
 स्मार्तकाले क्रिया भूमेः सागमा भुक्तिरिष्यते।  
 अस्मार्तनुगमाभावाद् क्रमात्त्रिपुरषागता ॥ ३२१ ॥

३१४ स्मृतिच० III. p. 153, परा. मा. III. p. 146, स. वि. p. 124 (reads  
 रथ्यानिर्गमनद्वारे जलवाहादिसंशये.

३१५ अ. मा. p. 813.

३१६ मिता० on वा. II. 24, स्मृतिच० III p. 155, परा. मा. III p. 147,  
 स. वि. p. 126.

३१७ स्मृतिच० III. p. 167 ( which reads स्वतन्त्रानागमाख्या तु सागमा )  
 परा. मा. III p. 141.

३१८ अपराकं p. 636, वीर० p. 206, कृत्यकल्पतरु.

३१९ अ. मा. p. 845 and टोडरुमन्द, both ascribe to विष्णु and कात्यायन;  
 compare विष्णुधर्मसूत्र V. 181.

३२० स्मृतिच० III. p. 162, परा. मा. III. p. 140, स. वि. p. 130.

३२१ मिता० on वा. II. 27, अपराकं p. 636 (ascribes to सूतपुत्र), अ. मा.  
 p. 346 (अस्मार्तकालेनुगमात् आवाह १), परा. मा. III. p. 142 (first  
 half ), स. वि. p. 133, स्मृतिच० III. p. 163, वीर० p. 204 ( as-  
 cribes to नारद ).

आदौ तु कारणं दानं मध्ये भुक्तिस्तु सागमा ।  
कारणं भुक्तिरेयंका संतता या त्रिपर्ययी ॥ ३२२ ॥  
आहर्ता भुक्तियुक्तोपि लेख्यदोषान्विशोधयेत् ।  
तत्सुतो भुक्तिदोषास्तु लेख्यदोषास्तु नाप्नुयात् ॥ ३२३ ॥  
येनोपात्तं हि यद्द्रव्यं सोमियुक्तस्तदुद्धरेत् ।  
चिरकालोपभोगेपि भुक्तिस्तस्यैव नेप्यते ॥ ३२४ ॥  
चिरन्तनमविज्ञातं भोगं लोभान्न घालयेत् ॥ ३२५ ॥  
पित्रा भुक्तं तु यद्द्रव्यं भुक्त्याचारेण धर्मेत ।  
तस्मिन्नेते न घाल्योस्ती भुक्त्या प्राप्तं हि तस्य तत् ॥ ३२६ ॥  
त्रिभिरेव तु या भुक्ता पुरुषैर्भू यथाविधि ।  
लेख्याभावेपि तां तत्र चतुर्थः समयानुयात् ॥ ३२७ ॥  
यथा क्षीरं जनयति दधि कालाद्रस्तान्वितम् ।  
दानहेतुस्तथा कालाद्भोगस्त्रिपुरुषागतः ॥ ३२८ ॥  
भुक्तिर्यलयती शास्त्रे सन्तता या चिरन्तनी ।  
विच्छिन्नापि तु सा क्षेया या तु पूर्वमसाधिता ॥ ३२९ ॥  
न भोगं कल्पयेत्स्त्रीषु देवराजधनेषु च ।  
वालधोत्रियवित्ते च मातुतः पितुतः क्रमात् ॥ ३३० ॥

322 अपराधे p. 636, परा. मा. III p. 146, व्य. मा. p. 344 and वीर.  
p. 206 ascribe to भारद्. व्य. मा. reads आपौ तु All except  
अपराधे read चिरन्तनी for त्रिपर्ययी.

323 स्मृतिष. III, p. 164, परा. मा. III, p. 145

324 व्य. मा. p. 343,

325 स्मृतिष. III, p. 168

326 टोडरानन्द.

327 व्य. मा. p. 341 and टोडरानन्द ( both ascribe to विष्णु and राजा  
वन ). Compare विष्णुपर्वण V. 187.

328 व्य. मा. p. 350.

329 इत्यकल्पतद्, परा. मा. III, p. 145 ( ascribes it to बृहस्पति )

330 कल्पकल्पतद्, व्य. मा. p. 351 ( reads भीतिपदं च प्राप्तेऽपि विवृत ),  
टोडरानन्द ( प्राप्ते च विवृत. ), स्मृतिष. III, p. 168.

प्रहचारी चरेत्कश्चिद्मतं पदनिदादादिकम् ।  
 अर्थाधी चान्यविषये दीर्घकालं घत्सेन्नरः ॥ ३३१ ॥  
 समावृत्तोऽब्रवी कुर्वात्स्वधनान्वेषणं ततः ।  
 पञ्चाशदादिको भोगस्तद्धनस्यापहारकः ॥ ३३२ ॥  
 प्रतिवेदं द्वादशाब्दं कालो विद्यार्थिनां स्मृतः ।  
 दिव्यविद्यार्थिनां चैव ग्रहणान्तः प्रकीर्तितः ॥ ३३३ ॥  
 सुहृद्भिर्वन्धुभिश्चैषां यत्स्वं मुक्तमपदयताम् ।  
 नृपापराधिनां चैव न तत्कालेन दीयते ॥ ३३४ ॥  
 सनाभिभिर्वान्धवैश्च यद्भुक्तं स्वजनैस्तथा ।  
 भोगात्तत्र न सिद्धिः स्याद्भोगमन्यत्र कल्पयेत् ॥ ३३५ ॥

( बुक्तिः )

अर्थिनान्यर्थितो यस्तु विधार्त न प्रयोजयेत् ।  
 त्रिचतुःपञ्चशतयो या परस्तद्वणी भवेत् ॥ ३३६ ॥  
 दानं प्रशापना भेदं संमलोमक्रिया च या ।  
 चित्तापनयनं चैव हेतयो हि विभावकाः ॥ ३३७ ॥  
 एषामन्यतमो यत्र वादिना भावितो भवेत् ।  
 मूलक्रिया तु तत्र स्याद् भाविते वादिनिहवे ॥ ३३८ ॥

( साक्षिणः )

न कालहरणं कार्यं राज्ञा साक्षिप्रभाषणे ।  
 महान्दोषो भवेत्कालाखर्मव्यावृत्तिलक्षणः ॥ ३३९ ॥

331-32 परा. मा. III, 148, स्मृतिच. III. p. 158 ( ascribes to नारद ).

333 334 परा. मा. III p. 148, स्मृतिच. III p. 159 (ascribes to नारद)  
 reads यत्सम्भुजम्.

335 अपरांकं p. 637, म्य. मा. p. 351 ( reads धनमै and अन्येषु ), वीर.  
 p. 221.

336 वीर. p. 224

337-338 हलवन्त्यत्र ( reads वादिनो and भावितैर्वापि ), टोडरानन्द, वीर.  
 pp. 224-225

339 म्य. मा. pp. 306 ( reads कालप्रहर्षं ), 328, स. वि. p. 148  
 ( reads काले ), स्मृतिच. III. 214, टोडरानन्द.

उपस्थितान् परीक्षेत साक्षिणो नृपतिः स्वयम् ।  
 साक्षिभिर्भाषितं यापयं सभ्यैः सह परीक्षयेत् ॥३४०॥  
 सम्यक्क्रियापरिहाने देयः कालस्तु साक्षिणाम् ।  
 संदिग्धं यत्र साक्ष्यं स्यात्सद्यः स्पष्टं विवादयेत् ॥३४१॥  
 सभ्रान्तः साक्षिणः सर्वानर्थिप्रत्यर्थिसंनिधौ ।  
 प्राद्विषयाको नियुज्जीत विधिनानेन सान्त्वयन् ॥३४२॥  
 यद्द्वयोरनयोर्वेत्य कार्येस्मिञ्चेष्टितं मिथः ।  
 तद्व्यूतं सर्वं सत्येन युष्माकं ह्यत्र साक्षिता ॥ ३४३ ॥  
 देवग्राह्यणसात्रिण्ये साक्ष्यं पृच्छेदतं द्विजान् ।  
 उदश्मुखाग्रामुखान्वा पृथोह्ये वै शुचिः शुचीन् ॥  
 आहूय साक्षिणः पृच्छेन्नियम्य शपथैर्भृशम् ।  
 समस्तान्विदिताचारान् विज्ञातार्थान्पृथक्पृथक् ॥३४५॥  
 नार्थिप्रत्यर्थिसानिष्यादनुभूतं तु यद्भवेत् ।  
 तद्ग्राह्यं साक्षिणो यापयमन्यथा न पृहस्पतिः ॥३४६॥  
 मरण्यातकुलशीलाश्च लोभमोहविषजिता ।  
 आत्मा शुद्धा विशिष्टा ये तेषां साक्ष्यमसंशयम् ॥३४७॥

- 340 वीर. p. 165 ( first half ), व्य. मा. p. 331, अपराकं p. 875, मिता. on या. II 80 ( latter half ).
- 341 अपराकं p. 877 ( reads सद्यः स्पष्टं ), स्मृतिच. III. p. 213, व. वि. p. 148 ( reads पतसाक्ष्यं तु स्पष्टं सद्यो ), टोडरानन्द ( reads स्पष्ट ).
- 342 मिता. on या. II. 73, वीर. p. 167, अपराकं p. 675 ( no name ), परा. मा. III. p. 107 ( ascribes to मनु ), व्य. म. ascribes this and the next two to मनु and कात्यायन.
- 343 वीर. p. 167, अपराकं p. 675 ( no name ), परा. मा. III. p. 108 ( ascribes to मनु )
- 344 मिता. on या. II. 73, वीर. p. 167, अपराकं p. 673 and परा. मा. III p. 111 ( both cite as मनु's ).
- 345 मिता. on या. II. 73, अपराकं p. 674 ( ascribes to भारद् )
- 346 व्य. मा. p. 317 ( reads अन्वयाद् ), टोडरानन्द, वीर. p. 142.
- 347 स्मृतिच. III. p. 174, वीर. p. 149 ( reads आत्माः शिष्टा and तेषां कात्यायनः ).



विभाव्यो याविना यादृक् सदशैरेव भावयेत् ।  
 नोत्तुष्ट्यायकुष्टस्तु साक्षिभिर्भावयेत्सदा ॥ ३४८ ॥  
 लिङ्गिनः श्रेणिपूगाश्च यणिग्वातास्तथापरे ।  
 समूहस्थाश्च ये चान्ये यगांस्तानब्रवीद्भृशुः ॥ ३४९ ॥  
 दासचारणमहानां हस्त्यश्वायुधजीविनाम् ।  
 प्रत्येकैकं समूहानां नायका वर्गिणस्तथा ॥  
 तेषां वादः स्ववर्गेषु वर्गिणस्तेषु साक्षिणः ॥ ३५० ॥  
 स्त्रीणां साक्ष्यं स्त्रियः कुर्युर्बिजानां सदशा द्विजाः ।  
 शूद्राश्च सन्तः शूद्राणामन्त्यानामन्त्ययोनयः ॥ ३५१ ॥  
 अशक्य आगमो यत्र विदेशप्रतिवासिनाम् ।  
 त्रैविध्यप्रहितं तत्र लेख्यसाक्ष्यं प्रवादयेत् ॥ ३५२ ॥  
 अभ्यन्तरस्तु निक्षेपे साक्ष्यमेकोपि वाच्यते ।  
 मर्यादा प्रहितः साक्षी भवत्येकोपि दूतकः ॥ ३५३ ॥  
 संस्कृतं येन यत्पण्यं तत्तेनैव विभावयेत् ।  
 एक एव प्रमाणं स विवादे तत्र कीर्तितः ॥ ३५४ ॥  
 लेखकः प्राद्विचाकश्च सम्प्राश्चैवानुपूर्वशः ।  
 नृपे पश्यति यत्कार्यं साक्षिणः समुदाहृताः ॥ ३५५ ॥

348 वीर० p 149.

349-50 अवरुर्क p 666, वीर० p 149, टोडरानन्द (reads मुनिः for मनुः)

351 टोडरानन्द (ascribes to कात्यायन and मनु), म्य. मा. p 323 (to both मनु and कात्या.). This is मनु० 8 68.

352 अवरुर्क p. 667 ( reads विदेशं प्रतिवादिनाम् ), टोडरानन्द

353 म्य. मा p. 319 ( अभ्यन्तरर्ननिक्षेपे and याचित. for दूतक. ), स्मृतिच० III p. 175, पर मा III. p. 96 ( first half ) reads आभ्यन्तर-स्तु विदेशो and वा वदेत् for वाच्यते, वीर० p 151 ( reads याचिते for दूतक. ), अथरातरव p 214 reads एकोपि याचिते.

354 स्मृतिच० III, p 175 (reads संस्कृतं येन कल्पत), वीर p. 151, म्य. हातरव p. 214

355 म्य मा. pp. 320, 323, टोडरानन्द.

अन्ये पुनरनिर्दिष्टा साक्षिण समुदाहृता ।  
 ग्रामश्च माद्विवाकश्च राज्ञा च व्यवहारिणाम् ॥ ३५६ ॥  
 कार्येष्वन्यन्तरो यश्चार्थिना प्रदितश्च य ।  
 कुल्या कुलविवादेषु भवेयुस्तेपि साक्षिण ॥ ३५७ ॥  
 रिफ्यभागाविवादे तु सदेहे समुपस्थिते ।  
 कुल्यानां यच्च तत्र प्रमाणं तद्विपर्यये ॥ ३५८ ॥  
 साक्षिणा लिखिताना तु निर्दिष्टाना च यादिना ।  
 तेषामेकोन्यथावादी भेदात्सर्वे न साक्षिण ॥ ३५९ ॥  
 अन्येन हि वृत्त साक्षी नैवान्यस्त विवादयेत् ।  
 तदभावे नियुक्तो वा यान्धयो वा विवादयेत् ॥ ३६० ॥  
 तद्वृत्तिजीविनो ये च तत्सेवाहितकारिण ।  
 तद्वन्धुसहृदो भृत्या मातास्ते तु न साक्षिण ॥ ३६१ ॥  
 मातृवृत्तुताश्चैव सोदर्यास्तमातुला ।  
 एते सनाभयस्तृका साक्ष्यं तेषु न योजयेत् ॥ ३६२ ॥  
 कुल्या सबन्धिनश्चैव विवाहो भगिनीपति ।  
 पिता बन्धु पितृव्यश्च श्वशुरो गुरवस्तथा ॥ ३६३ ॥  
 नगरग्रामदेशेषु नियुक्ता ये पदेषु च ।  
 घट्टमाश्च न पृच्छेयुर्भक्तास्ते राजपूरुषा ॥ ३६४ ॥

- 356 अथ मा p 320 and टाडहनन्द ( both ascribe it to नारद and कात्यायन ), व्यवहारतत्त्व p 219 ( ascribes to मनु and कात्यायन )  
 357 अथ मा p 320 ( ascribe to नारद and कात्यायन ), व्यवहारतत्त्व p 219 ( ascribes to मनु and कात्यायन )  
 358 अथ मा p 322  
 359 अपरार्क p 670 स्मृतिच० III p 189 अथ मा p 325, परा मा III p 116, व्यवहारतत्त्व p 215  
 360 अपरार्क p 670, अथ मा p. 327 स्मृतिच० III p 189  
 361 अपरार्क p 689 स्मृतिच० III p 177, अथ मा p 324, वीर० p 160  
 362-363 अपरार्क pp 689-670 अथ मा p 324 makes one verse by omitting सोदर्यास्त सबन्धिनश्चैव स्मृतिच० III p. 180 परा मा III 99 (omits the line कुल्या पति) वीर० p 160 ( adds after मातृवन्धु सुताश्चैव the words पितृव्यमुतास्तथा । मातुलव सुताश्चैव )  
 364 स्मृतिच० III p 180 अपरार्क p 670, परा मा III p 100

ज्ञानादिषु परीक्षेत साक्षिणः स्थिरकर्मसु ।  
 साहससात्यधिके चैव परीक्षा कुत्रचित्स्मृता ॥ ३६५ ॥  
 व्याघातेषु नृपाहायाः संग्रहे साहसेषु च ।  
 स्तोत्रपाठ्ययोश्चैव न परीक्षेत साक्षिणः ॥ ३६६ ॥  
 जन्तर्पेक्षमनि रात्रौ च यदिप्रांमाद्य यद्गृहेत् ।  
 पतेष्वेवाभियोगश्चेन्न परीक्षेत साक्षिणः ॥ ३६७ ॥  
 न साक्ष्यं साक्षिभिर्वाच्यमपृष्टैरर्थिना सदा ।  
 न साक्ष्यं तेषु विद्येत स्वयमात्मनि योजयेत् ॥ ३६८ ॥  
 लेख्यारूढश्चोत्तरश्च साक्षी मार्गद्वयान्वितः ॥ ३६९ ॥  
 अयं स्वदृष्टेनारूढस्तिष्ठेत्प्रथमैकः स एव तु ।  
 न चेत्प्रत्यभिजानीयात्तत्स्वदृष्टैः प्रसाधयेत् ॥ ३७० ॥  
 भार्येना स्वयमानीतो यो लेख्ये संनिवेदयते ।  
 स साक्षी लिखितो नाम स्मारितः पत्रकारते ॥ ३७१ ॥  
 यस्तु कार्यप्रसिद्धयर्थं दृष्ट्वा कार्यं पुनः पुनः ।  
 स्मार्यते भार्येना साक्षी स स्मारित इहोच्यते ॥ ३७२ ॥  
 प्रयोजनार्थमानीतः प्रसङ्गादागतश्च यः ।  
 द्वौ साक्षिणौ त्वलिखितौ पूर्वपक्षस्य साधकौ ॥ ३७३ ॥  
 भार्येना स्वार्थप्रसिद्धयर्थं प्रत्यर्थियचनं स्फुटम् ।  
 यः भाषितः स्थितो गूढो गूढसाक्षी स उच्यते ३७४  
 साक्षिणामपि यः साक्ष्यमुपर्युपरि मापते ।  
 भयणाच्छ्रायणाद्वापि स साक्ष्युत्तरसंशितः ॥ ३७५ ॥

३६५ स्मृतिच. III p. 183, व्य. मा. p. 327 ( reads परीक्षा न कविमता ),  
 वीर. p. 162, अथारस्तारव p. 214.

३६६ अपराधे p. 670, स्मृतिच. p. 183, व्य. मा. p. 328.

३६७ अपराधे p. 671, व्य. मा. p. 328.

३६८ व्य. मा. p. 328.

३६९ स्मृतिच. III. p. 184.

३७० वीर. p. 147.

३७१-३७२ मिता. on वा. II. 68, वीर. p. 143.

३७३-३७५ मिता. on वा. II. 68, वीर. pp. 143-144., व. वि. p. 142.

उद्गाप्यं यस्य विध्वन्मात्कार्यं वा विनिवेदितम् ।  
गृहचारी स विधेयः कार्यमध्यगतस्तथा ॥ ३७६ ॥  
अर्थी यत्र विपन्नः स्यात्तत्र साक्षी मृतान्तरः ।  
प्रत्यर्थी च मृतो यत्र तत्राप्येवं प्रकल्प्यते ॥ ३७७ ॥

( साक्षिदोषोद्गापनम् )

लेख्यदोषास्तु ये केचित्साक्षिणां चैव ये स्मृताः ।  
वाद्वाले तु यक्तव्याः पश्चादुक्ताश्च दूषयेत् ॥ ३७८ ॥  
उक्तेषु साक्षिणो यस्तु दूषयेत्प्रागदूषितान् ।  
न च तत्कारणं भूयात् प्रामुष्यात्पूर्वसाहसम् ॥ ३७९ ॥  
नातथ्येन प्रमाणं तु दोषेणैव तु दूषयेत् ।  
मिथ्याभियोगे दण्ड्यः स्यात्साध्यार्थाद्यापि हीयते ॥ ३८० ॥  
प्रत्यर्थिनार्थिना वापि साक्षिदूषणसाधने ।  
प्रस्तुताथौपयोगित्वाद् व्ययहारान्तरं न च ॥ ३८१ ॥  
साक्षिदोषाः प्रवक्तव्याः ससदि प्रतिवादिना ।  
पत्रे विक्रिये तान्सर्वान् धाव्यः प्रत्युत्तरं ततः ॥ ३८२ ॥  
प्रतिपक्षौ तु साक्षित्वमर्हन्ति न कदाचन ।  
अतोऽन्यथा प्रावर्त्तनीया क्रियया प्रतिवादिना ॥ ३८३ ॥  
अभावयन्धनं दाप्य प्रत्यर्थी साक्षिणः स्फुटम् ।  
भाविता साक्षिणः सर्वे साक्षिधर्मेनिराकृता ॥ ३८४ ॥

376-377 टोडरानन्द

378 स्मृतिच० III. p 192, टाडरानन्द, स वि p 143 अपराकं p 672 and  
•य म. p 89 and वीर० p 164 ascribe it to नृदस्वति टाडरानन्द  
reads पश्चादुक्ता न

379 अपराकं p 673, अ. मा p 327, स्मृतिच० III p 192, स वि p 144,  
टोडरानन्द ( reads न तस कारण ), •य म p 89, वीर० p 164

380 अपराकं p. 671, स्मृतिच० III p 192, स वि, p 144, वीर० p 164

381 परा मा III p 106 वीर० p 163 स वि p 145 (reads न तु साक्ष्य  
मिदमेव स्यात् अवधारान्तरं तथा)

382-384 परा मा III p 106 मित० on रा II 72 has 384 ( reads  
दूषण साक्षिणा स्फुटम् ) •य म p 88 attribute these to व्यास स वि  
p. 144 has 384 (and attributes it to वात्सा ), वीर० p 165  
(attributes 384 to व्यास)

आकारोद्धितयेष्टामिस्तस्य भावं विभावयेत् ।  
 प्रतिषादी भयेद्धीनः संनुमानेन लक्ष्यते ॥ ३८५ ॥  
 कम्पः स्वेदोद्य वैकल्यमोष्ठशोषामिमर्शने ।  
 भूलेपनं स्थानहानिस्तिर्यगूर्ध्वनिरीक्षणम् ।  
 स्वरमेदश्च दुष्टस्य चिह्नान्याहुर्मनीषिणः ॥ ३८६ ॥  
 सभान्तं स्थेस्तु वक्तव्यं साक्ष्यं नान्यथ साक्षिभिः ।  
 सर्वसाक्ष्येष्वयं धर्मो हान्यत्र स्थावरेषु तु ॥ ३८७ ॥  
 अर्थिप्रत्यर्थिसानिध्ये साभ्यर्थस्य च संनिधौ ।  
 प्रत्यक्षं देशयेत्साक्ष्यं परोक्षं न कथंचन ॥ ३८८ ॥  
 अर्थस्थोपदि वक्तव्यं तयोरपि विना क्वचित् ।  
 चतुष्पदेष्वयं धर्मो द्विषदस्थावरेषु च ॥ ३८९ ॥  
 तौल्यगणिममेयानामभावेपि विवादयेत् ।  
 क्रियाकारेषु सर्वेषु साक्षित्वं न ततोऽन्यथा ॥ ३९० ॥  
 वधे चेत्प्राणिनां साक्ष्यं वादयेच्छिवसंनिधौ ।  
 तदभावे तु चिह्नस्य नान्यथैव प्रकाशयेत् ॥ ३९१ ॥  
 स्वभावेकं वचस्तेषां ग्राह्यं यदोपवर्जितम् ।  
 उक्ते तु साक्षिणो राज्ञा न प्रष्टव्याः पुनः पुनः ॥ ३९२ ॥  
 स्वभावेनैव यद्द्रव्युस्तद् ग्राह्यं व्यावहारिकम् ।  
 मतो यदन्यद्विद्रव्युर्धर्मार्थं तदपार्थक्यम् ॥ ३९३ ॥

385-86 अ. मा. प. 312-13, वीर. प. 96.

387 स्मृतिच. III. p. 206, अपरा. प. 675, परा. मा. III. p. 112 (reads निष्ठा स्थावरेषु च).

388 योदरानन्द, अ. म. प. 41.

389 स्मृतिच. III. p. 206, परा. मा. III. p. 113, अ. म. प. 41.

390 योदरानन्द, अ. म. प. 41.

391 स्मृतिच. III. p. 206, परा. मा. III. p. 113, अ. म. प. 41.

392 मित्त. on मा. II. 79 (no name), अपरा. प. 675, स्मृतिच. III. p. 208.

393 स्मृतिच. III. p. 209, परा. मा. III. p. 113 (ascribes to मनु).

This is मनु 8. 78.

समवेतैस्तु यद्दृष्टं वक्तव्यं तत्तथैव तु ।  
 विभिन्नैकैकार्यं यद्वक्तव्यं तत्पृथक् पृथक् ॥ ३९४ ॥  
 भिन्नकाले तु यत्कार्यं विज्ञातं तत्र साक्षिभिः ।  
 एकैकं वादयेत्तत्र भिन्नकालं तु तद्गुणः ॥ ३९५ ॥  
 ऋणादिषु विवादेषु स्थित्वाप्येषु निश्चितम् ।  
 ऊने वाप्यधिके वायं प्रोक्ते साध्यं न सिध्यति ॥ ३९६ ॥  
 साध्यार्थांशेऽपि गदिते साक्षिभिः सकलं भवेत् ।  
 स्त्रीसङ्केसाद्वत्तैर्वायं यत्साध्यं परिकल्पितम् ॥ ३९७ ॥  
 ऊनाधिकं तु यत्र स्यात्तत्साध्यं तत्र वर्जयेत् ।  
 साक्षी तत्र न वृण्व्य स्यादग्र्यन्वष्टमर्हति ॥ ३९८ ॥  
 देशकालं धनं संख्यां रूपं जालाहृती वयः ।  
 विसंवदेद्यत्र साक्ष्ये तदनुक्तं विदुर्विधा ॥ ३९९ ॥  
 निर्दिष्टेष्वर्थजातेषु साक्षी चेत्साध्यं भागते ।  
 न ब्रूयाद्दक्षरसमं न तन्निगदितं भवेत् ॥ ४०० ॥  
 ऊनमभ्यधिकं वायं विद्वुर्वयं साक्षिणः ।  
 तदप्ययुक्तं विशेष्यमेव साक्षिविनिश्चयः ॥ ४०१ ॥  
 ( साक्षिणां दोषा दण्डाश्च )  
 अपृष्टं सर्ववचने पृष्टस्याकथने तथा ।  
 साक्षिणं संनिरोद्धव्या गद्ग्रां दण्ड्याश्च धर्मतः ॥ ४०२ ॥

- 394-395 अथ मा p 329 (reads विभिन्ने चैव दत्तकार्ये), अपराकं p 675 परा  
 मा III p 113 attributes to दक्षिण and reads विभिन्नैव दत्तकार्यं and  
 विधिरेव प्रकीर्तित for भिन्नकालं तु &c अथ मा p 42 and स वि p  
 208 and वीर p 168 also ascribe to दक्षिण and read as परा मा  
 does
- 396-397 मितः on वा II 20, अपराकं p 678, स्मृतिवत् III p 209  
 अथ मा p 312 (has 396 only)
- 398 399 स्मृतिवत् III p 210, अपराकं p 678, अथ मा p 333 (has  
 398)
- 400 401 स वि p 147 परा मा III p 114 ascribes the two to  
 ब्रूयते and अथ मा p 33 ascribes to नाम्ना
- 402 403 स्मृतिवत् III pp 212 213, परा मा III p 116 ascribes  
 • 402 to प्रजापति

तदर्घ्यस्य नाशे तु लौकिकाश्च क्रियाः स्मृताः ।  
 एवं विचार्यग्राजा धर्मार्थाभ्या न हीयते ॥ ४२१॥  
 ( दिव्यानामधिप्रलपिजातिस्त्रिगुणमारिषो व्यवस्था )  
 राजन्येऽग्निं घटे विप्रे वेदये तोयं नियोजयेत् ।  
 सर्वेषु सर्वदिक्षु वा विषं वन्यं द्विजोत्तमे ॥ ४२२॥  
 गोरक्षकान्वाणिजकास्तथा कारकुलीलघान् ।  
 प्रेष्यान्वाधुपिकाश्चैव ग्राहयेच्छूद्रयद् द्विजान् ॥ ४२३॥  
 न लोहशिखिनामग्निं सलिलं नाम्बुसेचिनाम् ।  
 मन्त्रयोगविदां चैव विषं दद्याथ न क्वचित् ॥  
 तण्डुलैर्न नियुञ्जीत वतिनं मुखरोणिणम् ॥ ४२४॥  
 कुष्ठिनां वर्जयेद्गमिं सलिलं श्वासकासिनाम् ।  
 पित्तश्लेष्मवता नित्यं विषं तु परिवर्जयेत् ॥ ४२५॥  
 मद्यपस्त्रीद्व्यसनिनां कृतवानां तथैव च ।  
 कोरा प्राज्ञैर्न दातव्यो ये च नास्तिकवृत्तयः ॥ ४२६॥  
 मातापितृद्विजगुरुवालक्रीराजघातिनाम् ।  
 महापातकयुक्तानां नास्तिकानां विशेषतः ॥ ४२७॥  
 लिङ्गिनां प्रशठानां तु मन्त्रयोगक्रियाविदाम् ।  
 वर्णसंकरजातानां पापाभ्यासप्रवर्तिनाम् ॥ ४२८॥  
 एतेष्वेवाभियोगेषु निन्द्येष्वेव च यत्नतः ।  
 दिन्यं प्रकल्पयेन्नैव राजा धर्मपरायणः ॥ ४२९॥

- श्रीभागवतीने तु शताधे तु तुल्य स्मृताः ॥ वीरदानं तदर्धे वा दशपञ्चकसप्तसु ।  
 तदर्धे तण्डुला ह्येवास्तदर्धे तप्तमावकम् ॥ and ascribes 418-419 to वृद्धमनु ।  
 422-423 स्मृतिव० III p 239 ( has the latter half of 422 ), अपराधं  
 p 698 टोडरानन्द वीर० pp 235, 237, स वि p 180 ( verses 423  
 only )  
 424 अपराधं p 699 ( reads चैव नाभिदिग्व्य विधीयते ), स्मृतिव० III p  
 240, परा मा III p 160 ( reads वतिना मुखरोणिणम् ), स वि p  
 173 ( वणिन ), वीर० p 236, न्य म p 48  
 425-426 स वि p 173, निता० on या II 98 has 425, but names no  
 author, न्य म. p. 49 has verse 426 but ascribes it to  
 वितामह, वीर० p 237 ascribes both to वितामह  
 427-430 स्मृतव० III p 241 परा मा III pp 160 161, वीर० p 238  
 टोडरानन्द परा मा reads प्रशठानां and टाडरानन्द and वीर० read

एतैरेव नियुक्तानां साधूनां दिव्यमर्हति ।  
 नेच्छन्ति साधवो यत्र तत्र शोभ्याः स्वकैर्नरे. ॥४३०॥  
 महापातकयुक्तेषु नास्तिकेषु विशेषतः ।  
 न देय तेषु दिव्यं तु पापाभ्यासरतेषु च ॥ ४३१॥  
 एषु चाप्येव दिव्यानि प्रतिषिद्धानि यत्नतः ।  
 कारयेत्सज्जनैस्तानि नाभिशस्तं त्यजेन्मनुः ॥४३२॥  
 असृष्ट्याधमदातानां स्नेच्छानां पापकारिणाम् ।  
 प्रातिलोम्यप्रसूतानां निधयो न तु राजनि ॥  
 तत्प्रसिद्धानि दिव्यानि सशये तेषु निर्दिशेत् ॥ ४३३ ॥  
 ( दिव्यशेषः )

इन्द्रस्थानेभिः शस्तानां महापातकिना नृणाम् ।  
 नृपद्रोहे प्रवृत्तानां राजद्वारे प्रयोजयेत् ॥४३४॥  
 प्रातिलोम्यप्रसूतानां दिव्यं देयं चतुष्पदे ।  
 अतोन्त्येषु सभामध्ये दिव्यं देयं विदुर्बुधा ॥४३५॥  
 कालदेशविरोधे तु यथायुक्तं प्रकल्पयेत् ।  
 अन्येन हारयेदिव्यं विधिरेव विपर्यये ॥ ४३६ ॥  
 अदेशकालदत्तानि बहिर्वासकृतानि च ।  
 व्यभिचारं सदायैव कुर्यान्तीह न सशयः ॥४३७॥

प्रमदानां for प्रशदानां, परा मा reads न सन्ति साधवः.

431 432 टोडरानन्द, वीर० p 238, स्मृतिच० III 242 (has 432 only) अपराकं p 696 (ascribes 432 to मनु)

433 मिता० on या. II 99 स्मृतिच० III 242 परा मा III. p 161 (reads यनद्वारापद्वाराणं स्नेच्छानां) वीर० p 238 अ म p 49 (reads समये for सशये)

434-435 मिता on या II 99, स्मृतिच० p 244, परा मा III p 163 (reads इन्द्रस्थाने for इन्द्रस्थाने) टोडरानन्द, स वि p 183, वीर० p 241

436 टोडरानन्द, वीर० p 238

437 439 अपराकं p 697, परा मा III p 163 and वीर० p 241 have



वाक्प्राप्तये छले पादे दाप्याः स्युर्लिङ्गतं दमम् ।  
 घृणादिवान्तेषु घनं ते स्युर्दाप्या क्रणं तथा ॥४०३॥  
 यः साक्षी नैव निर्दिष्टो नाहूतो नापि दर्शितः ।  
 घृतान्मिथ्येति तथ्यं वा दण्ड्यः सोऽपि नराधमः ॥४०४॥  
 साक्षी साक्ष्यं न येद्घृतान्मसमदण्डं घोरदण्डम् ।  
 भूतान्येषु विवादेषु त्रिशतं दण्डमर्हति ॥ ४०५ ॥  
 उपत्वान्यथा भुवाणाश्च दण्ड्याः स्युर्वास्मृष्टान्विताः ॥४०६॥  
 येन कार्यस्य लोभेन निर्दिष्टाः कूटसाक्षिणः ।  
 गृहीत्वा तस्य सर्वस्यं कुर्यान्निधिपयं ततः ॥४०७॥  
 यव वै भावितं कार्यं साक्षिभिर्वादिना भवेत् ।  
 प्रतिवादी यद्वा तत्र भावयेत्कार्यमन्यथा ॥  
 बहुभिश्च कुलीनैर्वा पूर्वाः स्युः कूटसाक्षिण ॥४०८॥  
 यदा शुद्धा क्रिया न्यायात्तदा तद्वाक्यशोधनम् ।  
 शुद्धाद्यथाकथायः शुद्धः स शुद्धोर्थ इति स्थितिः ॥४०९॥  
 सप्ताहाच्च प्रतीयेत यत्र साक्ष्यनृतं यदेत् ।  
 रोगोन्निर्वातिमरणं द्विसप्ताहात्त्रिसप्त वा ।  
 पटुचत्वारिंशके वापि द्रव्यजात्यादिभेदतः ॥४१०॥  
 ( दिव्यानि तेषां च दिवाद्यश्विषयिणी व्यवस्था )  
 न कश्चिदभियोक्तारं दिव्येषु विनियोजयेत् ।  
 अभियुक्ताय दातव्यं दिव्यं दिव्यविदारदः ॥४११॥

404 अ. मा. p. 326, टोडरानन्द, व्यवहारतत्त्व p. 216, स्मृतिच. III, 212 ascribes to वशिष्ठ.

405 अपराकं p. 677, परा मा. III, p. 115, स्मृतिच. III, 213, टोडरानन्द.

406 मिता. on या. II 82, स्मृतिच. III 214, बीर. p. 184.

407 अपराकं p. 672, स्मृतिच. III, p. 217.

408 अपराकं p. 678, अ. मा. p. 335 ( read साक्षिभिः पूर्ववादिनां and अनुकारस्तु कुलेनैव ), स्मृतिच. III, p. 218, बीर. p. 179

409 मिता. on या. II 80, अपराकं 676, अ. मा. p. 336 ( which reads क्रिया न स्यात् and last line as शुद्धाया तु वादकार्यः शुद्धः शुद्धोऽन्यथा न तु ), बीर. p. 174

410 स. वि. p. 140.

411 अपराकं p. 695, स्मृतिच. III p. 224, परा. म'. III, p. 152, स. वि. p. 167, बीर. p. 228

पार्थिवे शङ्कितानां तु तुलादीनि नियोजयेत् ।  
 आत्मशुद्धिविधाने च न शिरस्तत्र कल्पयेत् ॥ ४१२ ॥  
 लोकापवाददुष्टानां शङ्कितानां च दस्युभिः ।  
 तुलादीनि नियोज्यानि न शिरस्तत्र वै शृणु ॥ ४१३ ॥  
 न शङ्कासु शिरः कोशे कल्पयेत्तु कदाचन ।  
 अशिरासि च दिव्यानि राजभृत्येषु दापयेत् ॥ ४१४ ॥  
 शङ्काविश्वाससंधाने विभागो रिपिथना सदा ।  
 क्रियासमूहकर्तृत्वे कोशमेव प्रदापयेत् ॥ ४१५ ॥  
 दत्तस्यापह्नवो यत्र प्रमाणं तत्र कल्पयेत् ।  
 स्तेयसाहसयोर्दिव्यं स्वल्पेऽप्यर्थं प्रदापयेत् ॥ ४१६ ॥  
 सर्वद्रव्यप्रमाणं तु शाखा हेमः प्रकल्पयेत् ।  
 हेमप्रमाणयुक्तं तु तदा दिव्यं नियोजयेत् ॥ ४१७ ॥  
 शाखा सख्या सुवर्णानां शतनाशो विपः स्मृतम् ।  
 अशीतेस्तु विनाशो वै दद्याच्चैव हुताशनम् ॥ ४१८ ॥  
 पञ्चाशद्वा जलं वेप चत्वारिंशति वै घटम् ।  
 विंशद्वा विनाशो वै कोशपानं विधीयते ॥ ४१९ ॥  
 पञ्चाधिकस्य वा नाशो तदर्धार्धस्य तन्दुलाः ।  
 तदर्धार्धस्य नाशो तु स्पृशेत्पुत्रादिमस्तकम् ॥ ४२० ॥

412 स्मृतिच० III p 225 परा न III p 153 ( reads निर्दिष्टानां च दस्युभिः । शङ्काशुद्धिपरानां न दि य देव शिरौ विना ) वीर० p 229 ( which reads mostly like परा मा )

413 अपरक p 696 स्मृतिच० III p 226 परा मा III p 153

414 अपरक p 696 ( first half ) स्मृतिच० III p 226 परा मा III p 153

415 स्मृतिच० III p 226 परा मा III p 154, वीर० p 229 स वि p 168 अपरक p 695 ( no name )

416 417 मित० on या II 99 अपरक p 700 स्मृतिच० III p 232 ( omits the half स्तेय &c ) टाडरुनद स न pp 178 179, वीर० p 231 स्मृतिच० reads सर्व द य०

418 421 मित० on या II 99 स्मृतिच० p 233 and अपरक p 700 ( omits एव विपारयव हीयते ) परा मा III p 155 ( omits एव हीयते ) वीर० p 231 स वि pp 174 and 178 ( p 178 presents two other versions on same subject शत विपः तु पादोने दत्तमुत्तृतीयेके । आप

साधयेत्तत्पुनः साध्यं व्याघाते साधनस्य हि ।  
 दत्तान्यपि यथोक्तानि राजा दिव्यानि वर्जयेत् ॥  
 मूर्खैर्लुब्धैश्च दुष्टैश्च पुनर्दयानि तानि वै ॥४३८॥  
 तस्माद्यथोक्तविधिना दिव्यं देयं विशारदैः ।  
 नयथोक्तप्रयुक्तं तु न शक्यं तस्य साधने ॥४३९॥  
 शिष्यच्छेदे तुलामङ्गे तथा चापि गुणस्य धा ।  
 शुद्धेस्तु संशये चैव परीक्षेत पुनर्नरम् ॥४४०॥

(अग्निदिव्यविधिः)

प्रस्त्रलत्यमियुक्तश्चेत्स्थानादन्यत दद्याते ।  
 न दग्धं तु विदुर्देवास्तस्य भूयोपि दापयेत् ॥४४१॥

(उदकदिव्यविधिः)

शरांस्त्वनायसेरग्रेः प्रकुर्वीत विभुर्हये ।  
 वेणुकाण्डमयाञ्चैव क्षेप्ता च सुदृढं क्षिपेत् ॥४४२॥  
 क्षिप्ते तु मज्जनं कार्यं गमनं समकालिकम् ।  
 गमने त्वागमः कार्यः पुमानन्यो जले विशेत् ॥४४३॥  
 शिरोमानं तु दृढयेत् न कर्णौ नापि नासिका ।  
 वप्सु प्रवेशने यस्य शुद्धं तमपि निर्दिशेत् ॥४४४॥  
 निमज्ज्योद्वहते यस्तु दृष्टश्चेत्प्राणिभिर्नरः ।  
 पुनस्तत्र निमज्जेत्स देवाचिद्विमाविते ॥४४५॥

only verse 437 which they ascribe to नारदः स्मृतित्व० p. 244  
 has 437; टोडरानन्द has verse 439 ( which ascribes it to  
 बृहस्पति and कात्यायन ).

440 अपरार्क p. 704, स्मृतित्व० III. p. 259, न्य. म. p. 61, वीर० p. 254.

441 अपरार्क p. 709, मिता० on वा II. 107 ( which reads प्रस्त्रलज्जनि-  
 शस्तथेत् ), परा. मा. III. p. 181 ( प्रज्वालनेनाग्निशस्तथेत् ), स्मृतित्व०  
 III. p. 271, वीर० p. 267, स. वि. p. 199.

442 अपरार्क p. 709, मिता० on वा. II. 109 ( no name ) reads शरांश्च-  
 नायसाप्रास्तु ), टोडरानन्द, न्य. म. p. 77 परा. मा. III. p. 183 ( no  
 name ), वीर० p. 268, स. वि. p. 200.

443 अपरार्क p. 710, वीर० p. 272 ( first half ).

444-445 अपरार्क 711, टोडरानन्द ( reads निमज्जेत् अत्रचिद्विमाविते ), वीर० p.  
 273 ( has only 444 ), परा. मा. III. p. 186 ( has both and read<sup>s</sup>

12. निमज्जंस्तु स सचिद्विमाविते ), स. वि. p. 203 ( has 444 without name ).

( विषादिविधि )

अजाशृङ्गनिभ इयाम सुधीन शृङ्गलभयम् ।  
भङ्गे च शृङ्गवेराभ ख्यात तच्छृङ्गिणा विषम् ॥४४६॥  
रक्त तदक्षित कुर्यात्कटिन चैव तत्क्षणात् ।  
अनेन विधिना ज्ञेय दिव्य दिव्याविशारदै ॥४४७॥  
वत्सनाभनिभ पीत वर्णजनेन निश्चय ।  
शुक्तिशङ्खाकृतिर्मद्वे विद्यान्तद्वत्सनाभकम् ॥४४८॥  
मधुक्षीरसमायुक्तं स्वच्छं पुर्वीत तत्क्षणात्  
बाह्यमेव समारयात् रक्षणं धर्मसाधकं ॥४४९॥  
पूर्वांक्षे शीतले देशे विषं दद्यात् देहिनाम्  
घृतेन योजितं शृङ्गं पिष्टं त्रिशद्वर्गमेन तु ॥४५०॥  
विषस्य पलपद्माग्राद्भागो विंशतिमस्तु यः ।  
तमष्टभागहीनं तु शोण्ये देशे घृताप्लुतम् ॥४५१॥

( शेषदिव्यविधि )

स्वल्पेपराधे देवानां स्नापयित्वायुधोदकम् ।  
पात्र्यो विकारे चाशुद्धो नियम्य शुचिरन्यथा ॥४५२॥

( तण्डुलविधि )

देवतास्तानपानीयद्विष्ये तण्डुलभक्षणे ।  
शुद्धनिष्ठीवनाच्छुद्धो नियम्योशुचिरन्यथा ॥४५३॥  
अथप्रम्भाभियुक्तस्य पिशुनस्यापि कोशतः ।  
सदृष्टमभियोगं च दापयदभियोजकम् ॥  
द्विष्येन शुद्धं पुरुषं सत्कुर्याद्भार्मिको नृप ॥४५४॥

446 448 अपरार्क p 712 डोडरानन्द ( reads सुधीन ) वीर० p 274 ( has all three )

449 अपरार्क p 712 डोडरानन्द वीर० p 274

450 विता० on या II 111 अपरार्क p 712 परा मा III p 188 स नि p 206 and वीर० p 275 ( both read विशद्वर्गान्वितम् )

451 अपरार्क p 713 वि० on या II 111 परा मा III p 183 and वीर० p 274 ascribe it to नरद It is नरद ( कणाद्वन verso 323 )

452 अपरार्क p 714 डोडरानन्द वीर० p 279 ( reads पाशयित्वायुधोदकम् )

453 डोडरानन्द and स्व म p 83 वीर० pp 282 283

454 डोडरानन्द

शोणितं दृश्यते यत्र हनुचालं च सीदति ।  
 गात्रे च कम्पते यस्य तमशुभं विनिर्दिशेत् ॥४५५॥  
 अथ दैवधिसंवादातिप्रसत्ताह्वात्तु दापयेत् ।  
 अभियुक्तं तु यानेन तमर्थं दण्डमेव च ॥४५६॥  
 तस्यैकस्य न सर्वस्य जनस्य यदि तद्गृहेत् ।  
 रोगोभिर्ज्ञातिमरणमूर्धं दाप्यो दमं च सः ॥४५७॥  
 क्षयातिसारविस्फोटास्ताल्वस्थिपरिपीडनम् ।  
 भेषजगलरोगश्च तथोन्मादः प्रजायते ।  
 शिरोरुग्भुजमङ्गल्य दैविका व्याधयो नृणाम् ॥४५८॥  
 शतार्धं दापयेच्छुद्धमशुद्धो दण्डभागधेत् ॥४५९॥  
 धिये तोये हुताशे च तुलाकौशे च तण्डुले ।  
 तप्तमाषकदिल्ये च क्रमादण्डं प्रकल्पयेत् ॥४६०॥  
 सदृशं पद्मशतं धैव तथा पञ्च शतानि च ।  
 चतुस्त्रिद्वयेकमेवं च क्षीनं क्षीनेषु कल्पयेत् ॥४६१॥

( शपथविधिः )

यैत्रोपदिश्यते कर्म कर्तुरङ्गं न तूच्यते ।  
 दक्षिणस्तत्र धिक्तेयः कर्मणां पारगः करः ॥४६२॥  
 आचतुर्दशकादहो यस्य नो राजदैविकम् ।  
 व्यसनं जायते घोरं स श्रेयः शपथे शुचिः ॥४६३॥

- 455 टोडरानन्द (ascribes to both गृह्यसूत्रि and कात्यायन); अपराकं p. 715 and अथ. म. p. 83 ascribe to पितामह. It is नारद (अनुराधान 842)  
 456 अपराकं p. 715, स्मृतिच. III. p. 273 (reads दैवधिसंवादाः and, अभियुक्तं प्रसजेन), अथ. म. p. 83.  
 457 अथ. म. p. 88, अथवास्तव्य p. 229.  
 458 स्मृतिच. p. 273, अथ. म. p. 83 (reads ज्वरतीसारविस्फोटगृहस्थि.), अथवास्तव्य p. 229 (reads ज्वरती. as अथ. म. does).  
 459 मिता. on वा. II. 113, स्मृतिच. III. p. 288, परा. मा. III. p. 204 (reads न दण्ड दापयेच्छुद्धं न शुद्धो), टोडरानन्द ascribes to मनु and कात्यायन.  
 460-461 मिता. on मा. II. 113, स्मृतिच. III. p. 288, परा. मा. III. p. 204, टोडरानन्द (ascribes to मनु and कात्यायन).  
 462 वीर. p. 287.

( उन्मत्तस्वतन्त्रादिकृतानां विचारः )

उन्मत्तेनैव मत्तेन तथा भाषान्तरेण वा ।  
यद्वत्त यत्कृतं वाय प्रमाणं नैव तद्वदेत् ॥ ४६४ ॥  
अस्थतन्त्रकृतं कार्यं तस्य स्वामी नियतयेत् ।  
न भर्मा विपदेतान्यो भर्तोन्मत्तकृतादयो ॥ ४६५ ॥  
पितरऽस्वतन्त्रं पितृमान् धाता भातृव्य एव वा ।  
कनिष्ठो वाविभक्तस्यो दासः कर्मकरस्तथा ॥ ४६६ ॥  
न क्षेत्रगृहदासानां दानाधमनविक्रया ।  
मस्वतन्त्रकृता सिद्धिं प्राप्नुयुर्नानुषर्जिता ॥ ४६७ ॥  
प्रमाणं सर्वं एवेते पण्यानां क्रयविक्रये ।  
यदि सव्यवहारः ते कुर्वन्तोऽप्यनुमोदिता ॥ ४६८ ॥  
क्षेत्रादीनां तथैव स्युर्भ्राता भ्रातृसुतः सुतः ।  
निष्पृष्टा कृत्यकरणे गुरुणा यदि गच्छता ॥ ४६९ ॥  
निष्पृष्टार्थस्तु यो यस्मिन् तस्मिन्नर्थे प्रभुस्तु सः ।  
तद्गतां तत्कृतं कार्यं नान्यथा कर्तुमर्हति ॥ ४७० ॥  
सुतस्य सुतद्वाराणां वशित्वं त्वनुशासने ।  
विक्रये चैव दाने च वशित्वं न सुते पितुः ॥ ४७१ ॥

( निर्णयकल्पम् )

शुद्धिस्तु शास्त्रतत्त्ववैधिकित्सा समुदाहृता ।

प्रायश्चित्तं च दण्डं च ताभ्यां सा द्विविधा स्मृता ॥ ४७२ ॥

463 व्य म p 88 (reads वपये ) नीर० p 287, स्वव्यवहारतत्त्व p 229

464 स्मृतिच० III p 305, परा मा III p 216 (reads वाचान्तरण for भाषान्तरेण)

465 स्मृतिच० III p 300, स वि pp 501-502 (reads न दातां दिव देतान्यो )

466 श्रीमन्नन्द दी० p 128

467-471 स्मृतिच० III pp 307-309 परा मा III pp 217-219 (has all five except 470) दास्य नन्द (reads नापुत्रोदिता for नापुत्रजिता in 467) नीर० pp. 126-128

472 स्मृतिच० III p 300, स वि p 502

अनेकार्थाभियोगेपि यावत्सत्ताधयेद्धनी ।  
 साक्षिभिस्तद्वदेवासी लभते साधित धनम् ॥४७३॥  
 सर्वापलाप य दृष्ट्या मियोत्तरमपि सवदेत् ।  
 सर्वमेव तु दाप्य स्वादभियुक्तो वृद्धस्पति ॥४७४॥  
 पर धर्मासनस्थेन समेनेन धियादिना ।  
 कार्याणां निर्णयो हृदयो ब्राह्मणैः सह नान्यथा ॥४७५॥  
 व्यवहारास्वय दृष्ट्वा श्रुत्वा वा प्राट्पिवास्त ।  
 जयपत्र ततो दद्यात् परिज्ञानाय पार्थिव ॥४७६॥

( दण्डविधि )

राजा तु स्वामिने विप्र सान्त्वेनैव प्रदापयेत् ।  
 देशाचारेण चान्यास्तु दुष्टान् सपीड्य दापयेत् ॥४७७॥  
 रिश्चित्तं सुहृद् वापि च्छेदेनैव प्रदापयेत् ।  
 वणिज्ज पर्यन्ताद्यापि शिल्पिनश्चाग्रपीद्भृशु ॥४७८॥  
 धनदानासह बुद्ध्या स्वार्थीन कर्म कारयेत् ।  
 अशक्तौ बन्धनागार पवेदयो ब्राह्मणादते ॥४७९॥  
 कर्मज्ञान क्षयविदूषाण् समीहानास्तु दापयेत् ॥४८०॥

- 
- 473 मिता on या II 20 अपरार्क p 625 अथ मा p 312 (reads नाधिक धनम्) स्मृतिच० III p 283, परा मा III p 203 वि र p 48 वीर० p 132
- 474 अथ मा p 311 (reads मित्रपालमपि and दाप्य स्वादिति युक्तो) स्मृतिच० III p 283
- 475 स्मृतिच० III p 289
- 476 टाडरानन्द
- 477 मिता on या II 40 अपरार्क p 645 (reads स्वामिनो) स्मृतिच० III p 285 परा मा III p 200
- 478 मिता० on या II 40 (first half only) अपरार्क p 645 (first half only) परा मा III pp 200 and 256 स्मृतिच० III p 284 (first half) अपरार्क reads छेदनं च दापयत्
- 479 मिता on या II 26, अपरार्क p 633 (reads बद्ध्वा स्वामिन कर्म) स्मृतिच० III pp 292 and 390 परा मा III p 209
- 480 स्थानच० III p 384

आचार्यस्य पितुर्मातुर्बान्धवाना तथैव च ।  
 एतेषामपराधेषु दण्डो नैव विधीयते ॥४८१॥  
 प्राणालये तु यत्र स्यादकार्यकरणं कृतम् ।  
 दण्डस्तत्र तु नैव स्यादेव धर्मो भृगुस्मृत ॥४८२॥  
 न जातु ब्राह्मणं हन्यात्सर्वपापेष्ववस्थितम् ।  
 राष्ट्र्याच्चैनं बहिः कुर्यात्समग्रघनमक्षतम् ॥४८३॥  
 चतुर्णामपि वर्णानां प्रायश्चित्तमकुर्वताम् ।  
 शारीरघनसंयुक्तं दण्डं धर्म्यं प्रकल्पयेत् ॥४८४॥  
 येन दोषेण शूद्रस्य दण्डो भवति धर्मतः ।  
 तेन चेत्क्षत्रविप्राणां द्विगुणो द्विगुणो भवेत् ॥४८५॥  
 ब्रह्मज्याबलितं शूद्रं जपहोमपरायणम् ।  
 वधेन शासयेत्पापं दण्डेन वा द्विगुणं दमम् ॥४८६॥  
 सर्वेषु चापराधेषु पुत्रो योर्यदमं स्मृतं ।  
 तदथ योषितो द्युर्विधेः पुत्रोऽहं कर्तनम् ॥४८७॥  
 नास्वतन्त्राः स्त्रियो ब्राह्मणा पुमास्तत्रापराध्यति ।  
 प्रभुणा शासनीयास्ता राजा तु पुरुषं नयेत् ॥४८८॥  
 प्रेषितस्वामिका नारी प्रापिता यद्यपि ग्रहे ।  
 तावत्सा बन्धने स्थाप्या यावत्प्रत्यगात् प्रभुः ॥४८९॥  
 कल्पितो यस्य यो दण्डस्तत्पराधस्य यत्नतः ।  
 पणानां ग्रहणं तु स्यात्तन्मूल्यं वाथ राज्ञि ॥४९०॥  
 मापपादो द्विपादो वा दण्डो यत्र प्रवर्तितः ।  
 अनिर्दिष्टं तु विज्ञेयं मापकं तु प्रकल्पयेत् ॥४९१॥

481 स्मृतिच० III p 296 परा मा III p 206

482 स्मृतिच० III p 297 परा मा III p 211 (read दण्डं ह्यत्र)

483-484 परा मा III p 208 Varas 483 is मनु 8 380

485 स्मृतिच० III p 298 परा मा III p 211 ascribes to पितामह

486 स्मृतिच० III p 298 परा मा III p 212 ascribes to पितामह

487 स्मृतिच० III p 745 अ म प 246

488 स्मृतिच० III p 749

489 स्मृतिच० III p 749

490-492 स्मृतिच० III p 299 कृष्ण on मनु 8 319 reads 491 (latter



आधिभोगस्त्वशेषो यो वृद्धिस्तु परिकल्पित ।  
प्रयोगो यत्र धैव स्यादाधिभोग स उच्यते ॥५०१॥

( अकृतवृद्धि )

यो याचितकमादाय तमदत्त्वा दिश वजेत् ।  
ऊर्ध्वं सवत्सरात्तस्य तद्धनं वृद्धिमाप्नुयात् ॥५०२॥  
कृत्वाद्भारमदत्त्वा यो याचितस्तु दिश वजेत् ।  
ऊर्ध्वं मासत्रयात्तस्य तद्धनं वृद्धिमाप्नुयात् ॥५०३॥  
स्यदेशेऽपि स्थितो यस्तु न दद्याद्याचितं कश्चित् ।  
त ततोकारिता वृद्धिमन्तिच्छन्त च दापयेत् ॥५०४॥  
प्रीतिदत्तं न वर्धेत यावत्प्र प्रतियाचितम् ।  
याच्यमानमदत्तं चेद्वर्धते पञ्चकं शतम् ॥५०५॥  
निक्षिप्तं वृद्धिशेषं च क्रयविक्रयमेव च ।  
याच्यमानमदत्तं चेद्वर्धते पञ्चकं शतम् ॥५०६॥  
पण्यं गृहीत्वा यो मूल्यमदत्त्वेव दिश वजेत् ।  
ऋतुत्रयस्योपरिष्ठात्तद्धनं वृद्धिमाप्नुयात् ॥५०७॥

501 स्मृतिच० III p 360 ( reads वृद्धिं ह ), वि र p 12 वि चि p 4,  
वीर० p 295

502 मिता० ( on या II 38 ) स्मृतिच० III p 364 परा मा III p  
223, वि र p 16 ( reads ऋतुत्रयस्योपरिष्ठात् ) स वि p. 225,  
वीर० p 301

503 मिता० on या II 38 अपरार्कं p 642 ( reads ऋतुत्रयस्योपरिष्ठात् for  
ऊर्ध्वं &c ) वि र p 15 परा मा III p 223 वीर० p 302

504 मिता० on या II 38 अपरार्कं p 642 ( reads याचितोऽपि कृतं and 'त'  
मर्जाकारितो 'च्छवपि चानर्हेत् ), स्मृतिच० III p 364, वि र- p 113  
( reads याचितोऽपि कृतं and स ततोकारिता 'च्छवपि चानर्हेत् ), स वि र p  
225, वीर० p 302

505 स्मृतिच० III p 365, कुडूक ( on मनु 8 152 ), परा मा III p. 223,  
वि र p 15, स वि p 226

506 मिता० on या II 66 परा मा III p 224, वि र p 15 वीर० p  
302 वि चि p 6

507 स्मृतिच० III p 368 परा मा III p 224 वि र p 15, स वि  
p 225, वीर० p 302

चर्मसस्यास्यघृते पथ्यमूत्ये च सर्वदा ।

स्त्रीशुल्केषु न वृद्धिः स्यात्प्रातिमाव्यागतेषु च ॥५०८॥

( वृद्धे परिमाणं )

ग्राह्यं स्याद् द्विगुणं द्रव्यं प्रयुक्तं घनिनां सदा ।

लभते चेत्त द्विगुणं पुनर्गृहिं प्रकल्पयेत् ॥५०९॥

मणिमुक्ताप्रयालागं सुवर्णरजतस्य च ।

तिष्ठति द्विगुणा वृद्धिः फालकैटाविकस्य च ॥५१०॥

तैलानां चैव सर्वेषां मद्यानामथ सर्पिणाम् ।

वृद्धिरष्टगुणा ज्ञेया गुडस्य लवणस्य च ॥५११॥

कुप्यं पञ्चगुणं भूमिस्तथैवाष्टगुणा मता ।

सद्य एवेति वचनात् सद्य एव प्रदीप्यते ॥५१२॥

( रुणोदरणं )

( अनेकवर्गसमाये विधिः )

एकाद्वे लिखितं यत्तु तत्तु कुर्यादणं समम् ।

ग्रहणं रक्षणं लाभमन्यथा तु यथाक्रमम् ॥५१३॥

नानर्णसमवाये तु यद्यत्पूर्वकृतं भवेत् ॥

तत्तदेवामतो देयं राज्ञः स्याच्छ्रोत्रियादनु ॥५१४॥

यस्य द्रव्येण दत्तपण्यं साधितं यो विभावयेत् ।

तद् द्रव्यमृणिकेनैव दातव्यं तस्य नान्यथा ॥५१५॥

508 परा. मा. III. p. 225, स्मृतिच. III. p. 366, वि. र. p. 20, स. वि. p. 226, वीर. p. 304.

509 अपराधं p. 643, वि. र. p. 72, वीर. p. 338.

510 वि. र. p. 17, स. वि. D. 228, वि. वि. p. 8, व्य. म. p. 170.

511 स्मृतिच. III. p. 373, परा. मा. III. p. 228, वि. र. p. 19, स. वि. p. 227, वीर. p. 299, व्य. म. p. 170.

512 स. वि. p. 230.

513 अपराधं p. 645, स्मृतिच. III. p. 391, स. वि. p. 255, वीर. p. 339.

514 स्मृतिच. III. p. 390, स. वि. p. 255, वीर. p. 339.

515 अपराधं p. 645, स्मृतिच. III. p. 391, परा. मा. III. p. 259, स. वि. p. 255, वीर. p. 240.

( भाषि )

द्रव्यं गृहीत्वा वृद्धयर्थं भोगयोग्यं ददाति चेत् ।  
 जङ्गमं स्थावरं वापि भोग्याधि स तु कथ्यते ॥  
 मूल्यं तदाधिकं दत्त्वा स्वक्षेत्रादिकमाप्नुयात् ॥५१६॥  
 आधिमिकं द्वयोर्यस्तु कुर्यात्वा प्रतिपन्नयेत् ।  
 तयोः पूर्ववृत्तं ग्राह्यं तत्कर्तुं चारवण्डभाक ॥५१७॥  
 आधानं विक्रयो दानं लेख्यसाक्ष्यकृतं यदा ।  
 एकक्रियाविस्तृतं तु लेख्यं तत्रापहारकम् ॥५१८॥  
 अनिर्दिष्टं च निर्दिष्टमरुतं च विलेखितम् ।  
 विशेषलिखितं ज्याय इति कात्यायनोऽधीति ॥५१९॥  
 यो विद्यमानः प्रथममनिर्दिष्टस्वरूपकम् ।  
 आकाशभूतमादध्यादनिर्दिष्टं च तद्भवेत् ॥  
 यद्यत्तदाक्ष्यं विधेः तदादिष्टं निर्निर्दिष्टम् ॥५२०॥  
 यस्तु सर्वस्वमादिष्यं प्रारूप्य आधामचिह्नितम् ।  
 आदध्यात्तरुतं न स्याच्चिह्नितं चलवत्तरम् ॥५२१॥  
 मर्यादाचिह्नितं क्षेत्रं ग्रामं वापि यदा भवेत् ।  
 ग्रामादयश्च लिख्यन्ते तदा सिद्धिमवाप्नुयात् ॥५२२॥

516 स वि p. 234

517 स्मृतिच० III p. 237 परं मा III p. 234 (reads द्रव्ये कृशा दत्तका)  
 त्रि र p. 85 (reads आधाता for त कर्त ) स वि p. 237 ( reads  
 क्षेत्रं पतिभवेत् ), वीर० p. 312

518 स्मृतिच० III p. 338 परं मा III p. 234 स वि p. 237, वीर०  
 p. 312 इत्यकल्पतरु

519 स्मृतिच० III p. 338 परं मा III p. 235 ( reads अनिर्दिष्टाच्च  
 निर्दिष्ट ) स वि p. 237, वीर० p. 312 कल्पकल्पतरु

520 परं मा III p. 235 (reads प्रथममन।दष्टः आकाशभूतमानन) वीर० p.  
 313 स्मृतिच० III p. 238 (reads अन दिष्टः दध्यादिष्ट नेन तद्वत्तरं)

521 स्मृतिच० III p. 338 (reads कथं तु स्यात्) स वि p. 236 ( reads  
 आदध्यात् कथं तु स्यात् ) वीर० p. 313

522 स्मृतिच० III p. 336 स वि p. 236

आधीकृतं तु यत्किञ्चिद्विनष्टं दैवराजतः ।  
 तत्रणं सोदयं दाप्यो धनिनामधमणैः ॥५२३॥  
 न चेद्वनिकदोषेण निपतेद्वा त्रियेत वा ।  
 आधिमन्यं स दाप्यः स्यादणान्मुच्येत नर्णिकः ॥५२४॥  
 अकाममननुज्ञातमार्धि यः कर्म कारयेत् ।  
 भोक्ता कर्मफलं दाप्यो घृष्टिं वा लभते न सः ॥५२५॥  
 यस्त्याधि कर्म कुर्याणं वाचा दण्डेन कर्मभिः ।  
 पीडयेद्भर्त्सयेच्चैव प्राप्नुयात्पूर्वसाहसम् ॥५२६॥  
 बलादकामं यत्राधिमनिसुष्टं प्रवेशयेत् ।  
 प्राप्नुयात्साहसं पूर्वमाधाता चाधिमाप्नुयात् ॥५२७॥  
 आधि दुष्टेन लेख्येन भुङ्क्ते यमृणिकाञ्जनी ।  
 नृपो दमं दापयित्वा आधिलेख्यं विनाशयेत् ॥५२८॥  
 आधाता यत्र न स्यात्तु धनी घन्यं निवेदयेत् ।  
 राजस्ततः स विख्यातो विक्रेय इति धारणा ॥  
 सवृद्धिकं गृहीत्वा तु शेषं राजन्यथार्पयेत् ॥५२९॥

( प्रतिभूविधानम् )

दानोपस्थानयोरेषु विश्वासशपथाय च ।

लभकं कारयेदेवं यथायोगं विपर्यये ॥५३०॥

523 स्मृतिच० III p 321, वि र p. 27.

524 स्मृतिच० III p 323 ( reads निपतेद्विचियेत ), वि. र. p. 26, स. वि. p. 236 ( reads स चेद्वनिक० and सणिक for नर्णिक. ), वि. वि. p. 11, वीर० p 309

525 अपराकं p 659, स्मृतिच० III. p 326, परा.मा. III. p. 228, वि. र. p. 24, स वि p 235, वीर० 20 p. 308.

526 स्मृतिच० III. p 326, परा. मा III p 238, वि र. p. 26, वीर० p 308

527 स्मृतिच० III p 326, स. वि. p 235

528 स्मृतिच० III p. 329, स वि p. 24, वीर० p. 310.

529 अपराकं p. 658, स्मृतिच० III. p 333, परा मा. III. p. 241, वि. र. p. 34 ( reads यत्र नष्टः स्यात् ), स वि p 235, वि. वि. p. 11, वीर० p 317.

530 अपराकं p 655 ( reads "स्थानविश्वासविवादशपथाय ), स्मृतिच० III. p 347, परा मा III p 249, स वि p 247 ( reads दाक्षीपस्थान० ), वीर० p. 323.

दर्शनप्रतिभूर्यस्तं देशे काले न दर्शयेत् ।  
नियन्धमायदेत्तत्र दैवराजकृतादृते ॥५३१॥  
नष्टस्यान्वेयणार्थं तु देयं पक्षत्रयं परम् ।  
यद्यसौ दर्शयेत्तत्र मोक्षव्यः प्रतिभूर्भवेत् ॥५३२॥  
काले व्यतीते प्रतिभूर्यदि तं नैव दर्शयेत् ।  
स तमर्थं प्रदाप्य स्यात् प्रेते चैवं विधीयते ॥५३३॥  
गृहीत्वा यन्धकं यत्र दर्शनेस्य स्थितो भवेत् ।  
विना पित्रा धनं तस्माद् दाप्य स्यात्तद्वणं सुत ॥५३४॥  
यो यस्य प्रतिभूस्तिष्ठेदर्शनायेह मानव ।  
अदर्शयन्त तं तस्मै प्रयच्छेत्स्वधनाद्वणम् ॥५३५॥  
आधौ तु वितथे दाप्यौ तत्कालावेदितं धनम् ।  
उत्तरी तु विसंवादे तौ विना कस्तुतौ तथा ॥५३६॥  
एकच्छायाधिते सर्वं दद्यात्तु प्रोपिते सुत ।  
मृते पितरि पित्रां परणं न बृहस्पति ॥५३७॥

531 अपराकं p 655, स्मृतिच० III. p 349, वि र p 41, वीर० p 323, व्य म. p. 176 स. वि p 247 and वीर० also at pp 321 322 read देशे काले च दर्शयेत् and connect that half verse with यद्यसौ. भवेत् below

532-533 मिता on या II. 57, अपराकं p 656 ( only 532 ), स्मृतिच० III. p 348, वि र p 42, स वि p 248 ( reads काले व्यतीते and कथं चैव विधिः ), वीर० pp. 323 and 330, परा या III p 24 (reads काले प्रतीते) स्मृतिच० and वीर० also at p 323 reads काले प्रतीते

534 मिता० on या. II 54 अपराकं p 656 ( reads यस्तु दर्शनेस्य and विभाव्य वादिना तत्र दाप्यः ) परा या III 251, वि र p 43 ( follows अपराकं ), वीर० p. 328 स्मृतिच० III p 353 (reads यस्तु दर्शनप्रतिभू स्थित विभाव्य वादिना तत्र)

535-536 वीर० p 322 attributes to both कात्यायन and बृहस्पति वीर० p 325 attributes verse 536 to बृहस्पति परा या III p 250 and व्य. म. p 176 attribute 536 to बृहस्पति

537 स्मृतिच० III p 355, वीर०\* p 327 (says that this is the read ing<sup>2</sup> of the स्मृतिच०.)

एकच्छायाप्रविष्टानां दाप्यो यस्तत्र दृश्यते ।  
 प्रोषिते तत्सुतं सर्वं पित्रंशं तु मृते सुतः ॥५३८॥  
 प्रातिभाष्यं तु यो दद्यात् पीडितं प्रतिभावितः ।  
 त्रिपक्षात्परतः सौम्यं द्विगुणं लब्धुमर्हति ॥५३९॥  
 यस्यार्थे येन यदत्तं विधिनाभ्यर्चितेन तु ।  
 साक्षिमिर्भाषितेनैव प्रतिभूस्तस्त्वामुयात् ॥५४०॥  
 सत्यंकारनिर्गन्वादे द्विगुणं प्रतिदापयेत् ।  
 अकुर्वन्तस्तु तद्वानि सत्यंकारप्रयोजनम् ॥५४१॥  
 ( विशादिभिः वृत्तमृणं केन प्रतिदेयम् )  
 कुटुम्बार्थमशकेन गृहीतं व्याधितेन वा ।  
 उपतृणनिमित्ते च विद्यादापकृते तु तत् ॥५४२॥  
 पन्थावैषादिकं चैव प्रेतकार्ये च यत्कृतम् ।  
 एतत्सर्वं प्रदातव्यं कुटुम्बेन कृतं प्रभोः ॥५४३॥  
 कृणुं पुनवृत्तं पित्रा न देयमिति धर्मतः ।  
 देयं प्रतिधृतं यत्स्यात् यच्च स्यादनुमोदितम् ॥५४४॥  
 प्रोषितस्यामतेनापि कुटुम्बार्थमृणं कृतम् ।  
 दासस्त्रीमातृशिष्येयौ दद्यात्पुत्रेण वा भृत्यः ॥५४५॥

- 538 मिता० on या II 55 ( reads मृते एवम् ), परा मा III p 251, वि. र. p 52 ( reads त्रिपक्षेण मृतस्य च ), स वि p 250, वीर० p 327 ( विश्वमश मृते तु स )  
 539 अपराकं p 657, स्मृतिच० III p 357, परा मा. III p. 252 ( reads दण्डित for पीडित ), वि र p 45, वीर० p 328 ( ascribes to both कात्यायन and मू० )  
 540 अपराकं p 657, स्मृतिच० III p 356 ( reads विशादेभ्यार्थितेन ), वि र. p. 46 ( "भ्यर्चितेन" वीर० p 328 )  
 541 विश्वरूप on या II 63  
 542-543 अपराकं p 647, स्मृतिच० III p 408 ( reads अशकं तु, व्याधि-  
 , देयता ) परा मा III p 268, वि र p 56, वीर० p 352, स. वि.  
 p 253 ( changes the order of the lines )  
 544 स्मृ-नव० III p 408 409, वीर० p 353, स वि p 263 ( after  
 half ), वि र p 57 ( reads एव दत्तवर्जितम् )  
 545 अपर 6 p 648 ( reads दासस्त्रीमातृ- ), स्मृतिच० III p 407, परा.  
 मा III p 268 ( reads दत्तवर्जितं वा पित्रा ), वि र p 56, स वि  
 p 263

मर्त्रा पुत्रेण वा सार्धं केमलेनात्मना कृतम् ।  
 ऋणमेवंविधं देयं नान्यथा तत्कृतं स्त्रिया ॥५४६॥  
 मर्तुकामेन वा मर्त्रा प्रोक्ता देयमृण त्वया ।  
 अमपन्नापि सा दाप्या धनं यद्याश्रितं स्त्रियाम् ॥५४७॥  
 विद्यमानेषु रोगाते स्वदेशात्प्रोपितेषु वा ।  
 विंशत्संवत्सराद्देयं ऋणं पितृकृतं सुते ॥ ५४८ ॥  
 व्याधितोन्मत्तवृद्धाना तथा दीर्घप्रयासेनाम् ।  
 ऋणमेवंविधं पुत्राण् जीवतामपि दापयेत् ॥ ५४९ ॥  
 सांनिध्येपि पितु पुत्रैर्ऋणं देयं धिमावितम् ।  
 जात्यन्धपतितोन्मत्तक्षयश्चिजादिरोणि ॥ ५५० ॥  
 पितृणां सूनुभिर्जातिदीनेनैवाधमादृणात् ।  
 विमोक्षस्तु यतस्तस्मादिच्छन्ति पितर सुतान् ॥५५१॥  
 नाम्राप्तन्यवहारेण पितर्युपरते कञ्चित् ।  
 काले तु विधिना देयं वसेयुर्नरकेन्यथा ॥ ५५२ ॥  
 अप्राप्तव्यवहारेणैव स्वतन्त्रोपीह नर्णभाक् ।  
 स्वातन्त्र्यं हि स्मृतं ज्येष्ठे ज्येष्ठे (छन्दः ?) गुणवयःकृतम् ॥५५३॥  
 यद्दृष्टं दत्तशेषं वा देयं पैतामहं तु तत् ।  
 सद्योपं व्याहृतं पित्रा नैव देयमृण कञ्चित् ॥ ५५४ ॥

- 546 अपराकं p 649, स्मृतिच० III p 411, वि र p 60, वीर० p 353  
 547 स्मृतिच० III p 412 परा मा III p 270 (धन दद्यामुतो यथा), व  
 वि p 263 वीर० p 354  
 548 अपराकं p 650, स्मृतिच० III p 394 परा मा III p 263, व  
 वि p 256, वीर० p 342  
 549 अपराकं p 650, वि र p 51  
 550 अपराकं p 650, वि र p 51, परा मा III p 263, वि वि p 16,  
 स्मृतिच० III p 394 All except अपरा० ascribe to बृहस्पति  
 551 स्मृतिच० III p 393 परा मा III p 263, वीर० p 341  
 552 अपराकं p 650 स्मृतिच० III p 393 (reads 'न्यवहारेस्तु') वि र  
 p 54 ('न्यवहारेस्तु') परा मा III p 263  
 553 स्मृतिच० III p 393 (1st half) वीर० pp 340 341  
 554 अपराकं p 650, स्मृतिच० III p 393 (reads यद्यपि दत्तशेषं) वि र  
 p 48, वि र p 16

पित्रा दृष्टमृणं यत्तु क्रमायातं पितामहात् ।  
 निर्दोषं नोद्धृतं पुत्रैर्देयं पौत्रैस्तु तद्भृशम् ॥ ५५५ ॥  
 पैतामहं तु यत्पुत्रैर्न दत्तं रोगिभिः स्थितैः ।  
 तस्मादेवंविधं पौत्रैर्देयं पैतामहं समम् ॥ ५५६ ॥  
 ऋणं तु दापयेत्पुत्रं यदि स्यान्निरुपद्रवः ।  
 द्रविणार्हश्च धुर्यश्च नान्यथा दापयेत्सुतम् ॥ ५५७ ॥  
 यदेयं पितृभिनित्यं तदभावे तु तदधनात् ।  
 तद्धनं पुत्रपुत्रैर्षां देयं तत्स्वमिमे तदा ॥ ५५८ ॥  
 पित्रर्णे विद्यमाने तु न च पुत्रो धनं हरेत् ।  
 देयं तद्वनिके द्रव्यं मृते गृहंस्तु दाप्यते ॥ ५५९ ॥  
 पुत्राभावे तु दातव्यमृणं पौत्रेण सन्ततः ।  
 चतुर्थेन न दातव्यं तस्मात्तद्विनिवर्तते ॥ ५६० ॥  
 मातिनात्यागते पौत्रैर्दातव्यं न तु तत्कचित् ।  
 पुत्रेणापि समं देयमृणं सर्वत्र पैतृकम् ॥ ५६१ ॥  
 रिक्त्यहर्त्रा ऋणं देयं तदभावेऽद्य-योपितः ।  
 पुत्रैश्च तदभावेनैव रिक्त्यभ्याभिर्यथाक्रमम् ॥ ५६२ ॥  
 यावन्न पैतृकं द्रव्यं विद्यमानं लभेतसुतः ।  
 सुसमुद्धोऽपि दाप्यः स्यात्तावत्पौत्रैवाद्यमर्णिकः ॥ ५६३ ॥

555 अथार्क p. 651, स्मृतिच. III p. 397, वि. र. p. 48, स. वि. p. 258.

556 स्मृतिच. III p. 398, वि. र. p. 48

557 अथार्क p. 651, स्मृतिच. III. p. 394, परा. मा. III. p. 263, वि. र. p. 63, वीर. p. 351

558 स. वि. p. 258

559 स्मृतिच. III p. 395, परा. मा. III. p. 264, वीर. p. 344 ( reads पुत्रैस्तु दाप्यते )

560 स्मृतिच. III p. 399 ( तस्मात्तद्विनिवर्तते ), परा. मा. III. p. 264, वि. र. p. 49 ( reads विद्यमान and चतुर्थेन यदा दत्तं ), वीर. p. 342 ( reads पित्रभावे तु )

561 अथार्क p. 650, वि. र. p. 44.

562-563 विवरण on मा., II. 47.



लिखितं मुक्तकं वापि देयं यत्तु प्रतिश्रुतम् ।  
परपूर्वस्त्रियै यत्तु विद्यात्कामकृतं नृणाम् ॥५६४॥  
यत्र हिंसां समुत्पाद्य कोधाद् द्रव्यं विनाश्य वा ।  
उक्तं तुष्टिकरं यत्तु विद्यात्क्रोधकृतं तु तत् ॥५६५॥  
स्वस्थेनातनं वा देयं भाषितं धर्मकारणात् ।  
अदस्वा तु मृते दाप्यस्त्वस्तुतो नात्र संशयः ॥५६६॥  
निर्धनैरनपत्यैस्तु यत्कृतं शौण्डिक्यादिभिः ।  
तत्स्त्रीणामुपभोक्ता तु दद्यात्तद्वर्णमेव हि ॥५६७॥  
शौण्डिकव्याधजनकगोपनाविकयोपिताम् ।  
आधिष्ठाता कर्णं दाप्यस्तासां भर्तृकियास्तु तत् ॥५६८॥  
न च भार्याहितमृणं कथंचित्पत्युरामयेत् ।  
आपत्कृतादृते पुंसां कुटुम्भ्यार्ये हि विस्तरः ॥५६९॥  
अन्यत्र राजकव्याधगोपशौण्डिकयोपिताम् ।  
तेषां तु तत्परा वृत्तिः कुटुम्भं च तदाध्रयम् ॥५७०॥  
अमतेनैव पुत्रस्य प्रधना यान्यमाधयेत् ।  
पुत्रेषुवापहार्यं तद्धनं दुहिण्यभिर्बिना ॥५७१॥  
ऋणार्थमादरेत्तन्तुं न सुखार्थं कदाचन ।  
अयुक्ते कारणे यस्मात्पितरौ तु न दापयेत् ॥ ५७२ ॥  
वा स्वपुत्रं तु जह्यात्स्त्री समर्थमपि पुत्रिणी ।  
आहृत्य स्त्रीधनं तत्र पित्र्यर्णं शोधयेन्मनुः ॥ ५७३ ॥  
बालपुत्राधिकार्या च भर्तारं यान्यमाधितम् ।  
आधितस्तद्वर्णं दद्याद्बालपुत्राविधिः स्मृतः ॥ ५७४ ॥

564-565 अपरांक p. 648, स्मृतिनं III. p. 396, परा मा. III. p. 266

वि. र. p. 58, वि. वि. p. 17, स वि. p. 257, वीर. pp. 343-344

566 विवादचन्द्र, वि. वि. p. 16, (reads वृत्तिः) अ. म. p. 206.

567 अपरांक p. 652, वि. र. p. 62., अ. म. p. 187, वि. वि. p. 19.

568 वीर. p. 354.

569-570 वीर. p. 354 वि. वि. p. 19 ascribes these two to नारद.

अपरांक ( p. 649 ) also does so

571-573 वि. र. p. 65

574 अपरांक p. 654, स्मृतिनं III. p. 406 (reads ब्रह्मर यान्यं.), परा. मा.

III. p. 275 (reads अतर या.), स. वि. p. 203 (reads पुत्राधिकार्या

च ब्रह्मर and बालपुत्रादिविधुत्वं ), वीर. p. 355, वि. र. p. 66.

दीर्घप्रयासिनिर्वन्धुजहोन्मसार्तलिङ्गिताम् ।  
जीवतामपि दातव्यं तत्स्त्रीद्रव्यममाश्रितै ॥ ५७५ ॥  
व्यसनामिच्छते पुनः बालो वा यत्र दृश्यते ।  
द्रव्यहृदाप्येत तत्र तस्याभावे पुरन्धिहत् ॥ ५७६ ॥  
पूर्वं दद्यान्नग्राह पुत्रस्तस्मादनन्तरम् ।  
योपिद्ग्राह सुताभावे पुनो चात्पन्तनिर्धन ॥ ५७७ ॥  
देयं भार्याकृतमृणं भर्त्रा पुत्रेण मातृकम् ।  
भर्तुरर्थे कृतं यत्स्यादभिधाय गते दिशम् ॥ ५७८ ॥  
देयं पुत्रकृतं तत्स्याद्यच्च स्यादनुवर्णितम् ।  
कृतासवादितं यच्च धृत्वा वैचालचोदितम् ॥ ५७९ ॥

( अन्नमर्णिकस्वावरोधादिना घनाद्वास्विचारः ।

धार्योवरुद्धस्तृणिकं प्रकाशं जनसंसदि ।  
यावन्न दद्यादेयं च देशान्तरस्थितिर्यथा ॥ ५८० ॥  
विष्णुत्रयशङ्का यस्य स्यान्धार्यमाणस्य देहिताः ।  
पृष्ठतो यानुगन्तव्यो निवद्ध वा समुत्सृजेत् ॥ ५८१ ॥  
स कृतप्रतिभूश्चैव मोक्षव्यं स्याद्दिने दिने ।  
आहारकाले रात्रौ च निवन्धे प्रतिभू स्थितः ॥ ५८२ ॥  
यो दर्शनप्रतिभूश्च नाधिगच्छेत्त चाश्रयेत् ।  
स चारुके निरोद्धव्यं स्थाप्यो वावेत्त रक्षिणः ॥ ५८३ ॥  
न चारुके निरोद्धव्यं आर्यं प्रात्ययिकं शुचिः ।  
सोनियद्धं प्रमोक्तव्यो निवद्ध शपथेन वा ॥ ५८४ ॥

575 अपरार्क p 654 ( reads 'द्वयं समाश्रितै' ) वि र p 66, वि वि p 20

576 स्मृतिच० III p 402 वि र p 64 and वि वि p 18 ( read बाले ),  
वीर० p 351 ( reads बाले वा यत्र दृश्यते )

577 स्मृतिच० III p 403 वीर० p 351

578-579 स्मृतिच० III p 407 वीर० p 353 ( reads अविधाय and has  
578 only ) वि र p 59 ( has only 578 and reads अक्षयार्थे )

580 स्मृतिच० III p 384 वि र p 67, व्य म p 179 वीर० p 334

581 स्मृतिच० III p 384 वि र p 69 ( reads सता for शङ्का and  
निवध ) व्य म p 179 ( reads निवध ), वीर० p 334

582-584 स्मृतिच० III p 385, वीर० pp 434-435 ( reads चारु in  
582-584 ), वि र p 69 ( reads चानियद्ध and नियद्ध शपथेन )

पीडनेनोपरोधेन साधयेद्धनिक धनी ।  
 कर्मणा व्यवहारेण सान्त्वेनादौ विनिरित ॥ ५८५ ॥  
 भाददीतार्यमेव तु व्याजेनावरितेन च ।  
 कर्मणा श्रमविशूद्रात् समहीनाश्च दापयेत् ॥ ५८६ ॥  
 राजानं स्वामिनं विप्रं सान्त्वेनैव प्रदापयेत् ।  
 रिक्थिनं सुहृद् वापि च्छलेनैव प्रसाधयेत् ॥ ५८७ ॥  
 घणजं कर्षकाश्चैव शिल्पिनश्चाग्रणींस्तथा ।  
 देशाचारेण दाप्या स्युर्बुध्नन् सपीत्य्च दापयेत् ॥ ५८८ ॥  
 पीडयेत्तु धनी यत्र ऋणिकं न्यायवादिनम् ।  
 तस्मादर्थात्स हीयेत तत्समं चाप्नुयाद्धमम् ॥ ५८९ ॥  
 यदि ह्यादावनादिष्टमशुभं कर्म कारयेत् ।  
 माप्नुयात्तादृशं पूर्वमृणान्मुच्येत चणिक ॥ ५९० ॥  
 उद्धारादिकमादाय स्वामिने न ददाति यः ।  
 स तस्य दासो भूत्य स्त्रीं पशुर्वा जायते गृहे ॥ ५९१ ॥  
 ( उपनिधि )  
 कथं प्रोषितं निक्षिप्तं बन्धान्वाहितयाचितम् ।  
 वैश्यवृत्त्यर्पितं चैव सोर्धस्तूपनिधिं स्मृतं ॥ ५९२ ॥  
 निक्षिप्तं यस्य यत्किञ्चित्प्रयत्नेन पालयेत् ।  
 दैवराजकृतादभ्यो विनाशस्तस्य कीर्त्यते ॥ ५९३ ॥

585-586 वि र pp 68 and 71 वीर० p 333 (reads विनिरित and कर्षकाश्च ) remarks that कल्पदह read कर्मणा क्षम०

587-588 स्मृतिच० III p 384 वि र p 69, वीर० pp 333 334 वि वि p 21 अपरांकं p 645 ( has 587 and latter half of 588 and reads राजा तु and छलेन न च दापयेत् )

589 निता० on या II 40 स्मृतिच० III p 388 अपरांकं p 645, परा मा III p 258 वीर० p 336

590 वि र p 71 वीर० p 339 अपरांकं p 647

591 स्मृतिच० III p 376 परा मा III p 261 अथ न p 184 वीर० p 357

592 अपरांकं p 662 स्मृतिच० III p 5 वि र p 84 ( reads कथं प्रोषि तनिहितो ), वि वि p 26

593 स्मृतिच० III 419, वीर० p 363

यस्य बोधेण यत्किञ्चिद्विनाश्येत द्वियेत वा  
 तद् द्रव्यं सोदयं दाप्यो दैवराजकृताक्षिता ॥ ५९४ ॥  
 याचितानन्तरं नाशे दैवराजकृतेषु स ।  
 ग्रहीता प्रतिदाप्यः स्यान्मूल्यमात्रं न संशयः ॥ ५९५ ॥  
 न्यामादिकं परद्रव्यं प्रभक्षितमुपेक्षितम् ।  
 अज्ञाननाशेन चैव येन दाप्य स एव तत् ॥ ५९६ ॥  
 भक्षितं सोदयं दाप्य समं दाप्य उपेक्षितम् ।  
 किञ्चिद्व्युत्पन्नं प्रदाप्य स्याद् द्रव्यमज्ञाननाशितम् ॥ ५९७ ॥  
 अराजदेविकेनापि निक्षिप्तं यत्र नाशितम् ।  
 ग्रहीतुं सह भाण्डेन दातुर्नष्टं तदुच्यते ॥ ५९८ ॥  
 सात्या द्रव्यवियोगं तु दाता यत्र विनिक्षिपेत् ।  
 सघोषायविनाशेपि ग्रहीता नैव दाप्यते ॥ ५९९ ॥  
 ग्राहकस्य हि यद्दोषाश्रयं तु ग्राहकस्य तत् ।  
 तस्मिन्नेष्टे हते वापि ग्रहीता मूल्यमाहरेत् ॥ ६०० ॥  
 ग्राह्यस्त्वनिधिः काले फालहीने तु वर्जयेत् ।  
 कालहीनं ददद्दण्डं द्विगुणं च प्रदाप्यते ॥ ६०१ ॥

- 594 अपठार्क p. 683, स्मृतिच० III p. 419, वि. र. p. 89, वि. वि. p. 24, वीर० p. 364  
 595 स्मृतिच० III, p. 420 ( has not the words मूल्य ..मन्त्रय. ), वीर० p. 364 ( attributes to व्यास )  
 596 स्मृतिच० III, p. 420, परा. मा. III, p. 283, वीर० p. 364, वि. र. p. 89, वि. वि. 26.  
 597 निता० on मा. II 67, वीर० p. 364 ( attributes to व्यास and कात्यायन ), परा. मा. III p. 283 ( ascribes to व्यास ).  
 598 अपठार्क p. 683, स्मृतिच० III, p. 417, परा. मा. III p. 282, वि. र. p. 88, वीर० p. 363 ( reads राजदेविकचौरीर्वा निक्षिप्तं ).  
 599 स्मृतिच० III, p. 418, परा. मा. III, p. 283, वि. र. 89 ( read धर्षापप. ), वीर० p. 363  
 600 वि. र. p. 89, वि. वि. p. 24 ( first half ), वि. र. p. 89 has first half  
 601 अपठार्क p. 683, स्मृतिच० III p. 422, परा. मा. III, 286, वि. र. p. 88 ( reads फालहीने ), वीर० p. 367.

सर्वेषूपनिधिष्येते विधयः परिकीर्तिता ॥ ६०२ ॥  
 वैश्व संस्क्रियते न्यासो दिवसैः परिनिश्चितैः ।  
 तदूर्ध्वं स्यापयेच्छिल्पी दाप्यो दवद्वेतेपि तत् ॥ ६०३ ॥  
 न्यासदोषाद्विनाशः स्याच्छिल्पिपत्रं तत्र दापयेत् ।  
 दापयेच्छिल्पिशोपात्तरत्नं स्कारार्थं यद्वर्षितम् ॥ ६०४ ॥  
 स्वल्पेनापि च यत्कर्म नष्टं चेद्भुतकस्य तत् ।  
 पर्याप्तं दितस्तत्तस्य विनश्येत्तदगृह्यत ॥ ६०५ ॥  
 यदि तत्कार्यमुद्दिश्य कालः परिनिष्पद्य या ।  
 याचितोर्ध्वकृते तस्मिन्प्राप्ते न तु दाप्यते ॥ ६०६ ॥  
 प्राप्तकाले कृते कार्ये न दद्याद्याचितोपि सन् ।  
 तस्मिन्प्रेते ह्येते वापि ग्रहीता मूक्यमाहेरत् ॥ ६०७ ॥  
 याच्यमानो न दद्याद्वा दाप्यस्तत्सोदयं भवेत् ।  
 अथ कार्यविपत्तिस्तु तस्येष स्वाभिन्नोऽभवेत् ।  
 अप्राप्ते चैव काले तु दाप्यस्तर्ध्वकृतेपि तत् ॥ ६०९ ॥  
 यो याचितकमादाय न दद्यात्प्रतियाचित ।  
 स निगृह्य यलादाप्यो दण्ड्यश्च न ददाति य ॥ ६१० ॥

602 वि र p 92

603 604 स्मृतिच० III p 426 परा मा III p 288 वि र p 98  
 ( reads परिनिश्चितैः ) च म p 193 ( has only 603 and reads  
 परिनिश्चितैः and दैवद्वेतेपि त ) वीर० p 370 ( तदूर्ध्वं स्यापयन् शिल्पी and  
 दैवद्वेतेपि तम् )

605 स्मृतिच० III p 427, परा मा. III p 289 ( reads स्वल्पेनापि )  
 वीर० p 370 ( ascribes to मनु and कात्यायन ) वि र p 98

606 स्मृतिच० III p 427 परा मा III p 289, वि र p 93, वीर० p  
 371

607 स्मृतिच० III p 428, परा मा p III p. 290 ( reads तस्मिन्प्रेते भवेते ),  
 वि वि p 24 वीर० p 371.

608 स्मृतिच० III p 427

609 स्मृतिच० III p 428 परा मा III p 290 वि र p 93 ( reads  
 स्वकाले ) वीर० p 372.

610 अपार्ष्णिकं p 664 ( reads last pāda as दण्डेन च ददाति य ), स्मृतिच०  
 III p 429, वि र p 93, वीर० p 372, .

अनुमार्गेण फार्येषु अन्यस्मिन्वचनान्मम ।

दद्यात्स्वमिति यो दत्त स इहाम्वाचिरुध्यते ॥ ६११ ॥

(अस्वामिविक्रयः)

अस्वामिविक्रयं दानमार्धं च विनिवर्तयेत् ॥ ६१२ ॥

आभियोक्ता धनं कुर्यात्प्रथमं ज्ञातिभिः स्वकम् ।

पश्चादात्मयिद्युध्यर्थं क्रयं क्रेता स्वबन्धुभिः ॥ ६१३ ॥

नाष्टिकस्तु प्रकुर्वति तैद्धनं शत्रुभिः स्वकम् ।

अदत्तत्पक्षयिकीर्तं हत्वा स्यं लभते धनम् ॥ ६१४ ॥

प्रकाशं वा क्रयं कुर्यान्मूलं चापि समर्पयेत् ।

मूलानयनकालस्तु देवो योजनसंख्यया ॥ ६१५ ॥

प्रकाशं च क्रयं कुर्यात्साधुभिर्ज्ञातिभिः स्वकैः ॥

न सन्नान्या क्रिया प्रोक्ता दैविकी न च मानुषी ॥ ६१६ ॥

यदा मूलमुपन्यस्य पुनर्वादी क्रयं वेदेत् ।

आहरेन्मूलमेवासौ न क्रयेण प्रयोजनम् ॥ ६१७ ॥

असमाहार्यमूलस्तु क्रयमेव विशोधयेत् ।

विशोधिते क्रये राक्षा न दत्तव्यः स किञ्चन ॥ ६१८ ॥

611 वि. र. p. 84.

612 मिता. on वा II. 168 ( no name ), स्मृतिच. III. p. 499, परा. मा. III p 291, वि र p 104, स वि p 305 .

613 अपराधं p 777, स्मृतिच. III p 501 ( first half ), परा. मा. III. p. 200, वि र p. 106, वीर. p. 376 ( first half )

614 अपराधं p 777 ( reads अदत्त स्तत् ), स्मृतिच. III p. 502, परा. मा. III p. 294 ( first half and reads ननुभिः ), वि. र. p. 104, स. वि. p. 306, वीर. p 378.

615 मिता on वा II. 170 ( cites without author's name and reads देयस्तपस्वसंख्या ), अपराधं p. 776 ( reads मूल्यं ज्ञानि ), वि र p 101, स. प. p. 196 ( latter half )

616 अपराधं p 777, स्मृतिच. III p 503, परा. मा III p 298 ( reads साक्षिनिर्गतिभिः ), वि र, p 106

617 अपराधं p 776 ( reads पूर्ववद् ), स्मृतिच. III p 504, परा. मा. III, p 297 ( reads पूर्ववादी ), वि र. 101, वीर. p. 381.

618 अपराधं p 777 ( without author's name ), मिता. on वा. II. 170 ( first half without author's name ), वि. र. p. 106, स्मृतिच. III. p. 504 ( first half ).

अनुपस्थापन्मूलं कथं वाप्यविशोधयन् ।  
यथाभियोगं धनिने धनं दाप्यो दमं च स ॥६१९॥  
यदि स्वं नैव कुरुते ज्ञातेभिर्नाष्टिको धनम् ।  
पुनश्चापिनिवृत्त्यर्थं चोरवह्ण्डमर्हति ॥६२०॥  
वणिग्धीयीपरिगतं पिशातं राजपुरुषैः ।  
अविज्ञाताध्यात्कीतं विक्रेता यत्र वा मृतः ॥६२१॥  
स्वामी दत्तार्घ्यमूल्यं तु प्रगृहीत स्वकं धनम् ।  
अर्घं द्वयोरपहतं तत्र स्याद्व्यवहारतः ॥६२२॥  
अविज्ञातक्रयो दोषस्तथा चापरिपालनम् ।  
एतद्वयं समाख्यातं द्रव्यहानिकरं बुधैः ॥६२३॥

(सम्भूयसमुत्थानम्)

समवेतास्तु ये केचिच्छिलिपिनो वणिजोपि वा ।  
अविभज्य पृथग्भूते प्राप्तं तत्र फलं समम् ॥६२४॥  
भाण्डपिण्डव्ययोद्धारमारसार्थवीक्षणम् ।  
कुसुंस्तेज्यभिचारेण समयेन व्यवस्थिताः ॥६२५॥  
प्रयोगं कुर्वते ये तु हेमधान्यरसादिना ।  
समन्यूनाधिकैरशौर्लभस्तेषां तथाविधः ॥६२६॥  
यद्दूनां संमतो यस्तु दद्याद्भेदो धने नरः ।  
ऋणं च कारयेद्वापि सर्वैरेव कृतं भवेत् ॥६२७॥

- 019 मित्त० on मा. II 170 (notes as मनु's), वीर० p. 381, वि. र. p. 108, व्य. म. p. 197, स्मृतिच० III p. 504, परा. मा. III. p. 297. All these except the मित्त० ascribe to कात्या०.  
620 अपराकं 777 (ज्ञातुमिः for ज्ञातिभिः), स्मृतिच० III. p. 505, वि. र. p. 105, परा. मा. III p. 297  
621-628 स्मृतिच० III pp 507, 508, परा मा. III. pp. 297 and 300, वीर० p. 380, अपराकं p. 775 ascribes these to बृहस्पति, the व्य. म p. 197 and बृह्म on मनु 8. 202 ascribe 621-622 to बृहस्पति.  
624-625 अपराकं p 332. स्मृतिच० III. p. 431 and परा मा. III. p. 304 ascribes 625 to नारद.  
626-630 अपराकं p 332-338. All are ascribed to बृहस्पति in वि. र. p. 128, स्मृतिच० ascribes 626, 627, 630 to बृहस्पति, व. वि. p.

ज्ञातिसंवन्धिसुहृदाभृणं देयं सवन्धकम् ।  
 अन्वेयां लग्नकोपेत लेख्यसाक्षियुतं तथा ॥६५८॥  
 स्वेच्छादेयं हिरण्यं तु रक्षा धान्यं च सावधि ।  
 देशस्थित्या प्रदातव्यं ग्रहीतव्यं तथैव च ॥६५९॥  
 समवेतैस्तु यद्वत्तं प्रार्थनीयं तथैव तत् ।  
 न च याचेत य कश्चिद्भातात् परिहीयते ॥६६०॥  
 चोरत सलिलादग्नेर्द्रव्यं यस्तु समाहरेत् ।  
 तस्यांशो दशमो देयः तथैवादेष्टव्यं विधि ॥६६१॥  
 शिक्षकाभिश्चमुशला आचार्यश्चेति शिल्पिनः ।  
 एकद्वित्रिचतुर्भागाहरेयुस्ते यथोत्तरम् ॥६६२॥  
 परराष्ट्राद्धनं यस्याश्चौरैः स्वाम्यास्तदाहृतम् ।  
 राज्ञो दशांशमुद्धृत्य विमजेरन्यथाविधि ॥६६३॥  
 चोराणां मुख्यभूतस्तु चतुरांशांस्ततो हरेत् ।  
 शरैश्चास्त्रीन् समर्थो द्वौ शेषास्त्रयेकैरुमेव च ॥६६४॥  
 तेषां चेद्भ्रष्टृतानां यो ग्रहणं समयाभ्याम् ।  
 तन्मोक्षणार्थं यद्वत्तं यद्देयुस्ते यथांशतः ॥६६५॥  
 नर्तकामात्रेणैव धर्मः सद्भिर्ददाहृतः ।  
 तालशो लभते त्वर्धं गायनास्तु समाशिनः ।  
 प्रमुखा द्वयंशमर्हन्ति सोऽयं समूय धुर्यताम् ॥६६६॥

272 has 627 but cites no name, व्य म p 200 ascribes 627  
 to बुद्धस्वति परा मा III p 306 ascribes 627 and 630 to  
 बुद्धस्वति

631 स्मृतिच० III p 493, परा मा III, p 305, वि र p 114 ( सर्व-  
 प्रत्येभ्यः ), स वि p 278

632 अथर्वकं p 838, स्मृतिच० III p 435, परा मा III p 310 (reads  
 विष्वाकर्तृकुशला and यथांशतः ), वि र p 124, स वि p 278,  
 वीर० p 390.

633-34 परा मा III p 311, स्मृतिच० III p 440 वि र pp 125-  
 126, वीर० p 391, स वि p 276 ( only verse 633 )

635 स्मृतिच० III, p 431, परा मा III p 311, स वि p 276, व्य. म,  
 p 200, वीर० p 391, वि र, p 126 (reads तस्य प्राचीं चनां क्रिया)

636 परा. मा. III p 312, अथर्वकं ascribes first two half lines to  
 बुद्धस्वति and so do वि र, p 125, वीर० p 391, स वि p. 276.



घणिजा कर्पकाणा च चोराणा शिल्पिना तथा ।

अनियम्याशकृत्तृणा सर्वेषामेव निर्णय ॥६३७॥

( दत्तानपाहर्म दत्ताप्रदानिक वा )

विक्रय चैव दान च न नेया स्युरनिच्छद्य ।

द्वारा पुत्राश्च सर्वस्वमात्मनैः तु योजयेत् ॥६३८॥

आपत्काले तु कर्तव्य दान विक्रय एव वा ।

अन्यथा न प्रवर्तेत इति शास्त्रयिनिश्चय । ६३९॥

सर्वस्वनृदवर्जं तु कुटुम्बभरणाधिकम् ।

यद्द्रव्य तत्स्वकं देयमदेय स्यादतोऽन्यथा ॥६४०॥

भतश्च सुतद्वाराणा यशित्व त्वनुशासने ।

विक्रये चैव दाने च यशित्व न सुते पितु ॥६४१॥

स्वेच्छया य प्रतिश्रुत्य ब्राह्मणाय प्रतिग्रहम् ।

न दद्यादणवद्वाप्य प्राप्तुयात्पूर्वसाहसम् ॥६४२॥

प्रतिश्रुतस्यादानेन वस्तस्याच्छादनेन च ।

कल्पकोटिशत मर्त्यस्तिर्यग्योनौ च जायते ॥६४३॥

अविभातोपलब्ध्वर्थ दान यत्र निरूपितम् ।

उपलब्धिक्रियालप्प सा भृति परिकीर्तिता ॥६४४॥

637 परा मा III p 312 वि र p 126 व्य म p 201, बीर० p 391,  
स्मृतिच० III p 441

638-639 अपरार्क p 779 परा मा III p 316 ( आत्मयेव ) वि. र p  
128, and वि वि p 33 ( both read आत्मयेव ) स्मृतिच० III  
pp 445 446

640 स्मृतिच० III p 445 परा मा III p 214 वि र p 129 वि वि  
p 37 स वि p 283 बीर० p 395 All except स्मृतिच० read  
सर्वस्व शृद्वर्जं  
परा मा III p 315 स्मृतिच० III p 445 ( as स्थापनं )  
स्मृतिच० III p 449 वि र p 132 व्य म p 203 बीर० p 397  
स वि p 285

स वि p 285 वि र p 132 ascribes a closely similar verse to  
हारीत

स्मृतिच० III p 449 अपरार्क p 781 वि र p 185, बीर० p 397

प्रातिसंयन्धिसुहृदासृणं देयं सख्यकम् ।  
 अग्नेषां लग्नकौपेतं लेख्यसाक्षियुतं तथा ॥६५८॥  
 स्वेच्छादेयं हिरण्यं तु रसा धान्यं च सायधि ।  
 देशस्थित्या प्रदातव्यं प्रहीतव्यं तथैव च ॥६५९॥  
 समयेतैस्तु यदत्तं प्रार्थनीयं तथैव तत् ।  
 न च याचेत यः कश्चिद्दामास्त परिहीयते ॥६६०॥  
 चोरतः सलिलाद्भेदव्यं यस्तु समाहरेत् ।  
 तस्यांशो दशमो देयः सर्ववादेष्वप्यं विधिः ॥६६१॥  
 शिक्षकामित्रकुसला आचार्यश्चेति शिल्पिनः ।  
 एकद्वित्रिचतुर्भागान्द्वेयुस्ते यथोत्तरम् ॥६६२॥  
 परराष्ट्रादनं यत्स्यात्तैः स्वाम्पाश्रयादृतम् ।  
 राशौ दशांशमुद्धृत्य विभजेत्स्वधाविधिः ॥६६३॥  
 चोराणां मुख्यभूतस्तु चतुरोशांस्ततो हरेत् ।  
 शूर्पेशांस्त्रीन् समर्थो द्वौ शेषस्त्वेकैकमेव च ॥६६४॥  
 तेषां चेदप्रसृतानां यो ग्रहणं समयाभ्यात् ।  
 तन्मोक्षणार्थं यदत्तं वदेयुस्ते यथांशतः ॥६६५॥  
 नर्तकानामेव एव धर्मः सद्भिर्हृदादृत ।  
 तालहो लग्नते त्वर्थं गायनास्तु समांशिनः ।  
 प्रमुखा द्वयंशमर्हन्ति सोयं संभूय कुर्येताम् ॥६६६॥

- 272 has 627 but cites no name, व्य. म. p. 200 ascribes 627 to बृहस्पति परा. मा. III. p. 308 ascribes 627 and 630 to बृहस्पति  
 631 स्मृतिच. III p. 433, परा. मा. III p. 305, वि. र. p. 114 (सर्व-  
 दम्पैध्वनं), स. वि. p. 278  
 632 अपराध. p. 838, स्मृतिच. III p. 435, परा. मा. III p. 310 (reads  
 शिल्पाश्चतुर्दशस्य and यथांशतः), वि. र. p. 124, स. वि. p. 278,  
 वीर. p. 390.  
 633-34 परा. मा. III p. 311, स्मृतिच. III p. 440, वि. र. pp. 125-  
 126, वीर. p. 391, स. वि. p. 276 (only verses 633)  
 635 स्मृतिच. III. p. 441, परा. मा. III p. 311, स. वि. p. 276, व्य. म.  
 p. 200, वीर. p. 391, वि. र. p. 126 (reads तस्य कार्यं समा कियत्).  
 639 परा. मा. III. p. 312, अपराध. ascribes first two half lines to  
 बृहस्पति and so do वि. र. p. 125, वीर. p. 391, स. वि. p. 276,

घणिजा कर्पकाणा च चोराणा शिल्पिना तथा ।

अनियम्याशकर्तृणा सर्वेषामेव निर्णय ॥६३७॥

( दत्तानपाहर्म दत्ताश्रदानिक वा )

विक्रय चैव दान च न नेया स्मुरनिच्छय ।

द्वारा पुनाश्च सर्वस्यमात्मनैः तु योजयेत् ॥६३८॥

आपत्काले तु कर्तव्य दान विक्रय एव वा ।

अन्यथा न प्रवर्तेत इति शास्त्रादिनिश्चय । ६३९ ।

सर्वस्यगृहवज तु कुटुम्बभरणाधिकम् ।

यद्द्रव्य तत्स्यक देयमदेय स्यादतोन्मथा ॥६४०॥

भतश्च सुतद्वाराणा वशित्व त्वनुशासने ।

विक्रये चैव दाने च वशित्व न सुते पितु ॥६४१॥

स्थेच्छया य प्रतिश्रुत्य ब्राह्मणाय प्रतिग्रहम् ।

न दद्यादण्यद्वाप्य प्राप्नुयात्पूर्वसाहसम् ॥६४२॥

प्रतिश्रुतस्यादानेन दत्तस्याच्छादनेन च ।

कल्पकोटिशत मर्यास्तिर्यग्योनौ च जायते ॥६४३॥

अविज्ञातोपलब्ध्यर्थ दान यत्र निरूपितम् ।

उपलब्धिक्रियालब्ध सा भूति परिकीर्तिता ॥६४४॥

637 परा मा III p 312 वि र p 128 व्य म p 201, वीर० p 391,  
स्मृतिच० III p 441

638-639 अपरार्क p 779 परा मा III p 315 ( आत्मयेव ) वि र p  
128 and वि वि p 36 ( both read आत्मयेव ) स्मृतिच० III  
pp 445 446

640 स्मृतिच० III p 445 परा मा III p 214 वि र p 129 वि वि  
p 37 स वि p 283 वीर० p 395 All except स्मृतिच० read  
सर्वस्य गृहवजं

641 परा मा III p 315 स्मृतिच० III p 445 ( as स्मृत्यन्तरं )

642 स्मृतिच० III p 449 वि र p 132 व्य म p. 203 वीर० p. 397  
स वि p 285

643 स वि p 285 वि र p 132 ascri bes a closely similar verse to  
हारीत

644 स्मृतिच० III p 449 अपराक p 781 वि र p 131 वीर० p. 397

भयव्राणाय रक्षार्थं तथा कार्यप्रसाधनात् ।  
 अनेन विधिना लब्धं विद्याप्रत्युपकारतः ॥६४५॥  
 प्राणसंशयमापद्यो मामुच्चारयेदितः ।  
 सर्वस्य तस्य दास्यामीत्युक्तेऽपि न तथा भवेत् ॥६४६॥  
 कामक्रोधाद्येतन्त्रार्तद्वीर्योन्मत्तप्रमोदितैः ।  
 व्यत्यासपरिह्वासाद्य यद्दत्तं तत्पुनर्दरेत् ॥६४७॥  
 या तु कार्यस्य सिद्धयर्थमुत्कोचा स्यात्प्रतिष्ठिता ।  
 तस्मिन्पि प्रसिद्धेऽर्थे न देया स्यात्कर्षचन ॥६४८॥  
 अथ प्रागेव दत्ता स्यात्प्रतिदाप्यस्तथा बलात्  
 दण्डं चैकादशगुणमाहुर्गार्गीयमानवाः ॥६४९॥  
 स्तेनसाहसिकोद्बुद्धपारजायिकशंसनात् ।  
 दर्शनाद्बुद्धनष्टस्य तथासत्यप्रवर्तनात् ॥६५०॥  
 प्राप्तमेतैस्तु यार्त्किंचित्तदुत्कोचास्यमुच्यते ।  
 न दाता तत्र दण्डयः स्यान्मध्यस्थश्चैव दोषमाक् ॥६५१॥  
 नियुक्तो यस्तु कार्येषु स चेदुत्कोचमाप्नुयात् ।  
 स दाप्यस्तत्क्षणे कृतं दमश्चैकादशाधिकम् ॥६५२॥  
 अनियुक्तस्तु कार्यार्यमुत्कोचं यमवाप्नुयात् ।  
 कृतप्रत्युपकारार्थस्तस्य दोषो न विद्यते ॥६५३॥

645 अपरांक p. 781 ( reads प्रत्युपकारकम् ), स्मृतिच० III. p. 450, वि. र.  
 p. 184, वीर० p. 398 ( reads भयव्राणोपरक्षा० and लब्धं भयव्राणोपरक्षा  
 पनम् ).

646 अपरांक p. 781, स्मृतिच० III. 450, वि. र. p. 184.

647-649 अपरांक pp. 781-782, स्मृतिच० III. p. 452, परा. मा. III. p.  
 319, वि. र. p. 135-136, व्य. म. p. 205 ( reads यस्तु and उत्कोचः ),  
 वीर० p. 399.

650-651 अपरांक p. 782 ( reads पारजायिक० and पृतनष्टस्य ), स्मृतिच०  
 III. p. 452, परा. मा. III. p. 320 ( reads पारदारिकर्षणात् ), वि.  
 र. 121 ( reads पारदारिक० ), म. वि. p. 286 ( reads पारदारिक० and  
 and पृतनष्टस्य ), वीर० pp. 399-400, व्य. म. p. 205.

652-653 म. वि. p. 285.

स्वस्थेनार्तेन वा दत्तं भ्रावितं धर्मकारणात् ।  
 अदत्त्वा तु मृते दाप्यस्तन्सुतो मात्र संशयः ॥६५४॥  
 योगमधमनविकीर्तं योगदानप्रतिग्रहम् ।  
 यस्य दाप्युपधि पश्येत्तत्सर्वं विनिवर्तयेत् ॥६५५॥  
 भुतायनिश्चितायां तु दशभागमवाप्नुयात् ।  
 लाभगोवीर्यसत्त्वानां वणिग्गोपकृषीवलाः ॥ ६५६ ॥

(वेतनस्यानवाकर्म)

कर्मारम्भं तु यः कृत्वा सिद्धं नैव तु कारयेत् ।  
 बलात्कारयितव्योऽसायकुर्वन्पण्डमर्हति ॥६५७॥  
 विधायन्वाहको दाप्यः प्रस्थाने द्विगुणं भृतिम् ॥६५८॥  
 न तु दाप्यो हृतं चौरैर्दग्धमृद्धं जलेन वा ॥६५९॥  
 त्यजेत्पथि सहायं यः श्रान्ते रोगार्तेमेव वा ।  
 प्राप्नुयात्साहसं पूर्वं ग्रामे द्युहमपालयन् ॥६६०॥  
 यदा तु पथि तद्ग्राण्डमासिध्येत द्विपेत वा ।  
 यावानप्या गतस्तेन प्राप्नुयात्तावतीं भृतिम् ॥६६१॥

- 654 अपराकं p 782, स्मृतिच० III, p. 453, श्रिता on वा. II. 176, परा. मा. III p. 320, स. वि. p. 237,  
 655 अपराकं p. 783, स वि. p. 287 ascribes to नारदः स्मृतिच० III, p 454, वीर० p. 400 and परा. मा. III. p. 320 ascribe to मनु ( it is मनु 8. 165 )  
 656 स. वि. p. 296.  
 657 स्मृतिच० III. p. 478, परा. मा III, p 325 ( reads सर्वं for सिद्धं ), वि. र. p. 160 ( सर्वं ), स वि p 299, वीर० p. 416 ( reads सिद्धिं ).  
 658 स्मृतिच० III p. 478 ( reads द्विगुणं मृते ), परा. मा. III, p. 327, वीर० p. 418  
 659 स. वि. p. 300, अपराकं p 799, स्मृतिच० III, p. 475 and वीर० p. 418 ( ascribes to गृहमनु )  
 660 स्मृतिच० III. p 477, अपराकं p 800, परा. मा III p. 332, वि. र. p. 165, वीर० p 421  
 661 स्मृतिच० III, p 478, परा मा III. p. 329, वि र. p. 184, वि. वि. p. 50, वीर० p 419.

हस्त्यश्वगोखरोष्ट्रादीन्गृहीत्वा भाटकेन यः ।  
 नार्पयेत्तृतृत्यार्थं स तु दाप्य सभाटकम् ॥६६२॥  
 गृहवार्यापणादीनि गृहीत्वा भाटकेन यः ।  
 स्वामिने नार्पयेद्यावत्तावद्दाप्य सभाटकम् ॥६६३॥

(स्वामिपाननिषाद )

क्षेत्रारामाधिपतीतेषु गृहेषु पशुपादेषु ।  
 ग्रहणं तत्प्रविष्टानां ताडनं वा बृहस्पति ॥६६४॥  
 अधमोत्तममध्यानां पशूनां चैव ताडनं ।  
 स्वामी तु विषदेद्यत्र दण्डं तत्र प्रकल्पयेत् ॥६६५॥  
 व्रजातेष्वेव सस्येषु दुर्यादाचरणं मदात् ।  
 दुःपेनेह निवार्यन्ते लब्धस्वादुरस्ता मृगा ॥६६६॥  
 दापयेत्पणपादं गा ह्यो पादा महिषी तथा ।  
 तथाजादिकयत्सानां पादो दण्डः प्रकीर्तितः ॥६६७॥

( समप्रमाणपात्रम सविद्व्यातिनमो वा )

समूहिता तु यो धर्मस्तेन धर्मेण ते सदा ।  
 प्रकुर्युः सर्वकर्माणि स्वधर्मेषु व्यवस्थिता ॥ ६६८ ॥  
 धविरोधेन धर्मस्य निर्गतं राजशासनम् ।  
 तस्यैवाचरणं पूर्वं कर्तव्यं तु नृपाश्रया ॥ ६६९ ॥  
 राजप्रवर्तितान्धर्मान्यो नरो नानुपालयेत् ।  
 गर्ह्यं स पापो दण्ड्यश्च लोपयन्राजशासनम् ॥६७०॥

662-663 स्मृतिच० III p 479 परा मा III pp 330 31, वि र pp 168 169 अपराक० p 801 ( 663 only ) वीर० p 420

664 स्मृतिच० III p 487, वि र p 241 वि वि p 69

665 स्मृतिच० p 483, अपराक० p 672, वि र p 241 वि वि p 69

666 स्मृतिच० III p 488 अपराक० p 770 परा मा III p 378 वीर० p 448

667 स्मृतिच० III p 494 ( first half ) वि र p 235, वि वि p 67

668 स्मृतिच० III, p 525 वि र p 180 and वीर० p 426 ( read समूहानां ) परा मा III 1 303 ( also समूहानां )

669-670 स्मृतिच० III pp 525 526 वि र 1 181 वीर० p 426

युक्तियुक्तं च यो हन्याद्वक्तृयोऽनवकाशदः ।  
 अयुक्तं चैव यो व्रूते स दाप्यः पूर्वताद्वसम् ॥ ६७१ ॥  
 साहसी भेदकारी च गणद्रव्यविनाशकः ।  
 उच्छेद्याः सर्व एवेति विख्याप्यैवं नृपे भृशः ॥ ६७२ ॥  
 एकपात्रे च वा पक्ष्स्यां संभोक्ता यस्य यो भवेत् ।  
 भक्षुर्वस्तुतया दण्डयस्तस्य दोषमदर्शयत् ॥ ६७३ ॥  
 गणमुद्दिश्य यत्किञ्चित्कृत्यर्णं भक्षितं भवेत् ।  
 आत्मार्थं विनियुक्तं वा देयं तैरेव तद्भवेत् ॥ ६७४ ॥  
 गणातां श्रेणियर्गणां गताः स्फुर्ये तु मध्यताम् ।  
 प्राक्तनस्य धनर्णस्य समांशा सर्व एव ते ॥ ६७५ ॥  
 तथैव भोज्यवैभोज्यदानधर्मक्रियासु च ।  
 समूहस्थौंशभागी स्यात्प्रगतस्त्वंशभादन तु ॥ ६७६ ॥  
 यत्तैः प्राप्तं रक्षितं वा गणार्थं वा कर्णं कृतम् ।  
 राजप्रसादलब्धं च सर्वेषामेव तत्समम् ॥ ६७७ ॥

(नैगमादिगणलक्षणम्)

नानापीरसमूहस्तु नैगमः परिकीर्तितः ।  
 नानायुवधरा वाता समवेताः प्रकीर्तिताः ॥ ६७८ ॥

- 671 अपराकं p 795, स्मृतिच० III p 527, परा मा III p 354 (हन्यासः कार्यान्वकाशदः), वीर० p 428, वि र p 179  
 672 अपराकं p 693, स्मृतिच० III p. 530 (reads वृषेर्युः and nokase the reading वृषेभृशः), वि र p 183, वीर० p. 428.  
 673 अपराकं p 794, वि र p 185, वि वि. p. 54, स. वि p 330 (reads पक्ष्स्या वा न भोक्ता येन यो भवेत्। अणुर्वै स तया).  
 674 अपराकं p 795 (reads ये कैश्चित्), स्मृतिच० III p. 533, परा. मा. III p 359, स वि. p 181 वि र p 187, वि वि. 55.  
 675-676 अपराकं p 795 (reads भोज्य नैगम्यं धनं), स्मृतिच० III p 533 (reads गणिनी शिन्धियर्गणा and नैगम्यं), परा मा III. pp. 359-360 (reads प्राक्तनस्वाधनपैस्य, भोजनैर्गणैश्च and प्रगतस्त्वंशभाभाक्), वि. र. pp 187-188, वीर० p. 432 (reads नैगम्य and प्रगतः)  
 677 स. वि p. 330.  
 678-682 वि र pp. 668 669, स्मृतिच० III p. 524 and वीर० p. 428 and परा मा III p. 352 have latter half of 678 and first

समूहो यमिजादीनां पूगः संपरिकीर्तितः ।  
 प्रयज्यावसिता ये तु पापण्डाः परिकीर्तिताः ॥ ६७९ ॥  
 ब्राह्मणानां समूहस्तु गणः संपरिकीर्तितः ।  
 शिल्पोपजीविनो ये तु शिल्पिनः परिकीर्तिताः ॥ ६८० ॥  
 ब्राह्मणसौगठानां तु समूहः सह उच्यते ।  
 चाण्डालभ्यपचादीनां समूहो गुल्म उच्यते ॥ ६८१ ॥  
 गणपापण्डपूगाश्च प्राताश्च धेणयस्तथा ।  
 समूहस्याश्च ये चान्ये वर्गाख्यास्ते बृहस्पतिः ॥ ६८२ ॥  
 ( कयवित्यानुशयः क्रीत्वानुशयो विष्मयः संप्रदानं वा )  
 क्रीत्वा प्राप्तं न गृहीयाद्यो न दद्याद्दूषितम् ।  
 स मूल्याद्दशमं भागं दत्त्वा स्वद्रव्यमामुयात् ॥ ६८३ ॥  
 अप्राप्तैर्यक्रियाकाले हृते नैव प्रदापयेत् ।  
 एवं धर्मो दशाष्टाक्षु परतोनुशयो न तु ॥ ६८४ ॥  
 भूमेर्दशाष्टे विक्रेतुरायस्तत्क्रेतुरेव च ।  
 द्वादशाष्टः सपिण्डानामपि चाल्पमतः परम् ॥ ६८५ ॥  
 क्रीत्वानुशयवान्पण्यं त्यजेद्दोषादि यो नरः ।  
 अदुष्टमेव काले तु स मूल्याद्दशमं गृहेत् ॥ ६८६ ॥  
 क्रीत्वा गच्छन्ननुशयं कथी हस्तमुपागते ।  
 पञ्चभागं तत्र मूल्यस्य दत्त्वा क्रीतं त्यजेद्दुष्टम् ॥ ६८७ ॥  
 अविशतं तु यत्क्रीतं दुष्टं पश्चाद्विभाषितम् ।  
 क्रीतं तत्स्वामिने देयं काले चैव न्यथा न तु ॥ ६८८ ॥

half of 680 ( they read कुलनां द्वि सप्तदशम् ). स्मृतिच. III p. 40 has 682, latter half of 678 and first half of 680.

683-684 स्मृतिच. III. p 511, वि. र pp 191-192, परा मा. III. p 367, स. वि. p 310 (reads दशाष्टं तु), वि. वि. 56 (अप्राप्तैर्ये क्रियाकाले), वि. र also reads अप्राप्तैर्ये क्रियाकाले.

685 परा. मा. III. p. 364

686 स्मृतिच. III. p 512, वि. र p 197, स. वि. p 311 ( क्रीत्वानुशयवान् ), वि. र p 435 ( reads क्रीत्वा चानुशयं पश्चात्पण्येद्दोषाद्विभाषितम् )

687 स्मृतिच. III. p 512, वि. र. p 197 ( reads त्यजेद्दुष्टम् ), वि. र. p. 485.

688 स्मृतिच. III. p 518, परा मा. III p 361, वि. र p 199 ( reads देयं पण्यं कालेन्यथा न तु ), स. वि. p 217, वि. र p 438



निर्दोषं दर्शयित्वा तु यः सन्तोषं प्रयच्छति ।  
 मूल्यं तद् द्विगुणं दाप्यो विनयः तावदेव च ॥६८९॥  
 उपहस्येत वा पण्यं दह्येतापह्नयेत वा ।  
 विक्रेतुरेव सोनर्थो विक्रीयासप्रयच्छतः ॥६९०॥  
 दीयमानं न गृह्णाति क्रीतं पण्यं च यः कुर्यात् ।  
 विक्रीतं च तदन्यत्र विक्रेता नापराधुयात् ॥६९१॥  
 मत्तोन्मसेन विक्रीतं द्वीनमूल्यं भयेन वा ।  
 अस्वतन्त्रेण मुग्धेन त्याज्यं तस्य पुनर्भवेत् ॥६९२॥  
 स्पृष्टं दोहं परीक्षितं पञ्चाह्नाह्नाहमेव तु ।  
 मुक्ताध्वजप्रवालानां सप्ताहं स्वात्मपरीक्षणम् ॥६९३॥  
 द्विपदमर्भमासं तु पुंसां तद्विगुणं स्त्रियाः ।  
 दशाहं सर्ववीजानामेकाहं लोहवाससाम् ॥६९४॥  
 अतोर्वीरगण्यदोषस्तु यदि सञ्जायते कश्चित्  
 विक्रेतुः प्रतिदेयं तत् क्रेता मूल्यमवाप्नुयात् ॥६९५॥  
 परिभुक्तं तु यद्वाप्तं क्लिष्टरूपं मलीमसम् ।  
 सन्तोषमपि तत्क्रीतः विक्रेतुर्न भवत्पुनः ॥६९६॥  
 साधारणं तु यत्क्रीतं नैको दद्यान्पराधमः ।  
 नादद्यान्न च गृह्णीयाद्विक्रीयाच्च न चैव हि ॥६९७॥  
 क्रीत्वा मूल्येन यत्पण्यं दुष्क्रीतं मन्थते कुर्यात् ।  
 विक्रेतुः प्रतिदेयं तत्तस्मिन्नेवाह्वयवीक्षितम् ॥६९८॥

689 690 स वि p 311

691 म वि p 311 वि वि p 57 ( no name cited )

692 स वि p 313 वीर० 441 and वि वि p 57 ascribe to बृहस्पति

693 695 उ वि p 316 वि र p 199 ascribes first two to नारद  
 and third to बृहस्पति वि वि p 57 ascribes first two to व्यास  
 and नारद and the third ( p 58 ) to बृहस्पति परा मा III p  
 361 ascribes all three to व्यस and वीर० p 433 ascribes all  
 to नारद The first two verses are Nārada 12 5-6

696 उ वि p 317 परा मा p 361 and स्व म p 217 ascribe it to  
 नारद ( it is नारद 12 7 )

697-699 म वि p 317 परा मा III p 363 ascribes 698 to नारद

द्वितीयेद्वि ददत् मेता मूल्यात् त्र्यंशंशमाहरेत् ।  
 द्विगुणं तु तृतीयेद्वि परतः केतुरेध तत् ॥७९९॥  
 द्रव्यस्थं पञ्चधा कृत्वा विभागो मूल्यमुच्यते ।  
 लाभश्चतुर्यो भागः स्यात् पञ्चमा सत्यमुच्यते ॥७००॥  
 सान्धिश्च परिवृत्तेश्च विपमा वा विमोगतः ।  
 आश्रयापि क्रयश्चापि दशाष्टं विनिर्जतेत् ॥७०१॥  
 शाल्यादीनिननुज्ञाप्य समीपस्थाननिन्दितान् ।  
 क्रयविक्रयधर्मोपि भूमेर्नास्तीति निर्णयः ॥७०२॥  
 स्वग्रामे दशरात्रं स्यादन्यग्रामे त्रिपक्षकम् ।  
 राष्टान्तरेषु पण्मासं भाषाभेदे तु यत्सरम् ॥७०३॥  
 पलायिते तु करदे करप्रतिमुषा सह ।  
 करार्थं करदक्षेत्रं विक्रीणीयुः समास्तदः ॥७०४॥  
 समवेतैस्तु सामन्तैरभिज्ञैः पापमीठभिः ।  
 क्षेत्रारामगृहादीनां द्विपदां च चतुष्पदाम् ॥७०५॥  
 कल्पितं मूल्यमित्याहुर्भागं कृत्वा तदष्टधा ।  
 एकभागातिरिक्तं वा हीनं वानुचितं स्मृतम् ॥७०६॥  
 समाः शतमतीतेषु सर्वे तद्विनिर्जते ।  
 क्रयविक्रयेण रूप्यं वन्मूल्यं धर्मतोर्हति ॥७०७॥  
 तत्तुर्यं पञ्चमे षष्ठे सप्तमेशेषेषु वा ।  
 हीनोऽनेन यदि विनिर्जते त्रयविशयणे सति ॥७०८॥  
 हीनमूल्यं तु तत्सर्वं कृतमप्यकृतं भवेत् ॥  
 उक्तादल्पतरे हीने क्रये (यो ?) नैव प्रदुष्यति ॥७०९॥  
 तेनाप्यंशेन हीयेत मूल्यतः क्रयविक्रये ।  
 कृतमप्यकृतं प्राहुरन्ये धर्मविदो जना ॥७१०॥

( 15 18 नारद 12 2 )

700 अ. वि. p. 318

701 अ. वि. p. 320

702-703 अ. वि. p. 322

704 अ. वि. p. 324

705-706 अ. वि. p. 325

707-710 अ. वि. pp. 325-26

अर्धाधिके कथं तिथ्येदुकलामो वशाधिकः (द्विक) ।  
 अथत्रयस्त्रिभिर्भागेन [भोगेन] सद्यःपय मयिकथं ॥७११॥  
 मूल्यात्स्वल्पप्रदानेपि कथं तिथिः कृताः मयेत् ।  
 अथपुनश्चर्चाप्रदातव्यं वेद्यं तत्समयादते ॥७१२॥  
 (अभ्युपेत्याहुः)

यस्तु न ग्राहयेच्छित्त्य कर्माप्यन्यानि कारयेत् ।  
 ग्राहयान्तादसं पूर्णं तस्माच्छिष्योऽतिवर्तते ॥७१३॥  
 शिक्षितोऽपि त्रितय काममन्तेवासी समान्नरेत् ।  
 तत्र कर्म स यत्तु र्यादाचार्यस्यैव सत्फलम् ॥७१४॥  
 स्वतन्त्रस्यात्मनो दानादासत्यं दारयद्भुगु  
 त्रिषु वर्णेषु मिश्रं दास्य चिप्रस्य न कश्चित् ॥७१५॥  
 वर्णात्तामानुलभ्येन दास्य न प्रतिष्ठाप्यतः ।  
 राजान्यमैश्वर्याणां स्वजतां हि स्वतन्त्रताम् ॥७१६॥  
 समग्रैर्वापि त्रिभिः तु दास्य नैव कारयेत् ।  
 ग्राह्यतस्तु हि दास्यत्वाभृपतेर्जो विद्वन्मते ॥७१७॥  
 त्रयस्त्रिदशभ्रमस्तु समग्रैर्वापि कर्त्तव्यम् ।  
 कारयेद्दास्यवर्माणि ग्राह्यं न वृद्धस्पति ॥७१८॥

711 स वि p 326

712 स वि p 327

713 अपराधं p 790 स्मृतिच० III p 457, परा मा III 1838, वि र p. 141, बीर० p 403, स वि p 290

714 स वि p 290, स्मृतिच० III p. 457 ( शिक्षितोऽपि हतः स्वतन्त्रः ) :  
 बीर० p 408 ascribes to नारद and reads as स्मृतिच० 18008

715 अपराधं p 788, स्मृतिच० III p 460, वि र p 152 अथ, अथ PP 206 207, बीर० p 405

716 अपराधं p 788 स्मृतिच० III p-461 ( वर्णकथनम् ) परा मा. III p 341 वि र p 152 स वि p 296 ( reads the lines त्रिषु वर्णेषु and वर्णकथनम् as one verse )

717-718 अपराधं p 789 ( puts verse 718 between the two halves of 717 ) स्मृतिच० III pp 461 62 ( reads समग्रैर्वापि ), परा मा III : 342 ( reads अपराधं तु विप्रस्य and अथाव्ययनमथ ) वि र I 152 ( order like that in अपराधं ) अथ स p 207 and बीर० I 467 ( omit the line अथाव्ययनम् &c )

शीलाभ्ययनसपत्ने तदून कर्म कामत ।  
 तत्रापि नाशुभ किञ्चित्प्रयुज्येत द्विजोत्तम ॥७१९॥  
 विष्णुवोन्मार्जनं चैव नम्रत्वपरिमर्दनम् ।  
 प्रायो दासीसुता कुर्युर्गवादिग्रहण च यत् ॥७२०॥  
 प्रमज्यावसिता यत्र प्रयो वर्णा द्विजादयः ।  
 निर्वासं कारयेद्विभ्रं दासत्वं क्षत्रविद् नृप ॥७२१॥  
 शूद्रं तु कारयेद्दासः क्रीतमक्रीतमेव वा ।  
 दास्यायैव हि सृष्ट स स्वयमेव स्वयम्भुवा ॥७२२॥  
 स्वदासीं यस्तु संगच्छेत्प्रसूता च भवेत्तत ।  
 अवेक्ष्य पीज कार्या स्यात्तदासी तान्यया तु सा ॥७२३॥  
 दासस्य तु धन यत्स्यात्स्वामी तस्य प्रभु स्मृत ।  
 प्रकाश विक्रयाद्यस्तु न स्वामी धनमर्हति ॥७२४॥  
 दासेनोडा त्वदासी या सापि दासीत्वमाप्नुयात् ।  
 यस्माद्भर्ता प्रमुस्तस्या स्वाभ्यधीन प्रभुर्यत ॥७२५॥  
 आदद्याद् द्राह्मणीं यस्तु विनोणीत तथैव च ।  
 राश तद्वद्वत कार्यं वण्ड्या स्यु सर्व एव ते ॥७२६॥

719 स्मृतिच० III 461, वि र p 152 वि वि p 47

720 वि. र p 144

721 अपरांक p 787, स्मृतिच० III. p 466 ( reads क्षत्रविद्वन्मृत् ), परा  
 या III p. 345 ( दासत्वं क्षत्रिय विषा ) स वि p 293, वि वि pp  
 43-44 अ म 207 ( क्षत्रिय सृष्ट ) वीर० p 406 ( विद्व मृत् )

722 स वि p 296 This is मनु 8 413 ( which reads माद्वानस्य  
 स्वयम्भुवा )

723 स्मृतिच० III. p 468 ( स्वयं दासी ) वि र p 148, अ म p. 210,  
 वीर० p 412.

724 स्मृतिच० III p 469 ( first half ), वि र p. 150 वि वि p 40  
 ( reads प्रसादविक्रयात् ) अ म p 211 and वीर० 413 ( first  
 half only )

725 स्मृतिच० III p 469 स वि p 104 ( यस्माद्भर्ता and प्रमुस्तयो ), वि  
 वि 46, अ म p 211, वीर० p 412.

726-729 अपरांक p 789 ( reads महादासी for अदासी स and भक्तदासी )

कामाक्षु सञ्चिता यस्तु दासीं कुर्यात्कुलस्त्रियम् ।  
 सक्रामयेत् धान्यं दण्ड्यस्तद्व्यावृत्त भवेत् ॥ ७२७ ॥  
 बालधारीमदासीं च दासीमिव भुनक्ति यः ।  
 परिचारकपत्नीं वा प्रामुयात्पूर्वसाहसम् ॥ ७२८ ॥  
 यिक्त्रोशमना यो भक्ता दासीं विरेतुमिच्छति ।  
 अनापदिस्थ शक सन्ना, याद् द्विशत, वमम् ॥ ७२९ ॥  
 तथाहमिति चात्मानं योऽस्वतन्त्रं प्रयच्छति ।  
 न स त प्रामुयात्कामं पूर्वस्वामी लभेत् तम् ॥ ७३० ॥  
 प्रमज्यावसितो दासो मोक्षव्यध न केनचित् ।  
 अनाकालमृतो दास्यान्मुच्यते गोयुगं ददत् ॥ ७३१ ॥

( सीमाविवादः )

आधिपत्यं न्यूनता चारो अस्तिनास्तित्यमेव च ।  
 भयोगमुक्तिः सीमा च पदं भूयादस्य हेतवः ॥ ७३२ ॥  
 तस्मिन्भोगं प्रयोक्तव्यं सर्वसाक्षिषु तिष्ठति ।  
 छेप्याकृद्भेतरश्च साक्षी मार्गद्वयान्वितः ॥ ७३३ ॥  
 क्षेत्रयास्तुतदागेषु कूपोपवनसेतुषु ।  
 द्वयोर्विधादे सामन्तं प्रत्ययं सर्ववस्तुषु ॥ ७३४ ॥  
 सामन्तभावेऽसामन्तैः कुर्यात्क्षेत्रादिनिर्णयम् ।

वि र p 154 155 ( roads भुक्ता for मर्का ) वि वि 47, न म  
 p 203, बीर० p 413.

780 छ वि p 294, वि वि p 44 (no name) बीर० p 411 (ascribes  
 to नादः )

781 च वि p 293 The two halves seem to be parts of different  
 verses

782 दिष्टा० on या II 150 एष्टिप० III p 544 वि र p 201 (भोगे  
 मुक्तिः), बीर० p 451, अपराधं p 759

783 अपराधं p 759 वि र, p 203 ( स च साक्षिषु ).

784, वि र p. 207

785 अपराधं p 760 बीर० p 456 (समस्तमर्काःसावन्तैः), पत. मा. III, p. 897  
 ( roads सीमापक्षसिद्धावहेतुः ).

प्रामसीमास्तु च तथा तद्व्यग्रगद्देशयो ॥७३५॥  
 प्रामो प्रामस्य सामन्त क्षेत्र क्षेत्रस्य कीर्तितम् ।  
 गृह गृहस्य निर्दिष्ट समन्तात्परिरम्य हि ॥७३६॥  
 तेषामभावे सामन्तमौल्युद्धोऽभ्युतावय ।  
 स्यादरे पद्मकारेपि नात्र कार्यो विचारणा ॥७३७॥  
 ससत्कास्तव्य सामन्तास्तत्सत्कास्तथोत्तरा ।  
 ससत्कसत्कसत्का पञ्चाकारा प्रकीर्तिता ॥७३८॥  
 स्वार्थसिद्धौ प्रदुष्टेषु सामन्तेष्वर्थगौरवात् ।  
 तत्सत्कैस्तु कर्तव्य उद्धारो नात्र सशय ॥७३९॥  
 ससत्कसत्कदोषे तु तत्सत्का प्रकीर्तिता ।  
 कर्तव्या न प्रदुष्टास्तु राज्ञा धर्मं विजानता ॥७४०॥  
 नाशनेन हि मुच्यन्ते सामन्ता निर्णय प्रति ।  
 भग्नानोक्तौ दण्डयित्वा पुन सीमा विचारयेत् ।  
 कीर्तिते यदि भेदः स्यादण्ड्यास्तु चमसाहसम् ॥७४१॥  
 त्यक्त्वा दुष्टस्तु सामन्तानन्यान्मौलादिभि सह ।  
 समिधय कारयेत्सीमामेव धर्मविदो विदुः ॥७४२॥

- 736 सृष्टिच. III p 545 वि र p 207, अपराकं p 759 वीर. p. 454  
 737 मिता. on य II 152, सृष्टिच. III. p 545, वि र. p 208, वि वि  
 p 61, वीर. p 458  
 738 मिता. on या II. 152 अपराकं p 760, सृष्टिच. III. pp 537 538,  
 परा मा III. p. 389, वि र p 213 ( reads तत्सत्कात्ता ).  
 739-740 मिता. on या II 152 अपराकं p 760 (reads तत्सत्कतेषु कर्णम्)  
 सृष्टिच. III p 538 ( omits latter half of 740 ) वीर. pp  
 454 55, वि र p 207  
 741 मिता. on या II 153 अपराकं p 768 वीर. 460 ( the two  
 latter halves only ) परा मा III pp 397 398 ( two latter  
 halves ) वि र p. 212  
 742 मिता. on या II 153 अपराकं p. 760 परा मा III p. 398,  
 ( समीक्ष्य for समिधय ) सृष्टिच. III p 538 ( reads समीक्ष्य ) वि  
 र. 208 ( reads समीक्ष्य ), वीर. p. 455

ये तत्र पूर्वे सामन्ता एवादेशान्तरयताः ।  
तन्मूलत्वाच्च ते मौलाः कृपिभिः सम्प्रकीर्तिता ॥७४३॥  
निष्पाद्यमानैर्दृष्टं तत्कार्यं नृगुणान्वितैः ।  
बुद्ध्या वा यदि वाऽबुद्ध्यास्ते बुद्ध्याः परिकीर्तिता ॥७४४॥  
उपभक्षणसमोक्तकार्याण्यनोपविदिताः ।  
उत्तरन्ति ततो यस्मादुद्धृतास्ते ततः स्मृतः ॥७४५॥  
सामन्ताः साधनपूर्वमभिज्ञेनैः गुणान्विताः ।  
त्रिगुणास्तृत्तराः श्रेयास्ततोऽन्ये त्रिगुणा मताः ॥७४६॥  
एको यद्वन्नयेत्सीमामुभयोरीप्सितं क्वचित् ।  
मस्तके क्षितिमारोप्य रक्तवासाः समाहिताः ॥७४७॥  
भयवर्जितभूषेन सर्वोभावे स्वयङ्कृताः ॥७४८॥  
क्षेत्ररूपतडागानां केवापरामयोरपि ।  
गृहमासादायसथनृपदेवगृहेषु च ॥७४९॥  
बहूनां तु गृहीतानां न सर्वं निर्णयं यदि ।  
कुर्युर्मयाद्वा लोभमाद्वा दाप्यास्तृत्तमस्ताहसम् ॥७५०॥  
सीमाचङ्क्रमणे कोरो पादस्पर्शं तथैव च ।  
त्रिपक्षपक्षस्तदाह वैवराजिकमिष्यते ॥७५१॥

743-745 मिता० on या II 151 ( तद्गुणान्वितैः ) अपराकं p 759-760  
स्मृतिच० III p 539, परा मा III p 890, स वि p 836, वीर०  
p 455

746 मिता० on या II 152 ( निर्दोषाः स्युर्गुणाः ), अपराकं p 760, स्मृतिच०  
III p 540 वि र p 208 वीर० p 456 ( ascribes to मद्र )

747 अपराकं p 762

748 वि र p 218

749 मिता० on या II 154 वि र p 218, वीर० p 457

750 मिता० on या II 152 अपराकं p 763 परा मा III p 897  
स्मृतिच० III p 546, स्व म p 222 वीर० p 460

751 मिता० on या II 152 अपराकं p 763, स्मृतिच० III p 543 स्व  
म p 222 वीर० p 458.

मेखलाध्रमनिष्कासगवाक्षाप्रोपरोधयेत् ।  
 प्रणालीं गृह्णावास्तुं च पीडयन्द्ण्डभागमेत् ॥७५३॥  
 नियेशसमयादूर्ध्वं नेते योज्या कदाचन ।  
 दृष्टिपातं प्रणालीं च न कुर्यात्पर्यवेदममु ॥७५३॥  
 विण्मूत्रोदकयमं च घट्टिष्वध्रनिवेशनम् ।  
 अरस्निद्वयमुत्सृज्य परकुञ्जप्रतिवेशयेत् ॥७५४॥  
 सर्वे जना सदा येन प्रयान्ति स चतुष्पथ ।  
 श्रनिरुद्धो यथाकालं राजमार्गः स उच्यते ॥७५५॥  
 न तत्र रोपयेत्किन्निघ्नोपहन्यात्तु केनचित् ।  
 शुर्घान्धार्यनृपादीनां मार्गादानात्तु दण्डमाक् ॥७५६॥  
 यस्तत्र संकटश्चध्वान्मृक्षारोपणमेव च ।  
 कामात्पुरीषं कुर्याच्च तस्य दण्डस्तु स्थापक ॥७५७॥  
 तट्टाकोद्यानतीर्थानि चोऽमेधेन त्रिनाशयेत् ।  
 अमेध्य शोभयित्वा तु दण्डयेत्पूर्वमाहसम् ॥७५८॥  
 पूययेत्सिद्धतीर्थानि स्वापितानि महारमणि ।  
 पुण्यानि पायनीयानि प्रामुद्यात्पूर्वसाहसम् ॥७५९॥

752-753 अपराकं pp 764-765, वि र p 219 (omits the line नियेशः),  
 अ म p 223, वीरः p 463 स्मृतिच० III p 551 has 753 and  
 reads दृष्टिपात

754 अपराकं p 765 (चक्र च) स्मृतिच० III p 551, परा मा III p 400  
 (reads चक्र च) वि र p 220 (चक्र च), अ म p 224, वीरः p 464

755 स्मृतिच० III p 552, वि र p 221 (reads जनपदा and यथाकाम ),  
 अ म p 224, वीरः p 464 (अनिधिज्ञा)

756-757 वि र 221. अपराकं p 765 and परा मा p 401 ascribe  
 757 to बृहदगीति

758-759 स्मृतिच० III p. 553, अपराकं p 765, वि र p 222 (reads  
 आयाति प्रापनीयानि ), परा मा III p 402, अ म p 223, वीरः  
 p. 465,



सौमामध्ये तु जातानां घृक्षाणां क्षेत्रयोर्द्वयोः ।  
 फलं पुष्पं च सामान्यं क्षेत्रस्याभिषु निर्दिशेत् ॥७६०॥  
 अन्यक्षेत्रे तु जातानां शाखा यन्नान्यसंश्रिताः ॥  
 स्वामिनं तं विजानीयाद्यस्य क्षेत्रेषु संश्रिताः ॥७६१॥  
 अस्वाम्यनुमतेनैव संस्कारं कुर्वते तु यः ।  
 गृहोद्यानतटाकानां संस्कर्ता लभते न तु ॥७६२॥  
 व्ययं स्वामिनि चायाते न निवेद्य नृपे यदि ।  
 अयावेद्य प्रयुक्तस्तु तद्वत् लभते व्ययम् ॥७६३॥  
 अशक्तितो न दद्याच्चेत् खिलार्थो परकृतो व्ययः ।  
 तदष्टभागद्वीनं तु कर्षकः कालमाशुयात् ॥  
 वर्षाण्यष्टौ स भोक्ता स्यात्परतः स्वामिने तु तत् ॥७६४॥  
 अशक्तमेतनष्टेषु क्षेत्रिकेभ्यनिवारितः ।  
 क्षेत्रं चेद्विकृपेत्कश्चिदश्रुयात् स तत्फलम् ॥७६५॥  
 विकृष्यमाणे क्षेत्रे च क्षेत्रिकः पुनरागजेत् ।  
 शूलोपचारं(खिलोपचारं) तत्सर्वं दत्त्वा क्षेत्रमयाशुयात् ॥७६६॥  
 तदष्टभागापचयाद् यावत्सप्त गताः समा ।  
 समाप्तेष्टमे वर्षे भुक्तक्षेत्रं लभेत सः ॥७६७॥  
 ( वाक्पाठव्यम् )

द्विद्वारः कासनं चैव लोके यच्च विगर्हितम् ।

अनुकुर्यादनुश्याद् वा न्पारुष्यं तदुच्यते ॥७६८॥

760-761 अपरार्क p 766 (reads संश्रिताः for लभिताः in both lines),  
 स्मृतिच० III p 555, परा मा. III p. 404, वि र. p. 229, अ. म.  
 p 225, वीर० p 417 (reads यत्न क्षेत्रस्य)

762-763 अपरार्क p. 767 (reads देयं स्वामिनि and लभते फलम्),  
 स्मृतिच० III p 558, वि र p. 225 (reads देयं स्वामिनि). परा.  
 मा. III p 407, स वि p 340 (has only 762)

764 अपरार्क p 763 (reads खिलाय च कृत व्यय and कर्षकायस्य०),  
 स्मृतिच० III, 560, परा मा. III p 409, वि वि p 65, वीर० p.  
 470, स. वि p. 340

765-767 स. वि. p. 340 परा. मा. (III, pp. 408-409) and स्मृतिच० III.  
 p. 560 ascribe 765-766 to नारद.

768 अपरार्क p. 805, स्मृतिच० III. p. 18.

निष्ठुपाश्लिलतमित्वात्तदपि त्रिविधं स्मृतम्  
 आश्लेषो निष्ठुरं हेयमश्लिलं न्यङ्गसंमितम् ।  
 पतनी वैश्याक्रोशैस्तीक्ष्णमाहुर्मनीषिणः ॥७६९॥  
 यस्त्वसत्संशितैरङ्गैः परमाक्षिपति क्वचित् ।  
 अमृतैर्वाय भूतैर्वा निष्ठुरा पाक्कृता बुधैः ॥७७०॥  
 न्यग्भावकरणं वाचा श्रोधात्तु कुरुते यदा ।  
 वृक्षदेशकुलादीनामश्लीला सा बुधैः स्मृता ॥७७१॥  
 महापातकयोफत्री च रागद्वेषकरी च या ।  
 जातिभ्रंशकरी याच तीया सा प्रथिता तु बाक् ॥७७२॥  
 योऽगुणान्कीर्तयेत्कोधाधिगुणे वा गुणशताम् ।  
 अन्यसंज्ञानुयोगी वा वाग्बुष्टं तं नरं विदुः ॥७७३॥  
 अतुष्टस्यैव यो दोषान्कीर्तयेदोषकारणात् ।  
 अन्यापदेशवादी च वाग्बुष्टं तं नरं विदुः ॥७७४॥  
 मोहात् प्रमादात्सङ्कर्षात् प्रीत्या चोक्तं मयेति यत् ।  
 नाहमेवं पुनर्यक्ष्ये दण्डार्थं तस्य कल्पयेत् ॥७७५॥  
 यत्र स्यात्परिहाराय पतितस्तेन ( पतितत्वेन ? ) कीर्तनम् ।  
 पचनात्तत्र न स्यात्तु दोषो यत्र विभावयेत् ॥७७६॥  
 अन्यथा तुल्यदोषः स्यान्मिथ्योक्तौ नूतनः स्मृतः ॥ ७७७ ॥

769 अपराकं p. 805.

770-772 अपराकं pp. 805-806 (reads न्यङ्गानुरूपं and राजद्वेषः for राज  
 द्वेषः), स्मृतिच० III. pp. 12-13 (reads अङ्गैः and बुधैर्देशः), परा. मा.  
 III. p. 429 ( reads परमाक्षिपति, अमृतैर्वाय भूतैर्वा and बुधैर्देशः )  
 -वि. र. p. 243 ( reads न्यङ्गानुरूपं वाचा ), वीर० p. 482.

773 वि. र. p. 244 and वीर० p. 484 ( reads अन्यसंज्ञानियोगी ).

774 वि. र. p. 245; स्मृतिच० III. p. 760 ascribes to नरद (and reads  
 -दुष्टस्यैव तु ).

775 वि. र. p. 246 and वि. वि. p. 70 (ascribe to वद्वानसु and काल्यायन)  
 -स्मृतिच० III. p. 759 and व्य. म. p. 229. ascribe to वद्वानसु.

776 स्मृतिच० III. p. 760, वि. र. p. 258, वीर० p. 484.

777 वि. p. 258.

महता प्रणिधानेन धातुष्टं साधयेद्यस्मै ।  
 अतथ्यं भावितं राजा मयत्नेन विचारयेत् ॥  
 अनुताडयानशीलानां जिह्वाच्छेदो विशोधनम् ॥७७८॥  
 ( दण्डपादप्यम् )  
 हेत्यादिभिर्न पश्येद्येदृण्डपादप्यकारणम् ।  
 तत्र साक्षिकृतं चैव दिव्यं वा विनियोजयेत् ॥७७९॥  
 आमीपणेन दण्डेन ग्रहरेषस्तु मानवः ।  
 पूर्वं छापीडितो वाथ स दण्डयः परिकीर्तितः ॥७८०॥  
 कर्णौष्ठप्राणपादाक्षिजिह्वाशिदनकरस्य च ।  
 छेदने चोत्तमो दण्डो भेदने मध्यमो भृशः ॥७८१॥  
 मनुष्याणां पशूनां च दुःस्वायः प्रवृत्ते सति ।  
 यथा यथा भवेद् दुःखं दण्डं कुर्यात्तथा तथा ॥७८२॥  
 भस्मृदयधूर्तदासानां स्लेच्छानां पापकारिणाम् ।  
 प्रतिश्लेष्मप्रसृतानां ताडनं नार्थते दमः ॥७८३॥  
 छर्दिमूलपुसीपाथैरापाथः स चतुर्गुणः ।  
 पङ्गुणः कायमध्ये स्यान्मूर्ध्नि त्वष्टगुणः स्मृतः ॥७८४॥  
 उग्ररूपे तु हस्तस्य कार्यो द्वादशको दमः ।  
 स एव द्विगुणः प्रोक्तः पातनेषु स्वजातिषु ॥७८५॥

- 778 वि. र. p. 258, बीर० p. 488 ( last half ).  
 779 अपरार्क p. 811, वि. र. p. 274, वि. वि. p. 77, बीर० pp. 481-82.  
 780 अपरार्क p. 812, परा. मा. III. p. 412 ( reads अमीपणेन ), वि. र. p. 276, वि. वि. p. 77.  
 781 अपरार्क p. 815 ( no author named, reads गुरु for भृशः ), स्मृतिच० III. p. 762, वि. र. p. 265 ( reads 'प्राणकासाक्षि०' ), परा. मा. III. p. ( पाशादिजिह्वाशिदनकरस्य ), वि. वि. p. 74, स्व. म. p. 230, बीर० p. 474.  
 782 परा. मा. III. p. 417, बीर० p. 475 ( reads यथा महतां दुःखं )  
 783 अपरार्क p. 813, वि. र. p. 278, वि. वि. p. 78.  
 784 अपरार्क p. 813, परा. मा. III. p. 413 ( reads 'दीः पादादौ च' ), वि. र. p. 262, वि. वि. p. 72, स्व. म. p. 230, बीर० p. 475 ( 'दीः स्पर्शने च' )  
 785 वि. र. p. 262 ( reads स्वजातिषु ), परा. मा. III. p. 414, वि. वि. p. 78, बीर० p. 478.

वाक्पाठ्ये यथैवोक्ताः प्रातिलोभ्यानुलोमतः ।  
 तथैव दण्डपाठ्ये पात्या दण्डा यथाक्रमम् ॥७८६॥  
 देहेन्द्रियविनाशे तु यथा दण्डं प्रकल्पयेत् ।  
 तथा तुष्टिकरं देयं समुत्थानं च पण्डितैः ॥  
 समुत्थानव्ययं चासौ दद्यादाग्रणरोपणात् ॥७८७॥  
 चादण्डस्ताडनं चैव येषूक्तमपराधेषु ।  
 हतं भग्नं प्रदाप्यास्ते शोध्यं निःस्येस्तु कर्मणा ॥७८८॥  
 धान्तांस्तृपातान्शुचिस्तानकाळे घाहयेन्नरः ।  
 खरगोमहिषोष्टादीन्प्राप्तुयात्पूर्वसाहसम् ॥७८९॥  
 द्विपणो द्वादशपणो वधे तु मृगपक्षिणाम् ।  
 सर्पमार्जारनकुलश्वत्करवधे नृणाम् ॥७९०॥  
 गोकुमारीवैवपशुमुक्ष्माणं वृषभं तथा ।  
 घाहयन् साहसं पूर्वं प्राप्नुयादुत्तमं ययः ॥७९१॥  
 प्रमापणे प्राणभृतां वधात्तत्प्रतिरूपकम् ।  
 तस्यानुरूपं मूल्यं चा दद्यादित्यब्रवीन्मनुः ॥७९२॥  
 घनस्वतीनां सर्वेषामुपभोगो यथा यथा ।  
 तथा तथा दमः फार्यो हिंसायामिति धारणा ॥७९३॥  
 शिष्यं क्रोधेन हन्याम्येदाचार्यो लतया विना ।  
 येनात्ययं भवेत्पीडा वादः स्याच्छिष्यतः पितुः ॥७९४॥

786 स्मृतिच० III, p. 762, पर. मा. III, p. 418, वि. र. p. 269, स. वि. p. 481, व्य. म. p. 231, वीर० p. 476.

787 स्मृतिच० III, p. 765, अपराधं p. 816, पर. मा. III, pp. 419-420, व्य. म. p. 232, वीर० pp. 476-77.

788 अपराधं p. 816, वि. र. p. 270.

789 अपराधं p. 818, वि. र. p. 280.

790 पर. मा. III, p. 424, वि. र. p. 279 ( reads विपणो ), वीर० p. 479 ( reads नकुलश्वत्करवधे ).

791 वीर० p. 470, पर. मा. III, p. 424 ( पशुमश्वं वृषभं and वधे ) ascribes to मनु.

792 पर. मा. III, p. 425, वि. र. p. 284 ( प्रतिरूपं तु दापयेत् ).

793 वि. र. p. 284

794 स्मृतिच० III, p. 2

( सादस्य )

सहसा यत्कृतं कर्म तत्साहसमुदाहृतम् ॥७९५॥  
 सान्धयस्त्वपहारो यः प्रसज्य हरणं च यत् ।  
 साहसं च भवेदेवं स्तेयमुक्तं विनिर्द्भवः ॥७९६॥  
 विना विद्वैस्तु यत्कार्यं साहसाख्यं प्रवर्तते ।  
 शपथैः स विशोधयः स्यात्सर्ववादेष्वयं विधिः ॥७९७॥  
 एकं वेद्वह्वो हन्त्युः संरन्धाः पुरुषं नराः ।  
 भर्मघातो तु यस्तेषां स घानक इति स्मृतः ॥७९८॥  
 व्यापादनेन तत्कारी वधं चित्रमधामुयात् ।  
 विनाशहेतुमायान्तं हन्यादेवाविचारयन् ॥७९९॥  
 उद्यतानां तु पापानां हन्तुर्दोषो न विद्यते ।  
 निवृत्तास्तु यदारम्भाद् ग्रहणं न वधः स्मृतः ॥८००॥  
 व्याततायिनि चोत्कृष्टे तपस्वाध्यायजन्मतः ।  
 वधस्तत्र तु नैव स्यात्पापे हीने वधो भृगुः ॥८०१॥  
 उद्यतासिचिपाग्निश्च चापोद्यतकरस्तथा ।  
 आथर्वणेन हन्ता च पिशुनश्चैव राजनि ॥८०२॥  
 भार्याविक्रमकारी च रन्धान्वेषणतत्परः ।  
 पपमाद्यान्विजानीयात्सर्वानेवाततायिनः ॥८०३॥  
 यशोपुत्तहरान्पापानाहुर्धर्मार्थहारकान् ।  
 अनाक्षारितपूर्वो यस्त्वपराधे प्रवर्तते ॥  
 प्राणद्रव्यापहारे च तं विद्यादाततायिनम् ॥८०४॥

795 स. वि. p. 451.

796 स्मृतिच. III. p. 733, वि. र. p. 287 ( reads सान्धयस्तु प्रहारे ), अ. वि. p. 457 ( reads विनिर्द्भवे ).

797 स्मृतिच. III. p. 722, परा. म. III. 453.

798 स्मृतिच. III. p. 723, परा. म. III. p. 454, वीर. p. 501.

799 वि. र. p. 371 ( first half only ), स्मृतिच. III. p. 727 ( latter half only ), the two halves are parts of different verses.

800 स्मृतिच. III. p. 729

801 स्मृतिच. III. p. 730, अ. म. p. 230.

802-803 स्मृतिच. III. p. 731, अ. म. p. 241.

804 स्मृतिच. III. p. 731.

नरिणां शृङ्गिणां चैव शंष्टिणां चाततायिनाम् ।  
 हस्त्यश्वानां तथाग्न्येषां घघे हन्ता न दोषभाक् ॥८०५॥  
 गर्भस्य पातने स्तेनो ग्राह्यस्यां शस्त्रपातने ।  
 अदुष्टां योषितं हत्वा हन्तव्यो ग्राह्योऽपि हि ॥८०६॥  
 क्षतं भद्रोपमर्दां च कुर्याद्द्रव्येषु यो नरः ।  
 ग्रामुयात्साहसं पूर्वं द्रव्यभाक्स्याम्युदाहृतः ॥८०७॥  
 ध्वेद्विन्द्याह्वेदापि देवानां प्रतिमां यदि ।  
 तद्गृहं चैव यो भिन्द्यात्ग्रामुयात्पूर्वसाहसम् ॥८०८॥  
 प्राकारं भेदयेद्यस्तु पातयेच्छातयेत्तथा ।  
 बन्तीयादम्भसो मार्गे ग्रामुयात्पूर्वसाहसम् ॥८०९॥  
 ( स्तेयम् )

प्रच्छसं वा प्रकाशं वा निशायामधवा विद्या ।  
 यत्परिहृत्यहरणं स्तेयं तत्परिकीर्तितम् ॥८१०॥  
 अन्यहस्तात्परिश्रष्टमकामादुद्धृतं भुवि ।  
 चोरेण वा परिक्षिप्तं लोप्त्रं यत्नात्परीक्षयेत् ॥८११॥  
 तुल्यमानप्रतिमानप्रतिरूपफलक्षितैः ।  
 चरत्फलक्षितैर्वापि ग्रामुयात्पूर्वसाहसम् ॥८१२॥  
 गृहे तु मुषितं राजा चौरप्राज्ञांस्तु दापयेत् ।  
 आरक्षकांश्च दिक्पालान्यदि चोरो न लभ्यते ॥८१३॥

805 स्मृतिष. III. p. 782.

806 विषयः on या II. 281.

807 अपराकं p. 820, वि. र. p. 853, वि. वि. pp. 97-98.

808 अपराकं p. 822, स्मृतिष. III. p. 767, वि. र. p. 364, वि. वि. p. 301 ( reads शिष्यान् for भिष्यान् ), स. वि. p. 474.

809 वि. र. p. 367.

810 दापमान p. 224

811 अपराकं p. 841, मिता. on या II. 288 ( ascribes to नारद ), वि. र. p. 337, पत्रा. मा. III. p. 457 ( ascribes to नारद ).

812 वि. र. p. 285, वि. वि. p. 80.

813-814 अपराकं p. 844 ( reads अरक्षकां ), वि. र. 843, 845 ( reads

प्रामात्ये हृतं द्रव्यं प्रामाध्यक्षं प्रदापयेत् ।  
 विधीते स्नामिना देयं चौरैर्दत्तविधीतके ॥८१४॥  
 स्वदेशे यस्य यत्किञ्चिद्भूतं देयं नृपेण तु ।  
 गृहीयात्तत्स्वयं नष्टं प्राप्तमन्विष्य पार्थिव ॥८१५॥  
 चौरैर्दत्तं प्रयत्नेन स्वरूपं प्रतिपादयेत् ।  
 नदभावे तु मूल्यं स्यादन्यथा किञ्चिदपी नृप ॥८१६॥  
 लब्धेपि चौरैः यदि तु मोषस्तस्मान्न लभ्यते ।  
 दद्यात्तमथवा चौरं दापयेत्तु यथेष्टत ॥८१७॥  
 तस्मिन्नेवाप्यमानानां भवेदोपे तु संशयः ।  
 मुपितं दापयं दाप्यो वन्धुभिर्वा विशोधयेत् ॥८१८॥  
 यस्मादपहृताल्लब्धं द्रव्यात्स्वयं तु स्नामिना ।  
 तच्छेषमाप्नुयात्तस्मात्प्रत्यये स्नामिना कृते ॥८१९॥  
 स्वदेशघातिनो ये स्युस्तथा मार्गनिरोधकाः ।  
 तेषां सर्वस्वमादाय राजा शूले निवेशयेत् ॥८२०॥  
 अचौरादापितं द्रव्यं चौरान्वेषणतत्परैः ।  
 उपलब्धे लभेरंस्ते द्विगुणं तत्र दापयेत् ॥८२१॥  
 येन येन परद्रोहं करोत्यङ्गेन तत्करः ।  
 छिन्द्यादङ्गं नृपस्तस्य न करोति यथा पुनः ॥८२२॥  
 प्रपुणे वारुणे हे तु पञ्चाग्नौ पञ्चदाडिमम् ।  
 खड्गैरवदरादीनां मुष्टिं गृह्णन् दुष्यति ॥८८२॥ अ ॥  
 मानवाः सद्यः पयाहुः सहोढानां प्रवासनम् ।  
 गौतमानामनिष्टं यत्प्राण्युच्छेदाद्विगर्हितम् ॥८२३॥

प्रामात्ये and त्वीतके ) वि वि pp 94 95 (reads प्रामाते and चौरै  
 दत्ता विधीतके )

816-817 अपरांक p 844, वि र p 345 ( reads नर. for नृप ), वि वि  
 p 95 ( has 815 816 )

818-819 अपरांक p 844 वि र p 345-346 (ascribes them to बृहस्पति)

820 अपरांक p 845 वि र p 317 ( ascribes to नरद and बालाक्य )

821 वि र, p 338

822 अपरांक p 845 ( reads कर्त्तव्येन ) वि र p 329 वि वि p 91

822 A गृहपरत्ताकर (attributed to बृहस्पति and काश्यायन),

823 वि र p 332

सद्दोढमसद्दोढं वा तत्स्वागमितसाहसम्  
 प्रगृह्याच्छिन्नमावेद्य सर्वस्यैर्विप्रयोजयेत् ॥८२४॥  
 भयसन्धानगुतास्तु मन्दभक्ता बलान्विताः ।  
 कुर्युः कर्माणि नृपतेरामृत्योरिति कौशिक ॥८२५॥  
 परदेशाद्धृतं द्रव्यं धेदेदयेन यदा भयेत् ।  
 गृहीत्वा तस्य तद्द्रव्यमदण्डं तं विसर्जयेत् ॥८२६॥  
 चोराणां भक्तदा ये स्युस्तथाभ्युदकदायकाः ।  
 प्रेताश्चैव भाण्डानां प्रतिब्राह्मिण एव च ॥  
 समदण्डाः स्मृता होते ये च प्रच्छादयन्ति तान् ॥८२७॥  
 अविद्वान्याजको वा स्यात्प्रयक्ता चानवस्थितः ।  
 तालुभौ चोरदण्डेन विनीय स्थापयेत्पार्थि ॥८२८॥  
 (श्रीसंग्रहणम्)  
 दूतोपचार्युकधेद्वेलास्थानसंस्थितिः ।  
 कण्ठकेशाञ्चलप्रादः कर्णनासाकरादिषु ।  
 एकस्यानासनाहाराः संग्रहो नवधा स्मृतः ॥८२९॥  
 स्त्रीषु घृतोपभोगः स्यात्प्रमत्त पुरुषे यदा ।  
 यद्ये तत्र प्रघर्तत वार्धातिकमर्णं हि तत् ॥८३०॥  
 कामार्ता स्त्रीरिणी वा तु स्वयमेव प्रकामयेत् ।  
 राजादेशेन मोक्तव्या विग्नराप्य जनतंनिघौ ॥८३१॥

824 अपराक 849, वि. र. p. 332 ( reads प्रगृह्य निहमावेद्य ..विनिप्रयोजयेत् ),  
 वि. वि. p. 92 ( reads सर्वस्येन विप्रयोजयेत् )

825 अपराक p. 849, वि. र. p. 332, वि. वि. p. 92 ( reads मन्दभक्त-  
 गुणान्विताः )

826 अपराक p. 849, वि. र. p. 333 ( reads स्वदेशेन संग्रहेत् )

827 एष मा. III p. 446, वि. र. p. 340, वि. वि. 93 ( reads  
 केशादयोऽस्मान्जना and has last two halves only ), वीर p. 498.

828 विश्वरूप on वा. III, 252

829 स्पष्टिच. III pp. 17-18

830 स्पष्टिच. III p. 742, एष. मा. p. 244 ( reads दूतोपभोगः ),  
 वीर p. 504

831 अपराक p. 860.



आरम्भकृत्सहायश्च तथा मार्गानुदेशकः ।  
 आश्रयः शत्रुदाता च भक्तदाता विकर्मिणाम् ॥८३२॥  
 सुखोपदेशकश्चैव तद्विनाशप्रदर्शकः ।  
 उपेक्षाकार्ययुक्तश्च दोषवस्त्रनुमोदकः ॥८३३॥  
 अनिपेक्षाक्षमो यः स्यात्सर्वं तत्कार्यकारिणः ।  
 यथाशक्त्यनुरूपं तु दण्डमेवा प्रकल्पयेत् ॥८३४॥  
 ( ब्रीहपर्व )

पत्या चाप्यवियोगिन्या शुश्रूष्याश्चिर्विनीतया ।  
 सौभाग्यवद्वैधव्यक्राम्यया भर्तृभक्तया ॥८३५॥  
 पतिशुश्रूषयेय ह्यसर्वाङ्कामान्समञ्जते ।  
 दिव पुनरिहायाता सुखानां दोषधिर्भवत् ॥८३६॥  
 मृते भर्तरि या साध्या ब्रह्मनर्ये व्यवस्थिता ।  
 सारुधतीसमाचारा ब्रह्मलोकं महीयते ॥८३७॥  
 ( दशविभाग )

सकलं द्रव्यजातं यद्भागैर्गृह्णन्ति तत्समैः ।  
 पितरो भ्रातरश्चैव विभागे धर्म्यं उच्यते ॥८३८॥  
 पैतामहं समानं स्वात्पितुः पुत्रस्य चोन्नयोः ।  
 स्वयं चोपाजितं पित्रा न पुत्रं स्वाम्यमर्हति ॥८३९॥  
 पैतामहं च पित्र्यं च यच्चान्यत्स्वयमर्जितम् ।  
 दायादानां विभागे तु सर्वमेतद्विभज्यते ॥८४०॥

832-834 अपरक p 821 ( एकवचनस्य ) परा मा III p 456  
 ( reads धर्मोपदेशकं तद्विनाशप्रवर्तकं वस्तुनुमोदकं ) वि र p 375  
 ( reads दापवस्तुः ) वि वि p 107 ( युक्त्युपदेशकश्चैव तथा मार्ग  
 प्रवर्तक and दापवस्तुः ) स वि p 463 ( omits the 1 no अनि  
 पेक्षा &c ) शीर० p 501 ( reads दोषवस्तुनुदेशक and दोषवस्तुनुमोदक )  
 सूतच० III pp 723-724 seems to attribute 832-833 to  
 बृहस्पति and c to 834 as सूतवत्तर

835-837 स्मृतिच० III p 589 591 596

838 स्मृतिच० III p 608 स वि p 354, अ म p 98 शीर० p 571  
 ( reads पितरौ )

839 स्मृतिच० III pp 648 and 650

840 दशभाग p 105 स्मृतिच० III p 635 परा मा III p 558,  
 स वि p 367, वि वि p 134 वि र 496

दृश्यमानं विभज्येत गृहं क्षेत्रं चतुष्पदम् ।  
 गृहद्वयानि शङ्खायां प्रत्ययस्तत्र कीर्तितः ॥८४२॥  
 गृहोपस्कराद्याश्च दोह्याभरणकर्मिणः ।  
 दृश्यमाना विभज्यन्ते कोशं गृहेष्वर्द्धगुः ॥८४३॥  
 जीवद्विभागे तु पिता नैकं पुत्रं विशेषयेत् ।  
 निर्माजयेद्यैवैकमकस्मात्कारणं विना ॥८४३॥  
 संप्राप्तव्यवहारार्णां विभागश्च विधीयते ।  
 पुंसां च षोडशे पयं जायते व्यवहारिता ॥८४४॥  
 अमासव्यवहारार्णां च घनं व्ययविवर्जितम् ।  
 न्यसेयुर्वन्धुमित्रेषु प्रोपितानां तथैव च ॥८४५॥  
 प्रोपितस्य तु यो भागो रक्षेयुः सर्वं एव तम् ।  
 बालपुत्रे मृते रिपयं रक्ष्यं तत्तन्तुबन्धुभिः (रक्षितव्यं तु बन्धुभिः)<sup>१</sup>  
 पौगण्डाः वरतस्तं तु विभजेरन् यथांशतः ॥८४५॥ अ  
 भ्रात्रा वितृष्यमातृभ्यां कुटुम्बार्थमृणं कृतम् ।  
 विमासकाले देयं तद्विक्रियमिः सर्वमेव तु ॥८४६॥  
 तदणं धनिने देयं नान्यथैव प्रदापयेत् ।  
 भादितं चेत्प्रमाणेन विरोधात्परतो यदा ॥८४७॥  
 धर्मार्थं प्रीतिदत्तं च यदणं स्वाश्रियोजितम् ।  
 तद् दृश्यमानं विभजेन्न दानं पैतृकादनात् ॥८४८॥

841 अपराधं p. 723, स्मृतिच० III p. 645 ( first half ) and p. 636 ( latter half ), वि. र. p. 498

842 अपराधं p. 723 ( reads •कर्मणः, दृश्यमानं विभाज्यं तु ), स्मृतिच० III. p. 635, पठ. मा. III p. 557, वि. र. p. 498.

843 बीर० p. 559, दायमाण p. 56.

844 अपराधं p. 722.

845 दायमाण p. 62, बीर० p. 587.

845 A वि. र. p. 599.

846 अपराधं p. 722, इदं on भा. ध. ध. II. 6. 14 1, स्मृतिच० III. p. 616, अ. म. p. 122, व वि. प. 356, वि. र. 496.

847 अपराधं p. 722, वि. र. p. 497.

848 स्मृतिच० III. p. 617, अपराधं p. 723 and स. वि. p. 836, वि. र. p. 497 ( read स्वश्रियोजितम् ).

उत्पद्ये चौरसे पुत्रे चतुर्थीशहरा सुता ।  
 सवर्णा असवर्णास्तु प्रासाच्छादनभाजना ॥८५७॥  
 कन्यकानां त्वदत्तानां चतुर्थो भाग इष्यते ।  
 पुत्राणां तु त्रयो भागा साम्यं त्वत्पथने स्मृतम् ॥८५८॥  
 क्षेत्रिकस्य मतेनापि फलमुत्पादयेत्तु यः ।  
 तस्येह भागिनौ तौ तु न फलं हि विनैकतः ॥८५९॥  
 स्त्रीय विहाय पतितं या पुनर्लभते पतिम् ।  
 तस्या पौनर्भयो जातो व्यक्तमुत्पादकस्य स ॥८६०॥  
 न भूयं फेनिलं यस्य विष्टा चाप्सु निमज्जति ।  
 मेदूथोन्मादशुकाभ्यां हीन क्रीव स उच्यते ॥८६१॥  
 अक्रमोढासुतश्चैव सगोत्राद्यस्तु जायते ।  
 प्रव्रज्यायसितश्चैव न रिक्थ तेपु चादति ॥८६२॥  
 अक्रमोढासुतस्तृकथी सवर्णश्च यदा पितुः ।  
 असवर्णप्रसूतश्च क्रमोढार्यां च यो भवेत् ॥८६३॥  
 प्रतिलोमप्रसूता या तस्या पुत्रो न रिक्थभाक् ।  
 प्रासाच्छादनमत्यन्तं देयं तद्वन्धुभिर्मतम् ॥८६४॥

857 मिता० on या II 132, अपरा० p 783, परा मा III p 516, स वि p 893, वि वि p 150 ( reads तृतीयाशहरा and •च्छादनभाजिन ),  
 वीर० p 615, दावमाण p 148 ( reads तृतीयाशहरा and भाजिन  
 for माजना ), नद पा p 658

858 दावमाण p 69, स्मृतिच० III p 626, वीर० p 582, वि र  
 p 494

859-60 वीर० pp 604, 608, वि र 564 ( has 860 only ) वि र p  
 557 has a verse similar to 859, which it ascribes to  
 नारद and कात्यायन It is क्षेत्रिकानुमते बीजं सत्य क्षेत्रे प्रजायते । तदपत्यं  
 तयोरेव वीजिभेति कयोर्मतम् ॥

861 दावमाण p 102

862 दावमाण 103 अपरा० p 760, स्मृतिच० III p 630, परा मा. III  
 p 546, अय न. p. 163, वि वि p 183, वीर० p 712,  
 वि र p 491

863-864 दावमाण p 103 ( reads प्रतिलोमप्रसूतो य and प्रासाच्छादनमात्रं तु ),  
 अय न p 164, वीर० p 712, वि र p 491, वि वि p. 184  
 ( has 863 ).

बन्धूनामप्यभाये तु पितृद्रव्यं न दद्यात् ।  
 अपिच्यं द्रविणं प्राप्त दापनीया न बान्धवा ॥८६५॥  
 (अभिमाग्यानि )  
 स्वराकत्यापहतं नष्ट स्यमाप्तं च यद्भवेत् ।  
 एतत्सर्वं पिता पुत्रैर्विभागे नैव दाप्यते ॥८६६॥  
 परमकोपयोगेन विद्या प्राप्ता न्यतस्तु या ।  
 तया प्राप्त धन यत्तु विद्याप्राप्त तदुच्यते ॥८६७॥  
 उपन्यस्ते तु गृह्यं विद्याया पणपूर्वकम् ।  
 विद्याधनं तु तद्विद्यादिभागे न विभज्यते ॥८६८॥  
 शिष्यादार्थिज्यतः प्रश्नात् सदिग्धप्रश्ननिर्णयात् ।  
 स्वज्ञानदासनाद्वादाह्व्यं प्राप्ययनाच्च यत् ॥  
 विद्याधनं तु तदग्राह्यविभागे न विभज्यते ॥ ८६९ ॥  
 शिष्यिष्यपि द्वि धर्मोऽयं मृत्याद्यच्चाधिक भवेत् ॥ ८७० ॥  
 परं निरस्य गृह्यं विद्यातो घृतपूर्वकम् ।  
 विद्याधनं तु तद्विद्याया विभाज्यं बृहस्पतिः ॥ ८७१ ॥  
 विद्याप्रतिष्ठया लब्धं शिष्यादाप्तं च यद्भवेत् ।  
 श्रुतिद्वयादेन गृह्यमेतद्विद्याधनं भृगुः ॥ ८७२ ॥

866 स्मृतिच. III. p 632, दायभाग p 103 ( reads स्वविष्यं तदन ),  
 वीर. p. 712 ( reads स्वविष्यं तदन प्राप्त ), वि र p 491  
 ( स्वविष्यं तदन )

866 अपराधे p. 728, स्मृतिच. III. p 651, परा मा III p. 498  
 ( reads = हत द्रव्य )

867 मित्रा. on यो II 118-119, अपराधे p 724, इरदण on भाष प व  
 II 6 14 1, कुडुक on मनु 9 206, स्मृतिच. III p. 637, परा मा  
 III p 559, मर यो p 686

868-870 दायभाग pp 122-123 ( reads शिष्येष्वपि ), अपराधे p 724,  
 परा. मा III p 559, वि र 502 ( reads परमकप्रदानेन and  
 शिष्येष्वपि ), वि. वि p 196 त वि p 268, कुडुक on मनु 9 206  
 ( has 868-69 ), स्मृतिच. III p 637

871-872 अपराधे p 724, वि. र p 502, स्मृतिच. III p. 637, परा मा  
 III. p. 559 ( reads विपातीकृतपूर्वकम् and has only 871 ),  
 मर म p. 125, दायभाग p. 123 ( has 871 only ) The परा मा,  
 स्मृतिच. and मर म read 870 after 872 .

विद्याबलकृतं चैव याव्यत-शिष्यतस्तथा ।  
 एतद्विद्याधनं प्राहुः सामान्यं यदतोऽन्यथा ॥ ८७३ ॥  
 कुले विनीतविद्यानां भ्रातृणां पितृतोषि वा ।  
 शौर्यप्राप्तं तु यद्वितं विमाज्ये तद्वृद्धस्वतिः ॥ ८७४ ॥  
 नाविद्यानां तु धैरेन देयं विद्यायनात्काचित् ।  
 समाविद्य विद्यानां तु देयं धैरेन तद्धनम् ॥ ८७५ ॥  
 आरुह्य संशयं यत्र प्रसभं कर्म कुर्यते ।  
 तस्मिन्कर्मणि तुष्टेन प्रसादः स्वामिना कृतः ॥  
 तत्र लभ्यं तु यत्किञ्चित् धनं शौर्येण तद्भवेत् ॥ ८७६ ॥  
 शौर्यप्राप्तं विद्याया च स्त्रीधनं चैव यस्मृतम् ।  
 एतत्सर्वं विभागे तु विमाज्यं नैव रिक्तियभिः ॥ ८७७ ॥  
 ध्वजादृतं भवेद्यत्तु विमाज्यं नैव तस्मृतम् ।  
 संग्रामादादृतं यत्तु विद्राव्य द्विपतां बलम् ।  
 स्वाम्यर्थे जीवितं त्यजत्या तद्व्यजादृतमुच्यते ॥ ८७८ ॥  
 यल्लब्धं दानकाले तु स्वजात्या कन्यया सह ।  
 कन्यागतं तु तद्वित्तं शुद्धं वृद्धिकरं स्मृतम् ॥ ८७९ ॥  
 धैराद्विकं तु तद्विद्याद्धार्यया यत्सदागतम् ।  
 धनमेवंविधं सर्वं विज्ञेयं धर्मसाधकम् ॥ ८८० ॥

873 अपराधं p. 724 ( reads विद्यापण्डितदेव ), स्मृतिच. III, p. 687,  
 अ. प. III, p. 559, वि. र. p. 502 ( reads विद्यापण्डितदेव ).

874 स्मृतिच. III p. 638-39, परा. मा. III p. 500, अ. म. p. 126,  
 वि. वि. p. 186.

875 अपराधं p. 725, स्मृतिच. III, p. 639, दायभाग p. 108, अ. म. p.  
 126, वि. वि. p. 136, वि. र. p. 500, इदं on अ. म. प. सू.  
 II, 6-14-1.

876 अपराधं p. 725, स्मृतिच. III, p. 639-40, दायभाग p. 126, अ. म.  
 p. 127, वि. वि. p. 137.

877 परा. मा. III, p. 591

878 अपराधं p. 725, दायभाग p. 126, स्मृतिच. III, p. 640, परा. मा.  
 III, p. 591, अ. म. p. 127, वि. वि. p. 137.

879-880 अपराधं pp. 723-724 ( reads उभकाले for दानकाले and वृत्ति-  
 कं for वृद्धिकं ), स्मृतिच. III pp. 640-641, वि. वि. p. 137,  
 अ. म. p. 128 ( reads उभकाले )

वियाहकाले यत्किञ्चिद्वरयोदिश्य दीयते ।  
 कन्यायास्तद्धनं सर्वमविभाग्यं च बन्धुभिः ॥ ८८१ ॥  
 धनं पञ्चनिविष्टं तु धर्मार्थं च निरूपितम् ।  
 उदकं चैव दासश्च निबन्धो यः क्रमागतः ॥ ८८२ ॥  
 धृतं वस्त्रमलंकारो नानुरूपं तु यद्भवेत् ।  
 यथा कालोपयोग्यानि तथा योज्यानि बन्धुभिः ॥ ८८३ ॥  
 गोप्रचारश्च रक्षा च दलं यथाहयोजितम् ।  
 प्रयोज्यं न विभज्येत धर्मार्थं च घृहस्पतिः ॥ ८८४ ॥  
 नेशस्य जाते, सक्तस्य धर्मो ग्रामस्य यो मृगुः ।  
 उदितः स्यात् स तेनैव दापभागं प्रकल्पयेत् ॥ ८८५ ॥  
 ( प्रच्छादितविषस्य पुनर्दिनायः )  
 प्रच्छादितं यदि धनं पुनरासाद्य तत्समम् ।  
 भजेरन्भ्रातृभिः सार्धमभावे हि पितुः सुताः ॥ ८८५ ॥  
 अन्योन्यापहतं द्रव्यं दुर्विभक्तं च यद्भवेत् ।  
 पश्चात्प्राप्तं विभज्येत समभागेन तद्भुगुः ॥ ८८६ ॥  
 विभक्तेनेव यत्प्राप्तं धनं तस्यैव तद्भवेत् ।  
 हतं नष्टं च यल्लब्धं प्रागुक्तं च पुनर्भजेत् ॥ ८८७ ॥  
 बन्धुनापहतं द्रव्यं दादाश्वेव प्रदापयेत् ।  
 बन्धूनामविभक्तानां भोगं नैव प्रदापयेत् ॥ ८८८ ॥

881 अपरार्क p 751 (without name), स्मृतिच० III p 641.

882-884 अपरार्क pp. 725-726 ( reads दासाश्च for दासश्च ), अ. म. p. 131 ( has 882-883 ), दापभाग has 884 and reads रक्षा for रक्षा, प्राक्तेज्यं for प्रयोज्य and शितार्थं for धर्मापत्तं, वि र. pp. 504-505 ( दासाश्च for दासश्च and शितार्थं )

884A वि र. p 505

885-887 अपरार्क pp 732-733 ( has 885, first half of 886 and latter half of 887 ), स्मृतिच० III pp 714-715 ( has all three ), दापभाग p 221 ( has 885-886 and reads प्रच्छादेतं तु यथेन पुनरागच्छ and अभावेति हि तत्पुत्रा. ) वि. वि. p. 132 ( has 885-886 and reads as दापभाग does ), स. वि pp 438-439 ( has all three ), वि र. p. 528.

888 दापभाग p 222, वि वि. p. 144 ( latter half ), वीर० p. 707, वि. र. p 526

( बहो कालदधि दद्यात्तद्विशेषविनाशः )

क्षेत्रं साधारणं त्यक्त्वा योन्यदेशं समाश्रित ।  
तद्वंश्यस्यागतस्यांशं प्रदातव्यो न संशयः ॥ ८८९ ॥  
चृतीयं पञ्चमो वापि सप्तमश्चापि यो भवेत् ।  
जन्मनामपरिहाने लभेतांशं क्रमागतम् ॥ ८९० ॥  
यं परंपरया मौला सामन्ता स्वामिनं विदुः ।  
तदन्ययस्यागतस्य दातव्या गोत्रजैर्मही ॥ ८९१ ॥  
विभक्ता पित्रचित्ताद्येदमप्र प्रतिपासितम् ।  
विभजेयुः पुनर्द्वयं स लभेतोदयो यतः ॥ ८९२ ॥

( विभक्तविहावि )

पसेयुर्दश वर्षाणि पृथग्धर्मा वृथक्क्रियाः ।  
भ्रातरस्तेपि विहेया विभक्ता पैतृकादनात् ॥ ८९३ ॥  
( स्त्रीधनलक्षणं स्त्रीधनप्रमाणम् )  
अप्यन्यध्यावाहनिकं दत्तं च प्रीतित स्त्रियैः ।  
भ्रातृमातृपितृप्राप्तं पशूवेवं स्त्रीधनं स्मृतम् ॥ ८९४ ॥  
यिवादकाले यत्स्त्रीभ्यो दीयते छात्रिसंनिधौ ।  
तदभ्याग्रेह्यं सद्धि स्त्रीधनं परिकीर्तितम् ॥ ८९५ ॥  
यत्पुनर्लभते नारी नोयमाना पितृगृहात् ।  
अभ्यागदैनिकं चैव स्त्रीधनं तदुदादतम् ॥ ८९६ ॥

889-891 सि सि p 132, म म. pp 101 102 ( has 889, but assigns no name and reads गोत्रसाधारण and ascribes 890 to बृहस्पति ), स्मृतिव. III pp 712 713 ascribes all three to बृहस्पति.

892 दायभाग p 110

893 अपराध p 757 ( reads वसेयुर्दश वर्षाब्दानि and विभक्ता भ्रातरस्ते च विहेया पैतृके चने ), स्मृतिव. III p 719, स सि p 443

894 दायभाग p 72 ( ascribes to मनु and कात्यायन ), सि र p 522 and सि सि p. 188 ( also do so )

895-897 मिता on या II 143, अपराध p 751 ( reads पादबद्धनिकं तत्पुत्रस्यैवार्जितमुत्पन्न ) स्मृतिव. III p 651, परा मा III p. 547, बृहस्पति on मनु 9 194 ( has 895-897 and reads नोयमाना पुत्रपैतृकात् ), सि. र. p. 524 and सि सि p. 138 ( reads यत्पुनर्लभते )

प्रीत्या दत्तं तु यत्किञ्चित् श्वश्र्वा वा द्यगुरेण वा ।  
 पादयन्दनिकं चैव प्रीतिदत्तं तदुच्यते ॥ ८९७ ॥  
 गृहोपस्करवाह्यानां दोह्याभरणकर्माणाम् ।  
 मृत्युं लब्धं तु यत्किञ्चिच्छुल्लं तत्परिकीर्तितम् ॥ ८९८ ॥  
 विवाहात्परतो यत्तु लब्धं भर्तृकुलात्प्रिया ।  
 अन्याधेयं तदुक्तं तु लब्धं बन्धुकुलान्तथा ॥ ८९९ ॥  
 ऊर्ध्वं लब्धं तु यत्किञ्चिन् संस्कारात् प्रीतितः स्त्रिया ।  
 भर्तुः पित्रोः सकाशाद्वा अन्याधेयं तु तद्गुरुः ॥ ९०० ॥  
 ऊढया कन्यया चापि भर्तुः पितृगृहेऽपि वा ।  
 भ्रातुः सकाशात्पित्रोर्वा लब्धं सौदायिकं स्मृतम् ॥ ९०१ ॥  
 ( स्त्रीधने स्वाम्यादिविचारः )  
 पितृमातृपतिभ्रातृश्रातिभिः स्त्रीधनं स्त्रियै ।  
 यथाशक्त्या द्विसाहस्राद्वातस्यं स्वायत्तदत्ते ॥ ९०२ ॥  
 यत्तु सेत्पाधिकं दत्तं यद्य योगयशेन वा ।  
 पित्रा भ्रात्राप्यया पत्या न तत्स्त्रीधनमिष्यते ॥ ९०३ ॥

मुच्यते and नीयमान हि पैतृकात् ), मद पा. p. 671, दावभाग p. 78,  
 ( has 895 96 and reads नीयमाना हि पैतृकात् )

898 दावभाग p. 93, अपरार्क p. 752, स्मृतिच० III p. 652, स्व म p.  
 153, वीर० p. 690, वि. र. p. 525, मद पा. p. 671

899-900 दावभाग p. 71 ( has both ), वि र p. 524 ( has both and  
 reads स्वकुलान्तथा ), परा. मा III. p. 519 has 899 and reads  
 लब्धं पितृकुलान्तथा, स्व. म. p. 153 and वि वि p. 139 have 899  
 only and read यद्गुरुं स्वकुलान्तथा, मिता० on या II. and 144 घ.  
 वि. p. 380 ( have 899 and लब्धं पितृकुलान्तथा ), वीर० p. 690 has 899  
 and reads as स्व म. does अपरार्क p. 752 and स्मृतेच० III. p. 652  
 read one verse as विवाहाः .. स्त्रिया । भर्तुः पित्रोः सकाशाद्वा अन्याधेयं तु  
 तद्गुरुः ॥

901 मिता० on या. II 143, वीर० p. 690, स्व म 155, परा मा. III. p.  
 543, अपरार्क p. 751 दावभाग p. 76 reads भर्तुः सकाशात्.

902 स्मृतिच० III. p. 652, परा. मा III p. 543, स्व. म. p. 154.

903 स्मृतिच० III p. 653, परा मा III p. 543, स्व. म. p. 154, वीर०  
 p. 688.



प्राप्तं शिखैस्तु यद्वित्तं प्रीत्या चैव यदन्यतः ।  
 भर्तुः स्वाम्यं तदा तत्र शेषं तु स्त्रीधनं स्मृतम् ॥९०४॥  
 सौदायिकं धनं प्राच्य स्त्रीणां स्वातन्त्र्यमिष्यते ।  
 यस्मात्तदानृशंस्यार्यं तैर्दत्तमुपजीवनम् ॥९०५॥  
 सौदायिके सदा स्त्रीणां स्वातन्त्र्यं परिकीर्तितम् ।  
 विक्रये चैव दाने च यथेष्टं स्थावरोप्यपि ॥९०६॥  
 भर्तृदायं मृते पत्यौ विन्यसेत्स्त्री यथेष्टतः ।  
 विद्यमाने तु संरक्षेत् क्षपयेच्चकुलेन्यथा ॥९०७॥  
 अथ चेत्स द्विमार्यः स्यात्त च तां भजते पुनः ।  
 प्रीत्या निमृष्टमपि चेत्प्रतिदाप्यः स तद्वलात् ॥९०८॥  
 प्राप्ताच्छादनवासनामाच्छेदो यत्र योपितः ।  
 तत्र स्वमाददीत स्त्री विभागं रिफियतां तथा ॥९०९॥  
 लिखितस्थेनि धर्मोयं प्राप्ते भर्तृकुले वसेत् ।  
 व्याधिता प्रेतकाले तु गच्छेद्वन्धुजनं ततः ॥९१०॥  
 न भर्ता नैव च सुतो न पिता भ्रातरो न च ।  
 आदाने वा विसर्गे वा स्त्रीधने प्रमथिष्यतः ॥९११॥  
 यदि होकतरोप्येषां स्त्रीधनं भक्षयेद्वलात् ।  
 समृद्धिकं प्रदाप्यः स्याद्वण्डं चैव समाभुयात् ॥९१२॥

904 स्मृतिव० III. p. 654, परा मा III. p. 550, अ. म. p. 154, वीर० p. 689, दासभाग p. 76.

905 अपराकं p. 752, स्मृतिव० III. p. 654, परा. मा. III p. 649, वि. वि. p. 139 ( reads दर्त तयजीवन ), वीर० p. 601, दासभाग p. 76 ( reads तैर्दत्तमुपजीवनम् ).

906-907 स्मृतिव० III p. 655 (both), अपराकं p. 752 (has only 906), दासभाग pp 76 and 73, वि. वि. pp 139-140 (has both), वीर० p. 691 and अ. म. p. 155 ( have only 906 ), वि. र. pp. 510-511.

908-909 अपराकं p. 755 (reads आदधीन), दासभाग p. 78 (reads विमृष्टमपि), स्मृतिव० III p. 658, वीर० p. 602, वि. र. p. 514.

910 अपराकं p. 755 ( first half only ), वि. वि. p. 141, वि. र. p. 514 ( has whole verse ).

911-912 दासभाग p. 78 and अपराकं p. 754 (read मूलमत्र and यदासी), स्मृतिव० III. p. 656, अ. म. p. 286 (has 911-912), अ. म. pp.

तदेष यद्यनुवाच्य भक्षयेत्प्रातिपूर्वकम् ।

मूत्र्यमेव प्रदाय्यः स्वाद्यद्यत्नी धनवान्भवेत् ॥ ९१३ ॥

स्याधिते व्यसनस्थं च धनिकेर्घोषपीडितम् ।

घात्वा नितुष्टं यत्प्रीत्या दद्यादात्मेच्छया तु स ॥ ९१४ ॥

जीरन्त्याः पतिपुत्रास्तु देवराः पितृवान्धवाः ।

अनीशा स्त्रीधनस्योक्तादण्डव्यास्त्वपहरन्ति ये ॥ ९१५ ॥

भर्ता प्रतिश्रुतं देयमृणवत्स्त्रीधनं सुतैः ।

विष्टेर्भर्तृकुले या तु न सा पितृकुले घतेत् ॥ ९१६ ॥

( सुतायाः स्त्रिया धनभिक्षाणि )

भगिन्यो वान्धवैः सार्धं विभजेरन्तमर्तुकाः ।

स्त्रीधनस्येति धर्मोयं विभागस्तु प्रकल्पितः ॥ ९१७ ॥

दुहितृणामभाये तु रिक्थं पुत्रेषु तद्भवेत् ।

वन्धुदत्तं तु बन्धूनामभाये भर्तृगामि तत् ॥ ९१८ ॥

पितृभ्यां चैव यद्दत्तं दुहितुः स्थावरं धनम् ।

अप्रजायामतातायां भर्तृगामि तु सर्वदा ॥ ९१९ ॥

आसुराविषु यद्धन्धं स्त्रीधनं पैतृकं स्त्रिया ।

अभाये तदपत्यानां मातृपित्रोस्तदिष्यते ॥ ९२० ॥

- 155-56, वीर० p 692 य वि p 330 ( has 912-913 ), वि. र p 518.
- 914 अपरार्क p 755, स्मृतिच० III. p. 658, वि. वि p 141, वि. र. p 518.
- 915 अपरार्क p 752
- 916 स्मृतिच० p III 659 ( first half only ), वि. वि. p. 142, वि. र. p. 514 ( इले जातु न सा पितृ० )
- 917 अपरार्क p 721, स्मृतिच० III p 661 and 667, वि. वि. p. 143, वीर० p. 695 ( first half ), वि. र p 518.
- 918 अपरार्क pp. 721 and 753, वि. वि p. 143, स्मृतिच० III p. 664, वीर० p 697 ( has first half only and reads पुत्रस्य ), वि. र. p. 518, दायभाग p. 95 ( latter half only )
- 919 दायभाग p 92 ( ascribes to वृद्धकात्यायन ), वीर० p. 704 ( reads अर्धोत्पत्त्यप्यसि ).
- 920 स्मृतिच० III. p. 665, वरा श. III. p. 554.

( अशुश्रूषणे पत्न्यादयो धनाधिकारिणः )

अशुश्रूषा शपनं भर्तुः पालयन्ती गुरौ स्थिता ।  
 मुञ्जीतामरणत्क्षान्ता दायादा ऊर्ध्वमामुयुः ॥ ९२१ ॥  
 स्वयंने स्वामिनि स्त्री तु ग्रासाच्छादनभागिनी ।  
 अधिभक्ते घनांशं तु ग्रामोत्थामरणान्तिकम् ॥ ९२२ ॥  
 भोक्तुमर्हति कुलगांशं शुश्रूषणे रता ।  
 न कुर्याद्यदि शुश्रूषां चैलपिण्डे नियोजयेत् ॥ ९२३ ॥  
 मृते भर्तरि भर्त्रंशं लभेत कुलपालिका ।  
 यावज्जीवं न हि स्वाम्यं दानाधमनचिरमे ॥ ९२४ ॥  
 यतोपवासतिरता ब्रह्मचर्ये व्यवस्थिता ।  
 दम्भदानरता नित्यमशुश्रूषा दिवं व्रजेत् ॥ ९२५ ॥  
 पत्नी भर्तुर्धनद्वरी वा स्याद्व्यभिचारिणी ।  
 तदभावे तु दुहिता यद्यनूदा भवेत्तदा ॥ ९२६ ॥  
 अशुश्रूषाया कुलजा पत्नी दुहितरोपि वा ।  
 तदभावे पिता माता भ्राता पुत्राश्च कीर्तिताः ॥ ९२७ ॥  
 विभक्ते संस्थिते द्रव्यं पुत्राभावे पिता हरेत् ।  
 भ्राता वा जननी वाथ माता वा तत्पितुः क्रमात् ॥  
 अपचारक्रियायुक्ता निर्लज्जा वार्धनाशिका ॥ ९२८ ॥

921 शपनं p. 171, स्मृतिच. III. p. 677, घ. वि. p. 410, वि. वि. p. 140, वीर. p. 627

922-923 स्मृतिच. III pp 678-679, न वि pp 410-411 ( reads अविमर्शनाय ), अथ म. pp 139-140 ( reads चैलं पिण्डं ), वीर. pp. 654-655 ( reads पत्नी तु )

924-925 अ. म. 138, वीर. p. 626.

926 पिता. on वा II. 135, स्मृतिच. III p. 693 ( reads यद्यनूदाय. तिहिता ), नद वा p. 672, परा. मा III p. 524, अ. म. p. 141, घ. वि p. 412, वीर. p. 631

927 पिता. on वा. II 135 ( read. अशुश्रूषायाः कुलजा ), स्मृतिच. III p. 693, परा. मा. III p. 526, अ. म. p. 141 ( अशुश्रूषा ).

928 पिता on वा. II 135, अपराधं p. 745, स्मृतिच. III p. 690, परा. वा. III. p. 532, वि. वि. p. 154, वीर. p. 638.

व्यभिचाररता या च स्त्री धनं सान चाहति ॥९२९॥  
 नारी स्वस्वननुज्ञाता पित्रा भर्त्रा सुतेन वा ।  
 विफल तद्भवेत्तस्या यत्करोत्यौर्ध्वदेहिकम् ॥ ९३० ॥  
 अदायिकं राजगामि योपिद्भृत्यौर्ध्वदेहिकम् ।  
 अवाप्त्य श्रोत्रियद्रव्य श्रोत्रियेभ्यस्तर्पयेत् ॥ ९३१ ॥  
 संसृष्टानां तु संसृष्टा पृथक्स्थाना पृथक्स्थिताः ।  
 अमाधेऽर्थद्वरा श्रेया निर्बीजान्योन्यभागिनः ॥ ९३२ ॥  
 (पूतमाह्वयौ)  
 द्यूतं नैव तु सेवेत कोचलोभविषयकम् ।  
 असाधुजननं कुरे नराणां द्रव्यनाशनम् ॥ ९३३ ॥  
 भुवं शूतात्कलिर्यस्माद्विष सर्पमुखादिव ।  
 तस्माद्राजा नियतेत विषये व्यसनं हि तत् ॥ ९३४ ॥  
 यतेत चेत्प्रकाशं तु द्वारावस्थिततोरणम् ।  
 असंमोहार्थमायाणां कारयेत्तत्करप्रदम् ॥ ९३५ ॥  
 समिक कारयेद् द्यूतं देय दद्यात्स्वयं नृपे ।  
 दशकं तु शते वृद्धिं शृङ्गीयाच्च पराजयात् ॥ ९३६ ॥  
 जेतुर्दद्यात्स्वकं द्रव्यं जिताद्ग्राह्य निपक्षकम् ।  
 सद्यो वा समिकेनैव कितावाप्तु न सहाय ॥ ९३७ ॥

929 मेधातिथि on मनु 8 28 (as स्मृत्यन्तर) वि वि p 141, and व्य म  
 p. 140 and वीर० p 655 (read अपकार०) परा मा III p 582

930 व्य म p 113

931 परा मा III p 535 व्य म p 139

932 वि वि p 160, वि र p 605 (reads अभर्वेशहर)

933-34 वि वि p 166, वि र p 611

935 वि र p 611

936 अपरार्क p 803 (reads दशकं तु शतं) स्मृतिच० III p 769 (latter  
 half only) वि र p 612 (reads तु शतं पराजये)

937 अपरार्क p 803 (reads जितग्राह्य निपक्षिकम्) स्मृतिच० III p 769  
 वि र p 612 (reads निपक्षक and कितावान्नसंगणे), वीर० p 719  
 (reads जितं पक्षिकं and वा किनेनैव यमिवाप्तु) परा मा III p  
 575 (reads as अपरार्क does)

एकरूपा द्विरूपा वा चूते यस्याक्षदेविन ।  
 दृश्यते च जयस्तस्य यस्मिन् रक्षा व्यवस्थिता ॥९३८॥  
 अथवा कितवो राक्षे दत्त्वा भागं यथोदितम् ।  
 प्रकाशं देशनं कुर्यादेवं दोषो न विद्यते ॥ ९३९ ॥  
 प्रसह्य दापयेद्देयं तस्मिन्स्याने न चा-यथा ।  
 जितं वै समिकस्तत्र समि ह्यप्रत्यया क्रिया ॥ ९४० ॥  
 अनभिज्ञो जितो मोक्ष्योऽमोक्ष्योभिज्ञो जितो रक्षः ।  
 सर्वस्य विजितेऽभिज्ञे न सर्वस्यं प्रदापयेत् ॥ ९४१ ॥  
 विप्रदेय जपे लाभे करणे कूटदेविनाम् ।  
 प्रमाणं समिकस्तत्र शुचिश्च समिको यदि ॥ ९४२ ॥  
 स्लेष्टृष्वपाकवृत्तीनां कितवाना तपस्विनाम् ।  
 तत्कृतान्धारमेतृणा निश्चयो न तु राज्ञि ॥ ९४३ ॥  
 (मनीषकम्)

पूर्वोक्तादुक्तशेषं स्यादधिकारच्युतं च यत् ।  
 आहृत्य परतन्त्रार्थनिबद्धमसमञ्जसम् ॥ ९४४ ॥  
 दृष्टान्तत्वेन शास्त्रान्ते पुनश्च कृतियास्थितम् ।  
 अनेन विधिना यच्च घातय तस्यात्प्रकीर्णकम् ॥ ९४५ ॥  
 राजघर्मा-स्त्रधर्माश्च संदिग्धानां च भाषणम् ।  
 पूर्वोक्तादुक्तशेषं च सर्वं तत्स्यात्प्रकीर्णकम् ॥ ९४६ ॥  
 सद्भागाकरदुर्लभं च गते देयं तथैव च ।  
 संपादयौ रभेदी च (दध्वा?) परदाराभिर्मर्दनम् ॥ ९४७ ॥  
 गोप्राह्मणजिघांसा च शस्यव्याघातवृत्तया ।  
 एतान्दशपरार्थास्तु नृपतिः स्वयमन्विषेत् ॥ ९४८ ॥  
 निष्कृतीनामकरणमाज्ञासेधव्यतिक्रमः ।  
 वर्णाश्रमविशेषश्च प्रजसद्गत्तोपनम् ॥ ९४९ ॥

938 वि र p 614

939 स्मृतिच० III p 769 अथार्क p 803 and बीर० p 718 ascribe it to नमद

940 41 अथार्क p 801, वि र pp 615 616 reads दापयेद्दृश्यं, 'प्रत्ययान् विना, जितो मोक्ष्योभिज्ञो वापि जितो

942-943 अथार्क p 804 वि र p 617 (निश्चयेन)

944 952 वि र pp 622 623

निधिनिष्कलवित्तं च दरिद्रस्य धनागमः ।  
 एतांश्चरैः सुविदितान् स्वयं राजा निवारयेत् ॥ ९५० ॥  
 अनाम्नातानि कार्याणि क्रियाघादाश्च वादिनाम् ।  
 प्रवृत्तीनां प्रकोपश्च सङ्केतश्च परस्परम् ॥ ९५१ ॥  
 अशास्त्रविहितं यच्च प्रजाया संप्रवर्तते ।  
 उपायैः सामभेदाद्यैरेतानि गमयेत्तु ॥ ९५२ ॥  
 मित्रादिषु प्रयुञ्जीत बाण्डण्डं धिक् तपस्विनि ।  
 यथोक्तं तस्य तत्कुर्यादयुक्तं साधु कल्पितम् ॥ ९५३ ॥  
 प्रमाणेन तु कृटेन मुद्रया वापि कृटया ।  
 कार्यं तु साधयेद्यो वै स दाप्यो दममुत्तमम् ॥ ९५४ ॥  
 राजक्रीडासु ये सक्ता राजवृत्तुपजीविनः ।  
 अप्रियस्य च यो वक्ता यच्च तेषां प्रवर्तयेत् ॥ ९५५ ॥  
 गतिरूपस्य कर्तारं प्रेक्षकाः प्रकराद्य ये ।  
 राजार्थमोपकाश्चैव प्राप्नुयुर्विविधं वधम् ॥ ९५६ ॥  
 प्रज्ज्यावसितं शूद्रं जपद्वोमपरं तथा ।  
 वधेन शासयेत्पापं दण्ड्यो वा द्विगुणं दमम् ॥ ९५७ ॥  
 सचिह्नमपि पापं तु पृच्छेत्पापस्य कारणम् ।  
 तदा दण्डं प्रकल्पेत् दाप्यमारोप्य यत्नतः ॥ ९५८ ॥  
 सद्रवृत्तानां तु सर्वेषामपराधो यदा भवेत् ।  
 अवशेनैव दैवाद्यु तत्र दण्डं न कल्पयेत् ॥ ९५९ ॥  
 सम्पददण्डप्रणेता रो मुपाः पूज्याः सुरैरपि ।  
 आरम्भे प्रथमं दद्यात्प्रवृत्तौ मध्यमे स्मृतम् ॥  
 यस्य यो विहितो दण्डः पर्याप्तस्य स वै भवेत् ॥ ९६० ॥

९५३ वि र p 629

९५४ अपरार्क p ८६३ स्मृतिच० III p 757, स वि p 475 (reads मुद्रया  
 बानुकूलया and दाप्योत्तममम्), वि र p 370

९५५ स्मृतिच० III p 773 परा मा III p 580 (reads अत्रिये वास्य),  
 वि र p 368 वि वि p 103 कीर० p 724

९५६ वि वि p 103

९५७ परा मा III p 580 कीर० p 724

९५८ वि र p 610

९५९ ९६० वि र p 631

राजानो मन्त्रिणश्चैव विशेषादेवमाप्नुयुः ।  
 भक्षसासनासु पापानां नतानां दण्डधारणात् ॥ ९६१ ॥  
 परतन्त्राश्च ये केचिद्दासत्वं ये च संस्थिताः ।  
 भनाथास्ते तु निर्दिष्टास्तेषां दण्डस्तु तादृगम् ॥ ९६२ ॥  
 तादृगं वन्धनं चैव तथैव च विडम्बनम् ।  
 एष दण्डो हि दासस्य नार्थदण्डो विधीयते ॥ ९६३ ॥  
 सुवर्णशतमेकं तु घघाहो दण्डमर्हति ।  
 अङ्गच्छेदे तदर्थं तु विधासे षष्ठ्यपिरुतिम् ॥ ९६४ ॥  
 कुलीनार्यविशिष्टेषु निरुष्टेष्वनुसारतः ।  
 सर्वस्य वा निगृह्येतान् पुराच्छीघ्रं प्रयासयेत् ॥ ९६५ ॥  
 निर्धना वन्धने स्थाप्या घघं नैव प्रयतयेत् ।  
 सर्वेषां पापयुक्तानां विशेषार्थश्च शास्त्रतः ॥ ९६६ ॥  
 घघाङ्गच्छेदाहंविप्रो निःसङ्गे वन्धने विशेषः ।  
 तदकर्मवियुक्तोसौ पृत्तस्तस्य दमो हि सः ॥ ९६७ ॥  
 कूटसाक्ष्यपि निर्वास्यो विख्याप्योऽसरप्रतिग्रही ।  
 अङ्गच्छेदी विद्योज्यः स्यात् स्वधर्मे वन्धनेन तु ॥ ९६८ ॥  
 एतैः समापराधानां तत्राप्येवं प्ररूपयेत् ।  
 बालवृद्धातुरस्त्रीणां न दण्डस्तादृगं दमः ॥ ९६९ ॥  
 स्त्रीधनं दापयेदण्डं धार्मिकः पृथिवीपतिः ।  
 निर्धना प्राप्तदोषा स्त्री तादृगं दण्डमर्हति ॥ ९७० ॥  
 अन्यायोपाजितं न्यस्तं कोपे कोपं निवेशयेत् ।  
 कार्यार्थं कार्यभाशः स्याद्विद्विमात्रोपपातयेत् ॥ ९७१ ॥  
 दत्त्वा धनं तद्विभ्रेभ्यः सर्वं दण्डसमुत्थितम् ।  
 पुत्रे राज्यं समासज्य कुर्यात् प्रायणं वने ॥ ९७२ ॥  
 एवं चरेत्सदा युक्तो राजा धर्मेण पार्थिवः ।  
 हितेषु चैव लोकस्य सर्वान् भृत्याधिपोजयेत् ॥ ९७३ ॥

961 वि. र. p. 650

962 वि. र. p. 655

963 वि. र. p. 655, परा. मा. III, p. 212 attributes this to बृहस्पति  
and reads latter half as दण्डो हि ददत्य नार्थदण्डो बृहस्पतिः ॥

964 968 वि. र. p. 657.

969 वि. र. p. 658.

970 वि. र. 659.

971-973 वि. र. p. 663. .

## Some Abbreviations employed in the Translation and Notes

- Apar = Aparārka's com on Yājñavalkya  
 Br = Translation of Brhaspati by Dr Jolly in the Sacred  
 Books of the East Series vol 33  
 D B = Dāyabhaga  
 Kat = Katyayana  
 Kaut = Arthasāstra of Kautilya ( text, ed in 1919 by Dr  
 Sham Sastri and translation by him in 1915 )  
 Kul = Kulluka's commentary on Manusmṛti  
 Mit = Mītsakara of Vijñāneśvara  
 Nar = Translation of Nārada smṛti by Dr Jolly in Sacred  
 Books of the East Series vol 33  
 Par M = Parāśaramādheviya  
 Sar = Sarasvativilāsa ( text )  
 Sm C = Smṛticandrikā ( text, Mysore edition )  
 V C = Vivāda cintamani  
 Vi = Viśnu dharmasūtra  
 Vir = Viramitrodaya ( text ed by Jivananda )  
 Vis = Viśvarupa's commentary on Yājñavalkya  
 V M = Vyavaharamayukha of Nīlakanṭha (text &c. ed by me)  
 V R = Vivādaratnākara ( text )  
 Vy Māt = Vyavaharamātrkā of Jimutavāhana  
 Yāj = Yājñavalkya-smṛti



9 Kings who abide by the duties peculiar to themselves attain to the position of Indra; but those (kings) whose actions go astray (from the path of dharma) have to reside in the Avici hell (after death)

10 That king, who gives way to (sudden) wrath without proper thought, no doubt would reside in an horrible hell for half a kalpa

11. He (the king) should appoint a minister who is a brāhmaṇa, is endowed with these qualities, who is (always) absorbed in (state) business who is devoted to the king and who is the best of his family

12 Where the ministers, the *sabhyas* (members of the assembly of justice) and physicians are given to speaking what is agreeable (to the king), the king would there be soon deprived of his kingdom, of dharma and of happiness (respectively).

13 He (the king) should not direct his wrath towards them (ministers *sabhyas*, and physicians) when they tell him (the truth), since these must always tell the king what is proper and what is firmly fixed

14 Where the king himself looks into all actions according to the dictates of dharma, there the people behave well and reside in happiness

15 Constant protection of the subjects, eradication of evil doers, honouring brāhmaṇas—for these purposes the king was made

9 'Avici' is one of the 21 hells prescribed for sinners Vide Yāj III 223 225 and V: 43 1-22

10 Read 'narakas' for 'narakam' 'Kalpa' is an enormous period. It is a day of Brahmā and is equal to a thousand divine *yugas*, each divine *yuga* being equal to 12000 human years Vide Manu I 68 72

11 'Endowed with these qualities' Probably this refers to the qualities of the king enumerated above

12 If the minister were to give such advice as would be agreeable to the king and not what would be of real benefit to the state, the king would lose his kingdom. Similarly if the *sabhyas* were to decide according to the whims of the king, they would be perpetrating injustice (i.e. he would lose dharma). If physicians were to prescribe what was agreeable to the king his health and happiness would suffer

15 Compare Manu VII 33 and IX 253 and Yāj I 323 The Kaṭhikya contains a special *śāntiśāstra* (11b) on *kantakasodhana*

16 The king is declared to be the lord of the land, but never of other kinds of wealth therefore he should secure the sixth part of the fruits of land but not otherwise at all

17 Since (human) beings reside on it (on land) their ownership thereof has been declared The king's ownership is restricted to taking one sixth as a tax, since the latter is dependent on good and evil portents (or natural phenomena and calamities of storms rains, locusts etc)

18 That king who, giving up greed, acts in this manner is blessed with sons and his domain and treasury prosper

19 The king who unjustly takes from his kingdom taxes fines, share of crops and tolls incurs sin

20 He (the king) should discard the teachings of politics and resort to the dictates of dharmaśāstra (sacred law).

21 Even when the king is at fault (the conqueror) should not ruin the country (for the vanquished king's faults), since he (the vanquished king) does not start on (his career of) wrong doing with the consent of his subjects

22 Whatever (gift) a king offers in a proper manner to a suppliant without distress (to himself), that gift saves him such a gift made for the purpose of (accumulating) merit becomes enduring (in its results)

23 Whatever is gained by kings after justly attacking and conquering their enemy is untainted and fit to be bestowed (in gift) and not anything else which is acquired in a different way

16-17 The idea underlying these verses seems to be that the king is the owner of all land in the state therefore he is entitled to demand a sixth part of the produce of the land The actual cultivators of the soil have only a qualified ownership over land which they are said to own because they reside on it and produce crops The latter half of 17 is difficult to construe The translation above is only tentative According to the V. M. the king was not the owner of the soil (p. 91 text) Kātyāyana's view seems to be the same as that embodied in section 37 of the Bombay Land Revenue Code

20 The symbol of the rule of conflict in the case of conflict between the teachings of a śāstra and the *śāstraśāstra* Vide Yājñ. Br. Śākh. 1. 37 p. 15 and Ep. Br. Ś. 1. 3. 24. 35 in a similar vein Haraditya also says शास्त्रेन विदितं धर्मं न कर्तव्यं शास्त्रेन विदितं धर्मं कर्तव्यं प. 150 (text)

24 The king should appoint as his *purohita* ( a family priest ) a brahman who is ( highly ) spoken of ( by the learned ), who is well disposed, endowed with perfect ( Vedic ) learning, who is not greedy and who speaks the truth

( Definition of *Vyavahāra* )

25 When the ramifications of a right conduct, that together are called *dharma* and that can be established only with effort, have been violated, the dispute ( in a law court between plaintiff and defendant ) which springs from what is desired to be proved ( such as a debt ) is said to be *vyavahāra*

26 'Vi' is employed in the sense of 'various,' 'ava' in the sense of 'doubt', 'hara' means 'removing', 'vyavahāra' is so called because of its removing various doubts

27. The king should not through being won over or through greed of money take upon himself the disputes of men

when they do not themselves contend ( in a court )

28 He, who causes ( physical ) injury or does not give back through wickedness what he owes to ( his creditor ) even though the latter beseeches ( him ), should be dragged by order of the king

29 ( Vyavahara ) has two aspects, which come to be eighteen owing to the manifoldness in the objects to be secured ( by litigation ), the eighteen again become 1008 owing to the multifariousness of the matters to be proved

30 What the plaintiff complains of ( before the court ) is the root of the litigation, the two springs ( of vyavahara ) are said to be non rendition of what is due and injury

31 This ( *vyavahāra* ) is said to have four feet i e stages viz the plaint the defence ( or reply ), the deliberation ( as to burden of proof ) and the adducing of proof

32 Dharmaśāstra ( sacred law ) and Arthaśāstra ( science of politics and government ) are declared to be the two main branches ( of vyavahara ) and victory and defeat are declared to be the two fruits

29 The two aspects of *vyavahara* are civil ( *dhanamula* ) and criminal ( *dharmamula* ) the former contains 14 titles of law and the latter 4 These eighteen titles can be subdivided into 132 according to Nar ( p 12 ) Vide also L p 283 verso 5 for division into civil and criminal disputes

30 Compare ब्रह्मसंहिता ' ईमा वा कुलन वशिष्ठ्य वा न प्रवक्ष्यति । द्वे हि रथान विवादस्त नरे वदुः ( म २ ॥ १ ) सूत्रेण ( II p 2 ) vide Br p. 283, v 4

31 According to L the four padas are plaint reply, *Arjya* ( adducing of proof ) and decision ( vide Apar p 616 ) while the Vir, quotes ( p 59 ) another verse of Bhaspati's where the four padas are *bhaga* ( plaint ) *uttara* *kriya* and *pratyakalita* ( Br p. 289 verso 1 ) The meaning of *pratyakalita* according to the Vir and Apar is 'deliberation of the judge and assessors as to where the *onus probandi* lies and as to the method of proof According to the Mit ( on Ya) II 8 *pratyakalita* in this sense is not a *vyavaharapada*, since it is a function of the judge only and has no direct relation to the litigants but *pratyakalita* in the sense of decision of the court may be a *vyavaharapada* According to Nar ( p 7 v 10 ) the four feet of *vyavahara* are ' *dharma*, *vyavahara*, *caritra* royal edict

32 Verses 30 and 32 seem to refer to the dictum of Harita about *vyavahara* 'द्वयस्यो द्विद भावा द्विर-वा द्विद्वयस्यो ' ( स्मृतिषु III. p. 27 ).

33. That man is declared to be *stobhaka* who with a sole eye to money and without being urged by the king first informs ( the king ) of a matter which is censured by śāstra ( sacred law )

34. That man is declared to be *sūcaka* who is appointed by the king himself for discovering the wrong-doing of others and who coming to know of it conveys it to the king.

( *Comparative strength of dharma, vyavahāra, caritra and rājāsāsana* )

35. In a dispute where the person guilty of wrong-doing accepts ( confesses to ) his responsibility for it and where the real owner of the money ( in dispute ) secures his wealth by the admission of the defendant the decision is by *dharma* (moral law ) itself.

36. That is said to be *vyavahāra* ( decision by judicial proof ) where, for the purpose of deciding the causes ( of litigants ), those who are to execute the sacred law ( i. e. the judge and assessors ) put forward some principles of *dharmaśāstra* ( viz. examination of plaint, reply, witnesses &c. ).

33-34. A *stobhaka* is a private informant who gives information to the king for a reward, while a *sūcaka* is a servant of the king or an officer of the criminal intelligence department. These two verses have reference to *chālas*, *sparadhās* and *padās* as to which the king was to proceed *suo motu*. Read राज्ञश्चेदित्.

35. Nārada ( vide 31 above ) speaks of *vyavahāra* as four-fold. But what he meant is made clear by Bṛhaspati 'यमेण व्यवहारेण चरित्रेण वृषाहया ननुप्रकारेऽभिहितः सन्दिग्धेषु विनिर्णय 'M. Sm. 11 v. 21. Vide Br p. 285 v. 18. 'Decision' is the fourth pāda of *vyavahāra* according to Br and this last can be arrived at in four ways viz by *dharma*, by *vyavahāra*, by *caritra* and by *rājāsāsana*. When a person guilty of a crime ( *dosa* ) admits his guilt ( through repentance and on account of being afraid of sins ) without fighting out the proceeding and when a defendant also admits in his reply his liability to pay, there the decision is said to be by *dharma*, as the admission is due to the desire to follow *dharma* and to the fear of *adharma*. Here there is no necessity of proof or usage, or royal edict. It is therefore that Nār says 'तत्र सत्ये दिग्दोषो यमो व्यवहारस्तु साक्षिणः । पक्षेण वृषाहये राजाहया तु राजसनम्' M. This very verse occurs in Kauṣīya ( p. 350 text ) where we read चरित्रे यमो वृषाहयः.

36. It is therefore that Nār says that decision by *vyavahāra* rests on witnesses, as they are the principal instrument of proof. Compare Br. p. 286 v. 21.

37 Whatever a person practises, whether it be according to dharma ( the letter of the sacred law ) or not, because it is the invariable usage in a country, is declared to be *caritra* ( usage )

38 What a king establishes as dharma which is not in conflict with the *smṛti* rules on justice and with the usages of the country is a righteous royal edict

39 Where a cause is tried by ( an appeal to ) reasoning and ordeals are eschewed, there ( decision by ) *dharma* is overruled by *vyavahāra* and not in other cases

40 ( The king ) should not disregard the fixed rules of conduct among those who belong to the *pratiloma* castes and among inhabitants of forts ( or inaccessible mountain places ), even if they are opposed ( to rules of *smṛti* )

37 Certain usages observed by the people of a country may not be in accordance with the rules contained in certain *dharmaśāstras* ( such as marriage with a maternal uncle's daughter ) yet if the question of the validity of such a marriage comes before the court it is to be decided according to usage and not according to *dharmaśāstra*. Here a decision based on usage / *caritra* / is itself called *caritra*. Vide Manu I 103 on *śāstra* / usages / being transcendental law and Yāj I 313 on the king's duty to respect the usages of a conquered country. Nārada's text as read by Candesvara 'चरित्रं पुस्तकम्' means documentary evidence but Par M ( III p 10 ) reads 'चरित्रं तु स्वीकारे' which means the same thing as above. Vide Vid p 9 for criticism of these two readings. *Śāstr* also says 'दशरिपति पूर्ववत् चरित्रं समुदाहृतम्' ( *स्मृति* च III p 23 / and *Viśva* also says 'यथाचरति अत्र धर्मं वाच्यमेव वा । कुलादिदेशाचरणाचरितं तमकीर्तितम्' ( *स्मृति* च III p 58 )

38 A decision in a cause arrived at by the king's own intellect and not in conflict with *Smṛti* or usages is said to be a decision by royal edict.

39 These and the following verses illustrate the adatum of Nārada about the four that each succeeding one is stronger ( or superior to ) than each preceding one ( उत्तर पूर्वपादक ) Vide Nar p 7 v 10 Kauṣ ( p 150 text ) has the same verse. Where the decision is not given on the admission of the defendant or on trial by ordeal but according to the methods of proof ( such as witnesses infested ) laid down in *dharmaśāstra* the decision is by means of *vyavahāra*. *पुद्गल* says 'शास्त्रकृते नियमं नियमं प्रत्ययः । यथाह स विज्ञेयः धर्मस्तदावर्तयते' ( *स्मृति* च III p 24 न रं D 12 / Vide Br p 286 v 25

40 41 When a girl of a higher caste marries a male of a lower caste the progeny is called *pratiloma*. Vide Manu X 11 41 and X; I 93 95.

33. That man is declared to be *stobhaka* who with a sole eye to money and without being urged by the king first informs ( the king ) of a matter which is censured by *śāstra* ( sacred law )

34. That man is declared to be *sūcaka* who is appointed by the king himself for discovering the wrong-doing of others and who coming to know of it conveys it to the king.

( *Comparative strength of dharma, vyavahāra, caritra and rājāsāsana* )

35. In a dispute where the person guilty of wrong-doing accepts ( confesses to ) his responsibility for it and where the real owner of the money ( in dispute ) secures his wealth by the admission of the defendant the decision is by *dharma* (moral law ) itself.

36. That is said to be *vyavahāra* ( decision by judicial proof ) where, for the purpose of deciding the causes ( of litigants ), those who are to execute the sacred law ( i. e. the judge and assessors ) put forward some principles of *dharmaśāstra* ( viz examination of plaint, reply, witnesses &c ).

33-34 A *stobhaka* is a private informant who gives information to the king for a reward, while a *sūcaka* is a servant of the king or an officer of the criminal intelligence department. These two verses have reference to *chala*, *aparādha* and *pau* as to which the king was to proceed *suo motu*. Head राजप्रवेदिन

35 Nārada ( vide 31 above ) spoke of *vyavahāra* as four-fold. But what he meant is made clear by Brhaspati 'यमेन व्यवहारं चरित्रेण नृपादयाम । तत्पुण्यमरेऽभिदिनं सन्दिधेये दिदिर्लेय ' अमु निच 111 p 21. Vide Br p 285 v 18. 'Decision is the fourth pīda of *vyavahāra* according to Br and this last can be arrived at in four ways viz by *dharma*, by *vyavahāra*, by *caritra* and by *rājāsāsana*. When a person guilty of a crime ( *dopa* ) admits his guilt ( through repentance and on account of being afraid of sins ) without fighting out the proceeding and when a defendant also admits in his reply his liability to pay, there the decision is said to be by *dharma*, as the admission is due to the desire to follow *dharma* and to the fear of *adharma*. Here there is no necessity of proof, or usage or royal edict. It is therefore that Br says 'तत्र सत्ये दिक्षते यमो व्यवहारस्तु सन्दिधु । चरित्रे पुण्यकरणे राजादायां तु मामनय ॥'. This very verse occurs in Kauṣīlye ( p 150 text ) where we read सन्दिधुं समये पुंसां

36 It is therefore that Br says that decision by *vyavahāra* rests on witnesses, as they are the principal instrument of proof. Compare Br. p 216 v 12.

conventional usages, but ( in disputes ) between these and others ( the decision must be ) in accordance with the sacred texts ( dharmasastra )

48-50 Whatever conventions are settled in accordance with the consent of the ( people of a ) country should always be preserved in writing sealed with the royal seal. Such conventions should be sedulously upheld like ( the dictates of ) sacred law and ( the king ) should decide ( disputes ) after considering them. Whatever ( trade ) conventions are reduced to writing by traders as fit to be carried out, those must be given effect to and ( the king ) should not start anything contrary to them.

51 Whatever is decided upon ( once by the king ) as the authoritative usage of a country and whatever he does as approved of by the vedas and smritis though not in vogue ( as a custom or convention ) that should not be again reversed ( by the king ) he should avoid what is not in accordance with smṛti rules.

( *The hall of justice* )

52 That place, where the decision of the truth of the plaint ( lit the cause or root of dispute ) is carried on by a consideration of the ( rules of the ) sacred law, is ( called ) the Hall of Justice ( dharmadhikarana )

53-54 The king having risen in the morning performed ablutions being composed in mind having shown due honour to his guru his astrologer, physicians, dentists brāhmanas, and the family priests and having saluted the elders and the rest and being decked with fine flowers, ornaments and apparel, should enter the court room that has a pleasing appearance.

---

various systems of law where the parties to the litigation do not belong to the same country town or village.

48-50 These principles applicable to the usages of a country and the customs of a trade also hold good in the case of the usages of a caste or family a guild or corporation &c. Vide Pitsmaha नृणां तु यदेन साधु मनोनिवासेन धर्मो बह्विधोऽस्ति तस्य धर्मोऽयं नृणां पृथग्विधः ( III p 53 ) Vide Br p 221 v 28 and Manusm 11. Verses 81 below should have been placed after these.

53-54 Vide Br p 220 verses 21-23 for the same verses.



41 When a king gives a decision in accordance with such rules of conduct ( i. e. according to usage ) then *vyavahara* is overruled by *casitra*

42 Where kings consider that an usage is opposed to sacred law, there the usage is overruled by the king's command

43 Each succeeding one (out of the four viz *dharma*, *vya-vahāra*, *casitra* and royal edict) when possessed of these characteristics overrules ( each preceding one ), where ( each succeeding one ) overrules ( preceding one ) in other circumstances, there justice ( *dharma* ) is destroyed

44 If a king decides ( a case ) by his own fiat where there is a text ( of the *śāstra* capable of deciding it ), it leads him away from heaven, it causes ruin to people, it brings danger ( to the king ) from the army of his enemy and it strikes down the roots ( lit. the seed ) of his (long ) life

45 Therefore a king should decide the causes ( of people ) according to the rules of *śāstra*, but in the absence of sacred texts, he should carry out ( judicial administration ) according to the usages of the country ( lit. the views of the country )

46. Whatever course of conduct is in vogue in a country, is of long duration and is not opposed to the *vedas* and *śruti*s, is said to be the usage of the country

47 (In disputes) between the residents of the same country or the same capital or the same hamlet of cowherds and of the same town or village, ( the decision should be ) by their own

For the idea in these verses compare ब्रह्मसूत्रि 'देशस्थित्यानुमानेन नैगमात्तुमन वा । मित्यतः निगदन्त्य व्यवहारस्तु वाच्यते ॥ ( स्मृतिचक्र III 26 वीर 7 p 12 and Br p 157 v 26 )

42 Where usages are opposed to *śruti*s and it is likely that enforcing them would engender discontent and commotion among the people the king's command may supersede such usages. It is therefore that in verse 135 below a plea at which is opposed to the interest of the nation is said to fail

43 *Nārada's dictum* ( उक्तं पुराणधरे ) quoted on verse 39 is not a universal rule. It is rather an exception. This is made clear by the verse the where judicial procedure can properly be carried out or where there are local usages ( not opposed to the country's interest &c ) the king cannot interfere by an edict

45 Compare *Manu* 3 3

47 This lays down the rule of decision in case of the conflict of

conventional usages but ( in disputes ) between these and others ( the decision must be ) in accordance with the sacred texts ( dharmaśāstra )

48-50 Whatever conventions are settled in accordance with the consent of the ( people of a ) country should always be preserved in writing sealed with the royal seal. Such conventions should be sedulously upheld like ( the dictates of ) sacred law and ( the king ) should decide ( disputes ) after considering them. Whatever ( trade ) conventions are reduced to writing by traders as fit to be carried out, those must be given effect to and ( the king ) should not start anything contrary to them.

51 Whatever is decided upon ( once by the king ) as the authoritative usage of a country and whatever he does as approved of by the vedas and smṛtis though not in vogue ( as a custom or convention ) that should not be again reversed ( by the king ), he should avoid what is not in accordance with smṛti rules.

( *The hall of justice* )

52 That place, where the decision of the truth of the plaint ( lit the cause or root of dispute ) is carried on by a consideration of the ( rules of the ) sacred law is ( called ) the Hall of Justice ( dharmādīkaraṇa )

53-54 The king having risen in the morning performed ablutions being composed in mind having shown due honour to his *guru* his astrologer physicians, deities<sup>1</sup> brāhmanas, and the family priests and having saluted the elders and the rest and being decked with fine flowers, ornaments and apparel, should enter the court room that has a pleasing appearance.

---

various systems of law where the parties to the litigation do not belong to the same country town or village.

48-50 These principles applicable to the usages of a country and the custom of a trade also hold good in the case of the usages of a caste or family guild or corporation &c. Vide *Pitāmaha* अथवापुत्रादिना व सनामिवादिनाम् १-२४ द्वाविंशतिश्च नर्तनं व हृष्यत ॥ ५ ॥ III p 58/ Vide Br p 237 v 28 and Manus 41. Verses 53 below should have been placed after these.

53-54 Vide Br I 210 verses 21-2 for the same verses.

55 The king dressed in a simple ( subdued, not gaudy ) manner, having gone to the court room and being composed in mind, should look into the causes of litigants while sitting or standing facing the east along with persons learned in the three vedas, elders and councillors well versed in statecraft

56 The king who looks ( into causes ) according to the sacred law along with the judge, the ministers, the brāhmanas, the family priest and the assessors ( sabhyas ) attains heaven

57-59 ( The court room ) should be presided over by incorruptible and diligent assessors ( sabhyas ) by wise brāhmanas who are ( perform the work ) hereditary, who are well versed in the meaning of sacred texts and the science of politics by a few merchants who form a group ( or guild ), who are men of high family, character well advanced in years, are endowed with good conduct and wealth and are free from malice In that ( court room ) merchants are to be appointed to listen ( to the cause ) and to look to the administration of justice

( *Time of holding the court* )

60 The king, who strikes down his enemies, should decide the causes in the court room in the first half of the day according to the course laid down in the sacred texts ( śāstra )

61 Those three parts of the day after omitting the ( first ) eighth part of the day are declared to be the best time

55 Compare Manus 12 Kūl explains that the king may decide while standing if the matter is simple or slight The Vy Māt explains that the king's attire should be simple as otherwise the parties might be dazzled by his splendour and might forget what they had to say Compare Br p 280 v 20

57-59 The Mit notes that there is a distinction between sabhyas and brāhmanas the former are specially appointed by the king the latter are not The Mit says that according to Manus 10-11 the sabhyas were to be three while according to Br they were to be 75 or 3 Vide Br p 278 v 11 V de Yāj II 2 for qualifications of sabhyas The Vr explains सभ्यवृत्त as old The merchants are to be other than the sabhyas and were to be associated with the judge and sabhyas according to Mit for placating the populace

61 The whole day ( of 30 ghatikas or 12 hours ) is to be divided into 8 parts then the first part ( or 1½ hours ) is to be set apart ( for daily duties of bath worship &c ) and the three parts ( 4½ hours ) up to noon were to be devoted to hearing the causes Kaut ( text p 37 and Sr p 42 )

for ( looking into ) disputes according to the sacred texts

62. The three parts of the day after the first eighth part are recognised in the śāstra by the wise as the time for ( administration of ) justice

( *The judge* )

63-64 When the king cannot himself decide the causes ( of litigants ), then he should appoint thereto ( in the court ) a brahmana learned in the various śāstras, who is vigilant and well born who is impartial, who does not cause disgust to the people, who is steady ( or incorruptible ) who is afraid of the next world, most devoted to observance of śāstric rules of conduct assiduous and free from irascibility

65 The king should appoint for ( deciding ) disputes ( a judge ) who is not cruel who is sweet tempered kind, who is hereditary clever energetic and not greedy

66 One who has studied only a single branch of learning would not know how to decide causes therefore kings should appoint for ( deciding ) disputes one who knows many śāstras as the best person

67 Where a brāhmana ( endowed with the qualities enumerated ) cannot be had ( the king ) should appoint a Ksatriya or a Vaiśya proficient in the sacred law, but he ( the king ) should carefully avoid a śudra ( as a judge )

68. Whatever is done by others ( as judges ) than these must be regarded as done wrongly, even if they be officers ( of the king ) and even if by chance it is done ( the decision is ) according to the sacred texts

---

divides the kṛtādaya into eight parts but assigns only the 2nd part and not 3rd and 4th also as in Kāt ) for looking into the causes of citizens and of people in the country

62 This means the same thing as the preceding. It is unlikely that the original Kātyāyana contained both verses. Both are well authenticated so they probably represent two versions of the same verse.

63 Compare Manu 8. 9 and Yā. 11. 3

65 Read अग्रज for अग्रज in the text

67 Compare Manu 8. 20

68 The jurisdiction to decide cases rests with judges and abhyas who belong to the brāhmaṇa and the two other castes. If one who is not appointed a judge but is an officer of the army were to decide a dispute even according to śāstra that would be a decision without jurisdiction and so a nullity just as in modern times if a court having no jurisdiction at all over the matter in dispute were to decide it the decision could be a mere nullity even if on merits the decision may be found to be right.

69 It is settled that one who asks questions with reference to the matter in dispute is a 'prād' (a questioner), he, who distinguishes in that (dispute as to what party is in the right) is thence called 'prādvivāka' (a judge)

70 If the judge were to hold conversation in private with a party while the matter (in dispute) is undecided he becomes liable to be punished and also particularly the assessors (if they do the same).

[ *The Members of the Court* ]

71 The best of brāhmanas who are not avaricious who possess wealth, who know the sacred law, who (always) speak the truth and are proficient in all śāstras should be made sabhyas (assessors)

72 Where the sabhyas decide (a matter) in violation of the sacred law there dharma (justice) being overcome by adharma (injustice) does undoubtedly destroy (the king).

73 Where justice is slain by injustice and where truth is strangled by untruth while the sabhyas look on (with apathy), there the sabhyas (members of the court) are themselves destroyed

74 The members of the court should not connive at the king when he begins to act unjustly, if they do so, they along with the king fall headlong into hell

75 Those members of the court who follow (approve of) the king who proceeds in an unjust manner, also become participators therein (i.e. in the sin due to unjust decision), therefore the king should be awakened by them (to the right course)

69 The *śloka* gives the etymology of the word प्रद्विवक्ता. The judge asks the plaintiff what his case is and the defendant what his reply is and then finds out which of them is in the right. Therefore प्रद्विवक्ता is a compound of two words प्रद्व (from प्रदृष्ट to ask) and विद्वक्ता (from विद् with वि). It seems better to derive विद्वक्ता from विद् with वि as the Vyākṛtī does in the alternative विद्वक्ता वा जयवक्ता-वक्ता विद्वक्ता-वक्ता विद्वक्ता. Compare Br p 28 v 12 for derivation.

71 Compare Br p 72 v 13, 4. Br p 37 verses 4 & 5

73 This is the same as Manu 8. 11 and 8. 12 add (समाचारमन्त्रेण च 8). So it is likely that Katyāyana embodied such a popular verse in his work.

75-6 There is no contradiction in these verses. The latter says that the sabhya should not then and there oppose the king's decree but should at the moment mollify the king while the former says that gradually the

70 Coming to know that the mind of the king is straying from the path of justice, a member of the court should then say what is agreeable ( to the king ), ( by so doing ) the sabhya would not incur sin

77 A member of the court must certainly speak out words ( decision ) that are in accordance with the sacred law and the science of statecraft. If the king does not listen ( to the advice of the sabhya ) the sabhya would then be free from sin

78 When a king directs ( the judge or member of the court ) to do injustice to ( to give a wrong decision in the case of ) disputants then a member of the court should beseech the king ( that his order will lead to injustice ) and should turn him away from wrong doing

79 When a sabhya decides wrongly through affection, ignorance, greed or infatuation he should be punished for he is so that *case declared to be not a sabhya* ( i.e. unworthy to be a member of the court )

80 After correctly understanding how to decide the cases, a member of the court should announce ( his decision ) he should not announce ( his decision ) otherwise ( i.e. without understanding ), if he does so he is liable to a fine double ( of what the defeated party in a cause has to pay )

81 Whatever loss is caused ( to a litigant ) through the fault of the sabhya the latter should be ordered to make it good, but ( the king ) should not disturb the decision of the matter in dispute between litigants

king should be brought round to see the right path. The reading ( in 25 ) *बोधनीयः संज्ञकः* ( the king should be gradually awakened to his duty ) is therefore preferable

77 Compare YB p 37 verse 7 and p 39 v 15 and Manu 8/19

79 80 Compare Nâr p 22 v 67 and YB If 4 The Mit on YB. It explains in this way. The words liable to a double fine do not mean double of the matter in dispute since in certain cases ( such as rape, abduction &c ) the matter in dispute is incapable of valuation

81 This applies where after a decision is pronounced it is found that a wrong decision was given by a corrupt assessor. In such a case the decision stands but the erring assessor has to make good the loss occasioned by his decision

( Graduation among those who decide causes )

82 Family council corporations assemblies one appointed ( as a judge ) and the king, these have the responsibility in (deciding) disputes Each succeeding one out of these is superior to ( each preceding one )

83 The king should investigate the causes of ascetics by those learned in the three Vedas only and also of those who are adepts in jugglery and in yogic practices and should not investigate them himself for fear that he may rouse their anger ( against himself )

84 ( The king ) should give advice (admonition) to those who are of high caste and character, and to his *gurus*, teachers and ascetics through one who is endowed with true knowledge ( and not himself directly )

85 That is called family usage which has come down hereditarily in a family as the *dharma* to be observed ( by members of that family ), ( the king ) should preserve it ( intact )

( Method of questioning the plaintiff )

86 88 ( The king or judge ) should question the litigant ( who approaches ) at the proper time ( of the court ), who bows ( to the king or judge ) and who stands before him ' what is your grievance, what is the injury done to you, don't

82 Vy Māt. ascribes this verse to Manu also. It is not found in Manu. It is Nār I 7 ( vide p 6 ). Yāj II. 50 is a similar verse where the word *pūga* is used in place of *gāṇa*. *Kula* or family gatherings are explained by the Mīti as groups of agnatic and cognatic relatives while Vy Māt. explains as 'the family of the parties. Mīti explains *śreṇī* as associates of persons following one trade whether they belong to the same caste or not ( such as weavers betel nut sellers &c ) while Vy. Mīti explains as associates of artisans and traders etc *gāṇa* means assembly of brāhmanas etc according to Vy Māt. and *pūga* means according to Mīti. assemblies of persons residing in one village or city though of different castes and professions. All these are like modern *panchayats*. Compare Br p 281 verses 25-30.

85 Compare Br p 251 v 2\* for a similar rule. This verse occurs in Kauṣ text p 39.

86 88 *अथ कृत्वा* *अथ कृत्वा*

86 88 The words *अथ कृत्वा* and *अथ कृत्वा* respectively refer to civil and criminal matters. Br p 52 explains that if the plaintiff were to reply that defendant does not return a debt given to him by the plaintiff in a former life it is not a cause that can be entertained. 'The seal means

be afraid, speak out, man !' The ( judge ) presiding in the court should ask ' by whom, where, at what time and why ( was the grievance or injury caused ) When thus asked whatever he replies should be considered ( by the judge ) with the assessors and the brāhmanas and if the cause be judicially entertainable, then he ( the judge ) should deliver the ( court ) seal to the plaintiff for calling ( the defendant ) or he should order the ( court's ) officer ( to call the defendant )

( *Substitutes or recognised agents of parties* )

89 90 A person though other ( than the defendant, ) if put forward by the defendant before the judge ( as defendant ) should be regarded as the defendant and he also who is accepted ( by the plaintiff ) himself ( as the defendant ) It is the right of the person charged ( to give a reply ) and not of another person, since the latter is unconnected ( with the dispute ) ( but ) even a stranger may be allowed ( to have the right to defend ) if he is put forward ( as the defendant ) by the person charged ( by the plaintiff )

91 For whomever a man carries on a dispute ( in a law court ) whether the latter be appointed by the plaintiff or deputed by the defendant, the victory or defeat belongs to the former ( and not to the representative )

probably a sealed order or summons. The court's officer ( or bailiff ) was called *sādhya-pāla*. Vide *अज्ञात* quoted in *सृष्टिचक्र* ( III 28 ) *साध्यापालस्तु कर्तव्यो राजा साध्याय साधकः प्रजापालो ह्युक्तः साध्याय च मते रिक्तः ॥* and *Br r* 279 v 15 which says that he was to be appointed to summon parties and witnesses and to keep them in custody ( when necessary ) For the last verse, compare *दृष्टवति* ' *नस्वात्मयोगं कुरुत सर्वनाशकृशये वा । तदेवाकारये ह्यज्ञा सुद्धा पुनरेव वा ॥* ' *सृ* *रि* p 79 and *सृष्टिचक्र* III p 22.

89 90 See Order III of the Civil Procedure Code on recognised agents some of the provisions of which are very similar to the following verses. The general rule is contained in the first half of verse 90 viz. defendant must answer the plaintiff in person to this three exceptions are allowed defendant may send some one as his deputy ( 2nd half of 90 ) the defendant charged by the plaintiff may put forward another person as the defendant or the plaintiff may accept as the defendant another person. These verses and verse 92 forbid what is called in England *champerty* and *maintenance*.

91 This is the same as *Nār* p 12 verse 23. The appointment may be due to illness or incapacity to argue one's case. This verse contains the germs of the modern profession of *pleaders*.





unchaste, courtesans women without family and degraded women

99 A person who asserts his claim with arms in hand, without wearing an upper garment, with hair dishevelled, sitting down on a seat or with his left hand, should be fined

100 He, who when summoned, disregards the king's order ( to appear before the court ) though able to do so, should be fined by the king according to the method laid down in the ( smṛiti ) injunction

101 The fine should be fifty ( panas ) in the case of slight causes ( when the defendant does not appear though summoned ) two hundred as the minimum in the case of middling ones and in the case of heavy causes the fine should always be 500 ( panas ) at least

102 Whatever figure is put down as the fine for any wrong must be understood as referring to panas ( to be paid to the king ) or their equivalent price

( *Restraint or arrest* )

103 He who through bad disposition causes injury or does not give what he is bound to give to one who requests him to do so should be dragged ( before the court ) by the king's order

104 105 After informing the king of the cause ( matter in dispute ) and when the matter affirmed ( in the plaint ) is beyond doubt he ( the claimant ) should employ restraint ( of the

99 A claimant must approach the court in a decent manner This verse is an expansion of the word गृह्य in verse 86 above

101 Apar explains that there are copper panas

102 The reading śakṣitā is better and means according to the ability of the person fined

103 Injury refers to criminal matters and *depon* stands for all civil disputes

104 Vir explains that when it is apprehended that some time would elapse before the summons from the king could be served on the defendant the plaintiff should keep under restraint or arrest ( called *bandha* in Sanskrit ) the defendant ( *Comme Nār p 17 v 4* *Āśa ha* ( which means arrest or restraint by king's order according to M t. ) according to Nār ( p 17 v 8 ) was of four kinds when the defendant is told don't go away even a step from this place till the decision it is local restraint ( *गृह्य* ) when he is told you must present your

defendant) till the arrival of the summons. One who deserves to be arrested should be punished, if he breaks (the restraint) when he is arrested.

106 That man who restrains (the defendant) so as to prevent him from exercising his limbs or from talking and breathing freely, when the defendant does not deserve to be (so restrained) should be punished and not the (defendant) who breaks such restraint.

107-108 Those who have climbed up trees and mountains, those who are seated on elephants, horses, chariots and in boats and those who are under difficulties— all these should not be arrested by those (plaintiffs) who want to establish their claims, so also those who are afflicted with disease— those who are overwhelmed by calamities, those who are engaged in sacrifices— those who have not come out (of the litigation in which they are already engaged) the intoxicated, the lunatic and the idiot— these should not be arrested.

109 A husbandman (should) not be arrested at the time of the sowing season nor a soldier at the time of (the marching of) the army, nor one who has gone after having promised (to return) nor should one who has fixed a time (for his appearance) be arrested in the interval (i.e. before that time).

---

self on the 5th day of the month (Sm c) or 'you must not do a certain thing for a certain time' (Vir) it was temporary restraint (वालाहय), when told 'don't go on a journey till the decision' it is restraint of journey (प्रवन्तु अन्तर) when told 'don't do such and such an act e.g. exposing an article for sale (Sm C) or 'don't do this or that act such as taking a bath &c' (Vir) it is restraint as to actions (अन्तर अन्तर). If he disregarded these restraints he was liable to be punished. It will be noticed that 'Asedha' included several modern processes such as attachment or arrest before judgment (section 94 and Order 38 of the Civil Pro. Code) or temporary injunction (Order 39 of the Civil Procedure Code).

107-108 Compare Nar pp 18-19 verses 52-54, Br p 283 verses 36-37.

108 'Those who have not come out &c'— Compare YaJ II 9 'अप्रियन्मन्त्रस्य न प्रत्यामन्त्रयेत्। आमुष्मन् न जाम्बवन् नष्कं। त्र्यहोत्रं न दत्तं' and Nar p. 19 r 55 and Kaut. (text p 149 'न आमुष्मन् त्र्यहोत्रं न दत्तं') Compare too 135 (2) of the Civil Procedure Code as to freedom of parties their agents and witnesses from arrest while attending or going to and returning from a tribunal.

110 When the husbandman is ready to reap the crop so also when the rainy season approaches, (the plaintiff) should not make (the defendant) engage in the dispute from the beginning (of the sowing of seeds) to the gathering (in of the crops) If (the plaintiff) arrests one who should not be arrested the fixed rule is that he should be punished by the king

111 The defendant when restrained by order of the king should stay (i.e. should not break the order of arrest) and the wise say that (when he is so arrested) others should not attack him (by another suit)

112-113 The king should make the plaintiff give as much subsistence (i.e. boiled rice) to the messenger after the cause is finished as is required for a day or two (that he spent in summoning and guarding the defendant &c.) having regard to the time and place Those who know the essence (of dharma) recognise that the subsistence (i.e. food) due to the summoner in all cases depends upon the time, the place, the age (of the summoner) and the ability (of the parties)

(Persons not acceptable as sureties)

114-116 The master an enemy, one authorised by the master one who is under arrest one fined those who are accused (of grave sins) one who is a sharer in family property, an indigent person those who are under a vow of perpetual studenthood one who is appointed on the king's business, those

109-110 Compare Br p 288 verses 36-37

112-113 Compare Kaut (text p 149) who says that 'the wages for the *purusa* are one-*o ghth* (of the fine or matter in dispute) that the subsistence on the way (for witnesses) depends on the value of the matter in dispute and that both these should be paid by the party that is chastised by the king The last means that the costs of the suit fall on the defeated party Dr Sham Shastri's translation (p. 190) 'fees for witnesses shall cover  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the amount' is not correct, as '*purusa* does not mean witness but bailiff Vide notes on verses 86-88 above

114-116 '*Santayaastha* is explained as *abhisasta* which is explained by Haradatta as one guilty of the grave sins enumerated in *Anastamba dharmasutra* I 7 21-8 *rikthi* means one who has a share in ancestral wealth such as a brother *atyantavāsinaḥ* is explained by the M 4 as *naisth kabrahmacārinah* The V 4 p 58 says that the Madanaratna read *anyatra vas tnah* which it explained as,

who are ascetics ( *sannyāsina* ), one who is unable to pay ( the money due or amount in dispute ) to the creditor ( or successful party ) and fine equal to that ( the amount in dispute ) to the king one whose father is living so also one who incites ( the party from whom surety is demanded ), one whose antecedents are not known these should not be accepted as sureties

117 If there be no surety given by the plaintiff who has a proper cause for dispute, he should be guarded and should give to the messenger ( the person who keeps guard over him ) wages at the end of the day

118 A person belonging to the three higher castes if without a surety should be ( confined ) guarded by ( warders ) who stand outside ( the lock up ), but ( the king ) should keep Sudras and others confined and fettered ( if they cannot give sureties )

119 If he ( person without surety ) breaks ( the restraint ) and runs away he should be fined eight *panas* but in the case of persons of all castes there should be no obstruction to the performance of ( daily ) obligatory actions ( or duties such as bathing, worshipping, sandhyā prayers &c )

120 The king should not allow a litigant to proceed with the litigation if he retains the property or money which he has ( been shown to have ) seized it should be delivered

---

\* dwelling in a different country Yāj I 10 lays down that the judge should require both parties to give a surety who would be able to pay the decretal amount and the fine that the defeated party would have to pay to the king ( उभयं दण्ड्यं यत्र दण्डनियम् ) These verses enumerate those who are not proper sureties

117 The reading *yogyastu vād nah* would be preferable ( meaning ' for the two parties a surety who is a fit one in relation to the matter in dispute ) but it is doubtful whether it is the original reading

120 Read *grāhita* for *grahita* in the text According to the Sm C this verse applies to a litigant who is shown to have seized upon the property in dispute hence in such a case he shall be made to hand it over to the other party if the latter is worthy of being entrusted with it or it shall be handed over to a third party Here we have the term of the appointment of a receiver under Order 40 of the Civil Procedure Code The Vy Māt reads *vyāhita* for *vād nah* and explains differently *grāhita* means property pledged or mortgaged If a creditor sues for recovery of money charged on some

over to the other litigant or should be kept with a third person  
( as receiver )

( The order in which plaintiff and defendant are to address )

121 The plaintiff ( lit the attacker ) should first affirm ( his case ) and then the defendant ( the person attacked ) . When they both have finished, the members of the court ( the sabhyas ) and after them the judge ( should speak ) .

122 129 Whoever has suffered greater injury or whose matter is more important should have the position of the plaintiff and not he who first informs ( the court ) Whoever suffers greater loss of wealth or greater bodily injury should be given the position of the plaintiff and not he who first informs ( the court )

property the suit should not be proceeded with as long as he retains the property hypothecated the property should be first returned to the debtor defendant ( if he is worthy of trust ) or should be kept with a receiver The words of *Y. Mat* are ग्रन्थेन वक्तव्यं दर्शयन्ममवर्णय यदर्थे प्रत्यागत । अस्तान्ननु मण्यर्थे रथ वन दम् । स्वमग्न चायन समुपस्थित वाग् प्रत्यर्पिष्वपम । What follows these words is not quite clear

121 The plaintiff's affirmation is called *pratyakṣa* or *purvapakṣa* and the defendant's *uttara*.<sup>2</sup> The order in the case of *sābhyas* and judge does not apply to the preliminary questions they put to the plaintiff but only to their deliberations after they have heard the parties viz to *brāva* and *nirāva*.

120 This verse states an exception to the rule contained in 121. The general rule is that he who first approaches the court with his complaint is the plaintiff and *dominus litis*. Compare Nār शब्द यस्य पट्टर श्रामन वा आ । एव विजयो वा कथा वा । राह कुवापूर्वनावत्तन वरतस्य इव स्ववसो वधिः ॥ Dr Jolly ( Dar p 34 v 38 ) is wrong in translating it known as defendant ( it should be as plaintiff ). Where both parties are in the position of plaintiff and defendant ( because both make a claim against each other as in a partition suit ) Br lays down the rule that where groups of persons come together claiming to be heard first then the position of being first heard should be given according to the order of castes or after looking to the gravity of the injury or loss of each ( vide Br p 290 v 4 ). Vide Manu 8 24 for the proposition that the causes of litigants should be investigated in the order of the four varnas. Vide Vir p 61 for elaborate rules. First rule is that he who comes first should be heard first. The second is that if several persons come at the same time precedence is governed by the order of castes. Where the injury is greatest that case should be heard first irrespective of caste or time of coming. Time of coming to court is followed when caste is same.

( *Characteristics of a plaint* )

124-126 The plaint should be presented after putting down the period ( of the transaction in dispute with reference to the king then reigning ), the year, the month, the fortnight ( bright or dark ), the tithi ( lunar day ), the time ( of enjoyment of the matter in dispute ), the location ( of the land &c ) the country, the place ( village &c ), the caste or species, the position of the body ( or limbs ), the age ( childhood, youth &c ), the dimensions or measurements of the thing sought to be recovered ( by suit ), the material ( *dravya*, in dispute ), the amount ( of money &c in dispute ), plaintiff's own name, the names of the kings ( of the country ) in order, the dwelling place ( address ), the name ( or description ) of the thing claimed ( i.e. the relief claimed ), the names in order of ancestors ( father, grandfather &c of both parties ), the injury or loss ( caused by the defendant ), the person who got ( the property by gift or sale &c ) and the donor ( or transferor ), the reasons why the plaintiff submitted ( to the enjoyment of his property by the defendant for a time ) and other ( necessary ) details

127-128 In disputes about immoveable property ( the plaintiff ) should set out ( in the plaint ) the following ten ( details ) viz, the country, the place ( village &c ), the situation ( boundaries of the field &c, or the figure ) the caste, the name, the dwelling-place ( of the parties ), the measure, the name of the field, the father and grandfather ( of the parties ) enumeration of the former kings

124-126 These verses are an elaboration of similar verses of Br ' उपरिक्ते दशहस्तिम् नारी पञ्च प्रोक्तयेत् । निरक्षयः स्याति प्रमाणमसुमुम् । देवत्वान्न समामासपश्चादनाति नाम च । द्रव्यं सख्योदय पीडा समाभिन्नं च लेखयेत् ' II मृ. वा. p. 294 and सूत्रिच. III p. 81. Vide Yāj. II 6 and V M ( text p. 12 ) for a similar quotation from another smṛiti. Compare Civil Pro Code Order VII rules 1-3 and 6 setting out contents of the plaint. All the above need not occur in each plaint, but they must be stated according to the facts of each case.

127-128 ' Measure ' means ' so many *anurathas* in extent ( or acres as in modern times ) .

129 Whatever (the plaintiff) being inflamed by any one of the passions such as lust speaks before the court should all be reduced to writing on a wooden board or some similar material with the word *yes*

130-131 He (the plaintiff) should delete superfluous (or unnecessary) matters (from the plaint) and should fill in gaps. He can set forth on the floor (additional matters or delete them) till the (form of) the plaint is finally fixed. The judge should have the plaint written down on a board with chalk as narrated naturally (by the plaintiff) and then on a leaf (or paper) when it is corrected

132 He (the scribe) who writes down the words of the plaintiff or the defendant differently from what they narrate should be punished as a thief by the king who desires to enforce *dharma*

133. He (the plaintiff) should get (time for setting out his plaint) till it can be drawn out of him or for three or seven

129 *Rāgśāntām* refers to *rāga* / sexual desire / *krodha* (wrath or anger) and *and lobha* / greed / or as *Sar* says to *rāga* (partiality or love) *dveṣa* (hatred) *lobha* and *moha*. *Karaya* means *adhikaraṇa* (hall of justice). This rule requires the scribe of the court to put down in writing whatever specially important circumstance a plaintiff sets out in a moment of passion. An instance is put in the remark of the *Bākara* in the *Mṛcchakatika* where he adds *na mayā*. This verse is also the same as *Nār* (p. 27 v. 18).

130-131 These verses provide for the amendment or striking out of unnecessary embarrassing or scandalous matters from the plaint. Compare Civil Procedure Code, Order VI rules 5-16-17. The word *bhūman* also includes wooden board etc. on which the plaint is written. At first the plaint should be written on the ground or a board as a draft and after amendments and striking out the final plaint is to be inscribed as a court document on *bārah* bark or palm leaf or paper. Compare *Bṛ* p. 293 verses 14-15. Amendments were to be allowed until the defendant filed his reply. *Ved Nār* p. 23 v. 7. Narrated *anuraḥ* means 'without fear or pressure from the judge or assessors etc.

133 This and 135 contain exceptions to the general rule that plaintiffs should get no time from the court to set out his plaint since the plaintiff comes to court after well considering the strength of his case and determines to prosecute it. Compare *नारदस्मृतिः अथ नरपर्यायस्मात्पुनरित्युक्तं देवदूतैः - तु कृतं वैवर्तिनश्च* (quoted in *दृ* p. 290) and *Nār* p. 23 v. 1 वैवर्तिनश्च also says *कृतवार्थवैवर्तिनश्च द्विः शिवश्च त्रिः शिवश्च* (p. 145). *Sollakhanam*



days so that the man who wants to narrate his dispute collects his wits.

134 Since he ( the plaintiff ) makes his resolve ( to file the suit ) a long time after the cause of action arises, therefore he does not get time ( after coming to the court ), but the opponent gets time ( to file his reply )

135 Where in a suit the wits of the plaintiff or defendant desirous of ( prosecuting ) his claim forsake him, there time should be granted to both plaintiff and defendant

( *Defects of a plaint* )

136 The plaint that is opposed to (the interests or usages of) the country that is prohibited by the king, that contains a mixture of several titles of law, does not succeed ( i.e. must be rejected as bad ).

137. A king, from a desire to find out the truth, should certainly entertain even such a cause as contains many pro-

makes no clear sense. So it would be better to read 'ओ सेतुन' ( meaning writing down the next day. Narada has the verse 'ओ सेतुन वा स उभेद म्यद सप्तारमेव वा । अथ तुल्यद दे तु युक्त सौो घृज्जय' ॥' ( vide Nār p 24 v 3 ) But the first half of that verse in the context refers to the defendant's getting time

134 The Vy. Mā: ( p 190 ) says that Kāt here simply explains the two words 'हृत्प्राप्तदशयन' and 'तुल्यदशयन' in Nār., quoted under the last verse which are adjectives (qualifying the noun plaintiff) that contain the reason why plaintiff after he comes to court should be allowed no adjournment to present his plaint

136 Compare Bṛ p 291 v 12 and Nār p 26 v 12. Apar says that a king's order 'in my kingdom there should be no dealing in rupees' is an example of what is prohibited. A plaintiff saying 'defendant stole my gold, I deposited a thousand drammae with him, he sold a cow to me without being its owner' is an example where several padas ( titles of law ) viz. steya, niskepa and asvāmī vikraya are mixed up. This would cause confusion if they were to be all investigated at the same time as the evidence in each case and the burden of proof would vary. Therefore these several titles should be investigated at different times. Vide Civil Pro. Code order II rules 4 and 6. The words bhāṣā 'pakṣa' and 'pratilāṣa' mean the same thing as the Māt. on Yāj II 6 says

137 This rule is not opposed to the preceding. It can be explained in two ways. If several causes of action ( such as recovery of debt deposited sale without ownership ) are mixed up in a plaint the king may accept it and investigate them at different times. Or the verse may mean that the cause of action ( such as robbery ) may be the same but the several

positions and as is stated definitely so far as judicial requirements are concerned

188 That plaintiff is regarded as unacceptable which lacks ( the mention of ) the time and place ( of the cause of action ) that omits the ( statement of the ) material ( dravya ) claimed or the amount and that is wanting in the dimensions of the thing claimed

139 The plaintiff of that man who does not write down that the opponent does not wish to do what is just or that he does what is unlawful does not stand ( or bear fruit )

140 He ( the king ) should abandon ( not entertain ) a plaintiff as vitiated that contains an unknown ( or imaginary ) grievance, that discloses no injury, that contains letters making

---

items in it may be different and so the reliefs may be different ( e.g. as Apar says a plaintiff may assert that defendant borrowed a hundred gold coins in Benares purchased a year afterwards some cloth and then in another place purchased cows or corn ) Such a plaintiff is not bad

138 Compare Nâr p 25 v 11

140 The Vy Mît ( p 29 ) notes that Bṛ had almost the very verse ( Bṛ read sadosaṃ ca for nirābādham and pakṣaḥ rājā v varjayet ) and quotes five verses from Bṛ to explain these &c There is no agreement among the writers on the meaning and examples of these According to Apar and Mît the example of aprasiddha is my horse's horn has been taken away by the defendant while according to Brhaspati aprasiddha is that grievance which was never asserted by anybody and the Vir notices this example and says that it would be an example of asādhya according to Bṛ and gives defendant stole my field drawn by a thousand ploughs as a proper example The Vy Mît criticizes this and the other examples given by the Mît and Apar as opposed to Śâstra An example of dāśasakāśa no injury is defendant carries on his work by the light of the lamp in my house According to Mît and Apar a plaintiff containing the letters ka ca ṭa 'a pa is incoherent Bṛ gives two meanings of a rārtha v z where the injury is so slight that no man of ordinary temper would complain about it ( compare sec 95 of the Indian Penal Code ) and the example would be he looked at me with a smile Another meaning is a plaintiff that contains no information that can be brought under the fourteen titles of law beginning with rādāna that are based on money ( i.e. civil actions ) An example of nāpṛa yojana is he is as sweet as my house Bṛ accepts this meaning and gives another v z a plaintiff which does not contain information that can be brought under any of the four criminal titles of law ( such as pāṣṭya ābhaya &c ) An example of asādhya, according to Mît it I was ridiculed by Devadatta with the knitting of his brows Wis



( Whether the defendant's reply must be given at once or after some time )

145 If after hearing the matter ( the plaint ) consigned to writing the defendant for some ( proper ) reason requests for time in the suit it should certainly be given to him

146 ( The reply should be given ) at once or in one day or three or five days according to the importance ( of the matter in dispute ) He ( the defendant ) should get three fortnights or a week in ( suits for recovery of ) debts and similar causes

147 The king should grant little or long time to the defendant looking to the time ( of the transaction in dispute ), the capacity ( of the parties ) and the gravity or slightness of the causes

148 Time should be granted ( to the defendant to file his reply ) viz. a day a month or a fortnight or a season ( two months ) or even a year or even beyond that according to the requirements of the importance or otherwise of the cause

149 Where the value ( of the thing in dispute ) would deteriorate or there would be destruction or loss ( of the thing ) there no time should be given ( for a reply ) since the matter is urgent ( lit. ending in total loss )

150 151 In ( disputes about ) cows bulls fields women marriage deposit loans ( of things for use ) gifts purchase and sale violating a maiden theft quarrels ( pārṣya ) wrongs attended with force treasure deceit perjury ( the king ) should make ( the defendant ) reply at once

152 ( The king ) should make ( the defendant ) reply quickly even at an unusual time in disputes about crimes attended with force theft pārṣya ( abuse assault and battery ) cows accusations ( of having committed grave sins ) urgent matters lañi ( Thus says ) Brhaspati

147 Verses 154 155 explain what time to file a reply is to be granted in accordance with the time of the transaction in dispute while verses 156 158 refer to time given according to capacity and verse 148 determines the time according to gravity of the cause

149 Compare Br p 293 v 6

149 For the meaning of ātyāyika compare ātyāya below in verse 159

152 Compare YS; II 12 and Nār p 17 v 40 for a very similar verse. ātyāya means loss or life or destruction of the thing in dispute. At an unusual time means even at night.

153 As regards causes (or transactions) that arise at once the king (or judge) should make (the defendant) file his reply at once or he may give time to the defendant in causes which arose a long time ago.

154-156 When the transaction is recent ( the reply must be made ) at once when it is a month old a day may be granted ( for filing the reply ) when it is six years old three days when it is twelve years old he ( the defendant ) should get ( a delay of ) twenty days or half a month when thirty years old a month beyond that ( i.e. when more than 30 years old ) the time may be three fortnights or ( the king ) may himself grant as he wishes any time short of one year ( he may grant ) a year to those who are idiots or lunatics or overwhelmed with disease.

157-158 Where ( the defendant ) has gone to another land or if the whereabouts of the subject-matter ( of dispute ) are not known or the vendor of a thing or the witnesses are staying in a foreign land the time should be granted to men ( defendants ) till they return to their country Even when once time ( or adjournment ) has been granted it may have to be given again looking to the importance of the cause

159 After that /

159 After that (i.e. after the plaintiff has finally completed his plea) the opponent who has heard the contents of the plea should narrate (to the court) his reply corresponding to the contents of the plea.

160 If a person (the defendant) does not present himself when a decree has to be given on usage the gift (or return) of money desirable actions and service (or worship of deity) then (the king) should not cause an error (in the decree by decreeing in defendant's absence)

157 Mita means the person from whom a thing is bought is  
caves where and her man cia my ownership  
160 The sense of the first half is not quite the same as V de Vir p 32  
mistake or mistaken utterance

160 The sense of the first half is not quite clear. Compare YJ II 12 छत्र शिरसि  
मयराजनेनृपः and Var p 13 verse 29 It may be founded on it  
an error etc

161 If at that time ( when defendant has to give a reply ) there is an obstacle due to fate or the king, he ( the defendant ) is not defeated ( in the suit ) merely by his not challenging ( the plaintiff's assertions ) or his giving up ( his defence )

162 ( The defendant ) should establish the defects ( i. e. calamities ) due to fate and king by means of witnesses, but ( the defendant ) who acts crookedly ( perversely ) should be made to pay a fine equal to the money ( in dispute )

163 The opponent ( i. e. the person attacked ) should ( not ) deliver a counter-attack against the plaintiff in any matter except in cases of assault and battery, theft, adultery, and urgent matters

164 The king should cause ( the defendant ) to give a reply according to the requirements of justice after paying regard to whatever rules of practice have been handed down traditionally in matters ( of dispute )

( *Reply of four kinds* )

165 A reply may be of four kinds viz admission of the truth ( of the plaint ), denial, a special plea, and the rule of former judgment ( or *res judicata* )

166 If the opponent on hearing the contents of the

161 \* *Daivaraajakto dosah* means interference due to an act of God or the king's action (not state) i. e. irresistible force Compare Br p 295 v 9

162 Crookedly &c means if the defendant absents himself without there being any difficulty due to act of God or king a decree *ex parte* should be passed against him and he should be fined

163 The proper reading seems to be अभियोजनार नमिभुजित The reading in the text would be opposed to 18; II 9 'अभियोजनारिण र्य नैन प्रत्यभि दोषदा' कुशाःप्रत्यभिनेन च बलह साहेतुषु च। कोटिख ( III 1 p 169 ) नमिभुजो न प्रत्यभिभुज न भ-यन कलहस हसनाभमगवायन and Nār ( p 19 verse 35 ) For *Atyaya* vide verse 152 Compare Civil Pro Code order VIII c 4 The idea of this verse is that defendant should not be allowed to demand by his reply an independent claim that does not arise out of the same cause of action or transaction as that in the plaint For such a claim he will have to file a separate suit But if plaintiff alleges assault by the defendant the latter can allege prior assault on himself by plaintiff

165 Compare Nar p 25 v 4 and Br p 294 v 9

plaint denies them either in express words or by implication that is a reply of denial

167 If the opponent were to deny the cause of action (contained in the plaint) that should be understood in judicial procedure as the reply of denial

168 Declaration of the truth of the claim (in the plaint) is said to be (reply of) admission

169 A reply of denial is of four sorts (i.e. put in any one of the following forms of expression), viz 'this is false' 'I don't know anything about this' 'I was not then present there (at the transaction mentioned in the plaint)', 'I was not born at the time (of the transaction in plaint)

170 If the defendant accepting the matters set out by the plaintiff as correct, sets up a plea, then Brihaspati declared that the plaint becomes weak (i.e. fails)

171 That is declared to be (a reply of) former judgment when a person though defeated (lit. sunk) in a (former) proceeding, again causes (a plaint) to be written and is addressed (answered) with the words 'you were formerly defeated'

172 (The reply of) former judgment is of three sorts (when the defendant says) I shall establish by means of the presiding judges or witnesses or a document that he (the plaintiff) was defeated by me formerly

167 The Vy Māt p 301 ascribes this verse to Brihaspati

168 The reply of confession or admission is variously named *satyottara* or *sampratipattiyottara*.

169 This is practically the same as Nār. p 20 v 5

170 Vir reads 'Bhṛgu said' 'Adharyā means weakness of the plaint' The For M (III p 72) ascribes this verse to Brihaspati and qṛ 11 for q 11 in the footnote. An example of *lavanottara* or *pratipattiyottara* is where the plaintiff says that defendant took hundred rupees and the defendant replies either that he returned them or that they were gifted to him. The reading 'Bhṛgu of Vir seems to be an emendation purposely made and Kātyāyana appears to have taken three quarters from Br and substituted 'adharyam etc.' for 'pratipattiyottara' in Br.

172 A plaintiff's former judgment could be established in three ways viz, citing the judges who decided the former case, by witnesses who knew of the decision or by means of a document (the written judgment in the former case).

*(Defective answers or defects in answers)*

173-174 A reply that is ununderstandable or self contradictory, incomplete too wide dubious impossible not clear irrelevant full of the fault of exaggeration that does not thoroughly meet ( all the points of the plaint ) that interrupts the plaintiff in stating his plaint mysterious making no sense unconstructable without further exposition unreasonable ( or absurd ) is not commended by the wise That reply which interrupts the plaintiff in setting out the plaint, that does not thoroughly meet ( all the points in the plaint ) that is mysterious or makes no sense that is unconstructable without further exposition and that is unreasonable does not conduce to one's success

176 That reply is ununderstandable which is narrated by one who does not know the peculiar marks ( of the matter in dispute such as the colour of a cow ) or the figure ( having long horns &c ) or the number ( such as a thousand ) or the particular convention ( or times of the matter in dispute ) or is narrated in a language other ( than the one known to the judge or assessors )

177 That reply should be regarded as self contradictory when ( a defendant ) says thus I returned in my boyhood ( plaintiff's dues ) and ( again says ) I did not return

---

173-175 Here again the terms are differently explained by different writers Vāde notes to V. M. pp. 98-22 Besides verses 174-175 seem to be only different readings current in different localities All the terms except a few are explained by Kāṭh himself in verses 176-186 Compare Br. p. 293 v. 8 An example of an impossible reply is where a person of 20 says my grandson returned the debt Vāṭ explains not clear as meaning one of which even the letters cannot be easily made out An example of full of exaggeration given by the Sm. C. and Parāśaramadhyāya is when the plaintiff asserts defendant has to pay me a hundred the defendant's reply is I paid two hundred

176 The explanation of the first half is in accordance with the Parāśaramadhyāya

177 According to the M. t. ( on Yaj. II 6 ) and Apar. ākula in verse 176 means the same thing as v. ruddha The example of ākula given by the M. t. is where when the plaintiff charges defendant with a hundred suvarnas the defendant replies that he took them but does not owe them to the plaintiff.



178 That is said to be an incomplete reply where ( a defendant ) for saying ' this plaintiff was formerly defeated by me in this very matter ' only says ' he was formerly by me '

179 That reply is too wide when ( in a reply of admission ) having to say ' I took ' ( as alleged in the plaint ), the defendant says ' I have carried out what was to be done ' and then adds ' I formerly took the property or money ( alleged ) '

180 The wise hold that the reply is ambiguous in a judicial proceeding when instead of replying ' dayam mayā ' ( ' it has to be returned by me ' ) he gives such a reply as ' mayā dayam ' ( which means both ' it has to be given by me or it has not to be &c )

181 That is declared to be an irrelevant reply where ( the defendant says that ) the plaintiff either through strength or weakness formerly concealed a crime attended with force, this is regarded as unsaid ( i. e. as no reply )

182 That reply is said to be not meeting thoroughly ( the plaint ) when to a plaint averring ' I gave to him a thousand and a half ' the answer given is ' that half I returned '

178 Here the defendant omits the important word ' defeated ' and hence the reply is incomplete. The Mit and Apar say that the example is where in a plaint charging a debt of one hundred the defendant says ' Yes I owe five '

179 The Mit says that an instance of a ' too wide ' reply is where, when the plaint avers a debt of one hundred the defendant replies he owes two hundred.

180 The expression mayādayam may be read as ' mayā dayam ' or as ' mayā adayam ' owing to ' asagraha '.

181 ' balibalena ' may also mean ' very strong ' on the analogy of such words as ' carācara, calācala, patāpata ' ' vadāvada. The defendant in this avoids replying to the substance of the plaint and only puts forth something about the bad character of the plaintiff. Compare section 52 of the Indian Evidence Act which says that proof of character is irrelevant in civil proceedings and section 54 about the character of the accused in criminal proceedings.

182 An example of avyāpti ( which is the same as ' avyāpaka ' ) is according to Apar where the plaint says ' he took a hundred in Ujjain in the month of Caitra ' and the defendant replies that he did not take in Ujjain in Caitra. This is an evasive reply. He must say he never received anything from plaintiff. Compare Civil Pro Code Order VII<sup>th</sup> rule 4.

183. That reply is said to interrupt the ( plaintiff's ) words where, before the plaintiff has completely set forth his plaint, ( the defendant replies ) ' I did not formerly take ( from him )'.

184. That reply is said to be mysterious in judicial proceedings when the reply is ' how will anyone give a red lotus that he ( plaintiff ) did not accept? '

185. Those who know the ( principles about ) replies regard that reply as making no sense when it is put in the form ' Is it always to be given by him alone? It may be given by me ' ( or is it always not to be &c. )

183 'Vyastapada' is differently explained by Mit as meaning 'that sets forth a different title of law' and the example given is when plaintiff avers that defendant owes a debt of a hundred the defendant replies that plaintiff kicked him. Here 'title' alleged is 'padāna', but the reply contains the title 'daṇḍaparasya'. The Vyavahāra tattva notes that Bhavadēva read 'astavyastapadavyāpi' and explained it as meaning 'full of words having no connected meaning'. This would be somewhat like 'ākula' Vide Vir p 85 also.

184 The idea is defendant meant to say that he offered back the red lotus to the plaintiff but he did not receive it and so he could not return it. He does not expressly say so, but puts forth his reply in the form of a question and in a way that is not clear. Besides the word 'kṣamarasā' was not well known not being universally used and being a word borrowed from Mlecchas. Vide Sabara's Bhāṣya on Jaimini I 3 10. This is the explanation of the Parāśaramiśra's / III p 74. The Sm C appears to take it differently. The defendant really meant to say 'I did not take a red lotus from you and therefore I do not give it back.' The Mit explains a guṇbhārtha as containing an innuendo against the judge or assessors or the plaintiff's g when plaintiff says 'he owes me a hundred' and defendant replies 'do I alone owe to him' (suggesting that even the judge and sabhyas owe to him). Apar explains it as meaning 'containing words the meaning of which is not well known and goes 'arpani / in the sense of cow' and kṣāyapi (the earth in instances

185 The first half contains a question and the answer is doubtful, depending as it does upon the tone in which it is uttered. Besides sadā dayam and 'mayā dayam' are ambiguous as we may separate the words into sādā dayam and sadā adāyam. Vide note on 177 for the meaning of 'ākula' given by the Mit.



they desire to prove nor can two methods of proof be employed (simultaneously) in the same proceeding

( *Matters that cause failure in litigation* )

191 If ( the defendant ) after accepting what is first stated ( by the plaintiff ) were to set forth in his reply a plea stronger ( than the averments in the plaint ), then the latter ( the plea ) has to be established ( by evidence ) and not the former ( viz the averments in the plaint ),

192 ( The defendant ) should give a reply corresponding with the matter ( alleged in the plaint ) ( the king or judge ) should, if he does not ( voluntarily ) give a reply, compel him to give it by means of such methods as coaxing deceitful tricks &c till the object ( viz the giving of a reply and finding out the truth ) is accomplished

193 If any matter is not alleged by the plaintiff ( in the plaint ) either through oversight or fraud but is given out in

---

reply of a special plea with a reply of former judgment they have to be proved by means of different evidence. For example where plaintiff avers he owes me a hundred suvargas and rupees: and the defendant replies the suvargas were returned and as to the rupees there is a former judgment the fact of the return is to be proved by witnesses or by a receipt ( or other document ) while the judgment has to be proved by producing the *jayapatra* or citing the judges as witnesses. The means to prove the special plea will not prove the former judgment and vice versa. Hence a simultaneous reply of special plea and former judgment is not proper as two different means of proof will have to be simultaneously employed. This is set out in the words *na caitakra kriyādvayam*

194 This only means that in a *kārapattana* ( reply of special plea ) the onus of proof is on the defendant. Compare verse 170 above

195 The four well known means are *stoma dāna bheda* and *danda*. They are defined by *Hārīta* as *द्विद्वारं च साम देहत्वं च दर्शयन् । अर्धपरपत्र दानं दण्डराजः च पञ्चम् ॥* ( quoted in Sm O III p. 104 ). The same work quotes a verse of *Varadha* enumerating seven means ' *द्वैतव्य सामभेदो च दण्डभेदो चतुष्टयम् । सामाभेदोऽत्र स्थानं सत्पथं च सर्वविज्ञम् ॥*

196 While the reply is in course of being given if the plaintiff alleges any matters in addt on to those stated in the plaint, she plaintiff may be allowed to do so and the defendant's reply to the additional

the midst of the reply, then it should be accepted ( by the judge ) so far as both ( the plaintiff and defendant ) are concerned

194 When a defendant though urged by the (four) methods, would not give a reply, he should be regarded as defeated after seven days have expired and should give ( to the plaintiff what he claims )

195 If a person after lodging a plaint abandons (his original claim ) or puts forward a different one he ( must be deemed to have ) resorted to a totally different case Such a plaintiff becomes a losing party

196 If a person who having made an attack or charge against another ( afterwards ) says I did not put forward any dispute (against him) he thus becomes one who speaks contra history things ( the judge ) should direct him also to be a losing party

197 That plaintiff who having caused ( his plaint ) to be written ( on paper or a leaf ) desires to subtract sentences from it or to add them to it would become a losing party He does not deserve to be the plaintiff

---

matters may also be accepted This implies that after the reply is completely set out the plaintiff cannot add any thing This is expressly stated in verse 206 Compare Civil Pro Code Order VI r 17 and Order VIII rule 9

198 Compare Order VIII rule 10 and order IX r. 6 Vide also Kaut ( text p 149 ) and translation p 159 the defendant may be allowed three or seven days etc

199 Compare B&P p 19 v 36 and p 29 v 24 and 25; II 9 The V M notes that such a plaintiff becomes liable to be fined but does not altogether lose his case if it is of a civil nature ( text p 19 ) For the fine in such a case *videtur* 20 below Kaut also enumerates ( p 149 ) many causes of Parok & the first of which is ( setting the points first set out by the other to naught )

200 The Sm C explains it as so long as the plaintiff withdraws only on the ground with chaff etc the plaintiff is allowed to add to or subtract from it ( vide verses 193 above ) and that this verse applies only when the reply has been fully set out If he adds or subtracts while the reply is being given he is only to be fined but does not lose his case altogether

198 The wise hold that the members of the court ( *sa bhyas* ) and witnesses are ( called ) ' *kriyā* ' . He is styled ' *kriyādvēsi* ( shunning judicial investigation ) who through ignorance ( or infatuation ) hates ( or avoids ) that *kriyā* ' .

199 ( A litigant ) if he does not present himself ( before the court ) after he is summoned, he loses his cause at once

200 If a litigant even when asked speak out does not say anything ( remains silent ) he deserves to be at once confined ( in jail ) . The next day that foolish person should be understood to have become a losing party

201 Where he ( a litigant ) desires to obtain a long time ( a long delay or adjournment ) merely under a pretext, that should be regarded as deceitful and is declared to be a reason leading to loss of the cause

202 One who changes his pleading should be fined five paṇas, one shunning judicial investigation should be fined ten paṇas, one failing to appear twelve paṇas, one who remains silent sixteen and one who absconds after receiving summons twenty paṇas

198 Accord ng to Nār p 31 v 13 there are five classes of persons who may be called *hīnavādī* ( cast out in the r pond ng su t ) viz *anyavādī*, *kriyādvēsi*, *nopāśibhī*, *n ruttara* and *āhūtaprapallāyī*. Verses 195-197 illustrate the first. Th s verse ill strates the second. Verse 202 lays down the fines for each of these. Vide Bṛ p 195 v 5 for four kinds of *hīnavādī*.

199 This speaks of *nopāśibhī* of Nārada compare Order IX rules 6, 8.

200 This explains *n ruttara*.

202 The Sm C explains that Nār enumerates five *hīnavādīs* to show that each succeeding should be fined more heavily and not because there are only five kinds since there are other kinds also. Vide Nār p 31 v 13 for these five terms. Bṛ ( p 195 v 5 ) mentions four kinds of *hīnavādīs* two of whom the silent and absconder are the same as in Nār, but two others *śāksī* ( convicted by witnesses ) and confessing are different. These five classes of *hīnavādīs* though liable to fine do not lose their civil claims as Nār says a verbal error does not annul the claim in actions of any kind so long as the case relates to cattle or to a woman or to land or to debt he is liable to punishment but his claim is not annulled. The latter half only illustrates civil disputes. Vide Mit on Yāj II 19 and Manu VIII 53-56 for *hīnavādīs*. Vide also Kaṭṭ text p 149.

203 The king should punish ( fine ) him who though thrice summoned, does not come ( to court ) or absconds though summoned and allows five days to pass ( without appearing before the court )

204 Where ( a litigant ) divides ( induces to be partial ) even a single one out of the members of the court that have listened to the trial and where he offers a bribe to the opponent he must be indicated as a losing party

205 In a civil dispute if a litigant himself threatens ( the other side ) or offers a bribe to him or threatens him through another or restrains him in these cases the litigant becomes huna ( i. e. a losing party or is cast off )

206 { The *huna* vādi } should be fined in accordance with the offence charged and no opportunity is to be given for litigating the same matter again when the averments of both ( plaintiff and defendant ) have been written down and the consideration of the dispute ( by the members of the court ) has started, whoever then adds what is improper is cast off from his claim

207 He who after announcing his witnesses, does not bring them ( before the court ) at the trial at his sweet will that litigant in consequence of this loses after thirty days

205 Vir and Sm C ascribe this verse to Br. The same results follow whether these causes occur singly or cumulatively

206 The first half here refers to criminal disputes as explained by the Vir ( p. 101 ) which accords the first line to Bhaspati. The last two lines mean that he loses his claim altogether if he makes a case not in keeping with what he stated in the plaint after the judges have begun to consider the matter. Compare Yā. II 15. The reading *anukram* of Apar means if he adds what was not said in the plaint which means practically the same thing as above

207 *At his sweet will* this means not be prevented by fate or the king or some other irresistible force. Br ( p. 225 v. 2 ) has almost the same verse *नानिषत्तु सप्तदिनं परतु ताम्र विवादेदेव। निज्यापत्तु विपश्चात् तस्य हानिं पञ्चदश॥* ( *शृंगेर* III p. 110 ) Vide also Br p. 225 verses 8-9 for *at* or *King*

directed towards the establishing of what then becomes the claim ( viz , the special plea )

212 When ( the plaint ) after being properly amended is written down in this way and when a reply free from defects has been given, it is necessary that the defendant or the plaintiff should take up the adducing of proof

213 That is ( styled ) *sadhya* ( the claim to be established ) which the plaintiff himself proposes to clearly establish and that is ( styled ) *sadhana* ( means of proof ) by which the entire claim ( of the litigant ) is established

( *Means of proof and their relative strength* )

214 Documents, witnesses, enjoyment ( or possession ) these three are known as means of proof Reasoning is the consideration of certain characteristics which cannot be explained otherwise ( than on the hypothesis propounded ) and there are ordeals of poison and the like



215. When the plaint has been completely written down in proper words, the plaintiff should establish by proof (his claim) after the third stage.

210 The matter to be accomplished is called 'addhga' and the means are styled 'kayā'. The latter (i.e. means of proof) are twofold viz. divine and human, human (means of proof) are documents witnesses and the like and divine (means of proof) are the balance and the like.

217 A wise man should avoid when witnesses can be had, the divine means ( of proof ) ( A litigant ) who employs divine means ( of proof ) when witnesses are available, becomes a losing party on that account.

218 If one ( party to the litigation ) puts forth human ( means of proof ) while the other ( party ) puts forth divine means, then the king should accept human means of proof and not divine

219 When man disputes, if there be human means of proof though only reaching a portion ( of the allegations in the plaint ), then the human means should be accepted and not divine means, though they may be complete ( i.e. completely cover all the allegations ).

215 *Vide* verso 51 and notes thereon for the four stages of a judicial proceeding. The Sm G takes ( III p 124 ) the word *Tikkhita* to mean *revile*.

216 We must read *dhā kār* for '*varhār*'. The first two lines are ascribed to Vyāsa by Sm C (III p 14) and Vis (p 111). Compare *kār* p 20 v 2S where we have *वर्धे* वरि वृत्त and *Ubhaya*: *विषयात् शिष्यात् प्राक् स नृप* 'वरी कर्ता' *एतौ कश्चापि विना शिष्याभ्याम्* 'स' = quoted in *Ubhaya* III p 124

217. Witness is illustrative and stands for all human means of proof (such as documents, etc.) 28) 11 23 also expressly states that oracles are to be employed only in the absence of documents witness and enjoyment. Compare 28 p. 30 v 23

219 A part on here means a substantial part of the allegations and not any part whatever. However if it is irrelevant it may be. Vide verse 222 below. For example, if the plaintiff asserts that defendant borrowed a certain sum at a certain interest and if he can prove when the defendant denies that he borrowed any money by human means to get the exact amount nor the rate of interest then he will succeed and defendant would not be allowed to have recourse to evidence. Compare Yaj II 10 and Vinayadharma II VI 23 for the proposition that when the plaintiff or other or a part of his claim is true defendant denies everything defendant has to render the whole of the claim.

220 The divine ( means of proof ) are of five kinds and human of three kinds

221 When ( a litigant ) abandoning a strong ground resorts to a weak one ( to prove his case ) he would not be again entitled to rely on that ( strong ground ) after the members of the court have decided as to who should succeed

222 That ' kṛiyā ( proof ) that would establish several unsubstantial parts ( of the allegation of the party ) but not the essential part should be cast off since it is unsubstantial ( weak ) That means of proof which would establish both sides ( viz the plaintiffs and the defendants ) should be cast off entirely

223 In disputes when witnesses exist divine means of proof are not prescribed and when there is a document neither ordeals nor witnesses ( are prescribed as the proper means of proof )

224 A document becomes lost ( or useless ) by time and it may be found by judicial investigation to be tainted ( tampered or forged ) In a judicial proceeding where no documents or witnesses exist he ( the litigant ) should indicate ( rely on ) divine proof In ( a claim ) that is to be established by divine proof, he should not employ a document or ( other ) human means of proof

---

220 'Five—this does not mean that there are no more but that they are not less than five Pitāmaha speaks of nine kinds of ordeals

221 This rule lays down that a litigant must rely upon all available grounds of attack or defence whether strong or weak, and if he fails by relying upon a weak ground he cannot agitate the same matter on other and stronger grounds This verse propounds the same strict rule of res judicata as is contained in explanation 4 of section 11 of the Indian Civil Pro. Code of 1908

223 ' When there is a document —this contains the germ' of the rule in section 91 of the Indian Evidence Act prohibiting when a contract is in writing the adducing of other evidence to prove the terms of the contract

224 ' A document                      time'— This means that the letters may become illegible by lapse of time

225 Whatever are declared as the conventional usages of the associations of traders of guilds ( of artisans &c ) and of groups ( of Brahmanas ) and the like, the means of proving them are documents neither ordeals nor witnesses

226 Enjoyment alone is weightier in the case of the making and use of doors and ways as also in the case of water courses and the like, and not writing nor witnesses

227-28 In the case of (disputes about) things given ( or promised to be given ) but not given in decisions ( of disputes ) of servants with their master, in the matter of taking back a thing after it is sold and when a person having purchased a thing does not pay the price in gambling and prize fighting—when a dispute arises in these matters, witnesses are the means of proof, neither ordeals nor witnesses

229 When the dispute that is investigated is about 'sāhasa (heinous offences) or about physical injury or abuse and defamation and in cases that spring from force, witnesses or ordeals ( are the means of proof )

230 In the case of persons guilty of secret heinous crimes the investigation ( into their guilt ) has to be made by

225 For *grāma* (guilds) and *grāma* vide notes on verse 82 above and also verses 679 to 680 where Kai himself defines *puga* and *gapa* .

226 It is better to read — *bhoga* as Parā M does and connect 'kriyā and — *bhoga* with both door and way If *kriyā* and *bhoga* were taken to be separate subjects of enjoyment it is difficult to bring out the *r* sense This verse refers to easements and enunciates the doctrine that they are acquired and proved by undisturbed enjoyment for a long time Vide sect on 15 of the Indian Easements Act of 1882 In *Lalubhai v Bai Amrit* I L R 2 Bom p 293 at p 312 this verse is referred to

227-28 Verse 227 refers respectively to the titles of law called 'dattapradānika svamipālavarada and 'kṛpavikrayanāyaka' In ancient India sales and gifts were made without a writing and property passed by mere delivery Hence as a general rule witnesses were the only means of proof in these cases

229 Compare दृष्टवान् नास्तिपक्षमहीवान् शिष्यदा देवकी वियः प्रोक्तः प्रवचनं सङ्गच्छते तस्यैव quoted in *ashtad* p 629 *Vide Nār* p 93 v 241 This verse prescribes an option between ordeals and witnesses

230 The first part states an exception to the rule in verse 29 The latter half means that ordeals are not to be resorted to simply because there are no witnesses, but only when there is no clue to their

ordeals and by means of reasoning, marks, by the gestures and by the outward manifestations (sweat etc.), by the voices, the eye and movements

231 In the case of all *sāhasas* (heinous offences) of the gravest kinds (the judge) should examine the truth by means of (lit by the eye or sight of) ordeals, even though there be witnesses (This is the view of) Bhṛgu

232 Where the witnesses are equal there also (the judge) should find out the truth by means of ordeals. In disputes about offences entailing death penalty if the plaintiff (or complainant) has recourse to ordeals though there be witnesses, (the judge) should not question witnesses

233 In (disputes as to) debts the means of proof are declared, through the desire of doing good to the people, to be either a writing or witnesses or some sort of reasoning or the like or divine means

234 One should prove a debt by means of these in order viz urging (dunning) the debtor (to pay) on each occasion putting forward some argument and the third being oaths

---

guilt by means of even 'reasoning &c. *Īṣṭa* is explained as 'down cast look and the like' and '*ākāra*' as 'perspiration' 'horripilation' &c due to emotions of fear &c though both words are given as synonyms in the lexicon of Amara. Vide *Mānū* VIII 25 '26 for the same means of arriving at mental states

231 According to *Nār* p 202 v 3 '*sāhasa* is of three kinds viz of the first, middling and highest degree and according to *Nār* p 203 v 6 taking human life with poison weapons or the like inter course with or assault on another man's wife and whatever else that causes destruction of life is called *Sāhasa* of the highest degree. The minimum fines prescribed for these three kinds (*Nār* p 203 verses 7-8) were 100 500 and 1000 pawns respectively

232 The equality of witnesses on both sides may consist in the number and in the trustworthiness. The offences that entail death are the five mortal sins. Compare *हृत्प्रायश्चित्तसूत्रेण* नवविधमृत्युं विधातव्यं नवः प्रपञ्चो नवविधस्य सप्तमस्यैव विधुः । (quoted in *अम* p 315) Vide *Mānū* XI 54 for the five great sins

234 The *Bm. C* explains *Codanā pratikālam* as urging the debtor for several times in the presence of third persons to pay the debt. Whenever the time for payment arrives and the debtor though dunned does not protest it is first method. *Yuktikālam* means 'you took from me so much money for such and such a purpose in a certain place and at a certain time.'

235 If (the debtor) though urged (reminded) again and again three, four or five times (by the creditor) does not refuse (or protest against) the latter's words he must carry out (pay back) the object (the debts)

236 If dunning be protested against he (the creditor) should follow him up with arguments relating to the place, the time, the connection (subsisting between the two parties), the amount (of the debt) and the actions (of the two)

237 If arguments also are futile he should decide by means of the ordeals alone such as fire, water, righteousness and the like that may be appropriate to the property involved, the time and the strength (of the defendant)

238 Where a document is declared before the king to be fraudulent (i.e. forged or fabricated) there the king occupying the seat of justice should find the truth by ordeal

239 In (disputes about) land and in harshness of words (reviling and abuse) (the king or judge) should not prescribe ordeals

240 In disputes about immovable property ordeals should be avoided (The litigant) should establish his claim by means of witnesses by writing or by possession

241 In all disputes the king should always give a decision by means of the *pramāṇa* (documents witnesses, possession) or by inference or even by ordeals

235-237 These verses are almost the same as Narada (Narada 236-238) and explain the three methods in verse 234. Vide Nar p. 97. In 236 what is meant is that the creditor should himself remember the time place &c. and remind the debtor of them. *Sapatha* includes both oaths and ordeals. Compare Manu 8. 109. The reading *Sapathair onam ardayet* of Narada means he should make him undergo ordeals and is better.

239 This is not in conflict with verse 229 which gives an option between witnesses and ordeals in the case of reviling etc. That verse refers to serious offences of reviling while this refers to slight ones.

240 This verse is almost the same as that of Patimaha quoted in Sm C. (III p. 171) 'स्वायदेवु विवादेषु दिव्यानि परितरेव । साक्षिभिरुत्तमैश्च युक्त्वा वैजानं प्रमायवेद ॥' We should read *par bhrayet* (in the text).

241 Inference. Gaucama (XI 23. 24) says that in arriving at justice ratiocination is the means etc. (यद्यपि तथैव सर्वोत्तुपाय । तेनाप्युक्तं यथास्थानं गमयेत् ।)

242. *Pramāṇa* (means of proof) is said to be three-fold, viz. documents, witnesses and possession. The wise say that 'hetu' is inference and *tarka* (ratiocination).

243. In the absence of each of the preceding (the king should decide) with the succeeding, but never otherwise, (he should decide) by the *pramāṇas* that are indicated by the litigants, viz. possession, documents and witnesses.

244. No one should prescribe ordeals for the plaintiff (lit. the attacker), those who are adepts (in the knowledge) of ordeals should offer ordeals to the defendant.

245. In a reply of denial, it (vyavahāra, the legal proceeding) has four stages (lit. feet) and so in *pratyavashādana* (reply of special plea) and in the reply of former judgment, but it should be known to have (only) two stages in replies of admission.

246. Defeat is said to be two-fold, viz. declared by another (i. e. by the judge) or by oneself. The former is of ten kinds and the latter is only of one kind.

242. The next half must be read with verse 241 and it means that if *pramāṇas* are available one should decide with the help, if not available then by ratiocination and then by ordeals. If no ordeals are possible, then the king's own discretion is the final arbiter, as *Paṇḍit* says 'हेतुद्वयं तर्कश्च प्रमाणं च न हि' न च द्विज इतरेन्द्रियमनः प्रवृत्तिर्न' (quoted in *dh.* 111 p. 104).

244. This means that in a reply of denial, there cannot be an ordeal, as there the burden of proof is on the plaintiff. *Yāj.* II. 96 notes that ordeals may, also be left to the option of the parties also i. e. even the plaintiff may undergo an ordeal.

245. In verse 241 above the four stages (pādis) of a judicial proceeding are enumerated. In a reply of admission there is no need to deliberate as to the burden of proof or the means of proof and so there are only two stages, viz. plaint and the reply. The *Vy. Māt. p. 24* notes that where the plaint is such that it deserves no reply, there are only two stages, viz. the plaint and the decision (of the court) rejecting it, similarly where the reply is no real reply, there are only three stages plaint, vitiated reply and judgment (in favour of plaintiff).

246. In the copy of *śāstra* in our possession, the party is defeated by his own words. This is the one kind of defeat due to one's self. The *Bm. C.* (III. p. 130) notes that what he considered (verse 243) is to be written by another, but his own opinion (verse 244) was to be written in his own hand by the king.

247-248 Going over to another (ground of) dispute (i.e. change of pleading or front) conflict between former and later averments defects in the production of ones means of proof lending support to what the opponent says non mention of the place of the transaction in dispute mention of a wrong time and place (for the transaction) bribing witnesses, hatred of saying out things (when examined) putting forward of an improper place and interfering with questions put to witnesses (these are the ten kinds of defeat due to another)

( Documents )

249 Documents are declared to be of two kinds viz made in ones own hand and made in the handwriting of another, (the first is valid) whether attested by witnesses or not The validity of both follows from the usage of the country (where they are written)

250 That should be known as a document written in ones own hand which is written by the debtor with his own hand though there are no witnesses (on it) the wise regard it as valid

251 (One) should should cause the origin (of the parties to the transaction) the caste the names and the amount of money to be written (in a writing) In this way one remembers what was done a matter that is not consigned to writing perishes (by lapse of time)

252 A document should be attested by witnesses and should be so written as not to transfer the order of letters (required to express the sense) should observe the local conventional usages (as to documents) and should contain full details as to all matters.

249 This s Nār p 23 v 35

250 YS; II 89 affirms that a document written by oneself is valid even though not attested by witnesses against the person writing it in the absence of force or fraud

51 Prayuktasya may also mean what is lent as interest As to writing perpetrating test money compare Bṛ p 304 v 2 and Nār p 63 v 70

253 That document which contains the proper framing of letters and sentences which is unambiguous, all the letters of which are clearly legible the stops of which are not lacking in the proper order attains validity (lit success or perfection)

254 That writing is called *śāhīpatra* (deed of conventions) which is made for the validity of (for preserving intact) the usages of men versed in the four Vedas, of a city, of corporations, of groups and of the citizens

255 That is known as *visuddhīpatra* (deed of purification) which is given to persons with attestations of witnesses when they have performed the penances (prescribed) and have become free from the accusation (of the commission of grave sins)

256 That writing is called a *samdhīpatra* (deed of peace) which relates what happened when an accusation is brought (against a person) before all the best (leading) people

257 A deed of boundaries is made when a dispute as to boundaries is decided

258 That document is declared to be royal which has on it the king's own hand and which is marked with the royal seal and which is attested by witnesses in all matters

259 The stops of which &c. This is the translation of *śhīṅ-krama-cānam* which may also mean the seal on which is not out of order. This will apply only to a royal document.

254 Vyāsa as quoted in Sm O (III p. 135) enumerates eight kinds of writings viz *craṅka śrahaśa upagata śāhīpatra krayapātra śāhīpatra, śāhīpatra and visuddhīpatra*. The Sm O notes that there are other documents also such as a deed of partition Bṛ p 301 v 4 & vide writings of ordinary people in seven varieties viz of partition gift purchase mortgage agreement servitude and debt and royal edicts into three. Bṛ g gives definitions of these seven.

256 This verse is rather obscure. What is meant seems to be that a compromise arrived at as to an accusation before the leading people of the place is put down in writing which is then called *samdhīpatra*.



259-264 The statements of the plaintiff and the defendant, the averment (of the plaintiff as to the relief claimed) the statements of witnesses the decision of the dispute, how the matter was considered by himself ( by the king ), these should be set out in their order in writing. First the statements of the plaintiff and defendant should be set out, after that the words of the *sabhyas*, of the judge or of the family members and therein also should be written the decision of the case according to the rules of *Smṛti* and the ( final ) opinion ( of the king ). The plaintiff is to be put in possession of the matter that he succeeded in proving after being commended ( on his success ) and the king should give him a writing under his own hand and he should make the members of the court who were there ( at the time of the hearing ) and who are proficient in *Smṛti* to sign ( the judgment ) as in the case of ( other ordinary ) writings. A writing executed in this mode the wise declare to be ' *paścatkāra* '. Where the litigant discharges his burden of proof by resorting to the ( prescribed ) means of proof only, there ( the document ) is called *paścatkāra*, the term is not applied to all ( decisions in all matters )

265. That is *jayapaśra* ( a document recording victory in a litigation ) which is given to those who are cast off ( in their cause ) because they aver something other ( than what

259-264 The latter half of 263 and 251 explain what is meant by ' *yathāpūrvam* ' in the first half of 250. Kṣa applies the term *paścatkāra* to a final judgment arrived at after hot contest between the parties : i. e. where all the four stages of a judicial proceeding have been gone through. If there is only a reply of admission or if the suit is decided *ex parte* or if the plaintiff or defendant is non-suited for being a *hinavīdi* then the decision is not a *paścatkāra* but it is a *jayapaśra* ( document of success ). ' *Kulāni* ( family members ) we saw above in verse 82 that they were the court of lowest jurisdiction. For the contents of judgment compare Civil Pro Code Order 9 rule 4.

265 Kṣa restricts the use of the word *jayapaśra* to a judgment given in cases of those whose claims are cast off for various reasons without a thorough trial while he applies the word *paścatkāra* ( lit means re-utation ') to a judgment given after complete contest. Herein he differs from Bṛhaspati Vyasa and others who employ the word *jayapaśra* for

was first stated) and other *lingavādis* and which contains a recital of what happened

( *Examination of documents* )

266 (The judge) having summoned by order of the king should examine as justice requires documents according to the usages about documents (prevalent in the country) and witnesses according to the characteristics of witnesses (laid down in *śūtras*)

267 This is 253 above

268 That is said to be a document marked with the hand of another which is in accordance with local usage which gives the year, month fortnight and the *laka* and the rate of interest, and bears the signatures of the debtor the witnesses and the scribe

269 That becomes a false document the letters where-in stand away from their proper places are not in a line, are ambiguous and do not possess the characteristics (of genuine writing)

270 (That writing) is defective which is opposed to local usage which is ambiguous, which is devoid of the proper order (of words and sentences), which is executed by one who is not the owner (i.e. the proper and authorised person) and which is wanting in the (statement of the) matter to be effected (by a document)

271 A document executed by a person intoxicated, or by one under fear or one defrauded or executed by one who is or

by a lunatic or who is distressed (by misfortunes or diseases), by women, by children and by those who are not independent ( i. e. who are not their own masters ) has no validity

272 If a person even after ( an invalid transaction ) is publicly announced does not ( take steps to ) annul ( it ) the next day then it would come to be valid, except transactions entered into by persons intoxicated or lunatics

273 A document may be vitiated ( invalid ) owing to the defects of witnesses or of the scribe or to the fraud of the creditor or of the executant

274 A document made by persons guilty of faults becomes vitiated while one made by persons free ( from any fault ) should be declared to be valid ( lit. pure ) That document ( which is made ) by witnesses writer and executant guilty of deception ( becomes vitiated )

275 The defects of the means of proof ( such as documents and witnesses ) when they are latent, must be declared ( pointed out ) by the litigant ( who wants to impugn them ) at the proper time ( viz. when they are adduced in the trial ), but the patent defects must be declared by the members of the court at the time ( of the consideration of the evidence ) by reference to the ( rules of ) Smṛtis

276 The defects should be so pointed out that the witnesses the writer and the executants would be found to be false, a document becomes invalid when these are ( shown to be ) vitiated

277 When the opponent ( defendant ) avers that ( the document ) was not written by the scribe ( by whom it purports to have been written ) or that it was not seen by the witnesses ( by whom it is attested ) then the document is said to be ( charged ) as false

---

below) In I L R 5 Bom 53 at p 104 the text of Bṛhaspati is quoted and it is suggested that *śṛimattā* should be taken as one word in the sense of under female or aphrodisiac influence but the verse of Kṛt, shows that that is not a correct way of understanding Bṛhaspati's text since Kṛt separately mentions woman and *māta*

275 Vide v 378 below The words *by reference etc* should be connected with both latent and patent defects

276-277 These lay down and illustrate the method of pointing out the defects of documents as required by 275

278 ( A litigant ) should not challenge a means of proof by (alleging) a defect which is false , if he were to make a false attack ( against the proof adduced by his adversary ) he would be liable to be fined and would lose his cause ( the object he wanted to secure )

279 When ( a document ) has thus been challenged as invalid before the court ( lit before the royal seat ), it should be considered and he ( the judge ) should examine the faults ( alleged ) in the document, holding consultation with brāhmapas

280 A document becomes vitiated ( invalid ) by any thing that makes the witnesses or scribe or the executant thereof false , it is to be declared valid ( lit pure or free from faults ) if these are free from defects

281 A document written in his own hand by the creditor and not attested by witnesses would be held to be false, if the maker of it ( viz the creditor ) would not be able to establish that it was made by him ( with the consent of the debtor )

282 If the debtor denies his own signature made on a document, the matter should be decided by ( the evidence of ) the witnesses on the document or by the opinion ( i e evidence ) of the scribe

283 In disputes about documents whether written ( by the man denying them ) or not the decision ( about the genuineness ) of it must be made by ( the evidence of ) witnesses when a document is challenged as false the litigant should cite the witnesses thereon ( as his evidences )

279 Holding brāhmapas vide notes on 57-59 above

280 If the faults pointed out by a litigant are not refuted by his opponent then the document becomes invalid. Compare 2-4 ( first half which is almost the same as the latter half of this verse

281. Compare sect on 62 of the Indian Evidence Act

284 When in the case of all the three kinds of documents a doubt arises in the minds of men, then one should establish (their genuineness) by producing the signatures of the debtor, the witnesses and the scribe thereof ( for comparison )

285 If the scribe along with the witnesses be dead, the document would undoubtedly be proved to be genuine by ( a comparison of ) their ( admitted ) signatures and the like ( with the signatures on the disputed document )

286 When there is doubt about the signature of the debtor ( on a document ) whether he be living or dead the decision about (the genuineness of) that document is to be made by ( comparison with ) other documents executed by him with his own hand

287 Even when all these (viz writer, witnesses and executant) whose signatures are made on the document which bears the royal seal are dead, that document is still held valid even though they are all dead.

284 Compare Yā; II 82 and Nār p 78 v 143 Br ( p 304 v 3 ) divides writings into three sorts viz those written by the king ( rājalekhyā ), those written in a particular place ( sthānakṛtā ) and those written by a person with his own hand ( svastasthānakṛtā / Sthānakṛtā appears to mean written by professional scribes appointed by the king or his officers and attested by witnesses Compare Nār p 73 v 135 Kāt is probably referring to this three fold division made by Br V; ( VII 8 ) divides documents into three varieties viz rājasāksika ( i.e. written by kṛyasthas appointed by the king and bearing the signature of the presiding officers ) writings attested by witnesses writings without witnesses

285 Vide VI VII 13 for a similar idea Compare section 69 of the Indian Evidence Act and for proof of hand writing by comparison see 73 of the same Act According to the Sm C the like include the gotra, caste etc

287 The validity of the document is due to the royal seal which is prima facie evidence of genuineness This provision is somewhat like sections 52-53 of the Indian Registration Act of 1908 and is a precursor of the solemnity attached to registration as laid down by the Privy Council in 8 Bombay Law Reporter 375 Apar restricts this rule to a royal edict bearing the royal seal.

288-289 What is directly perceptible is not ( allowed ) to be overruled by inference , therefore the decision about a document which is challenged as false is to be arrived at on the testimony of witnesses since ( the debtor or executant ) may himself challenge a document as false for securing wealth to himself . A document ( without attestations ) should be proved to be genuine by ( comparison with other ) documents and one that is attested by witnesses by the ( testimony of ) witnesses

290 When it is alleged that a document is false ( the litigant ) who would not establish it to be valid by the testimony of the witnesses and of the scribe, should be made to pay the highest amercement

291 When ( a debt ) has not been claimed by ( the creditor ) able to do so from ( a debtor ) who has wealth enough ( to discharge it ) and who is near ( the creditor ) then the bond ( recording the debt ) loses its strength as this raises a suspicion that the debt has been paid off

288. This applies to a case where a document is attested by witnesses and the debtor or executant denies it. In such a case the court is not to rely on comparison of the debtor's signature on the document with other admitted signatures of his. This process would be one of inference. Hence witnesses are in such a case to be examined and their testimony is weightier than mere comparison of handwriting. A witness is so called according to *Mānu* (8 74) and *Vi.* (8 13) and *Nār.* pp. 79-80 verses 14-148 because he has himself seen or heard a matter or experienced it. i.e. his testimony is direct. The latter half relates to comparison of handwriting to a document which bears no attestations and it occurs in *Narada* (पञ्चमः verse 145), where *Asahaya* explains it differently while the translation above follows the interpretation of the *Sm.* C.

290 *Apar* construes the verse differently when an allegation of falsity is made as to the writer ( i.e. executant ) of a document. The highest fine was 1000 *pasas* according to *Mānu* VIII 138 or 1080 according to *Yāj.* I 386.

291-292. Vide *Bṛ.* p. 303 v. 28 for same verses. Not being seen or read out to anybody leads to the inference that it was not meant to be acted upon as it was neglected for a long time. Compare *Bṛ.* p. 309 v. 31, also *Nār.* p. 77 v. 141 for a very similar verse.

292 A document (executed) beyond thirty years, which has never been seen (by anybody) nor read out (by the creditor to anybody), does not attain validity even though the witnesses (on it) be living

293 If a man does not show a document even though the debt (evidenced by the document) has ceased to bring interest and if he does not press the debtor (for payment), the document loses validity.

294-295 (The judge) should consider very carefully a document that is put (purports to be) in the form of a *paścādikāra* (judgment after contest) If such a document stands (the test of) reasoning, then it is valid, otherwise it should be cast aside and he (the judge) should again decide (the matter in dispute) When what is not true has been established as the truth through ignorance, it should be set aside, even though it was established as valid with effort by (former) kings

296 A (royal) edict becomes valid when it is free from defects as to the seal (thereon), as to the mode of writing it, as to its enjoyment (or custody), when it has the proper characteristics (of an edict) and when it bears the genuine signature of the king

297 That writing which is free from faults and which is made known (to the people round about) attains validity

298 If the debtor does not declare the patent defects of a document even when he has seen it, the document when it has stood (unchallenged) for twenty years becomes firm (i. e. unchallengeable thereafter)

299 The Sm O explains that this happens when the debtor is near at hand and is well able to pay

294-295 For *paścādikāra* vide verses 259-264 above Vide verses 495-496 as to review of judgment

296 'Kṛiyasuddha' is explained by the Sm O as meaning 'free from ungrammatical words and from the fault of disconnected words'

298. 'Debtor' - this word is only illustrative and stands for all who are affected by a document. This prescribes a period of twenty years for avoiding voidable documents

322 As regards the first ( possessor ) title is the means of proof (of ownership), as regards those in the middle ( i.e. the second and third generations ) enjoyment accompanied by title ( is the means of proving ownership ) but unbroken possession for three generations is by itself the means of proving ownership ( in the fourth generation )

323 The ( first ) occupant though he may have possession, should clear ( by evidence ) the defects ( alleged ) in the document ( under which he holds ) but his son ( or grand son ) should clear only the defects in possession and would not suffer for ( lit obtain ) the defects of the document ( of his title )

324 Whatever property has been ( first ) acquired by a man, if he were impeached ( by another ) he should clear ( his title to ) it In his case possession even though he enjoyed the property for a long time is not desired ( as the proof of ownership )

325 (The king or judge) should not out of greed interfere with possession which is immemorial and not known ( to have originated without title )

326 When a property has been enjoyed by ( a man's ) father according to the usual mode of enjoying ( the property ), that ( man ), when the father is dead should not be called upon ( to prove title ) since he got that property ( from his father ) by enjoyment

322 *Danam* ( gift ) stands as illustrative of sources of title. The first possessor must show legal title in the case of his son and grandson they have to put forward some title and also possession, while the fourth generation can rely only on possession for three prior generations ( and need assert no other title ) Compare Yaj II 27 about the relative strength of title and possession

323 324 Compare *hār* p 13 v 90, *Br* p 313 v 25 and *Yaj* II 28 The *Mit* explains that the first occupant is liable to fine if he cannot show title his son and grandson need only show immemorial possession and they are not liable to fine even if they show no title nor immemorial possession In the latter case they may at the most lose the property Vide ' *असम्पत्तुं कृत्वा वेदं संप्रदायां मनुजैः । न तदनुपलब्धेन वा अस्मद्विरहितैः ॥* ' quoted in *सूत्र* III p 165 and *Sm* on *वा* II 28

326-327 Both these are VL v 186-187 Compare *Br* p 313 v 28



312 When a document is broken by dirt (soiled by dirt), when it is burnt, when it becomes perforated when it has passed away (into another country or in another's hand) and when it is rubbed off owing to perspiration, another document should be caused to be written.

( Possession )

313. Documents, witnesses, possession - these are regarded as three *pramāṇas* ( means of proof ) Among human *pramāṇas*, possession is regarded as equal to a faultless (valid) document

314 When there is doubt ( dispute ) about a way, doors for exit (and entrance), water courses and the like, undoubted ly possession is the weightiest among means of proof

315 A witness is weightier than mere inference, a document is weightier than witnesses ( i.e. oral evidence ), unbroken possession for three generations is weightier than all these

316 Reliance should not be placed on ( mere ) possession by the wrongful possessor or his son ( as his strong point ) in the case of brāhmins, women and men (slaves) This is the settled *Dharma* (Law)

317 Possession is declared to be of two sorts viz with title and without title Possession which continues for three

312 Compare Yaj II 91 and Nār p 79 v 140

313 Compare Nār p 58 v 69

314 Verse 313 declared 'documents' and 'possession' as equally weighty means of proof. This verse declares that in certain disputes (about easements) possession is superior to the other *pramāṇas*. Vide verse 226 above Nār (p 60 v 77) emphatically declares that as regards immovables possession counts most (as nine points of law) even though there be a document and witnesses

315 Vide Manu VIII 25-26 for signs from which inferences were to be drawn about intention or other mental states of men. What is meant by 'three generations' is made clear by Rat. himself in v 318

316 Vide *Lalabhai v Bai Amrit I. L. R. 3 Bom 290* at p 310 for explanation of this verse

317 'Agama' means 'origin of title' or 'title'. According to Br p 309 v 2 ownership of immovable property can be acquired in seven ways viz by learning, purchase, mortgage conquest, as

327 When a land has been duly enjoyed by three generations, the fourth secures it ( as owner ) even in the absence of a document

328 Just as milk produces by lapse of time curds which is full of taste, so possession transmitted for three generations becomes the source of conferring ( ownership ) by lapse of time

329 That possession which is unbroken and of long standing ( immemorial ) is strong ( valid independently ) in law Possession, even though interrupted, ( is valid ) provided it was established by an ancestor ( as based on title )

330 One should not put forward ( men ) possession ( as proof of owner-ship ) in the case of women ( female slaves ), temple property and state property, of the property of minors and those who are learned in the Vedas and as to ( what is inherited ) from the father and mother

328 Compare Nār p 63 v 01 and Br p 313 v 27

329. This is the same as Br p 314 v 31 The principle is the same as that underlying Art 142 of the Indian Limitation Act, viz if a man establishes title and disposes of it by another within twelve years before suit, he succeeds in ejectment 'Strong' means 'rendering futile a document etc opposed in tenor to it'

330 Compare Manu VIII 140, Vasistha XVI 18 Nār ( p 61 v 81 ), and Br p 312 v 21 ( who mentions idiots and Yaj II 23 ' Temple property ' - Compare section 10 of the Indian Limitation Act ( 1908 ) as amended by Act I of 1929 according to which there is no limitation for a suit for following trust property in the hands of a trustee or in the hands of his legal representative or voluntary assignees or for an account when property has become vested as a religious or charitable endowment in him ' State property ' compare Art 149 of the Indian Limitation Act which prescribes sixty years for any suit by or on behalf of the Secretary of State for India in Council, minors' compare section 6 of the Indian Limitation Act in favour of minors lunatics and idiots Vide Gauthama X 48-49 according to which the property of minors and students was to be under state protection until they attained years of discretion and until return from their teacher Vasistha 7-8XVI, ' from the father or mother ' compare the rule of modern judicial decisions that the possession of one co-heir is not necessarily adverse to the other co-heirs Vide notes below on verse 335 and verse 844 for the age of majority

331-333 If a brahmacari, who was engaged in his vow ( of Vedic study ) extending over thirty six years and a man in pursuit of wealth who remains in another country for a long time, were to return ( from his teacher's house ) and being free from his vow were to look out for his wealth, then enjoyment extending over fifty years will ( alone ) make him lose his property ( by the possession of another ) Twelve years are declared to be the period for studies for ( mastering ) each Veda and the time ( of apprenticeship during which possession by another does not become adverse ) for those who learn crafts is said to be the period required till they become masters in them

334 The property of these ( students of Veda and of crafts ), which was enjoyed by their friends and relatives while they were absent and of those who have been convicted by the king ( and imprisoned ), is not lost by lapse of time

335 When a thing has been enjoyed by a man's agnates and cognates and by his own people, there ( ownership of the thing ) would not result from mere possession, one should regard possession ( as leading to ownership ) in others ( than these )

( Reasoning )

336. That man, who, when besought ( for repayment ) by the litigant ( creditor ) three, four or five times does not employ a refutation ( of the assertion ) becomes ( established as a ) debtor after that

331 332 'Thirty six years' A Brahmacari had to spend 12 years in the study of each of the three Vedas Vide Manu III 1 Gautama II 51 and 52, Yaj I 36 'samāvartana' - means 'returning from the teacher's house after finishing Vedic study and taking the ceremonial bath' Vide Manu III 4 Gautama II, 55 Vātsya VIII, 1

335 Compare Dr p 310 v 11 This contains the principle that the possession of one co-owner or tenant-in-common is ordinarily the possession of all and that the mere fact that the profits of a property have been enjoyed by only one tenant-in-common for many years or that he alone is in sole possession does not by itself amount to the ouster of others and is not necessarily adverse to them. Vide L. L. R. 46 Bom. 213, 31 Bom. L. R. 199 and 1030 ( P. C. ), 47 Cal 274.

336 Compare Nār p. 97 v 237 If a creditor several times addresses a debtor saying 'you owe me money' and the debtor does not protest against such assertions, he will be held to be a debtor.

337-338. Offer of a bribe, effacing the means of recognition, holding out a temptation ( to the witnesses or sabbhyas ) and suppressing the expression of one's thoughts ( on the face etc. ) - these are the means of establishing ( a claim against a person ). Where the denial ( of liability ) by a litigant is clearly made, if one of these is clearly established by the opponent, then the matter charged ( such as a debt ) is established as against him.

( Witnesses )

330. The king should not delay in making the witnesses depose. A serious fault, viz. turning away from justice, would result from delay ( in examining witnesses ).

340 The king should himself examine the witnesses that are present ( in court ) and should consider along with the members of the court the statements made by witnesses.

241. Where it is doubtful who the witnesses ( on a point ) are, time should be given for ( producing ) witnesses in order that proper means of proof may be found out ; but where it is clear ( who the witnesses may be ) he ( the judge ) should make the trial proceed at once.

342-343 The judge should in a conciliatory manner question in the following way all the witnesses inside the court and in the presence of the plaintiff and the defendant: ' whatever actions of these two ( plaintiff and defendant ) among themselves you know as regards this transaction, narrate them all in accordance with truth, since you are the witnesses in this matter.'

337-338. ' Effacing etc. ' - means tampering with one's signature or other sign that would establish one's liability' according to Vir. p. 225. Read ' prajāpanabhedah ' as one word.

339. This applies where it is quite clear who the witnesses may be. But where that is not clear, time has to be given.

340 ' The king ' - this is illustrative and includes a judge.

342-343 Compare Yaj II 13 and Gautama XIII. 5. These two verses are the same as Manu VIII. 79-80.

344. (The judge) should himself being pure, question in the first part of the day, and in the presence of gods (idols of gods) and brāhmanas men of the three first castes as witnesses for truth they being pure and standing facing the north or the east.

345. (The judge) after having summoned all the witnesses and having bound them strongly with oaths should question them separately, the witnesses being men of known character and conversant with the matter (in dispute)

346. The statement of a witness as to whatever has been perceived (or experienced) by him because of his being in the presence of the plaintiff and the defendant (at the time of the transaction) should be accepted (as relevant evidence) and not otherwise. So says Brhaspati.

347. The testimony of those whose family and characters are well known who are free from avarice and folly who are truthful, pure and men of eminence, leaves no room for doubt.

348. (The opponent) should be proved by the plaintiff (to be what he is alleged to be) by (the evidence of) witnesses who are similar to the opponent (in caste). A litigant of a lower caste should not establish (his case) by (the evidence of) witnesses of higher castes.

344. This is the same as Manu VIII 87. In the first part of the day vide verses 60 63 above. Compare कौटिल्य p 176 साक्ष्यादकुम्भान्नित्वाग्ने साक्षिण परिगृहीयात्.

345. This is Nār p 91 v 198. Compare VI VII 19.

346. Vide Manu VIII 74 VI VII 13 for the proposition that a witness is one who has himself seen or experienced what he deposes to. Compare section 60 of the Indian Evidence Act (of 1872). This rule means that the oral evidence must be direct and not hearsay.

347. Compare Gautama XIII 2 Manu VIII 63 Yāj II 68 and Nār p 81 v 153. Here aptah means those who speak the truth while it means a different thing in v 361.

348. The latter half of this is not quite clear. We must probably read लोकदेशान्वक्तव्यम्. Vide verse 351 Yāj II 69 says द्वापराति द्वापरे सर्वे सर्वेषु वा स्युः (i. e. the witnesses may be of the same class or caste as the litigant or men of any caste may be witnesses for a litigant of any caste). vide Nār p 81 v 154 (for the same option as in Yāj) Gautama XIII 3.

349-350 Those who wear symbols peculiar to their sects, *treuds* (guilds), *pugas* (associations) companies of traders and all others who form groups ( or combinations ) - these are called *vargas* by Bhṛgu. The leaders of the communities of slaves, bards, wrestlers and of those who subsist by elephant driving, horse riding and the profession of arms these are in each case called *vargin*. When these have a dispute with members of their own group ( *varga* ), *vargins* ( leaders of the respective groups ) are their ( proper ) witnesses.

351 Women should bear witness for women ( when women are litigants ), for ( litigants of ) the first three castes ( witnesses should be of ) the same caste as themselves, well behaved *śūdras* for *śūdra* ( litigants ), and men of the lowest castes such as *Candālas* should be witnesses for lowest castes.

352 Where it is impossible to bring ( as witnesses ) those who stay in a different country, there the cause should be decided on evidence consigned to writing and sent by men learned in the three Vedas.

353 Even a single person is made to depose as a witness if he was taken in confidence at the time of making a de-

349-350 For *śrauta* *paga* and *vṛata* vide vv 82, 235 and 679-80. Compare Nar p 81 v 155 for a similar rule. Gentiana XI 21 22 lays down that in disputes between agriculturists traders cowherds usurers and artisans the king should decide on the evidence of their headmen.

351 This is Manu VIII 68 and also Vasistha XVI 30 ( but in prose )

352 This refers to evidence taken on commission. The idea is that the court should depute a learned man to take the evidence of a witness staying in a distant land and the evidence thus taken down in writing by the person deputed should be read at the trial. Compare Order 26 ( rules 4 and 5 ) of the Civil Pro Code.

353 The general rule is that there should be at least three witnesses. Vide Yaj II 69 and Nar p 81 v 153. Br (p 331 v 16) says that there should be nine seven five, four or three witnesses or only two if they are brahmanas learned in the Vedas but a single person was never to be examined as a witness. This verse states an

post, the messenger, sent by a litigant, is a proper witness, though he is alone ( i e the only witness in that case )

354 ( In disputes about manufactured articles such as ear rings ) one should establish ( identity of ) that thing by ( the evidence of ) that man who produced the finished article, in such disputes he, though alone, is declared to be the means of proof

355 The scribe, the judge, the members of the court - these in order are declared to be witnesses ( singly ) when a cause has been investigated by the king

356-357 There are others declared as witnesses though not so appointed ( made or intended ) by the parties, viz the village ( i e co-villagers ) the judge, the king in the case of those who had judicial proceedings ( before him ) one who knows intimately the affairs ( transacted by the two parties ), one deputed by the claimant ( to ask for a loan or to transact a business ) and in disputes between members of a family even the members of the family may be witnesses

---

exception to this rule. A *dutaka* is defined by Br { p 300 v 8 } as one who is a respectable man, esteemed and selected by both parties who comes near to listen to the speeches of the plaintiff and defendant. The reading 'yacita' for *dutakah* would mean in the case of a loan of an ornament etc

354 This reading is much better. It means that the person who was sent to fetch an article on loan and who brought it is singly sufficient to prove what or how much was lent in case of dispute. All that this verse means is that one witness will do even though, many or even two are not available. This verse does not restrict the evidence to the manufacturer alone. Vide Vir p 151

355 Where the plea of *res judicata* was raised the evidence of even one of these was relevant. Compare Br p 300 v 14

356-357 These verses are the same as Nār p 81 vv 151-152 except that Nārada reads first-half of 356 as एते पुनरपि सति यत्तदा सख्यः Witnesses are of two kinds *kṛta* ( made or appointed by the party ) and *akṛta* ( not so appointed ). These two verses enumerate six kinds of ' *akṛta* ' witnesses. Nār ( p. 80 vv 149-150 ) says there are six kinds of ' *akṛta* ' witnesses and five kinds of *kṛta* witnesses viz *likhita*, *smṛita*, *yadrecita*, *gṛtha* and *utāra*. Bṛhaspati ( Br p. 296 vv 1-9 ) enumerates twelve kinds of witnesses जितिनो लेखिनो गृह स्मारिता कुलपुत्राश्च । बह्विन्दो वीर्याश्च । दार्ढ्यव्यवहारश्च । सुदोषव्यवहारश्च । मातुः । कायः । दानः । वा ह्यन । ( quoted in sv m p. 34 and sv m p 321 ). It appears to combine both Nārada and Bṛhaspati.

358 In disputes about the shares of ancestral property, when a doubt arises the statements of members of the family are means of proof, but not in other cases

359 If one out of the witnesses that subscribe them selves (on a document) and that have been appointed (or cited) by the litigant deposes in a way different from (the others) all those become incompetent witnesses on account of contradiction

360 Where a person is appointed by a man as a witness, the other party should not make him depose as his witness. In the absence (or death) of that person (who appointed the witness) a person deputed by him or a relative should make him depose (as a witness)

361 Those who depend for livelihood upon the sustenance given by a party, those who wait upon him or are his benefactors those who are his relatives or friends or servants—these are his *āptas* and are not competent witnesses

362 The sons of one's mother's sister, the sons of one's full sister and one's maternal uncles—these are said to be *sandāhas* (connected with same womb), these should not be employed as witnesses

358 Compare Br p 299 v 7

359 Generally three witnesses were cited by a party to prove a transaction: supposing one of them deposed in one way and the other in another way the two nullify each other. If the matter were to be decided on the testimony of the third, the result would be that the decision would have to be based on the words of one witness only. Therefore in such a case all the three witnesses become incompetent. But the reverse cannot apply to a case where there are ten witnesses on a document, three of whom depose in one way and the rest in another way. In such a case the rule that the testimony of the majority or of men of higher character should be relied upon will have to be followed. Vide Nār p 95 v 22 Br p 303 v 35 Yāj II 73 Manu VIII 73 and VI VIII 39 for the latter rule. Compare Nār p 83 v 162 for a rule similar to the one in 359. For the definition of subscribing witness (*likhita*) vide v. 371

360 The Sm G explains that if anybody were allowed to cite anybody as a witness whether appointed by him or not he would be *ava yamuktī* (and so an incompetent witness according to Nār p 83 v 161)

361 This verse explains the word *āpta* occurring in the list of incompetent witnesses in Manu VIII 64 and Yāj II 71

362 This verse is probably meant to explain *sandāhi* in Nār p 87 v. 180



363 Members of the same family, persons having an interest ( in the subject matter of the suit ) one connected by marriage ( with ones son or daughter ), sisters husband, the father, a *bandhu* ( a relative ) paternal uncle, father in law and teacher - ( these are incompetent as witnesses )

364 Those who are appointed ( by the king ) over towns, villages and districts and to positions ( of responsibility ) and those who are the favourites ( of the king ) - these should not be asked ( to depose as witnesses ), they are the men of the king and devoted ( to him )

365 In ( disputes ) about debts and the like in which the transactions are of a fixed ( or permanent ) nature witnesses should be tested ( as to their competency ), but testing ( of witnesses ) is very rare in *adāsa* ( wrongs attended with force ) or heinous crimes and in matters of an urgent nature

366 In transgressions of royal commands in adultery, in *sahasas* ( heinous crimes ), in theft and in *pārusya* ( abuse and assault and battery ) the judge should not test ( the competency or character of witnesses )

367 If the attack ( or complaint ) be with reference to what took place inside a house or at night or outside the village, one should not inquire into ( the character or competency of ) witnesses

363 Person having &c This seems to be the same as *arthasāhi bandhinah* in *Manu* VIII 64 and *Nārada* ( *prādhāna* 177 ) Compare Bṛ p 302 v 29 for the whole verse

364 Vide Nār pp 86-89 vv 177 181 *Manu* VIII 64 67 VI VIII 13 and Ys) II 70-71 for long lists of incompetent witnesses This verse explains the word *ekajapurusā* ( in Nār p 8 ) v 185 Being the kings officers or favourites it is likely that the king or judge may be unduly prejudiced in their favour

365 Compare *Gautama* VIII 9 ( *य एवमर्थे निरव* ) *Manu* VIII 72 VI VIII 6 Ys) II 72 Nār p 89 v 189 In such cases any person may be a witness but the circumstances of age and other disqualifications mentioned above may detract from the weight of the testimony

367 Compare *Manu* VIII 69 70

368. When not asked by the litigant ( to be witnesses), persons should not depose as witnesses; he who of his own accord takes upon himself to be a witness can not have the position of a witness ( at law ).

369. A witness is of two-fold mode ( lit. path ), viz. subscribing a document ( as a witness ) and one who is apart from it.

370. If a person has subscribed a document in his own hand and is the only surviving witness (out of all the attestors) and cannot recognise ( the attestation ) as his own, it ( his attestation on the document ) should be established by ( comparison with other admitted ) signatures of his.

371. That witness is called ' *likhita* ' ( subscribing witness ) who is brought by the litigant himself and who ( whose name ) is placed on a document ( as a witness ); a ' *smārita* ' ( reminded witness ) is one who ( becomes a witness ) without being subscribed on a document.

372. He is styled ' *smārita* ' ( reminded ) who, being shown a transaction, is again and again reminded of it by the litigant ( a party to the transaction in order that the transaction ) may be ( effectively ) proved ( thereafter ).

373. He who is brought for some purpose and he who comes ( at the time of the transaction ) casually — these two are witnesses, who are not subscribing witnesses, but establish the assertions in a plaint ( about the transaction seen by them ).

369. *Vide* प्रजापति 'साक्षी द्विवेदो विद्वेषः कृतं यत्क्षेत्रोऽवृत्तः । लेख्यारूढः कुतो देय उच्यते' ( quoted in *रघुविच* III. p 184 ). The first stands for the five kinds of appointed witnesses and the latter ( *uttara* ) refers to the ' *akṣita* ' witnesses.

370 Compare section 71 of the Indian Evidence Act

371. Compare *By.* p 292 v. 3 which is clearer. *By.* p 299 v. 4 explains who is called ' *likhita* ' ( who does not subscribe himself, but whose name is put in his presence by another on a document ).

372. This explains the words ' *स्मरितः पश्चाद्दे* ' in 371. Compare *By.* p. 292 v. 6 for a similar definition.

373. Both these are ' *यद्व्यवहारे* ' in नारद ( कण्वादान 150 ). The person casually coming or brought for a purpose is asked to bear witness to the transaction and although he and the ' *smārita* ' are both not ' *likhita* ' ( subscribing witnesses ), there is a difference between them inasmuch as they are not again and again reminded.

374 He is called a secret witness who is made by the plaintiff to listen while remaining concealed to the clearly expressed words of the defendant in order to establish his own object.

375 That witness is called *utara* (indirect) who deposes as a witness over and above the (direct witnesses) because he listens (to what they have seen) or is made to hear what they have heard

376. He should be known as a secretly moving witness (*gudhacari*) and also as one intimately bound up in the transaction (*kāryamadyagata*) to whom something has been divulged through confidence or a transaction has been communicated in confidence (by both parties)

377 Where the plaintiff (i.e. the creditor or one party to a transaction) is dead there the witness is called '*mṛtāntara*' (separated by death) and where the defendant (i.e. debtor &c.) is dead there also the same (term) is ordained (for the witness).

*(Proclaiming the weak points of witnesses)*

378 Whatever faults there may be in documents or

374 Compare Bṛ p 299 v 5

375 This is the same as Bṛ p 300 v 11. Bṛhaspati gives two verses on *utara*, the other being on p 300 v 10. They are not really two definitions but one. Vi VIII 11 says उद्देश्येति नृप दृष्ट्वा-परां वा तदभिहितं वा तद्गमय which is the same as Bṛ p 300 v 10. When a direct witness is about to die or to go to a foreign land a person who hears what he says about a transaction witnessed by him becomes an *utara* witness. Vide notes to V 31 p 65 for further explanation.

376 We must distinguish between *gudhasthān* defined in 374 and this. Dr Jolly translates both as 'secret witness'. This is the same as Bṛhaspati: उद्देश्येति वा तदभिहितं &c. (quoted in अतिशयोक्ति p 145 and अतृप्त p 667). It is better to read उद्देश्येति for गमय as Vir and Apr do. गुह्यचारी and उद्देश्यचारी are two names for the same kind of witness. If we read गुह्यचारी (who holds the secret of both parties) that would be a better reading but it is not well supported. Dr Jolly's translation of Bṛ (p 300 v 12) is not accurate.

377 When the party for whom a witness is to depose is himself dead that witness is called *mṛtāntara* and he ceases to be a witness. Vide

witnesses they should be proclaimed at the time of the trial (The judge) should not hold the witnesses ineligible (on account of faults) after they have deposed

379 After a matter has been narrated (by witnesses) he who would point out faults in witnesses in whom he did not find fault at first and who cannot set out (a proper) reason (for not proclaiming them at first) should be fined in the first amercement.

380 (A litigant) should not challenge the means of proof (witnesses here) by (pointing out) faults that do not exist, if he were to make a false charge (about the faults of witnesses) he would be liable to fine and would also lose his claim that he wants to establish

381 When the defendant or the plaintiff attempts to establish faults in the witnesses (cited by his opponent), it is not (to be deemed) a separate proceeding (and therefore as irrelevant) because it (establishing faults in witnesses) is useful in (deciding) the matter in hand

382 The faults of witnesses (cited by a party) should be declared by (his) opponent in the open court, after writing

Nār p 83 v 125 and p 66 v 94. Vy MK p 316 explains that a witness becomes 'mptikṇara' either when the creditor or debtor for whom he is to depose is dead, or when the subject of the transaction viz the slave, bull or chattel is dead or lost. Nār p 83 v 157 mentions 'mptikṇara' as the last of the five classes of witnesses who cease to be so

378 Here *uktān* qualifies witnesses and means *uktavatah* (when they have deposed). Vide notes to V M p 74 for further explanation. This is the same as Br p 303 v '5 where Dr Jolly translates differently

379 First amercement. This is a fine of 150 panas or 375 panas (vide Manu VIII 139 and Yaj I 364)

380 Vir (p 164) reads 'vāpi' and so holds that there is an option (viz. he may either be fined or lose his cause)

381 When faults are pointed out in a witness tending to affect his veracity or character, that is part of the trial of the suit and not a separate proceeding, but if further witnesses are allowed to be cited to prove the character attributed to him, then it would lead to

them all down on paper ( or leaf ) and then ( the witness ) should be made to reply to them ( to meet the faults )

383 In case of the admission ( of the faults in the witnesses cited ), they do not deserve to be witnesses ( in the cause tried ), if it be otherwise ( i. e. if the faults be not admitted ), they ( the faults ) should be established by the opponent by offering proof of them

384. The opponent not clearly establishing the faults ( pointed out in ) the witnesses should be made to pay a fine , all the witnesses that are established ( as faulty ) become bereft of the character of ( proper ) witnesses

385 ( The judge ) should discern the ( real ) intention ( or mental state ) from the outward manifestations ( such as sweat horripilation ), the gestures ( looking down at the ground &c. ) and physical movements the litigant becomes a losing party and he is found out ( to be so ) by inference ( from the signs mentioned above )

386 Shaking ( of the body ) perspiration languishing ( of the body ), dryness of lips licking ( of the corners of the lips with the tongue ), scratching the ground ( with the toes ), restlessness ( lit. leaving the place where one stands ) looking sideways or upwards ( towards the sky ), change of voice—these the wise declares to be the signs of a false ( party or witness )

examination of witnesses: *ad infinitum*, as these latter witnesses again may be attacked by examining others and so on Vyāsa quoted in अद्वैत प्रदीपनादिका and सूत्रिच makes this clear अद्वैत संहिता साध्ये दूत दूतानाम् । अद्वैत प्रदीपनादिकाप्रमाणम् ॥ Compare sections 146 and 153 of the Indian Evidence Act

384. We should understand *doṣam* after *śikṣinā* and connect *spṛṣṭam* with *abdhāvan*

385 This verse primarily applies to the parties but the same rule holds good in the case of witnesses. *Pratīvidi* here stands for both the *śeṣa* ( each of which opposes the other ). Compare *Manu* VIII 23 26 for almost the same ideas and words. These signs do not decide the matter but they raise great suspicion and they make the judge and *śākyas* scan the whole evidence very carefully

386 Compare *Yaj* II 13 15 and *Nār* p 90 v 195 196 *VL* VIII 18 for similar signs. The reading *va varṇaym* (losing colour, pale ness or darkness of face) is better and is supported by the text of *Yaj*

or less (than what is alleged in the plaint), then the entire claim does not certainly succeed

397. When witnesses depose only to a part of the matter alleged (in the plaint or reply) in charges of adultery, homicide crimes ( *adhava* ) and theft, the whole of the matter that is alleged may be held proved.

398-399 Where (the statements of witnesses) are less or more (than what is affirmed by the parties), the depositions of witnesses should be omitted (from consideration); the witnesses in such a case are not liable to fine; he (witness) deserves to be fined if he does not depose (to what he knows). When the depositions of witnesses are in conflict as to the place, time, the amount of money, the number, colour, the species, the form or shape and the age (as stated in the plaint or reply), the wise say that the depositions are (as good as) not given.

400-401. When several matters have been definitely asserted (in the plaint or reply), if the witnesses, when the time for deposing arrives, do not make a statement coinciding with the

or less than the claim, the whole claim is not established i.e. by the mere proof of a part, the whole claim will not be awarded, as there will be doubt in the court's mind as to the truth of the claim. He will then have to adduce other evidence to prove the claim. Compare Yāj II. 79, Nār. p. 96 v. 234 and Br. p. 303 v. 32 for the same proposition. Vide verse 219 above, which applies where the opponent totally denies every item of the claim and the plaintiff establishes some of the items claimed. Verse 473 applies where a son is called upon to pay his father's debts and he says he does not know. There he cannot be said to deny the whole claim and so the principle of verse 219 cannot apply. Vide verse 365 for ' *ethirakarmasu* ' applied to 'debt and the like'. In 397 it is laid down that even when witnesses are cited to prove all facts stated in the complaint about certain heinous crimes and they depose to only some of them, the whole may be held proved.

398-399 This applies to civil disputes like recovery of debts, as in 396. For the fine for not giving evidence vide Yāj II. 76. For 399, compare similar provisions in Nār. p. 96 v. 233 and Br. p. 393 v. 33.

400-401. Verse 400 is the same as Nārada, *mādhava* 232, and 401 is almost the same as Nārada, *mādhava* 234. It would be better to read ' *anukram* ' in 401. As both these verses do not add to what

statement ( in the plaint or reply ) that testimony becomes no testimony. Where witnesses depose to matters either more or less (than those in the plaint or reply) that should be regarded as improper ( testimony ), this is the certain conclusion about ( the testimony of ) witnesses.

( *Faults of witnesses and fines imposed on them* ).

402-403 If deposing to everything even when not asked or not replying to what is asked, witnesses should be arrested, censured and fined according to the ( rules of ) law. In the case of abuse ( defamation or libel ) and in case of deceit they ( witnesses ) should be made to pay a fine of three hundred ( panna ) and in disputes about ( recovery of ) debts and the like, they should be made to pay the amount of fine and also the debt ( in dispute ).

404 A witness who has not been appointed (as a witness at the time of the transaction in dispute ) or who is not summoned (as a witness) or who is not pointed out (as a witness) should be fined, since he is a vile person when he deposes saying 'this is true, that is false'.

405-406 If one who has witnessed a transaction would not depose as a witness he would have to bear ( i e pay ) the debt ( in dispute ) and an equal amount of fine, but in disputes other than this ( i e other than debts ) he deserves to be fined three hundred. Witnesses who, having first said one thing, depose contrary to that should be fined, since they are full of deceitful words.

is stated in 396-398 it is probable that Sar ascribed them to Kāt through oversight. Kāt, says कतिमन्वेदमिदं शोधयन् ननु त्रिसप्ततिसोऽक्षरं च दत्तं अत्रिकं वा ननु तदत्रिकं तन्ना ह्येदं ( text p. 176 ) Vy. Māt. ( p. 312 ) has a long note on verse 403 in which he refutes at length the views of Yāgyalka on this verse.

402-403 Compare Gautama XIII 6 'अपनेत्युक्तवने च दोषिण' eg. Yāj. II 77, Br. p. 303 v. 31 and Vi. VIII 37. The Sm. C. notices that in v. 403 'they' refers only to witnesses who do not reply to what is asked.

405-406. For 405 Compare Manus VIII 107 ( which prescribes a fine of one tenth of the debt in dispute ) Yāj. II 76 ( who also prescribes only one-tenth ). Kāt. ( p. 177 ) cites the view of the Mīmāṃsākas कृतमिदं विना वचनमप्युक्तं वा ना. ननु तदप्युक्तं दत्तं दत्तमिति मानवा.

Here, 'das agnyam' is probably a wrong paraphrase of 'das'abandham in Manus VIII. 107.

387. Witnesses should give their deposition inside the hall of justice and not anywhere else; this is the rule as regards all oral evidence, but it is otherwise as regards immovable property.

388. Witnesses should depose in the presence of the plaintiff and the defendant and near the matter to be established (in the suit) and never behind the back (of the parties).

389. Depositions should be taken down near (lit. over) the matter (in dispute) and in some cases even in places other than these two; this is the rule in (disputes about) quadrupeds and about bipeds and immovable property.

390. In all judicial proceedings (lit. where proof has to be given) witnesses should be made to depose even in the absence of things that are to be weighed (like gold), that are to be counted (like coins) or that are to be measured (like corn), but not in other cases.

391. In the case of the killing of living beings, witnesses should be made to depose in the presence of (an image of)

387. In the case of immovable property, the evidence may be taken on the property itself

389. The words 'tayaṣṭi viñā kvaṣit' are explained in one way by Sm. C. and Par. M. and in another way by V. M. and Vir. The former say, witnesses are to be examined in court and may be examined also on the immovable property in suit. These are two places (tayaṣṭi) for the examination of witnesses, but in rare cases (kvaṣit) depositions may be taken elsewhere as said in verse 391. According to V. M. and Vir. the word 'kvaṣit' is illustrated by the latter half of a dispute about cattle &c. or about slaves. No depositions may be taken near the subjects of disputes and 'tayaṣṭi' is taken by V. M. to refer to the parties.

390. When the matter to be secured by litigation is gold or coins or grain, witnesses were to depose in court even without these being in court.

391. As Śiva is the god of destruction, it was probably thought that in the case of slaughter of human or other beings, depositions should be taken in a temple of Śiva. If we read 'Śava—', as the Par. M. does, the verse can be more easily construed. It would mean: witnesses should depose near the corpse, or in its absence



Siva, but ( this should be done ) in the absence of any marks ( of the animal killed or of killing ), but when it is otherwise ( i.e. when some mark exists ) the witness should not be made to depose at all ( in the temple of S'iva ? )

892 The words of the witnesses when free from faults should be taken down as naturally narrated by them, when the witnesses have stated ( what they know ) they should not be questioned by the king again and again.

893. Whatever the witnesses narrate naturally should be accepted as useful for deciding the legal proceeding, whatever they say otherwise than in this way is useless for the purpose of ( finding out ) the truth

894-895 Whatever was observed by witnesses in a group should be deposed to in the same way ( i.e. simultaneously ) but what was seen by each separately should be deposed to separately. When a certain matter was known ( perceived or experienced ) by witnesses at different times they should depose separately and at different times. This is the view of Bhṛgu

896 In disputes about recovery of debts and the like which are of a permanent character, if witnesses depose to more

near some mark ( such as horn &c ) of the animal killed. Here ' tadabhāve means Śivābhāve but if we read Siva then 'tadabhāve cannot mean Śivābhāve ( as temples of Śiva must have been found everywhere in Kātyāyana's day ) and tat there means śakṣyam and abhāve is to be connected with 'anbhāve. The Vir ( p. 168 ) goes so far as to explain that when there is a mark then there is no need of deposition of witnesses. Vide my notes to V M p 75

393 Naturally means without fear and the like

394-395 It is noteworthy that early writers like Aparīkṣa ascribe these verses to Kāt while later ones ascribe them to Yaśodha. Compare Gantama XIII 5 and vide Vir p. 169 which notices Haradatta's explanation.

396 397 'Of a permanent character. —This refers to civil disputes like recovery of debt, in which there is no urgency while in crimes there is urgency. Verse 396 lays down that if witnesses are cited for proving the whole of a claim and they depose to more

407 ( The king ) should seize all the wealth of the man who through the greed of ( winning ) the cause cited false witnesses and should then banish him from the country

408 When a matter ( in dispute ) has been established by the plaintiff by means of witnesses, if the defendant were to prove that matter to be otherwise by more witnesses ( than those for the plaintiff ) or by witnesses of better family, then the witnesses first deposing become false

409 When the means of proof are shown to be faultless according to the rules of law, then comes the (business of) testing of their statements (lit sentences). The fixed rule is that that matter must be regarded as faultless which follows from faultless testimony.

410 Where a witness tells a falsehood, it would be found out in a week or two weeks or three weeks or 48 days ( in the guise of ) disease here or death of ( near ) relative ( befalling a false witness ) according to the difference in the material ( in dispute ) or the caste ( of the witness )

407 Vide Manu VIII 123 which according to some interpretations prescribes the drastic punishment of death or cutting off of the tongue for persistently engaging in false claims and banishment to brahmanas, and Mit. on Ye 11 11 ( which gives various interpretations of Manu VIII 123 )

408 Witnesses of better family — this is only illustrative and means witnesses who are of better character or standing, or more meritorious. Vide Yaj II 80. The Mit on Yaj II 80 does not accept this interpretation and says that the texts of Yaj and Kat apply to a case where the plaintiff calls a number of witnesses some of whom are near and some far off and examines only those who are near and is about to fail on their evidence when he should be allowed to examine better witnesses from far off if already named.

409 Kriya means the pramāṇas viz. documents witnesses, possession. Suddhi means removing or absence of the several faults as to witnesses laid down in the Śāstra. This verse must be connected with verse 340 above which enjoins upon the judge the duty of scrutinizing the statements of witnesses.

410 This verse means that the king should wait for a week or two weeks &c after finding a witness to be false to see if any of the calamities mentioned befall him and then fine him. Compare Manu VIII. 108 (for waiting for a week) and VIII. 120-121 (for fines for perjury). Jati may also mean the species of the thing in dispute. Sar (p. 150) quotes Vignāśaktinā० वद्वन्वार० दिवसप्र० क्षणर० दैवादिभूतकालाद्यनुक्षणम् । वचनानुना० दैवासाम्बलक्षणम् । सहस्रनाश सप्तहस्त्रा लक्षणम् ॥१॥

*( Ordeals and their assignment according to the several titles of law )*

411 No one should appoint the plaintiff (or complainant) so the ( undergoing of ) ordeals. Those who are experts in ordeals should offer ordeals to the defendant (or accused).

412-413 The ordeals of balance and others should be appointed in the case of those who are suspected by kings ( of treason, sedition &c ) for proving the purity of their intentions. In such cases no undertaking to pay fine should be laid down ( as a condition before ordeal is offered ). The ordeals of balance and others should be appointed in the case of those who are labouring under scandals among the people and who are suspected of association with dasyus ( robbers ). In such cases there is no undertaking to pay a fine ( as a condition before ordeal is offered ). ( This is the view of ) Bṛghu

411 This states the general rule about offering ordeals. Vide Nār p 101 v 267 and Yāj II 95-96 which say that when the plaintiff files his action with the undertaking to pay a fine or undergo bodily punishment if he were defeated, ordeals were to be offered to defendant or there is an option : e by mutual agreement any one of the parties may undergo ordeal. The Chāndogya Upaniṣad ( VI 16 ) contains one of the oldest and clearest references to ordeals. Among the older Dharmaśāstras apastamba ( II 11 28 6 ) refers in general terms to divine method of proof but gives no details. Manu only ( VIII 103-116 ) refers to administration of oaths and alludes to ordeals of fire and water ( VIII 174 ). Vi IX-XIV speaks of five ordeals ( of balance fire water, poison and Justice ). Yāj II 95 speaks of the same five but seems to have known of phāla also ( II 29 ). Narada ( smadana verses 251-348 ) adds the ordeals of ' taṇḍulabhaksana and taptamāsa to the five of Yāj and Vi. Bṛhaspati ( Br p 315 vv 4 5 ) and Pitāmaha speak of nine ( adding phāla and dharmaja to the seven of Narada ). Pitāmaha gave the most elaborate treatment and about 200 verses of his on ordeals are quoted in the digests. Hāt, distinctly names seven but seems to have known more ( vv 460 461 ).

412-413 Śiras is explained as the undertaking to pay a fine if one were defeated, since fine is the fourth (and so last and most important part) pada of vyavahara depending on victory or defeat and therefore is called 'śiras or śirṣaka. In these cases mentioned in 412-413 there is no necessity to insist on somebody undertaking to pay a fine or undergoing corporal punishment. The important matter is to clear one's character and so the man may at once offer to undergo an ordeal when charged with any one of them.

414 In charges based on suspicion no undertaking to pay fine or undergo corporal punishment should ever be laid down (as a condition) in offering the ordeal of 'kosa'. Ordeals should be offered to the servants of the king without (the requirement of) an undertaking (to pay fine &c.)

415 The ordeal of 'kosa' alone should be offered in cases of securing confidence when there is suspicion, always at the time of partition among members of the same family and when several persons do one act in a body

416 Where there is a denial of a gift (lit of a thing given), (the judge) should (first) find out the standard value of the thing in gold and should then settle which, if any, ordeal was to be offered. In the case of theft and heinous crimes (*sakasa*) ordeal should be offered (to the accused) even when the subject (of the crime) is of slight value

417 Understanding the price of all things (that are the subject of dispute), (the judge) should find out (their equivalent in) gold and then he should appoint the (proper) ordeal in accordance with the amount of gold (in dispute)

418-419 After ascertaining (for the value of the subject matter in dispute) the number of *suvarnas* it is declared that in the case of denial (by the accused or defendant) of a hundred (*suvarnas*) poison (is the proper ordeal), for

414 'kosa' ordeal is always meant only for proving 'dittadaddhi', so it is to be undergone without an undertaking when one is simply suspected. In plaints of a serious character even the ordeal of *kosa* cannot be offered without an undertaking. Vide Yaj II. 93 for latter half, compare Nār p 165 v 279

415 *Kṛtyasamūhakaritvā* may also mean where there are several persons on whom the burden of proof lies in various ways. Vir p 119 explains as above. *kosa* orde consists in drinking three handfuls of water used in bathing the gods like Durgā, the Sun at the time of worship. Vide Yaj II 112, Nār p 116 v 329

417 Compare vi. IX. 4 *सर्वसम्पत्तये नृणां यथा वसते*

418-419 The Mūl. says that Yaj II 93 (that neither the ordeal of ploughshare nor poison nor balance was to be offered up to a

denial of eighty suvarnas ( the judge ) should offer fire. For denial of sixty ( ordeal of ) water should be offered, and for forty ( ordeal of ) balance for the denial of twenty or ten ( suvarnas ) drinking of sacred water ( kośa ) is prescribed.

420 421 In denial of more (sutaras) than five and up to one fourth of that the ordeal of tandals, but in the denial of one fourth of the last (the person should touch) the head of his son and the like, in denial of one fourth of that it is declared that ordinary modes of proof (viz oaths) should be employed. A king thus deciding (disputes) does not fall away from *dharma* (righteousness) and *artha*.

( The offering of ordeals according to the customs and avocations of the plaintiff and defendant )

422 ( The king ) should appoint the ordeal of fire for a Kṣatriya, balance for a Brahmana and water for a Vāśya or he may appoint any ordeal for all castes but the ordeal of poison is to be eschewed in the case of a brāhmana.

423 ( The king ) should offer (ordeal) to the members of the three higher castes who are cowherds, traders, artisans, herdservants and usurers as if they were śūdras

424 He should not offer ordeal of fire to blacksmiths and water to those who ply watercraft and never poison to those who are experts in *mantras* (incantations) and *yoga* practices; he should not appoint taudalas to him who is observing a vow (such as subsisting on milk alone) and to one suffering from mouth disease.

thousand) refers to a thousand copper panas. Compare Br p 316  
vy 9 12. For *suvarṇa* vide notes on verses 493 494 below

420 421. More than five means six and upwards  $\frac{1}{2}$  of six and over would be  $1\frac{1}{2}$  and five. Should touch the head of his son. This was a kind of special oath. Compare Manu VIII 114 and Nar. p. 100 vs 247 250. The V M (text p. 46) quotes a verse of Narada not found in Dr. Jolly's edition: *सुहृन्-सपत्न्यं पुत्रान् गणान् सुहृन् ह्यथ । अग्निवायेदु सर्वेदु व गणानमवाप वा ॥* which is similar to Manu VIII 114. The three goals of life are dharma artha and kama. Vide Kant I 7. Philosophers add a fourth mokṣa.

492 Compare Nat p 117 vt 334-335

424 Compare *V.I. IX* 25 and *Nar p* 101 v 255

425. He should omit fire (ordeal) in the case of those who are lepers and water in the case of those who suffer from difficulty in breathing and cough; he should always omit poison in the case of those who suffer from (excess of) bile and phlegm.

426. The ordeal of *kośa* (sacred water) should not be offered by the wise to drunkards and persons addicted to women, to gamblers and those who are irreligious in their life.

427-430. A king bent upon dharma should not prescribe ordeals for those who are charged with killing their mother, father, a person of the three higher castes, a teacher, a child, woman and king, those who are guilty of the grave sins and particularly those who are irreligious, those who wear (or subsist by means of) peculiar sect-marks, those who are great rogues, those who are experts in mantras and yoga practices, those who are the progeny of mixed unions, those who repeatedly engage in sin and in charges of the above description and in all other censurable matters. The king should offer ordeal (in the case of these men) to good men appointed by these (to undergo an ordeal); where good men do not desire (to undergo ordeal for them), the king should test their innocence by (offering ordeal) to their own men (i. e. their relatives and friends).

431. Ordeal should not be offered (to be performed in person) to those who are guilty of grave sins and specially to those who are irreligious and to those who are addicted to repeated commission of sins.

432. In these disputes (the king) should vigilantly make (the persons charged) undergo the ordeals through good

425. Compare V. IX. 29 and Nār. p. 101 v. 255.

426. Compare Nār. p. 117 v. 232.

427-430. For the five great sins vide Manu XI. 54. What is meant is that these persons should not be called upon personally to undergo an ordeal and it is not meant that ordeal should not be employed in their cases.

431. This practically repeats the latter half of 427 and the last quarter of 428.

men ( though ) forbidden ( to them in their own person ), he should not leave the person charged of sin ( without testing his guilt or otherwise by ordeals ), ( this is the view of ) Mann

433 But in the case of the untouchables the lowest castes, slaves, mlecchas and those who are the offsprings of mixed unions in the reverse order of castes when guilty of sins the determination ( by the above named ordeals ) should not be done by the king He should indicate such ordeals as are well known among them in case of doubt ( about their guilt ).

( *The proper place of ordeals* )

434-435 ( The king ) should employ ( ordeals ) in a well known temple in the case of men who are accused of the grave sins and near the royal gate in the case of those who engage in treason Ordeal should be offered in a public square where four roads meet to those who are the progeny of mixed unions in the reverse order of castes, the wise declare that in cases other than these ordeals should be offered inside the *sabād* ( hall of justice )

436 He ( the king ) should appoint whatever ordeal is proper, when the time or place is in conflict ( is not appropriate ), he should make another ( a person appointed by the

433 When a male of a lower caste enters into union with a woman of a higher caste than his own it is said to be a *pratiloma* union The *Divyastava* ( p 579 ) of Raghunandana explains that the ordeals well known among these people are those of the snake in the jar and the hke This explanation is copied by the Vir p 238 and the V M p 49 The Sm C explains that this rule is to be followed when relatives appointed by them for undergoing ordeals cannot be had

434-435 The Par M explains *Indrasthana* ( lit place of Indra ) as implying any temple of a well known deity while the *Divyastava* explains it as place where the banner of Indra was raised and the Vir p 241 follows this The *Indradhvaja* was a festival in honour of Indra in the bright half of *Bhādrapada* from the 8th to the 12th (11th being the principal day) Vide the *Bṛhat-saṃhitā* of Varāhamihira chap 48 Compare Nār p 104 v 265 which says that the balance was to be planted near the royal gate or near a temple or where four roads meet

436 Vir p 238 and V M p 49 reads, -*āyirodhe* ( when the

accused ) undergo the ordeal , this is the rule when the opposite is the case ( i e when the accused cannot undergo ordeal in person )

437 When ( ordeals ) are administered at an improper time or place and when they are performed outside human habitation ( i e in a lonely place ), they always fail as to the matters in hand , there is no doubt about this

438 If the means ( i e the ordeal employed ) fails, then the matter to be decided should again be investigated (by other ordeal ), even when ordeals are offered by fools, greedy persons or by others who are vitiated (by some defect or other ) and undergone they should be ignored by the king and he should offer them again in accordance with what is said above

439 Therefore an ordeal should be administered according to the rules prescribed by persons expert ( in those rules ) If an ordeal is administered against the rules, it is not capable of establishing that ( matter in hand )

440 When the scales or the balance or the rope breaks and when there is a doubt as to whether ( a man's ) innocence has been established, ( the king ) should again test the man ( by ordeal )

( *The procedure of fire ordeal* )

441 If the accused ( undergoing the fire ordeal ) misses his footing or is burnt elsewhere than in the proper place the gods declare that it is no burning, the king should again offer him ( fire ordeal )

time and place do not conflict ). This seems to be better

437 The idea is that they cease to be decisive of the matters in dispute when so administered Dr Jolly ( Nār p 250 v 13 ) renders constitute a deviation from the proper course of a law suit, but this is not accurate

439 By p 317 v 18 is almost the same verse

440 Compare Vj A 13

441 ' The proper place ' viz the hands in the fire ordeal ( and not other parts of the body )



*( The procedure of the water ordeal )*

442 445 For establishing innocence (by water ordeal) he should make ready arrows the points of which are not made of iron and which are made of pieces of bamboo and the archer ( lit the person throwing ) should throw ( the arrows ) with great force When ( the arrow ) is discharged ( the accused ) should dive ( into water ) and simultaneously with the diving ( another person ) runs ( to the place where the arrow fell ), when he reaches that place, ( another man ) starts back ( from the place to the place whence the arrow was shot ) and another man stands in water ( whose thighs the man undergoing the ordeal and diving holds underneath the water ) If only the head ( of the accused ) diving in water be seen ( by the person who returns to the place whence the arrow was shot ) and neither the ears nor the nose, then he ( the king ) should declare him to be innocent If a man after diving again rises up ( over the water ), being bitten by an ( aquatic animal ), he should again dive into the water when the marks of the bite have been shown

*( Procedure of the ordeal of poison )*

446 That is known to be the Sārga poison which resembles the horns of a goat is dark and yellow and produced from the peaks ( of mountains ) and looks like ginger when crushed

442 445 Vide my notes to V M p 103 for the whole process of the water ordeal In 444 read āromātram vide Nar pp 111 113 verses 300 314 for a complete description of this ordeal He should again dive into the water - i e he should undergo the ordeal again We should read in 445 with the Divyātattva ( p 601 ) dayāh and dambāhna

446 It is better to read supitam and sārga nam Nar ( p 115 v 322 ) speaks of Sārga poison from the Himalayas as the poison to be given in ordeal and Kat appears to be explaining it Dr Jolly translates sārga as from sārga plant which is doubtful Visnu XIII 93 has विषम्वदया नि यदापि कल इमावदुक्तवाग्म्यात् and Yaj II 111 एवमुक्त्वा विषं च न भक्षयेद्विमरीषमन्तु That is said to be Sārgaka poison which being tied to a cow's horn makes her milk blood red

447 That (poison) makes the blood dark and hard (clotted) in a moment. In this way those who are expert in ordeals should know (this) ordeal.

448-449 That should be known as Vatsanābhaka, which is yellow and like the navel of a calf and appears like mother-of-pearl and conch when crushed, it is known for certain from its colour. It should be at once made pure by adding to it honey and milk. Those who carry out (the dictates of) dharma declare the outward signs (of poison) in this way.

450 One should give poison (as an ordeal) to a person in the forenoon and in a cool place. The poison should be mixed with 80 times of ghee and should be pounded into fine powder.

451 He should give to the person to be tested (by poison ordeal) poison less by one-eighth from the 20th part of the sixth part of a *pala* of poison and it should be mixed with ghee.

(The procedure of the ordeal of sacrificial libation)

452 In the case of slight wrongs (or crimes) the person charged should be made to drink the water of the weapons of the deities after bathing them (in worship). If he undergoes change he should be declared to be guilty and should be punished, but if otherwise he (should be declared to be) innocent.

451 *Pala* is equal to 960 *garas*. Vide *Manu* VIII 134-135. 1/20 of 1/6 of a *pala* is equal to 8 *garas* and when one-eighth is deducted it is seven. So seven *garas* of poison were to be given as a general rule. This verse is the same as *Nar* p. 115 v. 323. Verse 324 of *Nār* says that seven or eight *garas* may be given in winter, only five in summer and six in the rainy season.

452 If he undergoes change, i.e. if some calamity befalls him (as indicated in vv. 410 and 457). *Nar* p. 116 v. 330 *Br* p. 311 v. 24, *V* XIV 4-5 say that if he meets with a calamity in a week or fortnight it shall be regarded as proof of his guilt. Compare for the *koda* ordeal, *Yāj* II 112 *Nar* p. 116 v. 329, *Br* p. 318 v. 23 (whatever deity the accused happens to be devoted to, let the judge bathe the weapon of that deity in water and give him to drink three handfuls of water).

*( The procedure of the ordeal of tandulas )*

453 In the case of the ordeal of the water of the bath of desties and in the ordeal of chewing rice the accused ( is declared to be ) innocent if what he spits out is pure ( not streaked with blood ) : if otherwise he is guilty and should be punished

454 ( The king or judge ) should make the plaintiff ( or complainant ) who attacks ( the defendant ) with pride pay a fine and also the subject matter of dispute, when the defendant is found to be innocent by means of the *kosa* ordeal. A religious king should honour the person who is ( found to be ) innocent by means of an ordeal

455 Where ( in an ordeal of tandula ) blood is seen ( i e issues forth from the mouth ) or the hair on the chin sink ( or ) shattered or fall off ) and the whole body trembles ( the judge should declare the man to be guilty

456-457 ( The judge ) should sedulously make the accused pay, after three weeks in case of the befalling of fateful calamities ( on the defendant undergoing the *kosa* or *tandula* ordeal ), a fine and the subject of dispute. If any one of the following befall him alone and not all people ( in the neighbourhood, ) viz disease, fire, the death of a near relative ( like son or wife ) then he should be made to pay the fine and also the debt ( i e subject of dispute )

453 It is better to read as V M does ' *digdha* for *divya* ' The *Tandula* ordeal was administered in case of theft only and in it grains of rice were placed in an earthen vessel in the sight of the image of the Sun and after pouring over them water in which an image of the sun had been bathed and keeping them in that way for the night the judge gave the water to the accused in the morning. Vide *Nār* p 118 vv 338 343 and *Br* p 318 v 25

455 This is *Nār* p 119 v 342 where we read *तन्मूलं च शिथिलं* whose tooth flesh is shattered for *gy* etc

456-457 *Daiṣav saṁvada* it means ' the non correspondence shown by fate ' Verse 458 enumerates some of the strokes of fate from which one was to judge. Compare *Nār* p 116 v 330. If many people suffer from an epidemic ( included among the person undergoing an ordeal ), that was no sign for the inference of guilt or defeat and the disease must be serious and not slight

458 The diseases brought on men by (the wrath of) Fate are tuberculosis, diarrhoea, boils pain in the palate and bones eye disease throat disease and so also seizure (by evil spirits) headache, fracture of the arms

459-461 (The king) should cause fifty (panas) to be paid by the man who is found innocent (by ordeal) and one who is found guilty (by ordeal) is liable to pay a fine. In the ordeals of poison water fire, balance sacred libation rice grains, and in the ordeal of taptamśa (the judge) should prescribe a (special) fine (for the defeated party) of a thousand panas six hundred five hundred four hundred three hundred two hundred or one hundred respectively and a lesser fine in the case of lesser ordeals (than these)

( Procedure of Oath )

462 Where some (religious) rite is enjoined but there is no express mention of the limb of the person doing (with which it is to be done) there the right hand is to be understood as meant for carrying out to the end the rites (enjoined)

463 He on whom no terrible calamity due to king or fate befalls up to the fourteenth day should be regarded as pure by taking oaths

459-461 The fifty panas that the innocent man has to pay is not a fine but is in the nature of return (modern court fee) to the state for the services of the judge etc. Compare VI VJ 21 for successful plaintiff paying 1/20 (to the king). Verses 460-461 specify the special fine to be paid on account of defeat by the several ordeals in addition to the fine laid down for the defeated party by Manu VIII 59 and Yāj II 11. Vide Mit on Yāj II 113. For taptamśa vide Nār p 119 vs 34<sup>o</sup> 348. By p 313 v 25 and V M pp 83-84 (text) which last gives two varieties of it.

462 This occurs in the Kātyāyanasmṛiti (I 8 on oṛṇa) published by Jivanasūri in his collection vol I. This means that if a person was to touch the head of his son or wife as a special oath he was to do so with the right hand.

463 This is practically the same as Yāj II 113. Compare Manu VIII 115. For the various forms of oaths see Manu VIII 11<sup>o</sup> 114 Nār p 100 v 248.

*( Consideration of the transactions entered into by those who are lunatics or dependent and the like )*

464 What is gifted or done by one intoxicated or one who is a lunatic or by one who had a different intention, that does not at all attain validity

465 The master may cancel a transaction entered into by one who is dependent ( on him ) The other party cannot file a suit against the master ( on such a transaction ) except as regards transactions entered into by those who were under a fear or those who were mad

466 The father is independent ( is his own master ) but ( the son ) whose father is living the brother ( whose elder brother is living ) the brother's son a younger member who has not partaken his share of the family wealth, a slave and a mental ( are dependent )

467 471 The gift, mortgage and sale of fields, houses and slaves entered into by those who are dependent do not attain validity if they are not approved of ( by those on whom

462 Vide verse 271 above Who had a different intention This means that both parties to a transaction must take it in the same sense If the executant thought that he was executing one transaction ( e g a mortgage ) and what was actually written was a different one ( e g a sale ) then he could avoid Compare Kaut III 1 ( p 143 ) तत्रादि कुदना तेन मत्तन मत्तनापहृतेन क ह्या-यद्वहारा न सिध्यत्यु ल

463 Transactions entered into by those who are coerced or those who are mad can be annulled only by the king hence they are excepted but transactions entered into by those who are declared to be insane on behalf of their masters can be repudiated by the master himself Vide Nar pp 50 51 vv 33 34 for an enumeration of those who are *asvatantara*

466 Read *pitṛsvatantra* and understand *asvatantara* after ' *pitrān* ' Compare Nar p 29 vv 29 31 ( for son slave and younger brother ) and Kaut III 1 ( p 143 ) ' निय समयवे ने वहरकता ( नियत ) नवायव - नियतु : अयमवस्थित्य हन विद्वन्ता पुत्रेण पिता पुत्रवता नियन्त्रण प्राप्ता राजद्वारविभक्त न do

467 471 When they are supported ' etc i.e. when they enter into the transactions with the permits or approval of their masters. For the repudiations in 467 472 compare Nar pp. 49-50 vv 26-27 and 29 and for 470 compare *Bhaspati* व रत्नामनी नियुक्तरु यतायव्यवसाये

they are dependent) All these (dependent persons) have authority for the sale and purchase of marketable goods, if they are supported (by their masters) when they enter into the transactions In the same way the (younger) brother, the brother's son or the son (have authority for the sale &c) of fields and the rest if they are appointed (authorised) to enter into these transactions by their elders when going (abroad) Who ever is appointed to do a certain act is master as regards that matter and his master has no power to undo the transaction entered into by him The dependence (on the father or husband) of the son or of the wife (consists only) in his (father's or husband's) right to control them (or their actions) but the father has no power over the son so far as selling or gifting him is concerned

(Decision of the sutra)

472 Purification is declared by those who know the essence of śāstras to be the remedy (for wrong-doing) it is two fold viz. *prāyaścitta* (expatory rites) and punishment

कुमीरविषादिभ्य निवृत्तायस्तु न स्तुतः । इमान् तद्वत् सर्व कामाजामव्ययम् । स्वर्गो वा विने वा स्वामी तन्न विस्मृतम् । quoted in *सुवृत्ति* III p 308. Vide Br p 908 vv 6-8. This shows that Kāt uses the very word *विस्मृतम्* used by *बृहस्पति*. As to 471 several points have to be noted. Ancient writers appear to have held that a father could even sell his son. The *Nirukta* (III 4) refers to the view of some who relying on the story of Sunahsepa in the *Aitareyabrahmana* held that the father could gift, sell or abandon his son. The *Vasīṣṭhadharmasūtra* (XV 9) says that the parents have power to give, sell or abandon their son. Manu VIII 416 and the *Mahābhārata* (Udyoga 33 61) say that all wealth acquired by the son, the wife and the slave belongs to him to whom they belong. The *Mīt* commenting on Yāj II 175 and the *Vir* (p 567) hold that a man has no ownership over his wife and children. But it is refreshing to find that Kāt holds the opposite view and that the *V M* text p 92) distinctly states that there is no ownership over the wife or children. Kāt (III 13 p 181) notes that it is no crime for mlecchas to sell or hypothecate their children but the *Vṛyas* cannot do so.

473 In claims containing various items, the creditor (or plaintiff) secures (a decree for) as much property as he establishes (to be his) by means of witnesses

474 Where the defendant, after having totally denied (the whole claim in the reply) has to admit even a small part before (the court) he should be made to pay the whole This is the view of Brhaspati

475 In this way (the king) occupying the seat of justice, should decide the causes with the advice or help of brahmanas in the presence of the litigants and not otherwise

476 The king after having himself looked into the judicial proceedings or after learning about them from the judge, should give a document of victory (to the successful party) for the purpose of information (to all)

( Rules about punishment )

477 478 The king should make a brāhmaṇa return (a debt due) to the creditor by conciliatory words, make others return a debt according to the usage of the country and should make bad people repay (their debt) by means of physical pain (i.e. imprisonment &c) (The king) should make

473 According to the Sm C this applies where the opponent is not shown to be very wicked while according to the Mit. (on Yāj II 20) this applies to a case where a son when called upon to pay his father's debt replies that he does not know or that he was not then present or born Vide notes on 396 above

474 If we read *mithyāpamāpi* etc the meaning would be 'having denied everything *mithyā* in the reply of denial if he admits even a little' Compare *vi* VI 32

475 Compare *Manu* VIII 1 2 16; II 1

476 Vide *vy* 239 265 above for *pa-cātkāra* and *jayapatra*. Compare *Br* p 293 *vy* 3 4 Vide *Journal of Bihar and Orissa Research Society* vol VII p 117 for a *jayapatra* dated 928 A D

477-478 *Manu* VIII 49 sa s that a creditor was to recover his just debts in five ways viz *dharma* (conciliatory but truthful words) by *vayavāhira* (by suit) *dhala* (trick viz. bringing ornaments etc. from debtor under the pretext of a festival) *ścarita* (fasting at his door) and by force (i.e. putting fetters on him) When a creditor employed these means to recover a debt that was admitted he was not to be found fault

a coparcener or friend (of the successful party) pay by means of a ruse and also traders, husbandmen, and artisans. This is what Bhṛgu declared

479-480 On knowing (the debtor) to be unable to return the debt, (the king) should make him over (to the creditor) and make him work. If he be unable to work, he should be sent to jail except in the case of brahmanas. He should make husbandmen, ksatriyas, vaiśyas and śūdras repay (a debt) by making them work.

481 Punishment is not at all prescribed (by sacred

with by the king (vide Yāj II 40 and Manu VIII 50) but if the debtor denied the debt the only remedy was a suit. Vide Br p 330 vv 53 58 for explanation of the words dharma dhala hearita and bala. Śānta stands for the remedy *dhṛma sampādya* for *dearita* and *bala*.

479 480 Compare Manu VIII 177 and IX 229 and Yāj II 43 for making the debtor (who is not a brāhmaṇa) work. Punishment was either physical (from imprisonment to death) or monetary (vide Nār p 231 vv 53 54). A brāhmaṇa was not to be subjected to corporal punishment according to Manu VIII 124 and Gautama XII 43. The punishments for a brāhmaṇa were according to Gautama (XII 41) preventing him from doing the same thing depriving him of all wealth taking away from him proclaiming him as a thief in the town or city banishing him, putting on him the marks indicating his guilt (vide Manu IX 237). The Sm C (III p 292) relying on Manu IX 235 and other dicta says that a brāhmaṇa could be imprisoned but he could not be subjected to any corporal punishment such as beating cutting off the hand for theft etc. Manu VIII 379 says that touching the head of a brāhmaṇa for a or me is equal to the sentence of death. Apastamba Dh S II 10 27 16 17 lays down that a brāhmaṇa guilty of murder theft for abstinence of another's land was to have his eyes covered over with cloth for his life (so that he could not see anything). Though the brāhmaṇa was free from corporal punishment owing to the policy of the state in ancient India he could be imprisoned banished from the realm or could be deprived of the use of sight. Even in modern times state policy prevents the arrest or imprisonment of an agriculturist in the Bombay Presidency in execution of a decree for money vide sec 21 of the Decree Agriculturists Relief Act XVII of 1879.

481 Manu VIII 129 and Yāj I 367 say that punishment is fourfold, viz saying lie on you severe reproof or condemnation in words fine corporal punishment. Br p 387 v 7 lays down which of these four is appropriate to whom and p 387 vv 5 6 say that admonition (with the word *śio*) is appropriate to light offences,



texts) for the preceptor, the father the mother, and also the relatives, when these are guilty of offences

482 Where an offence was committed when life was in danger, there should be no punishment at all, this is the dharma ( the rule of law ) declared by Bhṛgu

483 ( The king ) should not at all award death sentence to a brahmana even though he may be guilty of any offence whatever ( the king ) should banish him from the kingdom with all his wealth and without any bodily injury to him

484 When ( any person ) from among the four varnas does not undergo *prāyaścitta* ( expiation for offences committed ) the king should prescribe ( for him ) proper punishment consisting of fine or corporal injury

485 If *kṣatriyas* or *brāhmaṇas* are guilty of an offence for which a *sūdra* is liable to be punished according to law then they would be liable to double and double ( of the punishment for the *sūdra* )

reproof to *purvashāsa* fine to middle *śāhas* and imprisonment to treason etc The verse of Kāt is meant to forbid punishment by way of fine and corporal punishment only in the case of preceptors (c. Compare Śaikhya अन्वयदी मानवितरे स्वातन्त्र्यरा दत्तो परिमानवान् शस्त्रो न मर्कमश्विदोरे नो वाचारवन्तश्च (quoted in Sm C III p 296) and गो ष सूत्र VIII 12 13 इदमे परिदादौ राजा व्यवधानं पश्चादन्वयस्य अधिकार्यक्षेत्रवाचसापदेह संश्रितः । Compare the principle underlying sec 562 of the Cr. Pro. Code Yaj I 358 says that a king has to punish his own son or father in law etc

486 This enunciates the right of private defence of body Compare sec 97 and 99 of the Indian Penal Code

487 Compare Gautama XII 43 न गरीरा राज्ञश्चरुः and Manu IX 241 Vide Br p 388 v 11

488 The Par M explains that if the offending brahmana does not submit to being banished then he has to be punished just as a *kṣatriya* would be i.e. with fine or imprisonment Compare आद श द्द II 5 10 17-18 राजाक्षय त्रिभुञ्ज व । वदविशेषण वददास्वव निवमेरुपशापव । also गोतम XII 44

489 The idea seems to be that a *kṣatriya* should be awarded double the punishment which a *sūdra* would be awarded for the same offence and a *brāhmaṇa* four times as much

486 The king should punish a sudra who forsakes the order of sannyasins ( after having entered it ) and who intently practises *japa* ( silent muttering of prayers ) and *homa* with death ( or corporal punishment ) or he should be punished with double the fine

487 In the case of all offences women should pay half of the fine in money which is prescribed for a male, when ( the punishment for an offence ) is death in the case of males, ( the punishment for women would be ) cutting off a limb

488 Women who are not independent should not be arrested, it is the male ( on whom they are dependent ) who should be regarded as the offender ( when they go wrong ), they ( the women ) should be punished by their lord ( i. e. the person on whom they depend ), but the king should take away ( for punishment ) the male

489 Even if a woman whose lord has gone on a journey be consigned to jail she should be kept in confinement only till her lord returns

490 Whatever fine is carefully prescribed for an offence ( in the smṛti texts ) it should be understood that it is to be in *kāśapana*s or their equivalent ( to be paid ) to the king

491 Where fine of one fourth of *māsa* or one half of a *māsa* is prescribed ( and then subsequently in the same context ) there is no express mention of *māsa* in such cases one *māsa* should be prescribed as the fine

485 It appears that we should read *pravrajyāvasthūnam* for *astam*. A sudra was to be punished if he entered the fourth order ( *āśrama* ). This is the way in which the *Vir* p. 24 understands this verse. Note the well known story of Śambhūka ( *Ramayana* Uttarakāṇḍa 74-76 )

491 This verse as it stands is not clear. The reading of *Kulluka* is clear and means that a *māsa* must be held to be golden where the metal is not specified. *Saras* p. 150 notices that according to *Vijñāneśvara* the fine of a thousand means a fine of copper *panas* while according to *Bharuci* it means a fine of a thousand golden *masas* and that in this matter the usages of countries should be followed

492 When the punishment ( or fine ) is declared to be in masas, there it should be understood that they are to be of silver and where it is declared in krsnalas there also the same conclusion about the fines declared is to be understood

493 494 A māsa is to be understood as the twentieth part of a karsapana and a kākani is equal to the fourth part of a māsa and of a pana In the land of the five rivers this ( the following ? ) nomenclature is in current use A karsapana is to be understood as Audika four karsapanas as equal to one dhānaka 12 dhānakas as equal to one suvarna which is also called dāṇḍa and citraka

( review of judgment )

495 Where a certain matter ( or side ) is determined

492-493 According to Manu VIII 132 134 and Yaj I 362 363 eight trasarennas ( notes in sunbeam ) are equal to likṣā 3 likṣās = one rajasarsapa 3 rajasarsapas = one gaurasarsapa, 6 guarasarsapas = one mudding java, three javas = one kṛṣṇala, five kṛṣṇalas = one masa and 16 masas = one suvarna four suvarnas = one pala According to Yaj four or five suvarnas make one pala 4 karsas are equal to one pala and copper equal to karsa in weight is called pana or karsapana A pala therefore was equal to 64 or 80 masas and if we take a pala as equal to 80 māṣas then a karsa which was  $\frac{1}{4}$  of a pala would be equal to 20 masas and this is what Kat says in the first half of 493 In the latter half of 493 Kāt. seems to follow another nomenclature Haradatta on Gautama XII 19 ( १०० मासं नष्टि ) quotes a verse of Usanas मासो विंशतिरसु नैव क्षणिकस्य किं ककुपिर्वा तु चतुष्वसि मासस्यैव शतं तैह ( According to Bhaskarācārya's Līlāvati ( verse two ) twenty varātakas are equal to one kakini and 4 kakinis equal to one pana

494 The latter two halves are almost the same as Br p. 317 v 15 and both 493 and 494 almost the same as Nārada ( text appendix p. 229 verses 58 60 ) Dr Fran Nath devotes one chapter ( III ) to these terms in his Economic Condition in ancient India and traces dhānaka to the Persian Dānaq

495 The words tīrta and anuśīṣta occur in Manu IX 235, where it is laid down that what is tīrta and anuśīṣta should not be overturned by the king and reviewed but should be upheld Kāt. explains these words in his own way Kulluka explains them

by the sabbhyas themselves as true though it be really untrue, it is said to be *śāstra*, that matter is said to be *anusāsa* which is declared (as true) on the testimony of witnesses

496 If a party be not satisfied with the decision (of his claim) even though given by the family and other tribunals, the king should reconsider that decision and should upset what was wrongly decided.

*(Discourse on interest in recovery of debts)*

497 (A money lender) should never hand over a loan to (dependent) women to slaves, and to minors. The lender cannot recover back that wealth which he gives to these

498 That rate of interest which the debtor promised in addition (to the rate allowed by *śāstra*) and which was promised in times of difficulty must always be given, though it is of the *kārita* kind, but interest of the *kārita* kind incurred in other circumstances should never be paid.

as 'decided according to the rules of *śāstra* and taken so far as to recover the fine from the defeated party' respectively. The verse of Manu even as interpreted by Kullaka forbids review of judgment on the ground that a litigation was fought out by women &c. or forbids a review solely at the king's pleasure. But where the sabbhyas are clearly wrong or where witnesses have borne false testimony, review was allowed. Narada also uses the same words (Nar p. 23 v. 55) and allowed a party to make an application for review if he agreed to pay double the fine. Vide Yaj II 306 and verses 294-295 above.

496 Vide Yaj II 305 for a similar provision. Verse 82 above sets out various grades of tribunals.

498 Interest is either *lita* (agreed upon between the debtor and creditor) and *akṛta* (not agreed). The first is of six kinds *asthira*, *anasthira*, *asthira*, *asthira*, *asthira*, and *asthira*. Vide Dr p. 231 v. 5 II. Yaj II 37 laid down that interest was to be 1/80th of the principal per month or 2, 3, 4 or 5 percent per month according to the order of castes. If more was promised by the debtor himself than this he had to pay it. Vide Yaj II 38. Manu VIII 153 mentions the first four out of the above six and so does Nar (p. 66 v. 103-104). Gautama XII 31-32 mentions six kinds (five being the same as above and the 6th being called *asthira*) but refers to both *bhogalabha* and *adbbhoga*.

499 Where the debtor has to pay interest to the very end and where he pays it every time ( i e every day ) that is declared to be *śikhavṛddhi* ( hair interest )

500 That is declared to be *bhogaśubha* (interest by enjoyment) when (the lender) derives pleasure from (a mortgaged) house (by residing in it) or the crop from (a mortgaged field)

501 Where the complete enjoyment of the thing pledged (or mortgaged) is agreed to be the interest, that transaction of money-lending so entered into is said to be *adhibhoga*

( *Interest in transactions where there is no agreement as to interest* )

502-504 When a person takes a loan ( of money or an article ) and goes to another country without returning it that loan begins to acquire interest after a year ( from the date of the loan ) If a person after taking a loan ( from a person ) goes to another country without returning it even when pressed ( by the lender to return it ) that loan begins to acquire interest after three months ( from demand ) Where a borrower does not return ( a loan ) even though he be in the country and even when he is pressed ( to return the loan ), ( the king ) should make him pay interest from that day ( i e the day of demand ) though it was not agreed upon and though he be unwilling to pay interest\*

499 We must read च शीघ्र The verse as it stands makes no sense Haradatta on Gautama XII 33 and Saras p 203 add one verse from Rat which was omitted through oversight शिखर इव नित्य शिखरवृद्धिरिति । यदेतत् तद्वत्तु शिखरवृद्धिरिति श्रुतिः । ( it constantly grows like the top knot on the head it ceases by the head being cut off ) i e by the principal being paid therefore this is called hair interest Vide Br p 3'1 vv 7 8

500 This explains *adhibhoga* in Gautama XII 33

502-504 Verse 502 applies where the lender makes no request for return, 503 where he makes a request for the return of the loan 504 where a man is in the country and does not return the loan though pressed Compare with 50. VI VI 4 Verse 504 is referred to in *śāstraśāstra* Skanda ca 31 I am told at pp 361 362 Pāṇini (IV 4 21) declares *yācishā*

505 What is lent through friendship (or affection) does not bear interest as long as it is not demanded back. If it be not returned even though it is demanded back, it bears interest at (the rate of) five per cent (per month)

506 A deposit balance of interest purchase and sale, these, if not paid when demand is made therefor, bear interest at five percent (per month)

507 When a man after purchasing some goods, goes to a different country without paying the price, the price begins to earn interest after three seasons (i.e. 6 months)

508 There is no interest at any time on hides (or armour) crops, wine gambling debts the price of commodities sold the bride price of women and debts incurred as surety

(The rate of interest)

509 Money lent at interest may always be recovered by the creditor up to double (of what was lent). If he cannot recover double (of what was lent) he should again add further interest

---

503 Compare Nār p 68 v 108 31 Bom 354 at p 361 refers to this verse and it is said (at p 364) that it was an incident annexed to every contract of debt by the Hindu Law that interest though not stipulated for should run on it in the event of non payment after demand from the date of such demand. Neither the Interest Act XXIII of 1839 nor the Contract Act affects that rule of Hindu Law

506 Purchase and sale --if a chattel is purchased and the purchase money is not paid even though demanded this verse applies and the interest runs from the date of demand

507 The Vir explains that this applies where there is no demand made for the money

508 There can be no interest in these cases unless it is expressly stipulated for. As to prices of commodities there is no interest only when the purchaser does not leave the country and there is no demand. In the case of a deposit also there is no interest as long as it is kept intact and is not demanded back. Compare Nār p 33 v 36

510-512 The interest stands at double in the case of jewels, pearls, corals, gold and silver, fruits, silken cloth, woollens. In the case of all oils, liquors and ghee, the (maximum recoverable with) interest should be known as eight-fold and also in the case of raw sugar and salt. (The maximum recoverable with interest) is fivefold in the case of all metals other than gold and silver and eight-fold in the case of land. As the text says 'at once' it (the maximum) should be paid at once.

*(Recovery of debts—the rule when there are several debts)*

513 Where several debts are executed in writing on the same day (the king) should treat them all as equal, so far as the security, its protection and enjoyment are concerned, in other cases (i.e. where the debts are not of the same day) they should be paid in order (of dates).

510-512 Verse 510 says that the rule of *damdapat* applies in these cases. Read 'phala' for 'phala' in 510. The sages are not agreed as to the rate of interest on various articles and so Nārada observes that there are several local usages (Nār. p. 57 v 105). Compare Gautama XII 28 and 33, Manu VIII 151, Var. II 44-47, Yāj. II 39, Vā. VI 11-17 for varying rates. All are agreed as to gold or money that the interest recoverable at one time in a lump cannot exceed the principal, which is called the rule of *damdapat*. There are numerous cases explaining the limit of the rule of *damdapat*. Vide I L.R. 1 Bom 73 (damdapat not applicable to interest recoverable in execution of a decree) I L.R. 3 Bom 131 (rule applies only to Hindu debtors), I L.R. 20 Bom 721 F.B. (rule of *damdapat* does not apply to mortgages with possession where the terms agreed upon necessitate the existence of an account current) 11 Bom L.R. 551 (p. 555 summarises three propositions), 35 Bom 199 (the Transfer of Property Act does not take away the protection of the rule of *damdapat*), 21 Bom. L.R. 410 (this rule does not prevent an agreement between creditor and debtor to capitalise interest at a stage when the interest does not exceed the principal). Under the Deccan Agriculturists Relief Act (XII of 1879) the benefit of the rule of *damdapat* is given even to non-Hindu debtors who are agriculturists.

513 If all debts borrowed on same date can be recovered from the debtor, they should be treated equally, but if all cannot be fully recovered, then each should be recovered from the property of the debtor *pro rata*.

505 What is lent through friendship (or affection) does not bear interest as long as it is not demanded back. If it be not returned even though it is demanded back, it bears interest at (the rate of) five per cent (per month).

506 A deposit, balance of interest, purchase and sale, these, if not paid when demand is made therefor, bear interest at five percent (per month).

507 When a man after purchasing some goods, goes to a different country without paying the price, the price begins to earn interest after three seasons (i.e. 6 months).

508 There is no interest at any time on hides (or armour), crops, wine, gambling debts, the price of commodities sold, the bride price of women and debts incurred as surety.

(The rate of interest)

509 Money lent at interest may always be recovered by the creditor up to double (of what was lent). If he cannot recover double (of what was lent) he should again add further interest.

---

503 Compare Nar p 63 v 108. 31 Rom 354 at p 361 refers to this verse and it is said (at p 364) that it was an incident annexed to every contract of debt by the Hindu Law that interest though not stipulated for should run on it in the event of non payment after demand from the date of such demand. Neither the Interest Act XXIII of 1839 nor the Contract Act affects that rule of Hindu Law.

506 Purchase and sale -if a chattel is purchased and the purchase money is not paid even though demanded, this verse applies and the interest runs from the date of demand.

507 The Vir explains that this applies where there is no demand made for the money.

508 There can be no interest in these cases unless it is expressly stipulated for. As to prices of commodities there is no interest only when the purchaser does not leave the country and there is no demand. In the case of a deposit also there is no interest as long as it is kept intact and is not demanded back. Compare Nar p 33 v 36.



517 If ( a debtor ) were to pledge the same thing to two persons what would be the proper beginning or course of conduct ( as to recovery of the debts ) ? ( The answer is ) the prior one of the two ( transactions of pledge ) should be accepted ( as enforceable ) and the person who made the two pledges would be liable to the fine imposed on a thief

518 When a mortgage sale and gift are made by means of a document and by means of witnesses, the transaction effected by a document would be superior, being opposed to only one mode of proof ( viz oral evidence )

519 When there are two writings about the same transaction one not specifying the thing and the other specifying the thing, Kātyayana declared that the transaction in writing which gives particulars ( of the thing pledged &c ) is superior ( to the other )

520 That would be *anirdṛṣṭa* ( not specified or ascertained ) where a man pledges a thing which did not at first ( 1 c

517 Vide Yaj II 23 for the proposition that in pledges, gifts and sales the prior transaction is preferred to a later one. Compare V 1 181 182 ( which prescribe drastic punishments for such mortgages of land ) and Br p 325 v 34

518 This verse applies to a simple pledge or mortgage (*gopyādhi*) where there is no enjoyment. In such cases the transaction which is effected by writing is superior to one effected in the presence of witnesses only. But if a transaction be effected before witnesses and is accompanied with possession then there being two modes of proof in its favour ( oral evidence and possession ) that transaction would be superior to one effected by writing but without possession. Vide V 1 V 184. Compare sec 48 of the Indian Registration Act which confers priority on registered documents against oral agreements unless the latter are accompanied or followed by delivery of possession. Verses 517-518 show that hypothecation without delivery of possession was known to Kāt

519 This applies where there are two documents of pledge or other transaction concerning the same thing. The next verse explains what is meant by *vidṛṣṭa* and *anirdṛṣṭa*.

520 We have to take 'yo vidyamānam as equal to 'yah, *avidyamānam*. 'assembled the sky - this means that it was vague or intangl

514 But when there are several debts, whatever is incurred first should be paid first, but a debt owed to a king ( or katriya ) should be paid after one owed to a brāhmana

515 Where a creditor establishes that a particular article was manufactured ( by the debtor ) with the money ( or materials ) of the particular creditor, the debtor should give that money ( recovered by sale of the article ) to that creditor alone and not otherwise

( *Pledge* )

516 That is said to be *bhogyadhā* ( a pledge to be enjoyed ) if ( a debtor ) having taken a loan, gives ( to the creditor ) something whether moveable or immovable, which is capable of being enjoyed, for the purpose of meeting the interest ( The debtor ) after repaying the principal in addition ( to the income enjoyed by the creditor ) would get back his field and the like ( that was hypothecated )

514 Compare Yāj II 41 The general rule is that as regards debts the first in time prevails over the rest But exceptions were recognised on the ground of the caste of the creditor Vide Sec 48 of the Transfer of Property Act and sec. 56 of Bombay Land Revenue Code ( which makes state revenue a paramount charge )

515. This states an exception to the rule that among several debts the earlier in time prevails over later ones

516 Nār ( p 73 vv 124-125 ) divides a pledge into two, viz, one that must be redeemed within a certain time ( *kṛtakalopaneya* ) and the other which is to be retained till discharge of debt and again divides a pledge into two varieties *gopya* ( to be retained only ) and *bhogyā* ( to be enjoyed ) Br ( p 422 v 17 ) also divides a pledge into moveable or immovable, *gopya* or *bhogyā*. The Saras ( p 233 ) notes texts of Vyāsa and Bhāradvāja and says that a *bhogyadhā* is of two kinds *sapratyagabhogyadhā* ( where the stipulation is that the profit from the thing pledged is to be applied towards payment of interest and reduction of the principal ) and *apratyagabhogyadhā* ( i e where the stipulation is that the income is to be taken in lieu of interest only ; Verse 516 speaks of *sapratyagabhogyadhā* Vide Mit. on Yāj II 64 for the same distinction between two varieties of *bhogyā* " " " the technical terms are not used

525 ( The creditor or pledgee ) who would make the pledge work unwillingly and without the consent ( of the pledgor ) should be made to pay the ( price of the ) fruits of labour ( to the pledgor ) or he would not get his interest

526 He ( the pledgee ) who harasses and rebukes the pledge while working ( for him ) with words punishment or movements, would have to undergo the first amercement

527 Where ( the pledgee ) forcibly and against the will of the pledgor adds to the pledge what is not pledged, he ( the pledgee ) would be liable to the first amercement and the pledgor would get back his pledge.

528 When a creditor enjoys a pledge from his debtor under a vitiated document there the king should make ( the creditor ) pay a fine and should destroy the deed of pledge

529 Where the pledgor does not exist ( i e is dead or unheard of ) the creditor should proclaim the pledge ( to the king ) Then the pledge being proclaimed to the king should be sold by him this is the fixed rule The creditor after receiving his money together with interest should hand over the rest ( of the proceeds of sale ) to the king

525 This refers to female slaves pledged. Compare Manu VIII 144 and 150 Kaut (tr p- 227 text p 170 अनित्योपयोग्यं मूल्यं च साक्षी न च दद्यात्) Yā II 59

526 This suggests that when the slave refused to work the pledgee would not be liable to fine if he rebuked the slave pledged

527 The Saras explains that this verse applies to *gopyādā*

529 The Par M Saras and Vir explain that this verse applies where the debtor leaves no relatives If there are relatives it is proper that they should get the balance of the sale proceeds Compare Br p 325 vv 29-30 Vide sec 176 of the Indian Contract Act.

at the time of the pledge ) exist and the exact description of which could not ( therefore ) be specified and which ( hence ) resembled the sky That should be indicated as *nirdiṣṭa* ( specified in detail ) which exists at the time ( of the pledge &c ) as ( exclusively ) his ( of the pledgor &c )

521 Where a person having first declared ( pledge ) of all his property afterwards effects a pledge by specifically naming ( the thing pledged ) how cannot the transaction marked ( by specifying the name ) be more powerful ( than the one which is effected in general terms ) ?

522 When the field or house is marked out by boundaries and the village and other ( details ) are written ( in the document of pledge ), then it becomes perfect ( or valid )

523 If any thing that is pledged is destroyed through the power of fate or the king, in such a case the debtor should be made to pay to the creditor the debt together with the interest

524 ( If the thing pledged ) were to fall ( i.e. deteriorate ) or were to be destroyed without any fault of the creditor ( the pledgee ) the debtor should be made to give another thing ( of equal value ) as a pledge and he could not be free from the debt

---

able like the sky not being in existence at the time of the pledge or sale and not being described as to its details What is in existence at the time of pledge and its nature can be specified is *nirdiṣṭa*. Compare sections 79-82 of the Indian Contract Act as to contract for the sale of goods that are not ascertained at the time of the contract

521 This applies to a case where though the thing exists at the time of both transactions the first is a general pledge of all that belongs to a man and the second is that of a specific thing

522 It is better to read *ṛg* for *ṛj* with the *सर्वसंपत्तिम्*. Compare sections 21 and 92 of the Indian Registration Act

523 'Power of fate' such as fire flood &c 'power of king' where the king or his officers forcibly seize the thing pledged without any fault of the pledgee Vide Yāj II 59 Br p 23 v 21 and Gautama XII 39 for similar propositions. Compare sec 152 of the Indian Contract Act

524 *Nipātata* would also mean 'were to be lost' and *mṛtyā* would mean 'were to die' (with reference to cattle or other animals that were pledged) Compare Yāj II 80

525 ( The creditor or pledgee ) who would make the pledge work unwillingly and without the consent ( of the pledgor ) should be made to pay the ( price of the ) fruits of labour ( to the pledgor ) or he would not get his interest

526 He ( the pledgee ) who harasses and rebukes the pledge while working ( for him ) with words, punishment or movements, would have to undergo the first amercement

527 Where ( the pledgee ) forcibly and against the will of the pledgor adds to the pledge what is not pledged, he ( the pledgee ) would be liable to the first amercement and the pledgor would get back his pledge

528 When a creditor enjoys a pledge from his debtor under a vitiated document, there the king should make ( the creditor ) pay a fine and should destroy the deed of pledge

529 Where the pledgor does not exist ( i e is dead or unheard of ), the creditor should proclaim the pledge ( to the king ) Then the pledge being proclaimed to the king should be sold by him, this is the fixed rule The creditor after receiving his money together with interest should hand over the rest (of the proceeds of sale) to the king

525 This refers to female slaves pledged. Compare *Manu* VIII 144 and 150, *Hant.* (tr. p. 227, text p. 179 अनिसुश्रितभोजनं मृतवस्तु मांजितं दण्ड्यं च दण्ड्यम्), *Ys.* II 59.

526 This suggests that when the slave refused to work the pledgee would not be liable to fine, if he rebuked the slave pledged.

527 The *Saras* explains that this verse applies to *soyaddha*.

529 The *Par M* *Saras* and *Vir* explain that this verse applies where the debtor leaves no relatives. If there are relatives it is proper that they should get the balance of the sale proceeds. Compare *Br.* p. 325 vv. 29-30. *Vide* sec. 176 of the Indian Contract Act.

## ( Rules about sureties )

530 One should cause a surety to be given for repayment ( of debt ) for appearance, in litigation, for honesty, for (taking) oaths In this way in other cases ( surety should be given ) according to circumstances

531 If the surety for the appearance ( of a person ) cannot produce him at the time and place ( agreed upon ), he should in that case pay the debt due to the creditor ( lit what he has bound himself ) except where ( the debtor is prevented from appearing ) by act of God or the king

532 Three fortnights at the most should be allowed for finding out the absconding (person -debtor), if he (the surety) can produce him ( in that time ) the surety would be free ( from liability )

533 When the time ( given for producing the absconding person ) has expired if the surety cannot produce the

530 Suretyship was known from ancient times *Prāṇi* ( II 3 39 ) knew it and Gautama ( XII 39 ) speaks of *pratiśṭhāpaka* [ suretyship debt/Manu ( 8 160 ) speaks of surety for appearance and for repayment of debt Nar p 70 v 118 speaks of three kinds of sureties *Yā* ( II 53 ) speaks of three kinds of sureties, viz for appearance honesty and repayment ( the same verse in *Viṇṇa* VI 41 ) and in II 10 refers to a fourth kind viz surety in litigation ( i e one who will carry out the decretal order passed against a party ) When oaths or ordeals were to be undergone at a distant date surety was taken from the party Br ( p 327 vs 33 40 ) speaks of four ( *dāra* and *pratyāgā dāra* and *raśtrāgoparṇa* ) The distinction between the last two is that the *raśtrāgoparṇa* undertakes to repay the debt with interest from his own pocket while the last undertakes to make available to the creditor the property ( he so furniture etc ) of the debtor *Hirita* speaks of five the fifth being surety for keeping the peace ( *abhyāga* ) The Sm O explains that the surety in case of a *gopyādhī* was called *adhīpālā* and in case of *bhogyādhī* was called *bhūṇāpaka* and that both were included herein

532 Vide Br p 32 v 42 who says that in accordance with the distance of the country ( where the debtor is supposed to be in hiding ) a fortnight a month or a month and half should be given *Ekā* mentions only the maximum period that should be allowed

person, he ( the surety ) should be made to pay what is due ( from the debtor ) and the same rule is laid down in case of the death ( of the debtor )

534. Where a person becomes a surety for the appearance of a man after receiving a pledge from him, the son of the surety should be made to pay the money from that pledge in the absence of the father ( : o in case of his death or his having gone abroad )

535. When a man stands surety for the appearance of another he should pay from his own wealth the debt to the creditor, if he does not produce the debtor ( at the proper time and place )

536. The first two ( viz surety for appearance and honesty ) should be made to pay the money that may be declared to be due at the time ( when the debtor should have paid ) in case of failure ( in appearance or honesty on the part of the debtor ) but the latter two and in their absence their sons also ( are

534. The Mit on YSj II 34 explains that this applies to the surety for honesty also. In *Narayan v Venkatasacharya* 5 Bom. L. R. 434 it is said that under the Mit a grandson is not bound to pay the suretyship debt of his grandfather unless the latter received some consideration for it. In *I L.R. 10 Patna p. 91* it was held that if the father stood surety for honesty the son was not bound to pay that debt. Vide also 4 Pat. L. J. 300

535. This is Manu V III 159

536. This is the same as Br p 327 v 41. This verse obviously refers to the four kinds of sureties mentioned by Brihaspati. Vide note on § 30. The latter two — mean the surety for dīna ( repayment of debt ) and for *pradāyaṣṭṛapa* ( delivery of the goods of the debtor to the creditor ). The son of the surety for appearance and honesty is not liable to pay his father's suretyship debt, but the sons of the other two kinds of sureties are liable to pay ( but not the grandson ). In *Takaramba v Gangaram* 1 L. R. 23 Bom. 434 at p. 459 the texts of Yājñ., Br. and Kāt. are examined and it is held that ancestral property in the hands of sons is liable for the suretyship debts of the father when the father was a surety for payment of money or for delivery of goods. vide *I L.R. 28 Mad. 377* ( suretyship debt of father for payment held binding on ancestral property in the hands of sons but not where he was surety for keeping the peace ) 27 Cal. 843

liable to pay ) if the debtor fails in his promise

537 When ( several sureties ) have incurred joint and several liability the son should pay the whole debt if the father has gone abroad, but if the father be dead, then the son need pay only the share for which his father would have been liable and not the debt claimable from others (the co sureties). This is what Brhaspati says

538 Whoever out of several ( sureties ) that have incurred joint and several liability is found present at the place (of the agreement ) should be made to pay (the whole debt) , if ( a surety ) be gone abroad, then his son should be made to pay the whole, but if (a surety) be dead, then the son should be made to pay only the share of his father

539 If one who having stood surety gives back the debt as a surety being harassed ( by the creditor ) he is an

( where it was held that suretyship debt of the *dāna* type must be paid by son though no actual loan had been advanced to the principal ) 26 All 611 Vide notes on vv 555 556 and 561

537 *Yā;* II 55 says that if there are several sureties they should pay the creditor according to their shares. But if the liability is joint and several, then the creditor may proceed at his will against any one for the whole. Vide *Mit* p 71 v 120. This verse says that when there is joint and several liability the son of a surety who has gone abroad may have to pay the whole debt if the creditor demands it from him but if the father be dead then the son has to pay what would fall to his father's share ( if the debt were distributed among all the sureties ) *Ekacchāyā* *śrūti* is a technical expression and is explained by the *Mit* as *ekasya adhamarṇasya chāyā sādṛśyam tām śrutiḥ* i e those who agree to hold the same position as the principal debtor (who has to pay the whole debt if demanded). The *Mit* and Sm. C. say that when the son pays the whole debt due from his deceased father as surety he need not pay interest while the *Vir* holds the opposite view

538 The *Vir* notes that *Kāt* as read by Sm C was what is verse 537. This verse is practically the same as 537 and the two are probably two versions of the same verse of *Kāt*

539 Vide *Bṛ* p 313 v 44 for the same verse. This applies only if the surety pays under pressure from the creditor. If he pays out of greed for demanding double of what he has to pay he would not be entitled to double nor would he be entitled to double if the original debtor compensates



entitled to receive ( from the original debtor ) twice the amount ( paid to the creditor ) after the lapse of three fortnights

540 Whatever is rightly paid for another person by one ( the surety ) to whom a demand is addressed ( by the creditor ) and ( whose liability ) is proved by witnesses, the surety is entitled to get that ( from the original debtor )

541 When there is a failure ( to perform a contract ) even though an earnest had been given by one party, then ( the king ) should make the other party ( who is in default ) pay double ( of earnest ) The purpose of taking an earnest is to make the party suffer the loss of it when he does not abide ( by his agreement )

(Who should pay the debt contracted by the father and others ?)

542-543 A debt incurred by (the head of the family) when unable to maintain the family or when suffering from a disease and for the purpose of ( meeting ) a calamity, which ( debt ) is known as ' *āpatkṛta* ' ( incurred in distress ), debt incurred for ( expenses ) of a daughter's marriage and what is incurred

the surety within three fortnights Vide YEJ II 56 and Nār p 71 v 121 both of whom do not expressly impose the condition of the lapse of three fortnights Compare sec 145 of the Indian Contract Act

541 This verse is rather obscure Pāṇini ( VI 3 70 *Kāre satyākṛta dāya* ) notices the word *satyāhṛta* which is explained by the commentators as *āpatbhakaragāṃ YEJ* ( II 61 ) has the half verse *Satyāhṛta kṛtāḥ dravyaḥ dvigūṇaḥ prat pādīyēt* which is explained in two ways by the Mīl One meaning is that if one mortgages or pledges with the express stipulation that when the principal rises to double he would pay double the money but would not lose the property mortgaged or pledged then the creditor is only entitled to double the principal, but not to the property Another meaning is that when A gives some article such as a ring to B by way of earnest in a contract of sale &c ( here *satyāhṛta* means a thing or money given by way of earnest ) If the contract goes off through the default of A who gives the earnest, he loses the earnest but if the contract goes off through the default of B to whom the earnest is given the defaulter has to pay double the earnest or double the price thereof

542-543 Manu VIII 166 and YEJ II 45 restrict the liability of the family to debts incurred for the purpose of the family Compare Viṣṇu VI, 34 Nār p. 45 v 13.

for (meeting) funeral expenses—all these debts incurred by the head of the family must be paid by the family

544 That the father need not pay the debt incurred by the son is the rule of law, but (the father) must pay that debt (of his son) which he promised (to pay) or which was (incurred by the son) with his consent

545 A debt incurred for the (purposes of the) family by the slaves, the wife, the mother, the pupil or the son (of the head of the family) even without his consent when he is gone abroad should be paid (by the head of the family) This is (the view of) Bhṛgu.

546 A woman should pay a debt incurred by her along with her husband or son or incurred by herself alone, but need not pay debts incurred by them otherwise.

547 A wife who was addressed by her husband when about to die 'you should pay my debts' should be made to pay even though she does not accept (or consent to the direction) if she has wealth in her possession

544 Here as the Sm. C says the words 'putra' and 'pitṛ' stand for any member of the family and for head or manager of the family' (father grand father eldest brother uncle &c.) The debt referred to in this verse is one not incurred for the purposes of the family, but is an individual debt and dharmatah implies that the father may through affection pay his son a individual debt but is not bound to do so

545 Compare Manu 8.166 Yṣj II 45 Nār p 45 v 12 and Br p 329 v 50 This verse is quoted in *Varasidhaya v Appaswami* 1 Mad H C R p 345 349 n (when a husband married a second wife and the first wife left him, it was held that the first wife had no implied authority to borrow money for her support)

546 Compare Nār p 46 v 16 Sm C explains that if she has not joined them in debt she need not pay even on their death Compare Yṣj II 46 and 49 In *Narotam v Nanku* I L R 6 Bom 473 it was held that a married woman who contracted a debt jointly with her husband was liable to the extent of her *stridhana* only and not personally Vide I L R 1 Bom. 121 124 where this verse is cited The Mit on Yṣj II 49 says that the purpose of the last clause is to indicate that a woman need not pay a debt incurred by her husband for liquor or in gambling even if she joins with him in incurring it or accepts liability for it

548. A debt incurred by the father, if he is afflicted with disease or has gone abroad, shall be paid by the sons after the twentieth year, even when the father is living

549 (The king) should make the sons pay such debts (of their fathers) even though they be living, if they are afflicted with disease, are mad or old or have gone on a long journey.

550. Sons must pay the debt of their father when it is proved (by evidence) even when the father is near (or living), if the father is blind from birth, a *paṭita* (guilty of grave sins), mad or suffering from tuberculosis, leprosy (and other incurable diseases)

551. Since fathers are released from wretched indebtedness by sons when born on account of the latter's paying off (the debt), therefore fathers desire (to have) sons

552 (A son need) never pay (the debt of his father) when the father is dead, if he (the son) has not attained

548 The Sm C explains that the son had to pay his father's debt when twenty years elapsed after his going abroad. Compare Viṣṇu VI 27 and Nār p 46 v 14. Vide I, L. R 41 Mad 136 at p 149 and I. L. R 42 Mad 711 (F B) at p 730 where this verse of Kat. is referred to. Viṣṇu makes it clear that the son had to pay his father's debt when the latter became a *śaṅgastu*.

549 According to the V R, p 51, v 549 is an exception to v 548. It says that if the father's disease be incurable or if it is certain that the father would not return from his journey then the son was not to be allowed to wait twenty years, but was bound to pay at once.

551 Compare Nār p 42 v 5. Vide verse 591 below for the result of non payment of debt. It is therefore that debt is said in this verse to be 'adhama' (that is, what reduces a man to a low state). When born—it is not meant that a son the moment he is born is called upon to pay off his father's debt, that liability is incurred by him only when he has reached the age of discretion. Even the Taittiriya Saṁhita (III 3 8 1-2) refers to 'kṛṣṇam-apratīṭham' (debt unpaid) and expresses an urgent desire to be free from it.

552 A person was a minor till the age of 16, vide Nār p 51 v, 35 and Kāṭilya (text p 154 and tr p 198) 'women when twelve

years of discretion. But when the proper time ( to pay the debt ) comes he must pay according to the law otherwise his forefathers may remain in hell

553 If ( a son ) has not reached ( years of ) discretion he, though independent is not liable for the debt ( of the father ) ( Real ) independence is understood to belong to one who is senior and seniority is due to the ( attainment of ) certain qualities and age

554 That debt of the grand father which is seen ( or ascertained ) to be due or a part of which remains to be paid must be paid ( by the grandson ) but a debt which is tainted or which was repudiated by the father need never be paid ( by the son &c )

555 That debt which descended hereditarily from the grandfather which was ascertained by the father ( i.e. the son of the grandfather ) as due, which is free from taint and which was not repaid by the sons ( of the grandfather ) should be paid by the grandsons. ( This is the view of ) Bhrgu

556 When the debt of the grandfather is not repaid by his sons because they were afflicted with disease such a debt of the grandfather should be paid by the grandson, but only the principal ( should be paid )

years old attain majority and men when sixteen ( द्वादशवर्षा श्री मन्वन्वहारा मयति षोडशवर्षा पुमान् ).

553 Independent because of the death of the father This is the same as Nār p 50 v 31

554 Tainted a debt tainted when it is incurred for liquor lust, gambling when it is a fine or a toll &c Vide Gautama VII 38, Manu VIII 150 Var 18 31 Kaṭ p 189 Ya; II 47 and Mit. thereon for an enumeration of debts declared to be so tainted that the son need not pay them

556 Such a debt means a debt not tainted as laid down in the texts cited in the note to v 554 Vide notes on 560-561 Compare Br p 328, v 49

557 (The king) should make the son pay (the debt of his father) if he (the son) be free from diseases capable of taking the estate (of his father) and is able to shoulder the debt but (he) should not make the son pay otherwise

558 What is owed by the father must always be paid to the creditor in his absence by the sons or grandsons from his property

559 While the father's debt remains (unpaid) the son shall not take the wealth (of the father) that wealth should be made over to the creditor when (the father) is dead and the son takes the wealth (without paying the debt) he should be made to pay (by the king)

560 In the absence (i.e. death) of the son the grand son should sedulously pay the debt, the fourth (in descent) should not pay (the debt of the ancestor) it (the liability to pay the debt of the ancestor) ceases in his case

557 Capable of taking is not liable to be excluded from inheritance for causes mentioned in Manu IX. 201 and Yāj. II. 140 able to shoulder —of age and able to pay back the debt

558 'In his absence —on his death &c

560 Compare Nar p 43 v 4 Dr Jolly has a long note on this passage and on p 44 he makes this remark The doctrine viz that the liability to pay debts contracted by an ancestor extends to the great grandson is opposed to the teaching of such an eminent authority as Viṣṇusvāra, who maintains in the Mitākṣara that the great grandson is not liable for debts contracted by his great-grand father and conversely that he does not inherit his property He makes similar remarks in his Tagore Law Lectures (on Partition &c 1885) p 171 but in the law of debt also the liability to pay debts contracted by an ancestor stops with the grandson There is every reason to suppose that in the law of inheritance also, the exclusion of the great grandson from the narrower community of heirs by Viṣṇusvāra and Viśvesvāra is intentional and not accidental The learned author has here fallen into a double error The Mit has nowhere said that the great-grandson is excluded from inheritance The Smṛti texts on the payment of debts by descendants present an apparent conflict For example vv 556 and 558 (as also Yāj II

50) make no distinction between sons and grandsons as to liability but v 556 and Br p 328 v 49 say that the son must pay the debt with interest, while the grandson need pay only the principal. The first canon of interpretation is that a conflict between texts is to be avoided and they are to be construed as one coherent whole (this is called the *ekavakyananyaya*, vide Jaimini II 1). This can be accomplished by assigning to each text its appropriate sphere (i.e. by following the principle of *visaya-vyavastha*). Therefore an examination of the several texts and the propositions deducible therefrom must be undertaken here. The first proposition is that the debt of a man must be paid by his three descendants (son grandson and great-grandson) if they have ancestral estate in their hands. This follows from the rule of Yaj II 51 that whoever takes the *vikāṣa* (ancestral estate) has to pay the debt and from the verses of Katyajana (855-856) that three descendants of a man form a coparcenary. The Mit. on Yaj II 51 distinctly says that 'the great grandson and the like of him who is without son or grandson should be made to pay the debt if they take the *vikāṣa* (ancestral estate) but not otherwise' (पुत्रहीनस्य विविधेन इत्यतस्मिन् पुत्रपौत्रहोत्रादयः प्रपौत्रा अप्येव विवस्वगृह्णन्ति तदा कथं दाप्या नान्यथैवेवमथम् ॥ मिता ). Dr Jolly somehow failed to notice this remark in the Mit. and made the wrong assertion quoted above. This proposition is followed by modern decisions in Br. Ind. India. Vide 19 All 26 (P.B.) and 4 Patna 478 where grandson taking assets was held liable to pay grandfather's debt with interest while 53 I.A. p. 304 = 49 All 518 (P.O.) which is the latest case on the point decides that the great-grandson (who has taken assets) is liable to pay the debt of the great-grandfather with interest just as a son is liable. This rule is embodied in vv 555-558. But if sons and other descendants took no ancestral estate it was felt even in ancient India that it was a great hardship that all three descendants should be made to pay the debt of the ancestor with interest. Therefore the second proposition that was enunciated by ancient and medieval Hindu lawyers was that even when no ancestral assets were taken the son should pay his father's debt with interest, the grandson should pay his grandfather's debt but without interest and the great-grandson was not liable to pay even the principal. This proposition is embodied in Br. (p. 3-8 v 49) and in Kāt. v 556. The Mit. on Yaj II 50 quotes the verse of Br. and remarks अथ न पुत्रपौत्रेक्षणं तेषामित्यविशिष्टात् तेषां पुत्रस्य दत्ता मिता मृद्विति ददाति तयोश्च कथं दद्यात् । पौत्रेण तु मृतं मूलमेव दातुं न शक्तिरिति विरोधेवगन्तव्यः । कथमासीत् मृतस्य तद्वत्तस्य इति विदुष्यति

वचनम् । इत्युक्तस्य अपेक्षयापह्नितवचनम् । Dr Jolly probably relies on this passage of the Mit but he failed to grasp the implication of the word 'aghrishidhanasya' (if he has not taken ancestral wealth). In *Narasimharao v. Krishnasao* 2 Bom HCR p 64 it was held (probably following Brhaspati p 328 v 49) that the grandson was liable to pay the debt of his grandfather without interest independently of assets. In order to remove the great hardship on heirs (taking no ancestral estate) caused by this decision Bombay Act VII of 1856 (the Hindu Heirs Relief Act) was passed whereby it is provided that a son or grandson is not liable to be sued for the debts of his deceased ancestor merely by reason of the being such son or grandson and that the son or grandson or other heir of the deceased shall be liable only to the extent of the assets that come to his hands. Judicial decisions have laid down that the same is the law in other parts of British India. In I L R 19 All p 26 at p 29 both verses of Kat about the grandson's liability (to pay with interest and also without interest) are referred to and in I L R 4 Patna 478 at p 482 the judges express their inability to understand what Brhaspati meant when he declared that the grandson should pay grandfather's debt without interest and follow Yaj and Visnu and refuse to follow Brhaspati. The *Vivramitrodaya* (p 34) very tersely but clearly puts forward the two propositions 'पुत्रस्य विवशग्रहणं यदप्यस्य भवति क्रमेण दत्तम् । पुत्राणां च पौत्रेण विवशग्रहणं भोग्यं देवम् । अग्रहणं मूलमपि । अपेक्षेण तु विवशग्रहणं मूलमपि न दत्तम् ।' A third proposition (which is an exception to the first two) is that even a son is not liable to pay the debts of his father incurred for liquor gambling or arising from lust, suretyship or a fine or toll or a promise made without consideration or whatever debt is not 'vyavaharika' (vide Gant XII 38, Manu VIII 153, Vas XVI 31 Kant p 189 and *Usana* as quoted in Mit on Yaj II 47). Other writers restricted the non liability of the son for suretyship debts to cases where the father stood surety for appearance or honesty. Vide notes on vv 530 and 531 above. In *Dry Aaron Pao v. Manjula Prasad* I L R 51 I A 129 (= 46 All 95) the Privy Council laid down five propositions as to the liability for debts contracted by managers and fathers of joint Hindu families viz (1) The manager of joint undivided estate cannot alienate or burden it except for purposes of necessity (2) if the manager or be the father and the other members be his sons he may, so long as it is not for an immoral purpose pay the estate open to be taken in execution upon a decree

50) make no distinction between sons and grandsons as to liability, but v 556 and Br p 328 v 43 say that the son must pay the debt with interest, while the grandson need pay only the principal. The first canon of interpretation is that a conflict between texts is to be avoided and they are to be construed as one coherent whole (this is called the 'ekavākyatānyaya' vide Jaimini II 1). This can be accomplished by assigning to each text its appropriate sphere (i.e. by following the principle of *vyaya-vyavasthā*). Therefore an examination of the several texts and the propositions deducible therefrom must be undertaken here. The first proposition is that the debt of a man must be paid by his three descendants (son, grandson and great-grandson) if they have ancestral estate in their hands. This follows from the rule of Yaj II 51 that whoever takes the *ratna* (ancestral estate) has to pay the debt and from the verses of Katyayana ( 555-556 ) that three descendants of a man form a coparcenership. The Mit. on Yaj II 51 distinctly says that the great-grandson and the like of him who is without son or grandson should be made to pay the debt if they take the *ratna* (ancestral estate) but not otherwise' (पुत्रहानस्तद्विधेयं मृत्युददि पुत्रहीनहानस्तद्वैमादये यदि तिष्ठन्मृष्यति तदा कथं दद्यात् नान्यथेवेत्ययम् ॥ मिता ) Dr Jolly somehow failed to notice this remark in the Mit. and made the wrong assertion quoted above. This proposition is followed by modern decisions in British India. Vide. 19 All. 26 ( F B ) and 4 Patna 478 where grandson taking assets was held liable to pay grandfather's debt with interest while 53 I A p 204= 48 All 318 ( P C ) which is the latest case on the point decides that the great-grandson (who has taken assets) is liable to pay the debt of the great-grandfather with interest just as a son is liable. This rule is embodied in vv 555, 558. But if sons and other descendants took no ancestral estate, it was felt even in ancient India that it was a great hardship that all three descendants should be made to pay the debt of the ancestor with interest. Therefore the second proposition that was enunciated by ancient and medieval Hindu lawyers was that even when no ancestral assets were taken the son should pay his father's debt with interest, the grandson should pay his grandfather's debt but without interest and the great-grandson was not liable to pay even the principal. This proposition is embodied in Br ( p 328 v 49 ) and in Kat. v 556. The Mit. on Yaj II 50 quotes the verse of Br and remarks अयं न पुत्रपौत्रिकस्य दयमित्यनिश्चेत्प्राक्तं त्वयापि पुत्रेण यथा पिता मृद्विदं ददाति तथैव कथं ददम् । वेनेण तु मम मृद्विदं ददात्यं न मृद्विति विदोषोऽवबन्धः । कथमात्मीयं मयं तद्वत्तस्य त्विति वृद्धपति-



561. The debt (of the grandfather) arising from suretyship need never be paid by the grandson, even the son

for the payment of his personal debt; (3) if the father purports to burden the estate by a mortgage, it would not bind the estate unless it is made for discharging an antecedent debt, (4) 'antecedent' means 'antecedent in fact as well as in time' i.e. it must be truly independent of and not part of the transaction impeached by the son, (5) this result is not affected by the question whether the father is alive or dead. It will be observed that the Privy Council makes (in propositions 2 and 3) a distinction between a pure money debt of the father and a debt of the father secured by a mortgage. The ancient Hindu Law books afford no warrant for this distinction. Further the Privy Council in *Saraswathi Keshava v. Shree Prasad* 6 I. A. 83 at p. 106 (= I. L. R. 5 Cal. 148, 171) for the first time used the words 'antecedent debt' when saying that the whole ancestral estate including the son's interest would be liable 'where the conveyance was executed by the father in consideration of an antecedent debt or in order to raise money to pay off an antecedent debt'. Those words for which there is nothing corresponding in the ancient texts became the subject of elaborate arguments and discussions throughout India as if they were the data of the ancient sages themselves. According to the Privy Council (vide proposition 5) the son's liability to pay his father's debts is as absolute during the father's lifetime as after his death. This goes far beyond the spirit of ancient Hindu Law which made the son liable after the father's death or during his life only if he had gone abroad for many years or was afflicted with incurable disease or was extremely old (vide vv. 548-550).

If verse 560 means the same thing as Nar. p. 42 v. 4 and B. p. 328 v. 49, then the meaning is that the great-grandson need not pay even the principal, if he has taken no ancestral estate. Here if the father be A, the son B, the grandson C, and the great-grandson D, then A, B, and C are the three persons liable for A's debts (though C is liable only for the principal if he has taken no estate) and the fourth D (counting from A, the first person liable) is not liable. It is in this way that Kat. vv. 555, 556 on the one hand and 556 and 560 on the other are to be taken as referring to different sets of circumstances (viz., taking the ancestral estate in the first two and not taking it in the last two).

need pay only the principal ( of the suretyship ) debt of his father.

562 The debt must be paid by him who takes the estate, if there be none such, then by him who takes the wife, in the absence of such a person ( taking the wife ) by the sons and then by others in order ( of heirship ) who take the estate

563 As long as the son does not get his father's wealth when it exists, so long he though well-off, should not be made to pay as a debtor ( the debt of his father )

562-563 Compare Gautama XII, 37, Yāj II 51, Nar p 48 v 23 and Br p 329 v 52 Vide verse 577 below. Vide my notes to V M pp 340-345 for detailed explanation of Yāj II 51 which is practically the same as this verse This verse settles the order of persons liable to pay the debts of a deceased person First comes he who takes his wealth ( this may include a son or grandson or great grandson who takes the estate ) If the deceased left no estate then he who took his widow had to pay the debt of the deceased This does not mean that widow remarriage was approved of by the sages Manu ( V 162 ) rather condemns it. But remarriages took place by custom and sometimes a widow may be kept by a man as a mistress In such a case the second husband or lover was liable to pay the debts of the first husband That this was apprehended to be the law even in modern times is clear from the fact that section 4 of the Hindu Heirs Relief Act ( Bombay Act VII of 1886 ) expressly provides no person who has married a Hindu widow shall merely by reason of such marriage, be liable for any of the debts of any prior deceased husband of such widow If there were no estate and also no widow the son was liable to pay the debts ( even though he took no estate ) A man might disavow an estate and a son who was incompetent to inherit owing to some physical or mental defect In such a case a distant relative might take the estate ( and not the son ) and that relative would be liable to pay the debts ( and not the disqualified son ) Verse 563 makes it clear that even if a disqualified son has ample self-acquired property he would not be liable to pay his father's debts if the father died leaving an estate which never came to the son but was taken by somebody else

564 What was promised whether in writing or without writing must be paid, but ( what was promised ) to the wife of another should be known as a debt due to lust.

565 Where ( the father ) after having caused through anger ( physical ) injury to another or having destroyed the latter's wealth, promises something that pacifies him ( the person wronged ), that is declared to be a debt incurred through ( the influence of ) anger

566. If a gift was promised by a man for a religious purpose whether when in good health or when afflicted with disease, the son should be made to pay it, if the father ( the promisor ) dies without ( actually ) giving it over ; there is no doubt on this

567 ( The debt contracted by ) liquor-sellers and the like, who have no wealth and no issue, shall be paid by him who enjoys their wives

564 Yāj II 47 says that a son was not bound to pay the debt incurred for lust by the father. Kāt. here explains what is meant by ' kāmakṛta (incurred for lust)

565 Among the debts which the son was not liable to pay Bhaspati (Br p 328 v 51) included promises made under the influence of love or wrath. Kāt. here explains the latter

566 Read 'dāvatam for bhāvatam. Vide verse 642 also. The only cases where an incomplete gift not actually made but remaining only in promise was enforced by the courts in ancient India are those in vv 566 and 642. This verse contains the germs of the idea of a will since the mere declaration of the intention of a man to give for religious purposes is here made enforceable after his death. Apararka p 782 says that the word 'son' is only illustrative and every heir taking the estate of the promisor would be liable to make good the promise to make a religious gift. In modern times a mere gift for dharma without specifying any particular object is declared to be void for uncertainty. Vide 6 Bom 24 14 Bom 482 17 Bom 351, 18 Bom 136 23 Bom. 725 735 ( P C = 261 A 71 ) But this is opposed as pointed out in 30 Mad 34 to the spirit of ancient Hindu law. Vide Manu IV 227 for dharma meaning vr and gr gifts.

567 Mandlik translates (tr of Mayukha p 114) 'sukha' as 'drunkard' but this is wrong, since it means 'one who distils or sells liquor or wine'

568 The debts contracted by the wives of liquor distillers, hunters washermen, herdsmen, and sailors shall be paid by their husbands ( lit protectors ) since they are incurred by them for the purposes of their husbands

569-570 The debts contracted by wives shall in no way involve ( bind ) the husbands, except when they are contracted in distress ( of the family ) For the manifold activities of human beings are ( made ) for the sake of the family But the wives of washermen, hunters, herdsmen distillers of liquors ( are exempt from this rule ) The income of the husbands ( in the case of these women ) depends on them ( their wives ) and the family also is maintained by them

571 If a woman possessed of considerable ( stridhana ) wealth repairs to another man against the wishes of her son the son should take away from her the ( stridhana ) wealth in the absence of daughters ( i e if there are no daughters )

572 One should bring into the world ( i e produce ) progeny for the purpose of ( paying off ) debts and not merely for the sake of ( sensual ) pleasure Therefore the parents should not be made to pay ( the debts of their progeny ) when contracted for an improper purpose

---

568 The V R ( p 30 ) reads *nāpita* (barber) for *navika* and ascribes the verse to *Bṛhaspati* ( vide Br p 329 v 53 ) I read *rajaka* for ' *javaka* Compare Yaj II 48 Nār p 47 v 10 and Vis s VI 37 V 1. and Vis explain that the verse is not restricted to women of these castes only but applies to women of all castes like oil pressers where the livelihood of the husband depends upon the labours of their wives. This verse is not meant to apply only to debts incurred by such women for purposes of the family but to all debts incurred by them

569-570 It is probable that these verses are not *Kaṭyayana's* but *Nārada's* Verse 570 contains the same principle that is embodied in v 568 These are the same as Nār p 47 vv 18-19

572 *Tantu* means *spatya* ( progeny )

573 If a woman who has a son forsakes her son, though quite able ( to bear the burden of the family ), then depriving her of her stridhana wealth the son should pay off his father's debt (with it). ( This is the view of ) Manu

574 If a woman possessed of considerable wealth has a minor son and she repairs to another husband, then the person to whom she resorts should pay the debt ( of her husband ) This is declared to be the rule about a woman having a minor son

575 ( The debts ) of those who have gone on a distant journey who are without relatives, who are idiots or mad, who are afflicted ( with incurable diseases ) and who wear peculiar sect marks ( like the Bauddha ascetics ) should be paid by those who have taken their wives and wealth, even when they ( the former ) are living

576 Where the son ( of a man ) is overwhelmed by calamities or where he is found to be a minor, then ( the man's debt ) should be paid by him who takes the wealth, in the absence of such a person, he who takes the wife ( of the deceased debtor ) should be made to pay the debt

573 If the woman forsakes her son and repairs to another ( blinded by love ) the son should deprive her of her stridhana and should pay off his father's debt Though quite able —The same result follows if the son be not able ( i.e. if he be a minor etc )

574. Compare Nâr p 47 v 21. If we read uttîsram for 'bhar' utram as Sm C and Saras do then the meaning is if a woman having a minor son and much wealth resorts to another man as a protector ( such as her brother or maternal uncle ) then the latter should pay ( i.e. make her pay ) the debt of the husband

575. For Hoga vide v 349 above

576 This applies where, though the son is capable of succeeding he is a minor or is suffering from diseases then in such a case the man who keeps his father's wealth with him ( such as an uncle ) should pay the debt of his father This verse only says that such a son is not under a liability to pay and does not lay down the order in which persons are liable to pay debts

577 The taker of (a man's) wealth shall first pay (his debts), after him the son (should be made to pay), when there is no son or when the son is extremely poor, the taker of the wife (shall pay the debt)

578 The husband should pay a debt contracted by his wife and the son should pay a debt contracted by his mother, if it is contracted for the sake of the husband (by the wife or the mother) when he (the husband or the son) goes abroad after telling her

579 (A father) must pay that debt contracted by his son which was approved of (after it was incurred) or which was not dissented from when it was incurred and when the father heard of it and which was incurred at the order (or pressure) of the father

*(Discourse on the recovery of debts from the debtor by means of imprisonment and the like)*

580 The debtor may be held in restraint (by the creditor) openly before an assembly of people according to the

577 Vide v 562 above Compare Nār p 48 v 23 There is an apparent conflict between this verse and v 562 (and YS; II 47) But there is really no conflict This verse applies to a particular case First the taker of assets should pay a man's debts if there are no assets then the son who is much more wealthy than the taker of the wife (of the deceased) should then pay the debt if the son is not wealthy and no assets are left then the taker of the wife should pay when there are no assets nor taker of the wife then even an indigent son should pay

578 It is better to read bhaktiśyātthe for bhaktiśyāthe the meaning is that when a wife or mother is compelled to incur a debt for the bare maintenance of herself because the husband or son went to a distant country the husband or son was liable to pay it After telling her—the same result would follow if he went away without telling her where he was going If we read avidhāya it would mean without providing for her maintenance

579 Vide 544 above Compare Nār p 43 v 11

580 Vide vv 580-586 There are five modes of recovering a debt viz *dharma vyavahara chala acaria* and *tele* Vide notes on v 477 These five modes are mentioned by Manu I III 49 Nār p 71 v 122 Visnu VI 18 Br p 329 v 54 Br (p 330 vv 55 56) defines four of these (except vyavahara) Even Āpastamba (1 6, 19 1) refers to the mode of sitting at the door of the debtor (what is called 'dharma') or the *acaria* of Manu. Verse 477 refers to

custom of the country so long as he does not pay what he owes.

581 Where the man held restrained has an inclination for making water or voiding faeces he should be followed behind ( by the creditor ) or he should be allowed ( to go alone for that purpose ) in fetters.

582 He ( the debtor ) if a surety be furnished should be released every day at the time of taking meals and at night, while the surety remains in custody

583 584 That debtor who cannot secure a surety for appearance or who will not accept ( the proposal to be let off on furnishing ) surety ( though one is available ) should be confined in jail or should be placed in the presence of guards. A respectable man who is trustworthy and pure (in conduct) should not be confined in jail. He should be let off without a surety or after he ng bound by an oath

585 The creditor should recover ( the debt ) from his debtor when he is first shown to be so by imprison ng him or by putt ng pressure on him ( by fasting at his door ) by mak ng him work for him by judicial proceedings and by moral persuasion

de dāra ( custom of the country ) The Sm C explains that th s verse exemplifies dāśāra and that the cred tor may h mself restr n the debtor (in one country) or employ a person for h re ( accord ng to the custom of another country ) V R says that this is an illustration of the 'vyavahāra mode of recovering a debt

581 The Sm C says that fetters are to be put on a very bad debtor only. The V M reads nibandham vā and explains that he should be allowed to go on furnishing a surety for appearance

582 The last quarter may also mean as Sm C and Vir say the surety having undertaken to prevent h m from abscond ng

584 An baddha may mean without fetters ( v d s v 581 above ) or without guards ( as the Sm C and Vir explain )

585 Pīṭaka stands for bandhana or the mode of bala, aparādha for acarta. If we read vibhāṭam it would mean a debt that is shown to have been incurred. Compare Manu VIII, 50, Yāj II 40 and Nār p. 72 v 123

586 In the same way ( a creditor ) may recover his money by a pretext or by ' ścarita ' ( the customary mode of sitting at the door or fasting &c ) He should make ksatriya, vaiśya and śudra debtors of the same caste as his own or of a lower caste ( than his own ) pay off ( the debt ) by working for him

587-588. ( These are practically the same as 477-478 )

589 Where a creditor harasses a debtor who claims investigation in a court he would lose his claim and would incur a fine equal ( to the claim )

590 If ( the creditor ) were to make the debtor do for him dirty work which was not at first indicated ( to the debtor when he was called upon to work ) the creditor would have to undergo the first amercement and the debtor would be released from the debt

591 He who having taken a debt or the like does not pay it back to the creditor ( or owner ) is born in the ( latter's ) house as a slave or a servant ( for wages ) a wife or a beast

586 Vide v 480 for a similar verse Compare Manu VIII 177, Yaj II 43 and Br p. 330 v 59 who further provides that a debtor of a higher caste than the creditor should not be made to work for the creditor but should be made to pay by instalments according to his ability

587-588 Verse 477 read राजा तु स्वामिन and here the reading is ' राजान स्वामिन विप्र ' which would mean ' he ( the king ) should make a ksatriya or a brahmana debtor pay to the creditor &c.

589 Harasses —this refers to ' bala ' and the other coercive measures ( except *vyavahara* ) to which a creditor was allowed to resort if the whole claim of the creditor was admitted ' Nyāya-vadi ' literally means ' one who says that he would pay what would be found by the judge to be justly due ' Where there was a dispute about the amount of the debt due the creditor's only remedy was a law suit Compare Br p 331 vv 63 65

590 Compare vv 479 and 586 which lay down that a debt may be liquidated by doing work for the creditor

591 Debt and the like —The same holds good in the case of an article borrowed or a deposit Vide v 551 and compare Nār p 44 v 8



## ( Deposit )

592 An article that is sold ( but is still in the hands of the vendor ), what is deposited with a man when one is going on a distant journey, a pledge, bailment to one for delivery to another, a loan ( of ornaments etc for temporary use ), what is handed over to a man as a trader ( for sale as an agent )— all these are declared to be *upanidhi*.

593 Whatever is deposited with a person should be preserved by him with efforts, the loss of the article arising otherwise than by Fate ( act of God ) or the king is proclaimed as due to him ( to his neglect )

594 He, by whose fault anything ( that is deposited with him ) is destroyed or lost, should be made to pay the thing together with interest, except in the case of fate or king ( act of God or king )

595 If the thing bailed is lost even by act of Fate or

---

592 The words *upanidhi nyasa* and *niksepa* are very similar in meaning but they were differentiated by writers on law. '*Niksepa*' is a deposit entrusted to a man in his presence after counting before him the coins &c, '*upanidhi*' is the deposit of articles enclosed in a sealed box or envelope ( the articles not being counted in the presence of the depositor ), a '*nyasa*' is a deposit not made in the presence of the depositor but handed over to persons in his house for being given into his custody. These three words, particularly '*nyasa*' and '*niksepa*' are often used as synonyms. Vide *Vir* p 361 ( for all the three ) and *Mit* on *Yāj* II 67 for *nyasa* and *niksepa*. *Yāj* II 65 defines *upanidhi* as defined by the *Mit*. *Nār* p 120 v 1 defines *niksepa* and p 121 v 5 defines '*upanidhi*'. *Br* p 332 vv 2-3 define *nyasa* ( as including both *nyasa* and *niksepa* ) and *upanidhi*. *Manu* VII 185 employs the terms *niksepa* and *upanidhi*. *Kaut* p 177 has a chapter on '*upanidhi*' and extends the rules about it to *niksepa*. *Kat* makes '*upanidhi*' a generic term for all bailment. For the definition of '*anvāhita*' or '*anvādhi*' vide v 611. Where A makes a deposit with B and B hands it over to C for being delivered to A this is '*anvāhita*'. *Kat*. means that all the rules about the preservation and return of these various kinds of bailments are the same. Vide *Yāj* II 67 *Nār*, p 123 v 14, *Br* p 334 v 15.

594 Compare *Gautama* VII 39, *Nār* p 123 v 9, *Br* p 333 vv 10-11. '*Fault*' means negligence &c. Compare sections 151-152 of the Indian Contract Act ( of 1872 ) for similar provisions as to the care required of the bailee.

595 Compare *Nār* p 121 v 7 which prescribes punishment for

king after it is demanded back, the bailee should be made to pay the price of it only ( to the bailor ), there is no doubt about this.

596-597 Whoever uses up ( lit eats up ) the property of another which was with him as a *nyāsa* ( deposit ) or the like, or who takes no care about it or who allows it to be destroyed through ignorance shall be made to return it ( or its price ). What he used up he should be made to return with interest, he should be made to pay the equivalent ( only, without interest ) of what he neglected, he should be made to pay a little less ( than the price of ) of what he allowed to be destroyed through ignorance

598 Where a thing deposited is destroyed even without the act of Fate or king together with the goods of the bailee, the loss is declared to be that of the depositor

599 Where the depositor, even after knowing the ( probable ) loss of an article, deposits it, there the bailee is not made to pay even if the deposit is lost for any cause whatever

600 Whatever is lost through the fault of the bailee, that loss falls on the bailee, when it is lost or stolen the bailee must offer ( to the bailor ) the price ( of it )

601 The deposit ( *upanidhi* ) should be taken back ( by the depositor ) at the proper time, ( the bailee ) should avoid ( returning it ) at an improper time If he returns at an

not returning a deposit after demand *Mānu VIII 191 and Yāj II 66* do the same Compare section 161 of the Indian Contract Act

596-97 Compare *Yāj II 67, Nār p 122 v 8 and Br p 333 v 11* and see 154 of the Indian Contract Act. The *Mit* on *Yāj II 67* says that ' a little less than ' means ' minus one fourth of the price '

598 Compare *Nār p 122 v 9, Yāj II 66, Mānu VIII 189 and Br p 333 v 10*

599 Where the depositor knows that if he deposits an article with A, it is likely to be lost through the act of God or King and yet deposits it with A the latter is not liable for loss even if it occurred for any cause other than act of God or king

600 The latter half occurs in *Par M III p 290* with the reading ' *mṛte* ' and ' *āvāhet* '

601 ' Proper time ' is at the time when the cause of fear on account of which the deposit was made ceases to exist, ' improper time ' if the bailee returns before the cause for fear has ceased or before

improper time, he ( the bailee ) should be made to pay fine double ( of the value of the thing )

602 These rules are declared to apply to all kinds of upanidhis

603 If an artisan retains an article delivered beyond the definite number of days during which it was ( agreed ) to be worked up, he should be made to pay ( its price ) even if it was lost through Fate

604 The artisan shall not be made to pay ( the price ) if the article ( delivered for being worked up ) were to be lost through defects in the article itself. If what is delivered for being worked up is destroyed through the fault of the artisan, he should be made to pay ( the price )

605 If an article is destroyed after it is worked up a little only, then the loss ( of the wages for that much work ) falls on the artisan employed, but when an artisan desires to deliver an article ( to the owner ) after it is finished, the loss belongs to the ( owner ) who did not take it, if it be destroyed

606 If ( the loan of an article ) were taken for a particular purpose or for a definite period of time and a demand

the bailor demands it. Br. p. 333 v. 8 says a deposit should be returned when it is at least once asked for

602 Compare Yāj. II 67, Nar. p. 123 v. 14, Br. p. 334 v. 15

603 Compare sec. 161 of the Indian Contract Act

604 If old worn clothes were handed over to a washerman and they were torn in the process of washing because they were worn out, the washerman was not liable

605 If yarn is given to a weaver and he has only woven the border of the cloth, then if he has to weave it again, he has to do so without demanding additional wages. Similarly after the border woven is destroyed and the owner does not furnish additional yarn the wages already paid to the weaver belong to him and the owner cannot demand the wages back.

606 The V. M. takes this verse to refer to an article entrusted to an artisan, while, the Sm. C. and Vir. say that it refers to a 'galeśa'. In the middle before the purpose is fulfilled or the time fixed expires. The person has to return it after the time fixed

were made in the middle and the article is not returned ( on demand ), the person ( taking the loan ) would not be made to pay the price with interest

607 If a person ( who has taken an article on loan ) does not return it even when requested ( to do so ) after the fixed period is reached or the purpose ( of the loan ) is carried out, the borrower should offer the price ( to the owner ) if the article were destroyed or stolen

608 If ( the borrower or bailee ) does not return ( the thing borrowed or bailed ) even though a demand is made he should be made to return it with interest

609 If the owner himself ( of the article borrowed as a loan ) were ( likely ) to sustain loss ( if the article were not returned when demanded by him ), then the borrower should be made to deliver it back even though the time fixed ( for the loan ) had not expired or even when the purpose ( for which the loan was taken ) was half accomplished

610 He who having taken the loan of an article does not deliver it even on demand should be restrained and forcibly made to return it and fined if he does not return

611 That is declared to be ( a badment ) called *anavadhā* which is delivered to another with the words ' you

or the purpose is served and has not to pay interest simply because he did not return on demand, and if he does not return it then and the thing is lost he has to pay only the price. This verse is an exception to the rule in v 606. Compare sec 153-160 of the Indian Contract Act.

608. This states the general rule to which v 606 is an exception and v 607 is another

609. Compare the more stringent provision in favour of lenders contained in sec. 153 of the Contract Act

610. The lender had not to resort to the several means of persuasion &c. as in the case of a debt, he could at once resort to legal mode and if the borrower did not deliver even then the king would fine him.

611. Vide note on v 592 for an example of *anavadhā* or *anvadhā*

616. ( The purchaser ) should establish his purchase, to be overt by ( the testimony of ) his own kinsmen who are respectable. In this case no other means of proof, whether divine or human is declared ( to be proper )

617. Where the party ( the purchaser ) after putting forward ( the name of ) the vendor again relies on overt purchase he must produce the seller and no purpose is served by ( the plea of ) overt purchase

618. If the seller cannot be produced ( before the court ), ( the purchaser ) should clear his purchase ( as overt ). When he has justified his purchase ( as overt and so legal ) he should not be blamed at all by the king

619. ' ( A purchaser ) who does not produce the seller or who does not establish the purchase ( as overt ) should be made to pay to the owner the price ( of the article ) as claimed ( in the plaint ) and a fine ( to the king )

620. If the claimant of an article ( alleged to be ) lost does not establish that as his by the ( the testimony of ) his kinsmen he deserves to be punished like a thief in order to

616. No other means &c.—provided such kinsmen are available but if such kinsmen are not available then other modes of proof were allowed

617. This applies to a case where the purchaser at first says he would produce the seller and then tries to prove overt purchase. He becomes a *hīnavadī* because he changes his case and so he is made to stick to what he first put forward as his justification

618-619. Compare *Yaj. II. 170* Br p 335 v 4 Nār p 143 vv 2 and 4

620. 'Prasanga' is explained as *atiprasaṅga* by the Sm. C. Claimants must be deterred from advancing false claims and hence severe punishment is prescribed. Compare Br p. 335 v 5. The purport of all these rules is—If A sells to B an article and C comes forward as the real owner and complains that A had no ownership, C had first to prove his ownership. If he failed in this he was fined ( verse 620 ). If he proved his ownership then the purchaser B had either to prove a sale in market overt or produce the seller, if he produced the seller then he had to give up the thing to the rightful owner and claim his money from the seller. If he could

prevent the ( taking of ) undue advantage

621-623 Where a purchase has been made in the midst of a row of traders to the knowledge of the king's officers, but it is made from one whose habitation is not known or where the vendor is dead ( after the purchase ), the real owner ( of the thing thus sold by one not owner ) will recover his own chattel after paying half the price ( to the purchaser ), in such a case both ( the real owner and the purchaser ) lose a half on account of the rule of law ( in such matters ) Purchase from a man who was unknown ( or whose habitation was unknown ) is a fault and so also is being careless about preserving one's goods, both these are declared by the wise to cause loss of property

---

not produce the seller owing to distance, he had to prove overt purchase, if he did so, he would be free from blame, but he would have to deliver the article to the owner. If the purchaser could not prove even overt sale, then he had to deliver the article to the owner and to pay a fine to the king and he lost his money also. Vide Kant, p. 189 ( text ) for very similar provisions.

621-623. In the midst of a row of traders'—This means that the purchase was not made in secret ' to the knowledge of &c.'—This means that the purchase was in an open market held under the supervision of state officials, even when a purchase was made in the open market and it was proved that the article belonged to another ( and not to the vendor ), the purchaser, if unable to produce the vendor because his address was not known or because he was dead ( after the sale ) had to give up to the real owner the article on receiving half of the price. Both were equally guilty, the purchaser purchased from one whose habitation was not known and the owner was not vigilant enough to keep his property. The law aids the vigilant and not the negligent. These verses lay down the doctrine of ' caveat emptor '. These rules show that in order to be a valid and legal sale every sale had to be in open market on a market day and at a proper hour. Vide Yaj II. 168, Nar p. 145 vv. 2-3. These three verses are Br. PP. 335-336 vv. 7-9.

## ( Partnership )

624 When several persons either traders or artisans, join together or when unseparated brothers ( work together or put ancestral fun is together ) the profit obtained by these is to be enjoyed in equal shares when they separate

625 They must each of them pay without fail in accordance with the agreement ( made by them ) for merchandise ( bought for being sold ) food charges, other charges ( like tolls ) losses ( due to bad debts ), freight and supervision of valuable property

626 Of those who jointly deal in ( or lend for profit ) gold, grain or liquids and the like the gain shall be the same as their shares ( in the joint funds ) whether equal more or less

627 If one out of many partners, being approved ( i.e. authorised ) by all alone gives property or contracts a debt it will be deemed to be done by all

624 This title is so called because there is something under taken ( *samudhāra* = undertaking ) by several persons joining together ( *samudhāra* = having joined together ) In the dharma sūtras there is hardly any treatment of this topic It was therefore one of the titles of law that was developed last In the *Āitareya-brāhmaṇa* ( IX, 2 ) there is a reference to the fact that in the booty obtained in a battle the warrior was entitled to  $\frac{3}{4}$  the and the chariot (car was given  $\frac{1}{4}$  only Vide 15j II 259 and Br p 124 v 1 ( for definition ) and Br p 340 v 2<sup>7</sup> for the meaning of *ślipin* as one who works in gold, silver-thread wood stone, leather etc.

625 This is the same as Br p 124 v 4

626 This is Br p. 337 v 4 Vide Br p. 336 v 3 which says that the expenses the labour and the profit of each partner shall be according to the original share of funds contributed by him.

627 'Gives property' i.e. enters into sale transactions on behalf of the partnership. Most directors read *karanam kīrayet* ( he passes a document ), which is a good reading, and was probably changed into *grāhita*, as *karena* in the sense of document was not quite well known Compare section 21 of the Indian Contract Act ( of 1872 ) This is the same as Br p 33<sup>7</sup> v 5

628. A debt should be given to agnates other relatives and friends only after taking a pledge from them (as security) and to others (a debt should be advanced) after taking a surety and under a document and before witnesses.

629 Gold may be advanced at one's will but grain and liquids should be advanced for a period (definitely fixed at the time of advance) One should lend and recover according to the custom of the country

630 What was given (or lent) by several (partners) jointly must be recovered by them in the same way (i.e. jointly), any one alone (from among them) should not demand it (from the borrower), if he does so he loses the gain (or the interest)

631 He who saves from thieves or from war or from fire any chattel should be given a tenth part of it this is the rule in all disputes.

632 If artisans (of four grades of skill) viz. apprentices, more advanced students experts (in that craft) and teachers (are employed together in one undertaking) they

628 This verse lays down that a partner when lending out partnership money to his relatives and friends, should show more care by demanding a pledge or mortgage than when dealing with other people

629 'At one's will'—A partner may fix a period for repayment of money or gold or may not fix it at the time of making the advance Compare Br p 337 v 18

630 This is same as Br p 339 v 19

631 Compare Nār p 125 v 6 Yaj II 260 and Br p. 338 v. 10 That partner who saves partnership assets by his own single-handed efforts from loss due to some calamity gets  $\frac{1}{10}$  of the price as his special reward 'In all disputes'—this rule applies even to property not belonging to partnerships

632 Vide Manu VIII 210 and Mit on Yaj II 265 for an unequal distribution of the one hundred cows offered as 'dakṣiṇa' or the jyotiṣṭoma sacrifice among four principal priests and the three



shall receive one after another in order one two three and four shares ( of the profit of that undertaking )

633 635 That booty which is brought by a pillaging party at the command of their king from an enemy country should be divided by them according to the ( settled ) rule ( on this point ) after setting aside a tenth part for their king The head of the pillagers should get four shares from that ( booty ) the specially intrepid ( among the pillagers ) should get three shares the capable ( fighters of the party ) two each, and the rest should each get one share If any one from among them who they are scattered about for pillage is caught then they should contribute according to the 1 share towards the payment of the ransom ( that the person caught ) had to pay for securing his own release

636 This very rule has been declared by the good in the case of dancers one who keeps the time takes a half share, the singers take equal shares, the principals ( in the troupe of dancers or musicians ) are entitled to two shares each this is ( the rule ) as regards those who undertake ( any work ) jointly

637 This is the rule of decision as regards all who engage in a joint undertaking without previously defining their shares such as merchants husbandmen robbers or artisans

assistants of each of them Vide my notes to V M p 365 for complete explanation The P *svamīmāṃsā* had a special discussion on this topic ( *Jaimini* K 3 53-55 )

633 634 Compare Br p 341 *vr* 31-32 Br says that the king of the free-booters should get a sixth part of the booty ( instead of  $\frac{1}{10}$  as *hāt* does ) The *Sm C* explains that *lghaspatī* verse applies to a very powerful enemy while *hāt* refers to a weaker one while the V B explains that  $\frac{1}{2}$  or  $\frac{1}{16}$  depends upon the proximity of *otherwise* of the country

636 Br p 341 *v* 30 has the first two halves and reads ' *adhyarḍham* ( a share and half )

{ *Non-revocation or Resumption of Gift* }

638-639 Wives and sons if unwilling should not be made the subjects of sale or gift wives sons and one's entire wealth could be employed by a man himself ( for any purpose of his own ), but in times of adversity one may sell or gift away ( even one's wives and sons ), but he should not proceed to do so otherwise ( i.e. in the absence of adversity ) This is the definite conclusion of the Śāstras

640 Whatever belongs to oneself which is over and above of what is required for maintaining one's family may be the subject of gift except one's house or one's entire wealth, what is other than this cannot be given away

641 The same as 471 above

642 He, who having voluntarily promised a gift to a brāhmana does not deliver it should be made to pay it as a debt and should be awarded the lowest amercement

643 A man is born for hundreds of crores of *kalpas* in the form of lower animals if he does not deliver what he has promised or if he takes back what is donated

638-639 Verse 638 refers to cases where there is no adversity. These verses are in conflict with 471 above. The Sm O and Par M explain 471 by saying that it applies where a man has an only son while these apply where a man has several sons. Vide note on 471 above. Nār p 128 v 4 and Br p 342 v 2 and Yaj II 175 declare that son and wife cannot be given away, but the Sm O explains these also in the same way. Compare Kaut p 183 (text)

640 Compare Nār p 128 v 6 and Br p 342 vv 3-4. Sm O explains that this verse of Kāt. applies only where there is a single house and that if there are more houses than one acquired in one of the seven modes of lawful acquisition ( as laid down in Manu X, 115 ) a gift could be made of one of them

642 Note v 556 above. Gautama V 21 made an exception that if a gift be promised to one ( even a brāhmana ) who does not follow the rules of life as required by religious texts, it should not be delivered

643 For the duration of *kalpa* vide note on v 10

644 645. Where a reward is indicated ( i e promised ) for finding out what is unknown that is declared to be wages when it ( the gift promised ) is obtained by the finding out ( of the thing unknown ) That is known as a gift obtained through gratitude when it is obtained in the following manner viz. ( in return ) for protection from danger, for guarding ( the property of a minor &c ) and for effecting some desired object ( such as marriage )

646 To him who will rescue me who am in danger of life from this state, I shall give my entire wealth —even when this ( promise ) is uttered it cannot be so

647 What is promised through lust or wrath or by those who are dependent ( like servants or slaves ) by those distressed, by those who are cowards ( or are frightened ) by lunatics and by those who are infatuated or through misapprehension or joke may be taken back ( or withdrawn )

644 645 Nār p 120 v 8 and Br p 313 v 8 speak of seven and eight kinds of valid gifts respectively two of which are bhṛta and pratyupakāraṭah Kat in these two verses explains those words. Bhṛta seems to mean a reward promised for finding out a lost article or an unknown offender &c.

646 If a man promises to give all his wealth for being freed from a dangerous situation the man who saves him from that situation cannot get his whole wealth It cannot be so — It would not be the property of the man who saves it cannot be a valid gift.

647 Misapprehension — vyatyāsa s so translated It means as V R explains to give to A when B was meant to be the donee or to give an article X when Y was intended to be given The general rule as stated by Yaṅ II 176 is what is promised must be given and what is given should not be taken back Thus and the following verses give the kinds of promises that need not be kept and gifts that are invalid Compare Gaṇṇa V 22 Nār p 130 vv 9-10 Br p 313 vv 9-10 In regards gifts by distressed there was a special rule in favour of their validity as embodied in v 556 above

648-649 What is promised as a bribe ( to a man ) for accomplishing a certain object need never be given, even though that object be accomplished But if it ( the bribe,) be already paid, it should be forcibly returned (to the promisor) and a fine eleven times (as much as the bribe should be levied), this is what the followers of Garga and Manu say

650-651 That is said to be ' utkoca ' ( a bribe ) which is obtained by these, viz by giving information about a thief, about a felon about one who breaks the rules of decent conduct, about an adulterer, by pointing out those who are of bad character or by spreading false reports about a person. In these cases the person offering the bribe is not to be fined but the intermediary deserves blame

652-653 If a man who is appointed to ( do ) certain duties ( by the king ) obtains a bribe he should be made to return the whole of the money ( given as bribe ) and to pay a fine eleven times as much ( to the king ) If a person who is not appointed to do a certain duty obtains a gratification which is in the nature of return ( or reward ) out of gratitude for ( a kind ) deed he incurs no blame

654. Same as 586

648-649 ' Utkoca ' ( or utkoka in the masculine ) is defined in vv 650-651 Eleven times --Eleven times the bribe was the fine to be paid to the king by the person accepting the bribe These two verses are quoted in *Shri Sutaram v Shri Marhar* 1 L R 35 Bom 169 at p 180 where it was held that if an adoption was induced by a bribe given to a widow the bribe was an illegal payment and cannot support a sale or gift

650-651 'Giving a thief — by suppressing information about a person who is really a thief or threatening an innocent person that he would be reported a thief, 'asatya-pravartanat' may also mean by offer to procure false witnesses 'The intermediary'—The person who approaches both the giver and the taker of the bribe was fined and so was the receiver of the bribe fined But the person who offered the bribe was let off, in contrast to the modern provisions of the Indian Penal Code in sec 161 and the following sections read with sec. 109

655 What is mortgaged or sold through deceit or what is gifted or accepted through deceit or wherever (the king or judge) sees fraud all such transactions he should annul

( Non payment of wages )

656 When no wages are settled the trader the cowherd the husbandman should get a tenth part respectively of the profit of the milk and of the crops

657 He who is engaged in a work does not finish it at all should be forced (by the king) to finish it he deserves to be fined if he does not do it

658 659 A palanquin bearer causing an obstacle at the time of starting should be made to pay double the wages (settled) (A servant) should not be made to pay when a thing (entrusted to him) is plundered by thieves or is burnt or carried away by a flood

660 (The master) who abandons on the road (while on a journey) his servant when he is tired or afflicted with disease should be fined the first amercement if he does not wait for three days in the (neighbouring) village (to look after his servant)

656 This verse should have been placed under non payment of wages. The trader who sold as an agent a thing for the owner should get a tenth part of the profit made in the sale by the owner the cowherd employed to look after the cattle and to milk them is to get a tenth of the milk and the field labourer 1/10 of the crops (land seed and implements being furnished by the owner). Compare Yaj II 194 and Nar p 139 v 3

657 The Sm O says following a verse of Viddha Manu that the fine would be two hundred karsapanas. Compare Ap Dh 5 II 11 28 3 3 which provides for a field labourer or cowherd giving up his work

658 659 Palanquin bearer This is only illustrative and includes every one (like an armed escort). Obstacle simply means refusing to start at the time settled. Compare Nar p 146 v 8 and Yaj II 19

661 When the goods ( or merchandise ) are attached or carried away on the road, ( the servant ) should get as much wages as are proportionate to the distance already traversed

662. He who having hired elephants horses, bullocks asses camels and the like does not return them though his work is finished shall be made to return them together with the hire ( until the date of return )

663 He who having taken on hire a house a water (tank) market or the like does not return it to the owner (even when his work is done ) should be made to pay the hire ( till the date of return )

( *Dispute between master and herdman* )

664 When ( cattle ) enter into fields parks reserved pastures houses and cowsheds they should be seized or beaten. This is the view of Brhaspati

665 In the case of beating of the beasts of the lowest, middle and highest class, if their owner raises a dispute (the king or judge ) should there prescribe the fine.

661 'Attached — by the king's officers ( such as toll-gate keepers ), 'carried away by robbers &c This applies to a case where a lump sum is fixed as the wages for carrying certain merchandise for a certain distance

663. 'Water — means a water vessel' according to the Sm. C. and Vir. V It seems to take it as referring to water tank dug by the owner

664 Compare Āp. Dh S II. 11 28 5-6. The Sm C says that the calves should be seized and the big bullocks should be beaten

665. 'Raises a dispute —If the owner of the trespassing beasts pleads that the beating was more severe than necessary or allowed by the śāstras and proves his contention the owner of the field trespassed upon was fined according to his fault.

666 Before the crops have grown ( the owner ) should construct a high paling ( surrounding them ) Animals ( or deer ) when they have once tasted the sweet ( crops ) can be warded off only with great difficulty

667 He ( the king ) should make the ( owner of a trespassing ) cow pay a fine of  $\frac{1}{4}$  fourth pana ( the owner of ) a she-buffalo two quarters of a pana so also the fine in the case of goats sheep and calves is declared to be a fourth ( of a pana )

( *Violation of compacts* )

668-669 Members of groups while strictly adhering to their individual duties ( as laid down in the śāstras ) should perform all their actions according to the conventional rules of their various groups If royal edicts ( or commands ) that are issued are not in conflict with one's duty ( as laid down in śruti and smṛti ) one should by the king's order first perform those acts only

666 Compare Manu VIII 339 Nār p 164 vv 40-41 This verse applies to a field which is near a forest while Nār p 164 v 41 applies to a field which is near a public road

667 Pana here means karsapaṇa In the various smṛtis various fines are enumerated which apply to various sets of circumstances Vide Gautama XII 19 '3 Nār p 161 v 31 Yaj II 159 160 Manu VIII 241

668 669 Compare Yaj II 186 Br p 347 v 5 Nār p. 153 v 2 The Mit mentions among compacts made by groups in a village the rules about pastures about the distribution of water and preservation of temples and among royal edicts ( not in conflict with dharma ) such as giving food to travellers coming to a village not selling horses to persons from an enemy country Br p 348 vv 11 12 give as examples of agreements made by groups and reduced to writing the following The construction of a house of assembly a shed for giving water to travellers a temple a tank, a garden relief to helpless people, performance of sacrificial acts, a common path or defence shall be undertaken by us in proportionate shares The Smṛ says that if a king's order ( e g a field or house donated by the king should not be sold or mortgaged ) is opposed to the general rules of dharma then it must edily follow from the above verse ( 669 ) that it need not be obeyed

670 That wicked man who would not observe the rules put in vogue by the king should be censured and fined as he sets at naught the king's order

671 He ( that member of a group ) who opposes what is reasonable who gives no scope to the speaker ( when the group meets ) or who speaks what is absurd should be made to pay the first amercement

672 He who is guilty of *sāhasa* ( a heinous crime ) who causes a split ( in the group ) or who destroys the wealth belonging to the group— all these should be proclaimed to the king and destroyed (by the group), this is the view of Bhṛgu

673 He who can eat in the same vessel or in the same line with another should be fined if he refuses to do so without pointing out the fault ( in him that prevents such communality of food )

674 Whatever debt was incurred ( by the spokesmen of groups ) as for the ( purposes of ) the group but was misappropriated ( lit eaten up ) by them or was applied to their individual purposes must be paid by the members only

675 676 Those who ( subsequently ) enter into group operations and classes become equally entitled to the property and liable to the debts ( of the groups ) previously ( acquired



or incurred ) One who is inside a group is entitled to a share in the food, the partible things ( like grain ), in the charities, and religious duties ( of the group ) but one who has gone out ( of a group ) is not entitled to a share

677 Whatever is obtained by them ( i.e. by the adherents of groups ) or is saved by them or whatever debts are incurred by them for their group and whatever they obtained through the favour of the king should be equally shared by all ( members of the group )

678 A group of several inhabitants of the same city is called ' naigama ' a troop of persons bearing various kinds of weapons are declared to be vrata

679 A group of merchants and the like is declared to

677 Yaj ( II 189 190 ) says that when the principal men of a group went on the king the latter should listen to them and send them away with honours and gifts and that whatever they obtain from the king they should hand over as the property of the group This is rajaprasadalabdha The principal men of a village represented the village in a boundary dispute The additional land they may obtain belongs to the whole village This is whatever is obtained &c Compare Br p 349 ev 22 and 24

678 Narada has a verse वरा व्रतैश्च-अभिरूपद्वानवस्यसु ॥ भस्त्रैश्च सम्यक् राजा दुर्गं पश्यते तदा ( Nar p 153 v 2 ) the various terms in which have been differently interpreted by different commentators Kat explains in his own way most of these terms Yaj II 192 is a similar verse The Mit explains Naigamas as sects like the Paupatas who though not accepting all the dogmas of orthodox Brahmanism accept the Vedas ( *Naigama* ) as authoritative The Madanaratna explains ' naigama ' as merchants who form a caravan The word vrata occurs even in the Vedas and means a collection or multitude ( Rig I 163 8 VI 75 9 IX 16 " and Vaj Sam III 55 ) The Mahabhāṣya on Pāṇini V 2 21 ( vrataṇa jñāti ) explains vrata as a group of men of several castes and several occupations who make a living by relying on their tall bodies

679 The word puga occurs in the Kausthika brahmana 16 7 ( puga vai Rudra ) where Rudra is called puga Pāṇini ( V 2 59 ) strings together puga, gana and saṅgha Madhvitithi ( on Manu IV 50 ) explains pusanā as Buddhas and others who do not recognise the Vedas Mit explains it similarly p ga is explained by the Smr C as elephant and horse riders while the V 21 says that some explain it as meaning multitudes of persons of various castes whose means of subsistence are not fixed

be 'puga' and those are said to be 'pasandas' (heretics) who have forsaken the (rules of the) order of ascetics

680 The corporation of brahmanas is called *gana* and those who subsist by following some craft are called craftsmen

681 The groups of the followers of Arhat (i.e. the Jainas) and of the followers of Buddha are styled *sangha* and the companies of *cāṇḍālas* and *svapaṇas* (those who eat dog flesh) are called *gulma*

682 *Ganas* heretics *pugas* *vrātas* and *śrenis* (corporations) and all others who constitute groups are styled *vargas* (groups) This is what Bṛhaspati says

*(Repentance after purchase and sale or repentance after purchase or non delivery after selling)*

683 He, who after having purchased a thing and having brought it under his control does not receive it or he who does not deliver it as free from defect (such as being wet) should pay a tenth part of the price to the other (side) and would obtain his property

680 The Mit. on Yaj. II 19<sup>a</sup> explains *gana* as 'an assembly of persons armed who subsist by following one avocation'. *Gana* occurs in the Vedas (Rgveda I 14 3 I 61 12 and Tai. San. V 4 77 speaks of the Maruts as formed into *gana*)

681 *Sangha* is well known in Buddhist works. Buddha, Dharmā and *Sangha* these constitute their great trinity. Kant p 12 speaks of *sangha* of *Vṛonis* perishing through their attempt at a *neti Dṛa paya* a

68<sup>a</sup> *Śreni* occurs in Rg I 163 10 (where it is said that the harness they work in groups). The Mit. explains that a *śreni* is a guild or corporation that follows the same trade or craft. Compare Gautama VI 21 where it is said that husbandmen, merchants, herdsmen, money lenders and craftsmen are authority as to the usages of each *varga* (group). Vide v 349 above which is similar

683 This applies to one who having purchased a thing repents of it and so does not accept delivery or one who having sold a thing does not deliver it. Compare Śār p 149 1 and j 116 1 1

684 When the time of employing a thing for the purpose ( for which it was purchased or sold ) has not arrived then ( the king ) should not make ( the defaulting party ) pay ( the tenth part of price ) though receipt ( of the thing purchased ) or delivery ( of the thing sold ) is not made. This is the rule up till the 10th day, beyond that there is no ( recognition in law of repentance )

685 In the case of land ( repentance is allowed ) to the seller up to the tenth day, the same is the time for the buyer, twelve days are allowed to *śraddhas* ( for repentance in the case of lands ), lesser time than this ( is allowed ) in other cases

686. If after purchasing ( an article ) the purchaser repents and does not receive the article such as milch cattle though it has no defect at the time ( of repentance ) he should offer a tenth part of the price ( to the seller )

687 If the purchaser after purchasing an article, begins to repent when it has been delivered to him, then a wise man after giving a sixth part of the price should give up the thing purchased

688 When a cow or bullock is purchased for milk or carrying burdens and the purchaser refuses to receive the cow or bullock before that time arrives or the seller refuses to deliver he had not to pay even the 10th part of the price. This is a special case. *Compara Manu VIII, 222-223* for the period of ten days for repentance. *Nār* (p. 150 vv 2 and 3) allows a shorter period for repentance. The *Par M* explains that the shorter periods apply to things that are lost or deteriorate by use even for a short time

689 *V II* explains 'at the time' as 'at the time of paying the price', while the *Sm C* explains as above. According to *V II* this verse applies where a thing was purchased without examination

690 *V II* says that the former verse applies where the thing purchased has not yet come into the custody of the purchaser. The *Vir* says that this verse ( viz payment of  $\frac{1}{10}$  ) applies where the thing purchased is such as perishes by use

688 If what was purchased without being properly understood ( by examination ) is later on proved to have defects, that purchased article may be returned to the owner within the time ( prescribed for examination ) but not otherwise

689 Where ( a seller ) having shown an article free from defects ( as the one to be sold ) delivers one that is full of defects, he should be made to pay double the price ( to the buyer ) and an equal fine as punishment ( to the king )

690 If an article were to be burnt or carried away ( by thieves ) the loss falls on the seller only, when having sold it he does not deliver it

691 And where the purchaser does not accept the article purchased by him when it is being delivered to him, then the seller, if he sells it to another, would not be guilty of any fault

692 What has been sold by one intoxicated or insane or for inadequate price or through fear or by one who is not his own master or by an idiot should be relinquished ( by the purchaser ) it still belongs to him ( the seller )

693-694 One ( the purchaser ) should examine mule animals for three days, a beast of burden for five days and the examination of pearls, diamonds and corals may be for seven days Half a month ( may be allowed ) in the case of male bipeds

688 An article though full of defects cannot be returned after the time prescribed for examination. This embodies the doctrine of *carrot may for*. Vide vs 621 623 above

689 Compare Br p 350 v 1

690 Compare Yaj II 256 Nar p. 148 6

691 Compare Yaj II 255 Nar p 148 v 3 Yaj provides that the loss on resale falls on the defaulting buyer for which compare sec. 107 of the Indian Contract Act.

692 The last quarter may be construed that man ( the purchaser ) will have to relinquish it. Compare Br p 350 v 2

693 694. These are the same as Nar p 140 vs 6 Compare Yaj II 177 who compares in one verse the contents of these two verses. Male bipeds — means slaves. This verse applies where the thing is purchased without examination. If an article

(for examination) and twice as much in the case of females, ten days for all kinds of seeds and one day for iron and clothes

695 If some blemish in the article purchased is seen before the periods ( specified above ) expire the article should be returned to the seller and the purchaser will get back the price ( paid by him )

696 When a garment is worn out by use is in a tattered condition and soiled if it is purchased even with all these ( patent ) defects it cannot be returned to the seller

697 If a thing jointly owned ( by several ) were purchased a single wife man cannot deliver it ( to the buyer ) It should not be received nor taken nor should it be sold

698-699 Where a purchaser after purchasing a chattel for a price thinks that he has made a bad purchase he should return it to the seller the very same day without looking at it The purchaser returning it on the 2nd day should offer ( to the seller ) a thirtieth part ( of the price ) and double of this ( i.e 15th part ) on the third day After that ( the third day ) the article belongs to the buyer himself ( and cannot be returned )

chased after examination it cannot be returned Vide Nār p 150 v 4 and Br p 350 v 3

695 Vide Br p 350 v 6 for the same verse

696 This is the same as Nār p 150 v 7

697 Properly in a thing belonging to several persons jointly cannot pass by the act of one alone without the r consent.

698 Without look ng at it without stopp ng to examine it. If we read aviksaham it means ( he should return it ) without any damage to it ( and if some damage has already resulted then with compensation ) Both 698 99 are the same as Nār pp 149-150 v 2 3

700. After dividing the value of a thing into five parts, three parts are declared to be the price of it, the fourth part is the profit and the fifth is meant for truth.

701. A compromise and an exchange, if they are unequal, can be annulled for three generations and a sale by order can be annulled up till the 10th year.

702. The conclusion is that there can be no lawful sale or purchase of land without securing the approval of the kinsmen ( of the seller and buyer ) who are neighbours ( i. e. owners of neighbouring lands ) and who are respectable men.

703. In the same village a period of ten nights ( for vetoing by kinsmen the sale made by one of the kinsmen ) is prescribed; when ( land sold is ) in another village, the period is three fortnights, when in another country six months, when the language ( of the kinsmen vetoing ) is different, a year.

700. This verse applies according to Saras to trade in *śafiro*. It is somewhat obscure. If  $3/5$ ths of the value of a thing in the eyes of its owner are offered, it is an adequate price.  $1/5$  of the value really corresponds to the profit which the seller wants to make and the other  $1/5$  is what he wants for holding the purchaser to his transaction; i. e. it represents what the seller thinks should be compensation to him if the sale goes off.

701. This is cited by the Saras, as from *Vṛddha Kātyayana*. An *āyākṛmā* appears to be a purchase by order of the king of a man's land for recovery of the land revenue or other state dues. Vide v. 704 below. The Saras, quotes verses from *Bhāradvāja* and *Sumantu* to the effect that a compromise, an exchange and a partition can be resiled from for ten days even when it is fair, but up to nine years when any one of them is unfair. Thus the rule about repentance for ten days in the case of sales is applicable to other transactions also.

702. The *Māt* on *Yāj* II, 114 quotes a similar verse "स्वग्राम-वासिनामन्तदायकाद्युपनेन च । हिरण्यद्वयद्वयनि पाभिर्गण्यते वेदिनी ॥" and remarks that the consent of the villagers, kinsmen and coheirs was to be taken simply for the purpose of giving notice to them of the intended sale and of neighbours for avoiding disputes about boundaries in future. So *Kat* must be interpreted in the same sense.

703. This verse seems to lay down the periods during which kinsmen could prevent a sale by one of them becoming perfect by opposing it and withholding their consent.

704 If the man liable to pay the ( land ) tax absconds along with the surety for the tax the members of the ( royal ) audience hall should sell the land of the taxpayer for recovering the tax

705-706 What is decided upon by the neighbours gathering together who know ( the land &c and its value ) and who are afraid of sin as the price of fields gardens houses and the like and of bipeds and quadrupeds that is said to be their proper price Dividing that price into eight parts, any price ( offered by a buyer ) which exceeds by  $\frac{1}{8}$  th or is less by  $\frac{1}{8}$  th ( of the price so decided ) is to be known as im proper

707 All that ( i.e. a sale for inadequate price ) can be annulled even when a hundred years have elapsed In purchases and sales ( a thing ) should be sold for that price which the article deserves according to the rules ( of sāstra )

708-709 If when a purchase or sale is effected and when the price is less by a fourth fifth, sixth seventh or even eighth part ( of the proper price arrived at as laid down above ), all that becomes ( a transaction ) for an inadequate price and though carried out must be ( regarded ) as not done ( i.e. as invalid ) But a purchase is not at all defective when it is ( for a price ) a little less than ( the price arrived at as above )

710 But when a purchase or sale falls short as to price by that part then the transaction though carried out is declared by those who know *dharma* to be invalid

705 Supposing the proper price of a house decided upon by the kinsmen is 800 rupees then any price below 700 is inadequate and any price above 900 is improper or too high

709 A purchase for 750 when the proper price arrived at under 705 is 800 Rs is good

710 By that part i.e. by more than  $\frac{1}{8}$  th of the proper price

711. A sale of 'uktalābha' kind will be valid if it is for more than half ( the price of the thing as settled by the rule above ) provided more than ten ( years ) have elapsed ( after the period fixed in it ) An *avakraya* ( transfer for hire by a bailee ) becomes valid after enjoyment for three generations and a purchase by mutual agreement ( between the seller and buyer ) becomes valid at once

712 The purchase of an article may be rendered valid even when much less than the proper price has been paid , but ( the balance of the price ) would have to be paid with compound interest if there is no agreement ( as to the time when the balance of the price is to be paid )

( *Breach of a contract of service* )

713 That master, who would not teach ( his apprentice )

711 An 'uktalābha' seems to be the same as the mortgage by conditional sale defined by sec 58 (C) of the Transfer of Property Act The Sarva p 324 quotes the following definition 'क्रियन्ते द्रव्यमादाय वाते दास्यामि ते इति वा । नो 'अभ्युपेत्य' इत्येकं वेदादिति य इत्य । स उक्तलभ्य इत्युक्त उक्तलभ्येति धनपण्यत्वात् ॥' *Avakraya* is a transaction where by a bailee transfers to another an article for hire e.g. where a washerman to whom a garment had been given for washing gives it to another ( than the owner ) for a time in return for hire Vide Mit on Yaj II 338 ( 'Vikrayavakrayādhitānyacitesu paṇān dātā' ) for definition of *avakraya* Pāṇini ( IV 4 50 ) uses the word 'ava-kraya', but it is explained as the dues recoverable by a king from a market &c Gautama XII 39 uses 'avakṛitā' in the sense of 'what is purchased but the price of which is altogether unpaid or only partially paid' This meaning may suit in this verse If a man purchases a thing with out paying the price ownership in the thing is not perfect for three generations

712 Compound interest will be calculated for the balance, when no time has been fixed if a time has been fixed for the payment of the balance then till that time only the balance has to be paid But even with in that time if a demand is made there is compound interest calculated from the date of demand

713 *Abhyupetiya* means 'after having made an agreement, after having accepted and *asaṁkrūṣā* means not serving or waiting upon Compare Nār p 133 v 17 According to Nārada



the craft ( for learning which he is apprenticed ) and employs him for doing other work should be fined the first amercement and the apprentice should return from him

714 An apprentice although he may have (thoroughly) learnt ( the craft ) should indeed do ( at his teachers house ) the work undertaken by him The fruits of the work that he may do there ( in the teachers house ) belong to the master himself

715-716 Bhṛgu holds that ( a man ) becomes a slave as he surrenders himself when free ( to another's will ) just as the wife ( surrenders her person to the husband ) ( Members ) of three varnas can become slaves but a brāhmaṇa can never be a slave Slavery in the case of the ( three ) varnas viz kṣatriyas vaiśyas and śūdras is in their direct order and not in the inverse order when they surrender their independence

717-718 Even one of the same caste ( i.e. a brāhmaṇa ) should not make a brāhmaṇa work as a slave since the glory ( lit lustre ) of a king becomes tarnished by the slavery of a brāhmaṇa A man who is a kṣatriya vaiśya or śūdra in his duties may occasionally do the work of a slave for a man of the same caste ( as his own ) but a brāhmaṇa should never

( p 131 vv 2-3 ), there are five sorts of attendants pupils ( śāya ) apprentices ( anuvṛtan ) hired servant ( bhṛtaka ) supervising official ( adhikarmakṛt ) and slave An apprentice is one who desires to learn a craft or art ( like dancing and singing ) resides with a master with the consent of his relations having fixed the duration of his apprenticeship ( v dē Nār p 133 v 16 )

\*14 Even if a pupil masters the technique of the craft quickly he had to remain with the teacher till the period of apprenticeship agreed upon expired Compare Yāj II 184 and Nār p 134 v 19

715-716 In the direct order — a śūdra could be the slave of any master of the four castes a vaiśya of any master of the first three castes but not of a śūdra master a kṣatriya could be a slave of a brāhmaṇa or kṣatriya master but not of a vaiśya or śūdra master Slavery is here assimilated to anuloma marriage Compare Nār p 137 v 39 and Yāj II 183 ( latter half )

be made to do the work of a slave. This is the view of Bṛhaspati.

719 A brāhmana may, if he chooses, do work of an inferior kind for another brāhmana who is possessed of (high) character and Vedic learning, but even then a brāhmana should not do what is impure work.

720 Sweeping floors and urine shampooing (the master) when naked and taking charge of (or seizing) bulls and the like—these should generally be done by the issue of female slaves.

721 Where the three varnas, brāhmana and the rest become apostates from the order of ascetics, the king should banish the brāhmana from the country and should make the kṣatriya and vaiśya work as a slave.

719 A brāhmana could not be made to work as a slave even by a brāhmana, but a brāhmana may of his own will do menial work for another learned brāhmana as an act of good will (as paropakāra) and not for wages but he was not to do even for a brāhmana impure acts like sweeping the gate, privy or road, shampooing private parts &c. Narada divides occupations into śubha and aśubha which latter are to be done only by slaves. Vide Nar. pp. 131-132 vv. 6-7 for impure acts.

720 V. P. explains 'nagvatravārimardana' as 'helping to put on clothes when the master is naked.'

721 Compare Nar. p. 137 v. 35 and Yaj. II. 183 who declares that one who has fallen from the order of asceticism becomes a slave of the king till his own death. One who does not do the duties appropriate to a śaṇḍhyāsin is pratyajyāvasita according to the Mīṭ, and becomes a slave if he does not perform the proper *pratyakṣita* (penance). Dakṣa declares that he who is an apostate from asceticism should be branded with a red hot piece of iron resembling a dog's foot, and then banished. If the king is himself a vaiśya or śūdra, this verse shows that one who was a kṣatriya and became an apostate may thus become the slave of a vaiśya or śūdra (king). So far this is an exception to v. 716.

722 One may make the śūdra work as a slave whether he be purchased or not purchased, the creator himself created him for slavery.

723 When a master has sexual intercourse with his male slave and the latter then gives birth to a son, the master looking to the seed ( which was his own ) should make the female slave free from slavery together with her progeny

724 The wealth that a slave has belongs to the master ( of the slave ) But the master is not entitled to that money which ( the slave ) got by selling himself openly

725 A woman who is not a slave if she is married by a slave, becomes a slave since her husband is her lord and the husband is dependent on the master ( whose slave he is ).

722 Manu VIII 413 reads ' brahmanāya for ' svayam eva ' in this verse

723, Compare Kaut p 183 ( text स्वादिनस्तत्प्रां दास्वो ज्ञात सगादृक् मदास विप्रात् ) ' Looking to the seed — The Sm C explains this as ' looking to the fact that she conceived from him and that otherwise his own child would be a slave V R says that this applies when the master has no son For the method of freeing a slave vide Nār p 138 vv 42 43 This verse is referred to in I L R 7 Mad 407 at p 412

724 Compare for the first half Manu VIII 416 ( which is the same as Nār p 138 v 41 ) and Mahābhārata Udyogaparva 33 64 ( which is slightly different ) The reading of the Vivādaśānta manī prasāda vikrayāt ' gives the best sense meaning ' what the slave gets through the favour of his master and the price he got by selling himself do not belong to the master

725, A woman may be free and marry a slave then she becomes the slave of the same master. A woman though a slave may not be the slave of that master whose slave her husband is. If her master consents to the marriage then he loses his ownership and she becomes the slave of another But if the marriage takes place without her owner's consent, then she does not cease to be his slave and her slavery to the husband's master is only indirect,

726 729 If one would take ( i e buy ) a brāhmana woman ( as a slave ) or would sell her, the king should annul that ( transaction ) and all of them ( buyer, seller &c ) would be liable to pay a fine. He who enslaves a woman of a respectable family that took shelter with him at her pleasure or who transfers her to another as a slave should be fined and that transaction should be annulled. He who enjoys the nurse of his child or another woman who is not his slave or the wife of his servant as if she were a slave, should be fined the first amercement. He who though well off and not involved in any misfortune desires to sell a female slave who is faithful and who weeps bitterly should be fined two hundred.

730 He who being not his own master offers himself to another ( as a slave ) saying ' I am thine ', he ( the slave ) would not secure his desire and his former master would get him back.

731 One who becomes a slave because he is an apostate from the order of asceticism cannot be freed from slavery by anybody. One who was maintained during a famine is freed from slavery if he gives a pair of oxen.

726 729 For 726 compare Vignu V 151 ' Another woman who is not his slave i e who is placed under his care or who comes to him for shelter. ' Weeping bitterly i e who is unwilling to be sold to another. ' two hundred — this refers to panas. The verse implies that if the slave is not devoted to the master, no fine is incurred by selling her.

730 Not his own master ' i e being already the slave of one man would not secure his desire i e he cannot be the slave of the other man to whom he desires to go. This is the same as Nār p. 138 v 40.

731 Nār ( pp 135-136 vv 26-27 ) enumerates fifteen kinds of slaves of whom ' prayajyāvanta ( apostate &c ) and ' anukṣābhṛta ( maintained in a famine ) are two. So Kat has in view Nārada's verses. Manu VIII 415 mentions seven kinds of slaves but he does not exclude a larger number. With the first half compare Yaj II 183 and Nār p 137 v 135 and vide v 721 above. The only way in which an apostate from asceticism could gain his release would have been by saving the king's life from danger by endangering his own life. Vide Yaj II 182 and Nār p 136 v 30. The latter half of 731 is the same as Nār p 136 v 31.

( *Boundary disputes* )

732 There are six causes of land disputes, viz larger extent of share or deficiency of share, the existence or non existence ( of a share in the land ) seizing or possession when there was no possess on and boundary

733 In boundary disputes enjoyment ( i e possession ) should be relied upon (as the means of proof) and (that) depends upon witnesses A witness is of two sorts, viz one who has subscribed himself on a document and one not so

734 When a dispute between two men arises as to fields, houses ponds wells gardens and dams the neighbour who dwells on the border is the deciding evidence in all these matters

732 The Mit on Yāj II 150 explains these six — When one says that he has more than five *niartanas* of land in a particular area and another says that he has only five and not more this is a dispute as to *adhikya* ( larger extent ) if one says he has five *niartanas* of land and another says that that man is entitled to less that is a dispute about deficiency ( *nyanata* ), where a man says that his share is five *niartanas* and another says he has no share that is a dispute concerning the existence or non-existence of a share when one says that his land which was never in the enjoyment of another has recently been taken into his possession by that other and that other says his enjoyment is immemorial that is *abhogabbukta* cause of dispute Whether this is the boundary or that is the sixth cause of land dispute But in all six boundaries have directly or indirectly to be settled and therefore all may be included in the topic ' *samavivada* ' A boundary dispute may relate to one between countries villages fields and houses

733 It is better to read *aneka sāksinau* Compare Manu VIII 252 253 A witness in a boundary dispute is defined by Brāhaspati as आग्रहं च प्रमाणं च भोग कालं च नाम च । भूभागलक्षणं चैव ये विदुस्तत्र साक्षिणः ॥ quoted in *सूत्रिच* \* III p 537

734 Compare *Varastha* 16 13 *गृहप्रविर्तिषां सामन्तप्रत्यय* and Manu VIII 262

735 When there are neighbours (available as witnesses) (the king or judge) should decide (disputes about the boundaries of) fields and the like by (the evidence of) neighbours, so also about boundaries of villages towns and districts

736 A village is the 'sāmanta' in the case of (another) village, a field in the case of a field and a house in the case of a house, since these stand (as if) embracing (each other) all round

737 In the absence of them (witnesses) *samanātas*, *maulas*, *vidhas* and *uddhātas* are in order (the means of decision) in all the six kinds of disputes about immovables. This should not be doubted

738 *Sāmāntas* are those who are inseparably connected (with the village in dispute), then beyond them are those who are connected with them and then come those who verge on those who are connected with the *samanātas* — all these are like the lotus (with numerous layers of petals)

735 'Sāmanta' is derived from 'samanāta' and means 'those who stay round about' (samanāta bhava) Omit the *avagraha* after 'samanātabhave'

736 When there is a doubt about the boundaries of a village the four villages round that village in the four principal directions are the neighbours (*samanātas*) The words village field house stand for persons dwelling therein or owning them Compare Manu VIII 258

737 *Maulas* and the other two are defined in vv 742-745. The six kinds are those in v 732 'In the absence of them — in the absence of the two kinds of witnesses mentioned in verse 733. Vide Manu VIII 258 for *samanātas* in the absence of witnesses

738 The four villages immediately on the boundary of the village in dispute are called *samanātas* (or *samāsaktas*) these are *samanātas per excellentiam* the four villages beyond these four are *saśaktasakta*. The four villages even beyond the 2nd group of four are *samāsaktasaktasakta*. These latter two classes also may be called *samanātas* in a secondary sense. They resemble a lotus the inner petals being *samanātas* the next layer being the *samāsaktasaktas* and so on.

739 740 When the *sāmantas* (the first row of surrounding villages) are shown to be vitiated by defects for establishing the purpose (viz the correct boundary) the decision should be made, as the matter (of boundaries) is a very important one, by (the evidence of) those (villages or villagers) who come immediately after the (first row of) *sāmantas*. There is no doubt about this. When faults (are shown) in those who come immediately after the *sāmantas* (the first group of villages), those (i.e. the third group) who are after these (after the 2nd group) are declared (to be the persons whose evidence is decisive). But the king who knows the law should not hold those who are shown to be vitiated (as proper persons for giving evidence as to boundaries).

741 The *sāmantas* (neighbouring villagers) are not free from their duty of (helping in) decision (of a boundary dispute) by (pretending) ignorance. When they profess that they are ignorant they should be fined and (the king) should further proceed with the consideration (of the boundary dispute) when they (*sāmantas*) have deposed and there is contradiction (in their testimony) they should be fined the highest amercement.

742 Giving up neighbours (*sāmantas*) if they are vitiated (by partiality &c) the king should settle (the

739 740 Vitiated by defects i.e. they are seen to be partial owing to friendship or enmity. The *sāmantas* even though shown to be partial owing to friendship or enmity are not to be regarded as proper persons simply because they are *sāmantas* if they are partial then the next row (*śāntakṣaktas*) are to be relied upon.

741 'Further proceed i.e. he should rely on documents and on the evidence of other persons (like *manus* &c.)' Vide Vas 16 14 सुमन्त्रिणः शत्रुपक्षे. Nar p 156 v 7 prescribes the second amercement in this case and so do Manu VIII 263 and Yaj II, 53. Therefore Katyāyana's rule is applicable where the deposition is grossly false.

742 Others — there are persons other than *sāmantas*, i.e. they are citizens and other villagers &c. It is better to read 'sāntikṣya' (having considered) or *śāntvādyā* as V R. and

boundary by mixing up others with the *maulas* and the root. Those who know the law declare this to be the law (on boundary disputes)

743 These are called by the sages *maulas* who were at first *sāmantas* (of the disputed land) and afterwards migrated to another country, (being called *maulas*) because they (were) principal ones (at one time)

744 These are called *uddhṛtas*, who, whether they are actually old or not, being endowed with the (good) qualities of men saw the matter (of the boundary) when it was effected

745 They are known to be *uddhṛtas* since they being marked with the characteristics of knowing (the boundary) from others, enjoyment, recovering the taxes (of the land in dispute) popular report, help to decide the matter

746 *Sāmantas* are the first means of proof (in boundary disputes) but if their undesirability is pointed out, then those who are beyond them (are the means) provided they are

Sm C respectively do. Manu VIII 259 also says that in the absence of *sāmantas*, the king should rely on *maulas* and in the absence of these on others

743 'Maula' is derived by Kat from 'mūla' (the root). At one time being *sāmantas* they were of prime importance and hence they are called *maulas* (though they are no longer *sāmantas*)

744 'Whether they are actually old or not — Being old is not an essential requisite of the definition. They must have seen the boundary being actually laid down or described in former times

745 *Uddhṛtas* are those who know the boundary in an indirect way i.e. by hearsay &c. 'Karya' is explained as 'kara-grahana', 'akhyāna' as 'varṇā' and 'upa-ravana' as 'para-spara-prasiddhi' by Apararka

746 This verse declares that *sāmantas* or *sauśaktas* are the principal persons, if faults (due to friendship or hatred) are shown in them, then the next group (*sauśaktasaktas*) is to be taken, but



possessed of good qualities and are double in number (of the *sāmantas*), those other than these last (i.e. *sāmisaktasakta-sāmisakta*) must be three times as many (as the *sāmantas*)

747 Even a single person, if acceptable to both (parties to the dispute), may in some cases lay down the boundary as it should be, he should do so clad in red clothes with an unperturbed mind and after placing a clod of earth on his head

748 In the absence of all, (the proper boundary) is the one determined by the king himself who is free from all sorts of apprehensions

749. (This procedure should be followed in deciding boundary disputes) about large fields, wells, tanks, enclosed fields, gardens, houses, mansions, cottages, king's palaces and temples

their number must be double of the *sāmisaktas* Yaj II 153 laid down that the number of *sāmantas* must be 4, 8 or ten. So the *sāmisaktas* must be 8, 16 or 20. Compare Śāṅkha Lokhita 'गृहसवरोर्विरोधे सामन्तप्रत्यय, सामन्तविरोधे अभिलेख्यप्रत्यय, अभिलेख्यविरोधे सामन्तगृहसोधिप्रत्यय, सामन्तगृहसोधिप्रत्ययविरोधे दक्षपशुतमन्त्र राजविप्रस्वाद' (quoted in V R p 208)

747 Compare Bc p 352 v 11 Nar p 157 v 9 laid down the general rule that a single man should not fix a boundary, but in v 10 he allowed even a single man to do so. The wearing of red garments and placing earth on the head are prescribed even in the case of many by Manu VIII 266 and Yaj II 153

748 When there were no witnesses no *sāmantas* and the rest, no indications such as trees &c for settling the boundary, the king was to settle it according to his own lights. Vide Manu VIII 265, Yaj II, 153 (latter half) Nar p 157 v 11

749 Compare Manu VIII 262, Yaj II 154, Nar p 157, v 13. 'Kedara' is explained 'as a field with low embankments'

750 Of the many persons gathered together ( for settling the dispute ) if all do not give decisive testimony through fear or greed, each of them should be made to pay the highest amercement

751 In the case of ( settling a boundary dispute by ) walking over the boundary, in the ordeal by holy water, and in swearing by touching the feet ( of idols, elders or brahmanas ) ( the visitation of ) divine disfavour or royal disfavour is to be expected within three fortnights, one fortnight, a week respectively

752-3 One should not interfere with the base of the wall, a drain ( or waterspout ), a balcony, window, water-course and dwelling house ( of another ), one who obstructs these would be liable to fine These are not to be added ( to one's house ) after the first building of it ( so as to cause obstruction or annoyance to another ), one should not open a window ( so as to command a view ) in the interior of another's house or should not construct a water-course ( that will drain off rain water ) on another's house

---

750 Vide v 741 above and note thereon Compare *Mann VIII* 257 ( prescribing a fine of 200 panas for saks ) and v 263 ( which prescribes middle amercement for false samantas ), *Yā* II 153 ( who prescribes middle amercement i. e. 500 panas for samantas ), *Nār* p. 155 v 7 The *Mit* explains that the highest fine is to be awarded if they purposely give false testimony

751 The idea is that a boundary settled by the evidence of samantas &c should not be regarded as final for three fortnights, if within that period the persons settling that boundary are visited by divine or royal displeasure then it is to be inferred that they decided falsely

752-753 Compare *Bṛ* p. 354 vv 24-25 for similar provisions as to the protection of easements ' should not open a window '— this right of privacy is recognised even now by the courts as prevalent in Gujarat, Vide 2 Bom L. R. 454, 32 Bom L. R. 226

754 One should construct the mounds meant for (depositing) ordure urine and filthy water, a fire place and a pit at a distance of (at least) two cubits from the walls of other people (his neighbours)

755 That by which all men pass at all times without obstruction is called *catuspatha* (thoroughfare or road where four roads meet) and that is called *rājamārga* (king's way) by which all men can pass at certain times

756 One should not plant anything on that (thoroughfare or *rājamārga*) nor should obstruct it by (placing on it) anything. A man who does not give precedence on the way to his *guru* preceptor, the king and the like is liable to fine

757 He who puts obstruction (by keeping carts &c) thereon or makes pits or plants trees or wilfully voids excrement thereon (i.e. on the public thoroughfare) should be fined a *māsa*

754 *Aparāra* reads *cakram* for *vapram* which is explained by V R as *machane* for pressing oil. Compare Br p 354 v 28

755 Read *aniruddhā* for *aniruddho*. Compare Br p 354 v 27 who calls *catuspatha* by the name *samsarāna*

756 1a; I 34 defines *guru* as one who performs all the *sam*skāras of a man and imparts the Veda to him while an *ācārya* is one who performs only the thread ceremony and teaches the Veda. About precedence on the road elaborate rules were laid down from ancient times. Vide Āp Dh 8 II 5 II 5-9 Gautama VI 21 22 Manu II 138-139 (the precedence is to be given to one in a wheeled carriage one very old one diseased one carrying a burden woman *āṇātaka*, king bridegroom). Baud Dh 8 II 3 50 Vas 13 58-60 and Vanaparva 133 1. Most of these emphasize that a *snātaka* or a learned *brāhmaṇa* was to be given precedence over even the king and Vas 13 60 says that all should give precedence to a bride who is being taken in a procession.

757 For *māsa* vide verses 492 493 compare Manu IX 282 who prescribes a heavier fine (of two *kāṣāpanas*) in the case of one who voids ordure on the *rājamārga* but Manu IX 283 makes an exception in the case of old men diseased men pregnant women and children when they do it of *śame*, they are to be only reproved and not fined. This verse is the same as Br p 354 v 28. Compare Kaat p 145 (text) for fines for throwing dust on the public road and for throwing ordure or urine on holy places, in water etc.

758-59 He who defiles a tank, a garden and holy waters (or *ghats*) with ordure, should be made to remove the filth and should be fined the first amercement. He who soils holy and purifying *itrthas* (sacred waters or *ghats*) established (or constructed) by saintly persons should be fined the first amercement.

760-761 The fruits and flowers of those trees that grow on the boundary between two fields should be declared (by the king or judge) as joint between the owners of the two fields. But where the branches of trees growing in one man's field spread over another man's field, that man should be known as the owner (of the trees together with the branches) in whose field the trees grow (are born).

762-763 He who, without the permission of the owner, does repairs to a house garden or tank, does not get (even) his expense (from the owner) when the owner comes (from abroad) if (he made the repairs) without informing the king; but if he informs (the king) and is directed by the king (to do the repairs) he recovers the expenses made thereon (from the owner).

759 This refers to washing soiled clothes in holy water etc

760-761 If one owner alone takes all the fruits, he is liable to fine for taking half the fruits. Compare *Nâr* p. 157-158 vv. 13-14. It is possible to interpret 761 in another way. When the branches of trees growing in one man's field take root in the field of another (as in the case of banyan and similar trees) the branches that become fresh stocks belong to him in whose field the branches take root. But this construction is rather far fetched and restricted to a few special trees only. Vide 44 *Bom.* 603 about the right to cut over hanging trees and also 43 *Bom.* 164.

762-763 Compare *Yâj.* II 157 which applies a similar rule to the construction of a dike or water-course in another's land. *Nâr* (p. 152 vv. 20-21) contains the same rule as *Yâj.* about a dike.

764 ( If the owner of a field lying fallow ) cannot return through inability ( i. e. through want of means ) the expenses incurred ( by an husbandman ) for ( turning ) fallow land ( into arable land ) the actual tiller ( who makes the expenses ) would get all the produce of the field minus an eighth part, for eight years the actual tiller ( who spends money ) will enjoy the produce ( in the way ) after that ( period ) he should hand over the field to the owner

765-767 When the owner of a field is unable ( to cultivate it ) or is dead or is not heard of if a stranger cultivates the field without being prevented by anybody, that man ( the stranger ) shall enjoy the produce of the field. If the owner returns while the field is being tilled ( by a stranger ) he can get his field back after returning ( to the stranger ) all the money spent on turning the waste land ( into arable ) Till seven years have passed away ( the stranger should enjoy the produce ) with a deduction of the eighth part. But when the eighth year is finished the owner would get back his field that has been enjoyed ( for years by a stranger )

( Abuse and defamation )

768 That is said to be harshness of words ( i. e. abuse ) when one makes the sound " hum " ( before another ) coughs ( before him ) or imitates or utters ( before another ) whatever is censurable according to popular notions.

---

764 Minus an eighth part - the eighth part goes to the owner as his due for his ownership

765-767 The first two are the same as Nār pp 159 160 vv 23-24. There would be conflict between 764 and 767 if samap e is taken to mean ' is reached ' If the owner returns - this includes the son of the owner', where the owner is dead or unheard of. Nār p 160 v 26 says that a field which has not been cultivated for one year becomes half waste that which is not cultivated for three years becomes waste and that which is not cultivated for five years is no better than a forest.

768 The sound ' hum ' is a sound of defiance,

769 That (abuse) is known to be threefold since it may be either *nyāhura*, *as'āla*, or *tira*. It is known to be *nyāhura* when there is reproach (in the words used), *as'āla* is what is styled *nyāga* (indecent), the wise call that *tira* wherein there are charges (of acts) that make a man liable to expulsion from caste.

770 Those are known as *nyāhura* words when one reproaches another with the possession of constituents that are (generally) termed undesirable (or bad) whether they actually exist in him or not.

771 When a man through wrath reduces another to a humiliating position by words so far as his character, country, family and the like are concerned, that is known to be *as'āla* speech.

769 'Reproach'-one may reproach a man's country (e.g. saying to a Gauda that Gaudas are quarrelsome) caste (e.g. saying brāhmanas are very avaricious), family (e.g. members of the Vitrāmitra gotra are cruel) or one's profession or learning etc. 'Nyāga' means 'indecent'. Charging a man with drinking liquor or the like is *tira*, as drinking was a *mahipātaka* and would involve for a brāhmana expulsion from caste. This is the same as Nar. p. 207 v. 2. Vide II. p. 355 v. 2 for the three kinds and for the statement that each of the three is in order worse than the preceding. The Tāilya Mahābrāhmana (11. 6. 6) contains an example of reproach (*ākrośa* as to caste) कृत्यं मेवमङ्गलं नृपतया न त्वं मेवमङ्गलं ज्ञातुं न शक्यं इति. Vide Pāṇini VI. 3. 21 and VIII. 1. 48 for अङ्गलं.

770 Even when a man is cripple or blind to call him so to his face is abuse if a man is not blind, to call him so is also abuse. Vide Kant. p. 193 (text) and Manu VIII. 274. Compare exception one to sec. 499 of the Indian Penal Code where the mere truth of an imputation does not exonerate a man without more.

771 *Aparāka* and V. II. read 'nyāgaragāḍhanam' which means 'treating a man with utter contempt by pointing to one's lowest limbs (private parts etc.)'.

772 That speech is well known as *tivrā*, which connects a man with grave sins, which gives rise to friendship or hatred, or which charges a man (with acts that cause) loss of caste

773 That man is known to be abusive in speech (guilty of abuse) who through wrath enumerates faults in a man (who possesses the opposite virtues) or who declares a man who has no (good) qualities to be one who appreciates good qualities or who asks one man by an epithet applicable to another

774 That man is known to be guilty of abuse who recites for the sake of mere fault-finding faults in a man who (really) does not possess them or who points out the faults of one man while pretending to refer to another

775 (The king or judge) should prescribe half the fine (for him) who says what I said (in abuse) was said through ignorance, carelessness rivalry or familiarity, I shall not again say so

776-777 Where one man mentions another as *patita* (guilty of grave sin) in order that he may be avoided by others there he would not be guilty because he says so provided he establishes that sin (in the man whom he mentions as *patita*) Otherwise he would be as guilty (as the man whose faults he mentions) and if he falsely points out faults the fine (for him) is declared to be the highest

772 The great sins were five according to *Manu* XI 54 and *Yas* I 19 30 and *Ysnu* 35 Vide *Ap Dh S* I 7 21 7 11 *Gautama* XXI 1 3 *Baud Dh S* II 1 40 11 for *patanirāṇa* *Ysnu* (chapter 38) deals with acts that cause loss of caste (३३५८)

775 Compare *Kaui* p 193 *सुप्रापुनः पुरःपुनः*

776-777 May be avoided —to have intercourse with a sinful man led to being equally guilty hence if one warned another about such a man there was no offence Compare exceptions 9 and 10 to section 499 of the Indian Penal Code Otherwise i.e. if he mentions the sins of another not for the purpose of warning others against contact with him Vide v 770 above and *Nār* p. 210 v 21

778 (The king) should with great concentration (application) establish the (guilt of the man) charged with abuse, the king should with great effort consider what he is informed of as false. Cutting of the tongue is (the proper) expiation (punishment) for those who are addicted to spreading false reports (about others)

( *Assault and battery* )

779 If (the king) is not able to find out the cause of assault by means of inference and the like then he should employ witnesses or ordeals

780 That man who strikes another with a fierce weapon though he may himself have been harassed, is declared to be liable to be punished

781 For cutting off the ear the lip the nose, the foot, the eye the tongue the penis the hand the punishment is the highest amercement and for causing injury (or wounding) to these, the middle amercement this is the view of Bhṛgu

778 The V R explains that the punishment of cutting the tongue is meant for offenders who are not Brahmanas and it quotes a sutra of Hārīta about cutting of tongue. Compare अथ व ५ II 10 27 14 *सुमन्त्रेण प्रत्यय वक्ष्यमाणान्* Vide notes above on vv 479 480

779 Aparāṅka explains 'employ ordeals which then stand in place of witnesses' but this seems farfetched. Vide *lag* II 212 and *Mit* thereon. The oldest reference in Sanskrit literature to fines for assault is contained in the Taittiriya Saṁhitā II 6 10 2. Him who strikes a brāhmana he shall fine a thousand he who draws blood from a brāhmana shall not see the world of pṛthi etc.

780 Fierce weapon like a sword etc. One who is assaulted may exercise the right of private defence of body by striking in return but he must not exceed it. If dagger with the hand he cannot use a sword and cut off the man. Compare sections 100 and 101 of the Indian Penal Code. Vide *Har* p 208 v 9 and *Br* p 337 v 4



782 When man and beasts are struck with a view to cause them great pain the king should inflict fine in proportion to the pain caused

783 The punishment for untouchables gamblers slaves for mlecchas for persistent sinners and for those who are born of unions in the reverse order of castes is beating (whipping) and not in money

784 The fine should be raised to fourfold when a persons body is brought in contact with vomitted matter urine or ordure but (if thrown) on the middle of the body the fine would be sixfold and if on the head it is eightfold

785 When the hand is raised (to strike a man of the same caste) the fine is twelve panas the fine is declared to be double of that when the hand strikes persons of ones own caste

786 Just as in abuse punishments have been declared in accordance with the direct or inverse order of castes (of the guilty person) so also in assaults punishments should be awarded in the same manner

787 For injury to the organs of the body just as a fine should be prescribed by the king so also something must be given (by the offender) for appeasing (the person injured) and also for setting up (for curing him) as may be fixed by experts He (the offender) should pay (all) the expenses for setting the man up including the healing of the wound

782 This is Manu VIII 986

783 Unions etc vide Manu X 11 13 for offspring of unions in the reverse order Compare Nār p 209 vv 11 12 and Br p 359 v 15

784 Fourfold —fourfold of ten panas Yāj II 213 prescribes a fine of ten panas when ashes or mud is thrown at another This fine applies when these things are thrown at any part of the body except the head and the trunk

785 Compare Yāj II 215

786 Compare Gautama XII 1

787 Compare Man III 237 Yāj. II 222 and Br , 356 v 1

778. (The king) should with great concentration (application) establish the (guilt of the man) charged with abuse, the king should with great effort consider what he is informed of as false. Cutting of the tongue is (the proper) expiation (punishment) for those who are addicted to spreading false reports (about others)

( Assault and battery )

779 If ( the king ) is not able to find out the cause of assault by means of inference and the like, then he should employ witnesses or ordeals

780 That man who strikes another with a fierce weapon though he may himself have been harassed, is declared to be liable to be punished

781 For cutting off the ear, the lip, the nose, the foot, the eye, the tongue, the penis, the hand, the punishment is the highest amercement and for causing injury ( or wounding ) to these, the middle amercement, this is the view of Bhrgu

778 The V R explains that the punishment of cutting the tongue is meant for offenders who are not brāhmanas and it quotes a sūtra of Harita about cutting of tongue. Compare *साय व ५ II 10 37 14* *संश्लेषणं यद्विषयं निमित्तमाकाशत*. Vide notes above on vv 479-480

779 Apastarka explains 'employ ordeals which then stand in place of witnesses'. But this seems farfetched. Vide *Yaj II 212* and *Mit* thereon. The oldest reference in Sanskrit literature to fines for assault is contained in the *Taittiriya Samhitā II 6 10 2* ' Him who strikes a brāhmana, he shall fine a thousand, he who draws blood from a brāhmana shall not see the world of pūtras etc '

780 'Fierce weapon' like a sword etc. One who is assaulted may exercise the right of private defence of body by striking in return, but he must not exceed it. If shopped with the hand, he cannot use a sword and cut off the man. Compare sections 100 and 101 of the Indian Penal Code. Vide *Nār p 208 v 9* and *Bṛ p 337 v, 4*

794 If the preceptor were to strike through anger a pupil (with something) other than a creeper, whereby great pain is caused (to the pupil), the father (may in such a case) make a complaint (against the teacher) for the pupil (his son)

(Crimes of violence)

795 That is declared to be *sahas* which is an act done with force.

796 Robbing in the presence of guards, carrying away a thing by force—*sāhasa* is of this kind while (mere) theft is said to be concealing (i.e. depriving a man stealthily)

797 Where an offence coming under the name '*sāhasa*' has taken place without (leaving) indicatory signs (for fastening the guilt), the person (charged with *sāhasa*) must establish his innocence by oaths (and ordeals) This is the rule in all disputes

794 Gautama (II 49 50) lays down that the teacher was to regulate the pupil without beating him but if unable to do so, he might use a thin rope or piece of bamboo and that if he beat the pupil he was to be punished by whipping. Compare *Up Dh* 2 1 2 8 29 30 (who does not at all allow beating but only reproof, not giving food for some time &c)

795 Compare *Nar* p 203 v 1 *Sahas* is derived by Nārada from '*sahas*' meaning 'force'. The term *sāhasa* is used to denote violent deeds or heinous offences of every sort. *L* p 309 v 1 divides it into four kinds viz. homicide, theft, assault on another's wife and *parāṇa* (of two kinds)

796 Compare *Mānu* VIII 332 (where the word *anvaya* occurs), *Yāj* II 230 and *Liut* p 191 (text where also '*anvaya*' occurs), '*anvaya*' has been explained by the *Mit* as persons guarding the wealth, royal officials &c

797 *Yāj*, (II, 240-281) lays down various circumstances and modes of ascertaining the offender in case of homicide vide *Br* p 364 vv 34-36 for the same. Compare with this verse *Br* p. 364 vv 37-38

788 As regards those offenders for whom reproof by words and beating (whipping) were declared (to be the punishment), they should be made to pay (the price of) what was carried away or injured by them, and those who are indigent should make up (the loss) by doing work (for the man who is to be recompensed)

789 That man, who makes asses, bullocks, buffaloes, camels and the like carry burdens at an improper time or when they are tired or oppressed with thirst or hunger, should be punished with the first amercement

790 For killing deer and birds (belonging to a person) and for killing snakes, cats, mongooses, dog pigs (kept by men) the fine is two panas and twelve panas respectively

791 He who makes a young cow animals dedicated to Gods and a sacred bull carry burdens (or carts), should be fined the first amercement and the highest amercement if he kills them

792 On killing animals the (offender) should give (to the owner) another animal (of the same type) or its proper price, this is what Manu said

793 In the case of the destruction of all trees, the settled rule is that the fine must be in proportion to the usefulness of the (several kinds of) trees

789 Compare Br. p. 709 v. 16

792 Manu (VIII 286-298) lays down various fines for killing valuable animals like elephants, horses and cows and less valuable animals like asses and goats &c. and VIII 288 lays down that he who causes mischief to the belongings of a man should satisfy that man and pay a fine to the king

793 This is Manu VIII 28a. Compare Viṣṇu V 55-59 who prescribes the highest amercement for cutting trees the fruits of which are useful, the middle one for cutting off trees the flowers alone of which are useful, 100 kārṣāpanas for cutting creepers and bushes and one kārṣāpana for cutting grass and the offender had to pay compensation for the loss of the trees. Yaj. II 228 prescribes double the ordinary fine for cutting trees near temples, cemeteries, boundaries

794 If the preceptor were to strike through anger a pupil (with something) other than a creeper, whereby great pain is caused (to the pupil) the father (may in such a case) make a complaint (against the teacher) for the pupil (his son)

(Crimes of violence)

795 That is declared to be *sahasā* which is an act done with force

796 Robbing in the presence of guards carrying away a thing by force—*sahasā* is of this kind while (mere) theft is said to be concealing (i.e. depriving a man stealthily)

797 Where an offence coming under the name *sahasā* has taken place without (leaving) indicatory signs (for fastening the guilt) the person (charged with *sahasā*) must establish his innocence by oaths (and ordeals) This is the rule in all disputes

794 Gautama (II 43 50) lays down that the teacher was to regulate the pupil without beating him but if unable to do so, he might use a thin rope or piece of bamboo and that if he beat the pupil he was to be punished by the king. Compare *Ap Dh S* I 2 8 39-50 (who does not at all allow beating, but only reproof, not giving food for some time &c.)

795 Compare *Nar p* 202 v 1 *Sahasā* is derived by Nārada from 'sahas' meaning, force. The term *sahasā* is used to denote violent deeds or heinous offences of every sort. *Br p* 759 v 1 divides it into four kinds v s horn ends theft assaults on another's wife and *pārasya* (of two kinds)

796 Compare *Manu VIII* 332 (where the word *anvaya* occurs) *Yaj II* 230 and *I ant p* 191 (text where also *anvaya* occurs) *anvaya* has been explained by the Mit as persons guarding the wealth royal officials &c

797 *Yaj (II* 280 281) lays down various circumstances and modes of ascertaining the offender in case of homicide vide *Br p* 354 vv 31 36 for the same. Compare with this verse *Br p* 354 vv 37-38

788 As regards those offenders for whom reproof by words and beating (whipping) were declared (to be the punishment) they should be made to pay (the price of) what was carried away or injured by them, and those who are indigent should make up (the loss) by doing work (for the man who is to be recompensed)

789 That man, who makes asses bullocks, buffaloes camels and the like carry burdens at an improper time or when they are tired or oppressed with thirst or hunger, should be punished with the first amercement

790 For killing deer and birds (belonging to a person) and for killing snakes cats monkeys dogs pigs kept by men) the fine is two panas and twelve panas respectively

791 He who makes a young cow, animals dedicated to Gods and a sacred bull carry burdens (or carts) should be fined the first amercement and the highest amercement if he kills them

792 On killing animals the (offender) should give (to the owner) another animal (of the same type) or its proper price, this is what Manu said

793 In the case of the destruction of all trees, the settled rule is that the fine must be in proportion to the usefulness of the (several kinds of) trees

780 Compare B. p. 303 v. 16

792 Manu (VIII 296-306) lays down various fines for killing valuable animals like elephants horses and cows and less valuable animals like asses and goats &c. and VIII 298 lays down that he who causes mischief to the belongings of a man should satisfy that man and pay a fine to the king

793 This is Manu VIII 287. Compare Visnu V 55-59 who prescribes the highest amercement for cutting trees the fruits of which are useful the middle one for cutting off trees the flowers alone of which are useful 100 karsāpanas for cutting creepers and bushes and one karsāpana for cutting grass and the offender had to pay compensation for the loss of the trees Yāj II 228 prescribes double the ordinary fine for cutting trees near temples cemeteries, boundaries

802-803. He who is about to use his sword poison or fire, he whose hand wields a drawn bow, who kills by the incantations contained in the Atharvaveda, who is a backbiter conveying to the king (information that would lead the king to harm a man), who assaults another's wife, who is bent upon finding out weak points in another (and striking him there)—all these and the like should be known as *atātayin*.

804 These wicked men who rob a man of his fame and his character, who deprive (one) of *dharma* and *artha* (are said to be *atātayins*), he who not being charged (or accused) before proceeds to take life or rob (a man) of his all or to commit similar offences is to be known as *atātayin*.

805 One who kills animals having (sharp) claws, horned animals, animals with fangs or tusks, and elephants and horses and other animals when all these are *atātayin*, does not incur any blame.

802-803 'Incantations &c.'—There are numerous hymns in the Atharvaveda which were employed against one's enemies e.g. I, 19, II 19, III 1 and 2 (which hymns are styled *mohana* in the Kauṭika sūtra), VII 108 &c. 'All these and the like'—this shows that the enumeration of *atātayins* here and in other texts is not exhaustive. Vas. III 16 mentions six kinds of *atātayins* (incendiary, poisoner, one with a raised weapon, one who deprives of all property, one who abducts another's wife or robs him of his field). The word '*atātayin*' is applied to Rudra in the Vajasaneya saṁhitā 16 18 and in the Ātharva saṁhitā 17 13 and probably means there 'one who always goes about with his bow stretched'. Vide Vas. III 17, 18 which declare that there is no blame in killing even a learned brāhmana when he is an *atātayin*, also Band. Dh. 8 I 10 12, Vishnu V 190-192, Manu VIII 350-51.

804 '*Ākranta*' means 'accused or charged'. Vide Manu VIII 354-355 for use of that word.

805 'Other animals': e birds that strike with their beaks. Wherever it is said that in killing an *atātayin* man or animal one incurs no blame, the meaning is that he is not liable to the punishment usually laid down for killing a man or animal.

798 If several men violently beat one man to death he out of them who gives the fatal blow is declared to be the murderer

799 One who is the author of the murder by (actually) killing (the deceased) would be liable to be killed in various ways. One should certainly kill without waiting for consideration a man coming with the intention of destroying (a life or a dam)

800 No blame attaches to him who kills wicked men that are ready (to kill another) but when they have desisted from their attempt (to kill) they should be captured and not killed.

801 If a man superior in austerities, Vedic study and birth becomes an *Atatāyīn* (a desperate felon), killing him is not proper, killing is prescribed for a sinner of a lower class. This is the view of Bhṛgu

798 This means that he is to suffer the full punishment for murder and the rest are to be awarded lesser punishments, Compare Br p 363 v 31 for the same idea

799 Vide Yāj II 278, 283 (for various ways of carrying out the sentence of death) Br p 363 vv 29 30 17, 18

800 Compare sections 100 and 101 of the Indian Penal Code as to the right of private defence

801 All are agreed that an *atātāyīn* who is not a brāhmana may be killed outright without incurring any blame. But the minds of all writers of digests were exercised over the question whether a brāhmana *atātāyīn* could be killed without incurring sin, since there are conflicting texts on the point. In this verse the reference in the first half is to a brāhmana who becomes an *atātāyīn*. Manu IV 163 forbids the killing of one's teacher, parents, brāhmanas, cows &c. Kat. probably refers to this verse of Manu when he speaks of Bhṛgu's view. But there is another verse of Manu (VIII 350) which permits the killing of even a *guru* or a brāhmana when he comes as an *atātāyīn*. So there is a conflict between two verses of Manu. Vide Mit. on Yāj II 21 and my notes on V M pp 415-422 for an elaborate discussion of this topic.



802-803 He who is about to use his sword poison or fire, he whose hand wields a drawn bow, who kills by the incantations contained in the Atharvaveda, who is a backbiter conveying to the king (information that would lead the king to harm a man), who assaults another's wife, who is bent upon finding out weak points in another (and striking him there)—all these and the like should be known as *atātāyin*.

804 These wicked men who rob a man of his fame and his character, who deprive (one) of *dharma* and *artha* (are said to be *atātāyins*) he who not being charged (or accused) before proceeds to take life or rob (a man) of his all or to commit similar offences is to be known as *atātāyin*.

805 One who kills animals having (sharp) claws, horned animals, animals with fangs or tusks, and elephants and horses and other animals when all these are *atātāyin*, does not incur any blame.

802-803 'Incantations do'—There are numerous hymns in the Atharvaveda which were employed against one's enemies e.g. I 19, II 19, III 1 and 2 (which hymns are styled *muhurats* in the Kausika sūtra), VII 108 &c. All these and the like—this shows that the enumeration of *atātāyins* here and in other texts is not exhaustive. Vas III 16 mentions six kinds of *atātāyins* (incendary, poisoner, one with a raised weapon, one who deprives of all property one who abducts another's wife or robs him of his field). The word '*atātāyin*' is applied to *Paṇḍra* in the *Vajrasūtra saṁhitā* 16 18 and in the *Ātthaka saṁhitā* 17 12 and probably means there 'one who always goes about with his bow stretched'. Vide Vas III 17 18 which declares that there is no blame in killing, even a learned *brāhmana* when he is an *atātāyin* also Baud. Dh 8 I 10 12, Viṣṇu V 100-102 Manu VIII 350-51.

804 '*Ākṣārita*' means 'accused or charged'. Vide Manu VIII 354 355 for use of that word.

805 'Other animals' i.e. birds that strike with their beaks. Wherever it is said that in killing an *atātāyin* man or animal one incurs no blame, the meaning is that he is not liable to the punishment usually laid down for killing a man or animal.

806 Even a brāhmaṇa deserves to be killed if he be guilty of causing abortion if he be a thief (of gold), or if he strikes a brāhmaṇa woman with a sharp weapon, or if he kills an innocent woman

807 That man who causes (slight) injury, breakage or total destruction of (valuable) articles would be liable to the first amercement and the owner of the article is entitled to get (another similar) article (or its price)

808 He who steals breaks or burns the idols of Gods or who causes damage to temples should be punished with the first amercement

809 He who causes a breach in a rampart wall or pulls it down or shatters it or obstructs the flow of water (running in a water channel) should be awarded the first amercement

806 There is a similar verse of Yāj (II 277 the *Mitākṣarā* interpretation of which differs from *Viśvarūpa*: who counts it as II 281)

807 This verse applies to mischief to valuable articles like crystal. Compare *Manu* IX 236 Vide *Yaj* II 223 for fines for mischief to articles of small value

808 *Manu* IX 285 prescribes a fine of 500 for breaking *pratimās* (which *Kulluka* explains were made of clay to and so were of an inferior kind) while *Viṣṇu* V 174 prescribes the highest amercement for a breaker of images and *Śaṅkha-Likhita* prescribe a fine of eight hundred for the same offence मणिमारादिकम् मृत्प्रतिमापनमक्षतम् मृत्प्रतिमापनं प्रातःप्रातःप्रातः च (quoted in V R p 364) These various fines were due to the superiority and value or inferiority of the images

809 Vide *Manu*, IX, 289 who prescribes the punishment of banishment for one who causes a breach in the city wall.

## (Theft)

810 Depriving a man of his wealth either clandestinely or openly and either by night or by day is known to be theft

811 (The king) should carefully examine property (alleged to be) stolen (to see) whether the article passed to the hands (of the alleged thief) from another's hand or whether he took it up without any intention (of stealing) when it lay on the ground (unclaimed) or whether he actually lifted it away as a thief

812 (Where a trader) deals (with his customers) by means of balances measures (of corn) and measures of capacity that are imitations or substitutes for true ones or not so should be fined the first amercement

810 Compare Nār p 204 v 12 Āp Dh S I 9 25 11 quotes a verse which mentions theft, drinking, incest and killing of a brahmana as very heavy sins and Āp Dh S I 9 25 4 11 prescribes various *prāyaścittas* (penances) for theft. The Tā Sām IV 1 10 3 speaks of the eves (stealing secretly) *taskaras* (stealing openly) and *malimlas* (robbers and rascals); Vide Yaj Sām VI 77-79 for the same. Even in the Rgveda *taskaras* figure very often (vide I 191 5 X 4 6)

811 Compare Nār p 23 v 71 where he says that liars may have the appearance of honest men and vice versa

812 Compare Yaj II 244. Or not so, i.e. that are not false imitations of true weights. Manu (VIII 256) divides the eves (*taskaras*) into open (*prakaśa*) and clandestine (*apraśa*) and instances traders dealing in merchandises as open thieves and those who hide themselves in forests as clandestine ones. Vide Manu VIII 258 260 Nār p 223 vv 1 5 for other examples of the two kinds. This verse deals with traders who use false balances or measures or who use clods of earth as weights and deceive people or those who without using false or wrong weights do deceive people. Visnu V 122 prescribes highest amercement for forging balance or measure. Compare Nār p 203 v 3 and Yaj II 244. Kaṭṭ p 103 (II 19) has a chapter on the superintendent of weights and measures. Śaṅkha Likhita as quoted in V R p 298 say कृत्स्नमान प्रतिमानव्यवहारे शतशतक-उदा वा

813-814 What is stolen from the houses in a village, (the king) should make the thief-catchers pay it (to the owners) He should make the guarding officers and wardens of the country (pay the price of stolen articles) if the thief be not found The property stolen in the village should be restored (or its value be paid) by the headman of the village, in case (the theft took place) in a forest the king must restore (stolen property or its price), but if it took place in a place other than a forest, then it must be restored by the officer appointed to catch thieves

815-817 Whatever is stolen from any person in one's kingdom must be restored by the king; the king should search for the thing lost and if found (after he pays the price to the owner) he should retain (the article) himself (The king) should restore with efforts the thing itself that is stolen by thieves in the absence of the thing the price (thereof should be paid by the king), otherwise the king incurs sin Even when the thief be caught, if the article stolen be not recovered from him, (the king) should restore the thing (himself) or he should make the thief pay according to his (the king's) pleasure

813-814 Thief-catchers' - officers appointed to trace and catch thieves 'Ārakṣaka' is explained by V It as 'one appointed to guard a village' and the V O paraphrases it by a vernacular word 'kotāla (which seems to be the same as the modern Kotwal) 'Dikpāla' is paraphrased as 'dē apati' In the inscription of Dhara-sena II of 'Valabhi' (Ind Ant vol 15 p 167) among several officers we have a 'cauroddharanika' in the inscription of Nārāyaṇpāla (Ind Ant vol 15 p 304) we have in a long list 'cauroddharanika' 'kottapāla' (who is the same as modern 'kotwal') and 'viśayapati', in Harshad plate of Iṣṭana III dated Śaka 880 (558 A D) we have 'rāstrapati', 'viśayapati', 'grāmakāla' etc. / 1 p Ind vol IV p 278 283) *Viśita* means 'land reserved for grass, forest Compare Ap Db B II 10 26 4-8 Gaṇṭama X 45-47 (for the king's liability to restore) Yāj II 271, Nār p. 225 v 17

815-817 Compare Viśnu III 63-66 with 816 The V R seems to explain the last half of 817 as 'the king should hand over the thief to the owner or should himself pay for the price of the thing stolen'.

818-819 When thieves are being made to restore (articles alleged to be stolen) if there be a doubt as to the offence, the person who was robbed should be made to take oaths or he should establish (his allegation of stolen articles) by (the evidence of) his relatives. Where the owner recovers a portion of his stolen property from a certain person, he (the owner) should recover the rest from the same man, if he (the owner) establishes (the loss of all the articles alleged).

820 Those who are traitors (or cause destruction of) to their country and those who are highway robbers should be deprived (by the king) of their all and should be ordered to be impaled on a stake.

821 If those who are intent on (i.e. whose duty it is to) tracing thieves were to make one who is not the real thief to restore (or pay the price of) the thing stolen the persons so compelled would get back what they had to pay when (the real thief) was found and (the king) should make (the officers guilty of harassing the innocent man) pay (to the latter) double (of the price).

818-819 It is better to read 'more for 'daga' with V It and then the meaning will be 'if there be a doubt about what things were actually stolen, 'relatives'-this is only illustrative, it means that he should prove the articles stolen on the testimony of reliable witnesses, 'pratyaye krta' may also mean 'if the owner undergoes an ordeal or takes oath as to the articles stolen'. With 819 compare 319 above and Ys; II 20 and Viṣṇu VI 22

820 Compare Br p 351 v, 17 If thieves residing in one country cause loss in another country, the king was not to visit them with this punishment. Vide 637 above

821 Compare Nār p 226 v 20

822 The king should cut off that limb of a robber with which he causes loss to another, so that he will not do so again

822 A If a person takes two cucumbers, or two melons, five mangoes or five pomegranate fruits and a handful of oats or juyabes (from another's land or trees) he does not incur blame

823 The Mānavas (school of Manu) declare that those (thieves) who are caught (red handed) with booty should be at once banished (from the kingdom) but this (punishment) is not approved of by Gautamas (the school of Gautama) since it is censured owing to the destruction (or reduction) of people (in the country)

---

822 It is possible to understand this verse to mean 'cut off that limb of a robber who causes injury to a similar limb of another (in committing a robbery) Compare Manu. IX, 276-277 and VIII 334, Yaj II 274 Nar p 228 v 34

822 A. Compare Manu VIII 339 (taking grass for one's cows roots and flowers of forest plants or wood for sacrificial fire from another's land was no theft) and 341 (a traveller of the three higher castes short of food was allowed to take two sugarcanes and two roots from another's field) Yaj Gautama XII 95 Ap I 10 28 3 Yaj II 166 and Nar p 219 v 37 Compare the maxim 'de minimis non curat lex' and see 95 of the Indian Penal Code

823 Compare Manu IX 270 The V R and V O explain that these verses (823-825) apply to brāhmana offenders. Manu VIII 340 prescribes banishment for a brāhmana offender, whatever his crime may be and forbids the sentence of death. Verse 823 prescribes banishment for a brāhmana who is learned

824-825 The king, after catching ( a brāhmana ) thief and proclaiming ( publicly ) the signs of his guilt, whether he be caught with booty or not, provided his heinous crime is established as a fact should deprive him of all his wealth ( The brāhmana thieves ) who are very strong being guarded with iron fetters, fed on meagre food, should do ( hard ) labour for the king till their death this is the view of Kauṣika

826 When a foreigner steals property from another country ( and brings it into a country where he is sojourning ), the king ( of the latter country ) should deprive him of that property and should let him off without punishment

827 Those who supply food to thieves those who give them fire and water, those who purchase ( stolen ) goods from them and receive ( stolen ) property from them and those who hide them - these are all declared to be liable to the same punishment ( as the thieves themselves )

834 Read 'pragṛhya anubam etc' 834 applies to brāhmana possessed of wealth but not learned 835 applies to a brāhmana offender who is neither learned nor rich. In the case of theft Gautama ( XII 12-13 ) prescribed for the śūdra offender a fine eight times as much as the price of the article stolen while a varīya kṣatriya and brāhmana were respectively liable to pay 15 32 64 times as much as the price Vide Manu VIII 337-338 for the same rule

837 Abettors of thieves and receivers of stolen property were dealt with like thieves from ancient times Compare Gautama XII 46-47, Āp Dh S I 9 23 5 and II 11 29 1 Manu, IV 271 and 278 ( who employs the word bhaktadīyaka ) Yaṅ, II 2<sup>76</sup> ( who uses the words 'bhakta, agnī', and udaka ) Nār, p 2 5 vv 14-15 Compare sec 212 ( harbouring an offender ) and 411 ( receiving stolen property ) of the Indian Penal Code

828 Where one who is not learned officiates as a priest at a sacrifice and where one who is not himself firmly grounded ( in any *śāstra* ) sets up as a propounder of it, the king should punish both with the punishment for a thief and should make them abide by the ( proper ) path

( *Adultery* )

829 Adultery is said to be ninefold viz when it is accompanied by the employment of a go between, being together at an improper time and place, falling on a woman's neck or seizing her hair or the border of her *sari* (or gown), seizing a woman by the ear, nose or hand, sitting or taking food with her on the same seat

830 When a man has forcibly had sexual intercourse with a woman capital punishment is to be inflicted in that case ( by the king ) since it is a violation of ( good ) conduct

838 Yāj II 202 says that a brāhmana who is devoid of learning and austerities should not accept a gift Vide also Manu III 168

839 Compare Manu VIII 357, Yāj II 283-284 Nar ; 173 vv 65-67 and Br pp 365 366 vv 8-9 These nine (and there also) are the indications by which adultery is to be inferred

840 There is great difference of opinion as to the circumstances in which this verse applies The V M says it applies to the rape of a woman of the same caste by a *kṣātrīya* or a person of an *anuloma* or *gentleman* union. The *Vir* p. 504 says it applies to an offender who is possessed of no merit while the Sm C says it applies to rape of a woman who is the wife of a man of no merit. The punishment for adultery and rape varied according to the caste of the male and the woman, was also different for men and women and also varied according as the woman was a maiden or w



831 An unchaste woman who, being under the influence of lust herself amorously approaches a man should be released by the king's order after being proclaimed (as unchaste) in the presence of people

832-834 He who commences (a *sāhasa*), who is a friend (or helper) who gives advice as to the method (in which a *sāhasa* is to be carried out), who gives refuge or asylum (to the offender), or supplies weapons who gives food to wrongdoers, who incites (the offender) to fight

831 If a woman was guilty of adultery with a person of a lower caste, she was to be devoured by dogs and the paramour was to be killed Vide Gautama 23 14-16 (this was the punishment in case no *prāyaścitta* was performed) and Manu VIII 371-372, Varaha (XXI 1-5) prescribes that if a *śūdra* commits adultery with a *brāhmana* woman, he was to be thrown into fire, the woman was to be shaved made to sit naked on a dark donkey and sent to perish in the mountains and that any male of a lower caste guilty of adultery with a woman of higher caste was to be dealt with similarly, vide also Manu VIII 359 Āp Dh 8 II 10 26 20 21 prescribed the penalty of cutting of the testicles and the penis for the male in adultery with a married woman but when the woman was a maiden the paramour was to be deprived of all his wealth A man of a higher caste guilty of adultery with a *śūdra* married woman was to be banished while a *śūdra* male guilty of adultery with a married woman of a higher caste was to be killed, when he was appointed to guard her Vide Āp Dh 8 II 20 27 8-9 and Gautama XII 2 3 Some writers somewhat softened these severe sentences Yā II 285 prescribed for a male for adultery with a woman of the same caste highest amercement with woman of a lower caste the middle one with a woman of a higher caste death and cutting of the ear for the woman Compare Manu VIII 378 Vide v 487 above for lesser fine for women

832-834 It is better to read *yadvopadeśakab* with the Saras, read— *vaktānu*—, 'destruction &c - by poison &c', 'who conspires'—this applies to a man who though not able himself to

or who urges ( the offender ) for the instruction of the person ( killed &c ) who connives at ( the commission of an offence ) though not related ( to the offender ) who exposes the faults ( of the person killed or harassed ) who approves ( of the offence ) who though able does not forbid ( or prevent ) the commission of it—all these are (as good as) perpetrators of the deed ( the offence ) (The king) should prescribe punishment for these according to their ability and according to their offence

*( The duties of husband and wife )*

830 (A wife) never remaining apart from her husband, being disciplined ( or well conducted ) and devoted to her husband should serve ( sacrificial ) fire with the desire of securing freedom from widowhood and possession of happiness and love

835 A wife obtains all desired objects only by ministering to her husband. When she comes back on this earth from heaven, she becomes the receptacle of all happiness

prevent the offence or not inform others of it so that they may help in preventing it. If we take *ayukta* to mean 'not appointed by the king' as V 1 does then it would have to be connected with 'dusarakta'. Vide verse 82<sup>7</sup> above and compare Yaj II 231, Pr p 384 v 32 and Ap Dh 8, II 11 29 f.

835 'Fire'—there were three fires (*grihapatya*, *brahmanyā*, and *dakṣiṇagni*) required in Vedic sacrifices and the *grihya* rites were performed in domestic fire. *Saṁhitya* means 'the husband's affection and happiness'. Maṇu (IX 1-102) dilates upon this topic.

836 Compare Maṇu V 103 160 166 Yaj I 87. The idea is that he devoted to her husband she accumulates merit and goes to heaven and that when that merit is exhausted she returns to the earth but is endowed with all happiness. This is the doctrine of the Upanishads (vide Chāndogya Up V 10 1-7 and Vedāntasūtra III 1 13).

837 That chaste woman who after her husband's death abides by the vow of celibacy being in her conduct like Arundhati, is honoured in the world of Brahma

( *Partition of herstage* )

838 That is declared to be a just ( or lawful ) division where the fathers and brothers divide the whole ( common ) property ( whatever ) in equal shares

839 Property of the grandfather is of equal ownership between both the father and his sons but the son is not entitled to ownership over what is acquired by the father himself

837 Arundhati the wife of Vasistha is the highest type of conjugal fidelity and the star of Arundhati in the Great Bear is shown to the newly married bride Vide *Īśvalajana-guhyā* I 7 12 Compare *Viṣṇu* 25 14 who prescribes *brahmavācya* or *anvāśrāna* ( being a sati ) and also 2a 17 Bṛ p 369 v 10 *Manu* V 159 180 The Sm C III p 597 quotes a verse of *Angiras* दृष्टमनः क्व नारी समारोहेदुत शनम् । साह धत्त समचारः स्वमते के महीयत ॥

838 It is better to read *pitarau* for *pitarab* with the Vir The parents and their sons when coming to a partition of joint family property take each the same share Brothers means sons of the father with whom a partition is made Compare Bṛ p 370 v 2 When the sons came to a partition during the father's lifetime or after his death the mother was entitled to a share equal to that of a son Vide *Yāj* II 115 *Nar* p 193 v 1<sup>o</sup>

839 This verse embodies the central conception of the *Mitākṣarā* school as to the equal ownership of father and sons in ancestral property Compare *Yāj* I\* 121 *Viṣṇu* 17 3 Bṛ p 370 v 3 The Mit on *Yāj* II 121 explains that verses like *Yāj* II 114 *Nar* p 191 v 12 Bṛ p 370 v 4 ( which allow the father to make an unequal distribution among his sons ) refer to the father's self-acquired property *Viṣṇu* 1 1 explicitly states this The text of Bṛ p 189 v 1 is quoted in I J R 10 Bom 528 at p 547 and *Yāj* II 114 is quoted by Telang J in I J R 16 Bom at p. 45 and spoken of as a general and notorious principle

840 The grandfather's property, the father's property and whatever else is acquired by themselves (with joint efforts)—all these are divided when there is a partition between coheirs (or coparceners)

841 A house fields, cattle and similar visible (effects or estate) should be divided, if there is a suspicion that (certain joint property) is concealed, an ordeal is prescribed (in that case)

842 Household utensils, beasts of burden, milch cattle, ornaments and slaves—these visible effects are divided Bhṛgu declared the *kośa* (ordeal) when (there was a doubt whether certain joint property) was concealed

843 In a partition while the father is living, the father should not make a distinction between one son (and other

840 'Whatever else is acquired —this refers to property acquired with the help of ancestral or joint property since what is acquired by a coheir without using joint funds would be his self acquisition This verse is quoted in *Ponappa Pillai v Pappusayangar* 4 Mad 1, at p 49

841 Vide verse 415 above which prescribes the *kośa* ordeal in disputes about partition

842 Aparārka says that the *kośa* ordeal is only illustrative but the words of Kāt in 415 appear to forbid other ordeals Compare Br गृह्यसूत्रादौ विद्वद्भिरुक्तं न ह्यन्यथा विद्वद्भिर्न गृह्ये विद्वद्भिर्न (quoted in Sm C III p 636)

843, 'Should not make a distinction —by giving a larger share to one son as compared to the shares given to other sons 'suddenly'—through wrath against other sons or extreme affection for one son, 'without cause' — if there be no causes for disinheritting him such as 'pātitya' &c Kāt (p 161 text) has these very words 'लीकद्विभक्तिं विना नैव विभज्येत । न चैवमवर्तमानं तथैव । Even the R̥gveda

sons) nor should he suddenly deprive a son of his share without any cause

844 Partition is ordained among (coparceners) who have attained ( years of ) understanding of worldly affairs and in the case of men they attain understanding of affairs at the sixteenth year

845 The property ( share in the joint estate ) of those who have not attained years of discretion, being made free from expenses should be kept ( by the other coheirs ) with their ( the minors ) relatives and friends the same should be done ( to the shares ) of those who have gone abroad

845 A All ( coheirs ) should protect the share of the ( coheir ) who has gone abroad and his share of the ancestral

( I. 70 10 ) appears to refer to the practice of the division of property during the lifetime of the father ( when old ). Vide Bandh S II. 2 8 and Yaj II 114 Śaṅkha-Likhita ( 'जीर्ण वा विट्तिरिव भागोऽनुमतः प्रजाय वा नियो वा पौत्रे ' quoted in V R p 463 ) Nar p 191 v 4 for distribution during father's lifetime

844 Compare Kant p 161 ( text. मातृव्यवहाराणां विभाग and p 164 'मातृव्यवहाराणां विभागः काले पातृव्यवहाराणां गुणः ) Nar p 51 v 35 says that a man is a minor till he attains the 16th year There is a controversy among commentators whether minority ended at the beginning of the 16th year or at the end Many hold the former view but the V R ( p 599 ) holds that minority ends with the end of the 16th year

845 Compare Kant p 161 ( मातृव्यवहाराणां भेदविशेषः मातृव्यवहाराणां भेदविशेषः वा मातृव्यवहाराणां भेदविशेषः ) Gentara X. 4<sup>th</sup> Band Dh. S II 2, 37 ( भेदविशेषः मातृव्यवहाराणां भेदविशेषः मातृव्यवहाराणां भेदविशेषः ) Manu VIII 27 Viṣṇu III 65 and Vas 16 7-8 cast upon the king the duty of protecting minors estate 'free from expenses i. e. after deducting all the debts and charges due from the family for which the minor members share would be liable

845 A. According to *Pratya* ( p 51 v 35 ) *praty* is *praty* a minor who has not attained the 16th year Manu VIII 149 appears to use it in the same sense But Amarakṣa explains it as

estate should be guarded by the relatives, if his sons are minor or if he be dead. After him his minor (sons) should partition the wealth according to their shares.

846 All persons entitled to the ancestral wealth must pay at the time of partition all the debts incurred by the brother, paternal uncle or mother for the purpose of the family.

847 Those debts should be paid to the creditor which are established by proof (by the creditor) after a dispute is raised about them, and (the king or judge) should not cause debts (contracted by one) to be paid (by the other members) otherwise.

848 What is (promised by the father) for a religious and charitable purpose, what is promised (by the father) out of affection and that debt (of the father) which the father has specially enjoined (upon sons to pay) — these debts when found should be divided by the sons, there can be no payment out of the paternal wealth (of any other debt).

'one who has a crippled limb or one who is devoid of a limb' Kāt follows Nārada and Manu. 'His minor sons — we have to understand that they should do so after attaining majority.

846 Compare Yāj II 117 Nār p 197 v 32

847 'These debts' — i.e. those contracted by the brother paternal uncle &c

848 This verse is variously explained. Most writers connect 'when found with debt, but the V. M. takes it separately meaning visible wealth and debts should be divided.' 'Svaniyopitam' is explained by V. M. as 'what is incurred by the father himself.' Vide verse 506 above. As to gift through affection, vide Yāj II 123 (first half).

849-50 In a partition with coparceners one should discharge a debt that was incurred by the father a debt that was incurred for paying off the father's debt one's own debt incurred by another (for one's family) and a debt incurred by one's self. After paying off (the father's) debt and (his) gifts through affection the rest (of the property) should be divided

851 The father gets two shares or half from the wealth acquired by the son when the father is dead the mother also gets a share equal to that of a son

852 The wise should so arrange the extent of shares (among dividing heirs) that the wealth obtained by partition may more and more be employed for purposes of sacrifice

849-50 It is better to read *sambuddham* for *samsuddham*. Compare *Nār* p 197 v 32 *Manu* VIII 156 These two verses are quoted in *Penappa Pillai v Pappurayyengar* 4 *Mad* 1 at p 49

851 The first half is interpreted according to the *Dāyabhaga*, which gives two interpretations. If the son acquires wealth with the help of ancestral funds then the father gets half of it the son who earned it gets two shares and the other sons one share each. If the son acquired wealth without the help of ancestral funds then the father and the son who acquires each get two shares and the other sons get no share. The other interpretation is that in wealth acquired by the son the father gets half if the father is possessed of learning &c and if he is not so he gets two shares. The *Vir* pp 566-567 severely criticises the *Dāyabhaga* and interprets it to mean the father because he acquires the wealth and begets the son should take two shares (in property acquired by himself) or half of it. Compare *Nār* p 191 v 12 with this verse. *Vide Br* p 30 v 5 for two shares for the father. Compare *Yaj* II 123 (latter half) and *Viṣṇu* 18-34 with the latter half.





855-856 If an undivided younger brother dies (the other brothers) should make his son take a share of the inheritance if he has not obtained from his grandfather a livelihood (i.e. a share), he should obtain from his uncle or his uncle's son the share which his father would have got. That very share would be the legal share of all the brothers (who are sons of the man dying). Or even a son (of that son of the brother dying) would obtain (that share), beyond (this last) there is a cessation (of succession to that share).

857 When a son of the body is born, (other kinds of) sons take only a fourth share if of the same caste (as

855-856 'Younger brother'—this is only illustrative and applies to even an older brother, 'the share which his father &c.—that is, the division is to be through the father, as laid down by Yāj II, 120 (latter half), 'beyond this last there is cessation': i.e. the 4th in descent from the propositus would not get a share, if there are sons, grand-sons or great-grandsons of the propositus alive. Vide for full explanation my notes to V M pp. 147-149. Suppose A has two undivided sons B and C. B dies undivided leaving a son D and then A dies. This verse declares that D though alone will get on a partition his father's half share from C or C's sons even if they are many. If D dies without claiming a partition and leaves a son E, the latter will get one-half of the property of A. If B, D, E all die in the life-time of A without claiming partition and E leaves a son F and C or his sons or grandsons are living then F will not be entitled to claim a share from C. When A dies F is the fourth from the propositus A. These verses are quoted in *Dehī Peralāḥ v. Thakur Dāt* I L. R. 1 All 105 (F. B.) at p. 111. Vide *Moro v. Canesh* 10 Bom H. C. R. 444 for a lucid exposition of these verses and Davala's text (at pp. 461, 466-467).

857 Yāj II 128-132 enumerates 12 sons, aurasa, putrikā putra, kṣetrāja gūdhaja, kāmīna pannaśbhava, dattika, kṛtīa kṛtīma, svayam-dattā, sahodhaja, and apaviddha. Manu IX,

the owner's) but (other kinds of) sons who are not of the same caste (as the owner) are entitled to receive only food and raiment.

858 A fourth share of the family estate is desired for daughters who have not been given away in marriage (at the time of partition), while three parts (of the family estate) go to the sons, but if the (family) property be small they (sons and unmarried daughters) share equally

859 When a person begets a fruit (a son) with the consent of the owner of the field (husband of the wife) both

858 Compare Manu IX 118 and Yāj II 124 (both of whom prescribe a fourth share) The fourth share is not one-fourth of the whole estate but, as the Mit explains, one-fourth of what the unmarried daughter would have got if she had been a son of the same class as herself Vide my notes to V II pp 157-158 for further explanation The Dayabhāga, V B and V C explain that ¼th is only illustrative and means 'she is entitled to a proper provision for her marriage expenses Compare Viṣṇu 15 31 'अनुदाना स्ववित्तानुरूपेण न सखर कुर्वादि', Kant p 161 'अनुदानप्रदायिक' and Śaṅkha — Lakṣha विनश्यन्तानि दादेत्य स्ववित्तानुरूपेण नैवादि सौम्य व ह्यदा ददाते' (quoted in नि र p 495) Vide *Bhagavatī Śāhul v Ram Jagan I* L R 45 All 287 (at p 299) where it was held that 'the quarter share in the Sanskrit texts means as much money as will suffice for marriage expenses, that the provision of a dowry for a daughter was a legal necessity and that where the daughter was a cripple and blind and all the property was worth Rs 500 an alienation of the whole of it by the widowed mother for raising a dowry for the daughter was justifiable

859 This verse refers to a topic that very much exercised the minds of ancient sages In ancient times there was the practice of *niyoga*, whereby a son was begotten under certain circumstances by one man on the wife of another (e g vide Gautama 18 4-8 and Manu IX 59-62) Just as a fruit grows on land (kṣetra) and seed (bija) is required for the production of fruit, so the wife is compared to the land (and spoken of as kṣetra) The question arose to whom the son produced under *niyoga* belonged Manu (IX. 59-63) seems to suggest that when there was an agreement that the son produced



858 A fourth share of the family estate is desired for daughters who have not been given away in marriage (at the time of partition) while three parts (of the family estate) go to the sons but if the (family) property be small they (sons and unmarried daughters) share equally

859 When a person begets a fruit (a son) with the consent of the owner of the field (husband of the wife) both

858 Compare *Manu* IX 118 and *Yāj* II 124 (both of whom prescribe a fourth share) The fourth share is not one fourth of the whole estate but as the *Mit* explains one-fourth of what the unmarried daughter would have got if she had been a son of the same class as herself Vide my notes to V *M* pp 157-158 for further explanation The *Dayabhāga* V R and V C explain that  $\frac{1}{4}$ th is only illustrative and means she is entitled to a proper provision for her marriage expenses Compare *Viṣṇu* 15 31 अनुदानं स्ववित्तानुसूत्रेण सखारं कुर्वन् Kaut p 161 चत्वार्यश्वं प्रदातिक and *Saṅkha* — *Lakṣhā* विप्रस्यमानं दादेभ्य स्वयं दातव्यं वैवाहिकं स्त्रीभ्यः च इवाऽऽजये (quoted in *ज* र p 495) Vide *Bhagavata Shukla* & *Rām Jātān* I L R 45 All 297 (at p 399) where it was held that 'the quarter share in the Sanskrit texts means as much money as will suffice for marriage expenses that the provision of a dowry for a daughter was a legal necessity and that where the daughter was a cripple and blind and all the property was worth Rs 500 an alienation of the whole of it by the widowed mother for raising a dowry for the daughter was justifiable

859 This verse refers to a *topo* that very much exercised the minds of ancient sages In ancient times there was the practice of *niyoga*, whereby a son was begotten under certain circumstances by one man on the wife of another (e.g. vide *Gautama* 13 4-8 and *Manu* IX 52-53) Just as a fruit grows on land (*kṣētra*) and seed (*bīja*) is required for the production of fruit, so the wife is compared to the land (and spoken of as *kṣētra*) The question arose to whom the son produced under *niyoga* belonged *Manu* (IX, 52-53) seems to suggest that when there was an agreement that the son produced

of them are entitled to the fruit (the son), since a fruit is not produced in the absence of one (of the two)

860 A son born of a woman who leaves an impotent husband and secures another husband is called *paunarbbhava* and he clearly belongs to the begetter

861 That man is said to be impotent whose urine has no froth whose faeces (when voided) in water sink (to the bottom) and whose generative organ is devoid of erection and semen.

under *nyaya* was to belong to both the husband of the woman and the begetter, he belonged to both and if there was no agreement then he belonged to the husband of the woman (the *kṣatrika*) *Āpastamba* (Dh S II 6 13 5-6) relying on a *brāhmana* passage and certain Vedic *gāthās* held that the son belonged to the begetter *Vas* 17 63 seems to refer to the same view *Gaṇṭama* 18 9 14 refer to several views on the point. Though the *Manusmṛti* (IX 64 65) severely condemns *nyaya* *Yāj* I 68 69 *Nār* p 181 vv 80-84, *Kaṭṭ* p 162 as also *Gaṇṭama* (18 4 8) *Vas* 17 56 57 and 66 appear to have countenanced it. *Bṛ* (p 369 vv 12-13) condemns it *Śaṅkha-Likhita* (as quoted in V R pp 581 and 557, first state the view that the son belongs to the husband of the woman and then state the views of *Āṅgīrasa* and *Uśāna* *पितृ सेविषामपत्यमिति च वेदवाद्* ' &c and ' *शक्रतुष्टयमिषाद्विरसो शक्तिरादवयोरनुमत इदं वाच्यमिति च तद्विषय इत्यमिदुक्तम्* : *Vide* *Nār* p 176 v 58 and *Baud* 'Dh S II 2 17 (S B E vol 14 p 226) for the same idea as in this verse

860 ' *Paunarbbhava* -- born of a *paunarbbhū* (woman twice married) *Nār* (pp 174 175 vv 45-48) speaks of three kinds of *paunarbbhū*. Compare *Manu* IX. 175 (for *paunarbbhava*) and 176 *Yāj* II 130 *Viṣṇu* 15 79 *Vas* 17 20

861 *Vide* *Nār* pp 166-168 for the signs of a potent man and for 14 kinds of impotent men. Compare with this verse *Nār* p 167 v 10 and *Kaṭṭ* p 193 *श्रीमन्निषिद्य मूत्रकेवमप्यु विप्रनिमग्न च* ' which means 'as to impotency women froth of urine and the sinking of the faeces in water (are the means of judging)

862 The son of a woman married out of her order, one who is born of a husband of the same *gotra* (as that in which the woman was born) and one who is an apostate from the order of ascetics do not obtain the inheritance.

863-64 But the son of a woman married in the wrong order takes the ancestral wealth, when he is of the same class as his father and the son of a woman who is not of the

862 The word *akramodhātuh* is interpreted in two ways. Most interpret it as follows: a person was first to marry a girl of his own caste but he could marry a girl of any of the three caste lower than his own. Vide *Manu* III 12 'If a brāhmana married a girl of the Kṣatriya caste first and then a brāhmana girl both became *akramodha* (married in the wrong order). In such a case the son of the Kṣatriya girl from the brāhmana would not be entitled to a share of his father's estate but the son of the brāhmana wife would take the wealth as said in the next verse. The other interpretation given by the *Vyavahāramayukha* is: when a younger brother (or sister) was married before an elder brother (or sister), the former was called *parivitta* and the latter *parivitta* or *parivina* and such a procedure was called *parivedana* which was declared very sinful. Vide *Manu* III 1:2 and *Bṛhad* Dh. 8 II 1:39. This verse declares according to V. M. that the son of a younger sister married before her elder sister and the son of an elder sister married after a younger one were both excluded from inheritance to their fathers respectively. A *sogotra* marriage was forbidden but if it took place the son of such a marriage would not take the inheritance. The *Dayabhāga* takes *sogotra* 'jayata' to refer to the *Ātreyas* son.

863-864 The son of a woman who is not of the same caste etc.—If a brāhmana married a brāhmana girl and also a Kṣatriya girl the son born of each would take the estate of their brāhmana father but not equally. The son of the brāhmana woman would take four shares of the estate while the son of the Kṣatriya woman three and so on. Vide *Manu* IV 150-154 and *Yaj* II 125 for the shares. Son of the woman—reverse order—this refers to the offspring of pratiloma unions (such as Kṣatriya or vaiśya man

same class with her husband ( but is of a lower class ) and is married in the proper order ( takes the wealth of his father ) But the son of a woman who is married in the reverse order does not take the ancestral estate, the approved view ( of ancient writers ) is that he should be given food and raiment till his death by his kinsmen



865 On failure of kinsmen he would obtain (inherit) the estate of his father. The kinsmen are not to be made to pay (food and raiment to the son of a pratiloma union) when the kinsmen have taken no wealth of his father.

(Property not liable to partition)

866 All that (ancestral) wealth which was taken away by force from the family, or was lost (to the family) and which was recovered by the father himself by his own efforts, the father is not liable to share with the sons at the time of partition.

867 That wealth is said to be gained by learning

---

by Yāj I 91 and *parasava* by Manu (IX 178) and also *soudra* (Manu IX 160). All the sons except the *aurasa* are declared by Manu (IX 180) to be substitutes for the *aurasa* : e as secondary and the *Mayūka* distinctly says that all secondary sons except *dattaka* are forbidden in the present age. Hence the son of a brahmana from a sudra woman cannot be recognised as a son according to the *Mayūka* whatever the views deducible from ancient *smṛtis* may be. Doctrines deducible from ancient sages (such as that of *nyoga* unequal distribution of ancestral property among sons) are not accepted by modern *śāstrīkars* as applicable to the present age. The same rule should have been held applicable in the present case.

868 Compare Manu IX 200 Yāj II 119 Viṣṇu 18 43 and Br p 371 v 12. In 5 Mad H C R 150 it was held that the rule was intended to apply strictly to hereditary property of which the members of the family had been violently or wrongfully dispossessed or adversely kept out of possession for a length of time.

869 This verse defines *vidyadhana* which has been a fruitful source of litigation in modern times. Verses 867-873 elucidate what is meant by *vidyadhana*. Compare Manu IX 206, Yāj II 119 Viṣṇu 18 42 G. mān. 28. 26 Nar p 191 v 11. This verse is quoted in *Zir, edel's General v All* 66 (at p 312) where it is said that the definition is not exhaustive but only illustrative.

which is acquired by means of learning received from another while subsisting on food furnished by a stranger

868 When a matter (a doubt or difficult point) has been placed (before an assembly of pandits) with a wager, whatever is gained by (showing one's superior) learning is known as *vidyadhana* (gains of learning), it is not divided at a partition

869-70 What is acquired from a pupil (i.e. by the profession of teaching) by performing the work of a priest at a sacrifice by propounding a question, by solving a doubtful point by exhibiting one's knowledge, by disputation with a

and it was held that, where a person learnt some astrology from his father and left his father at 14 and then made a fortune it was his self acquisition. The following leading cases lay down the limits within which gains of learning may not be liable to partition 2 Mad. H. C. 1. 56 6 Bom. H. R. p. 1 L. R. 4 I. A. 109 15 Bom. 32, 45 Cal. 666 (=L. 1. 45 I. A. 41), L. R. 45 I. 1, 16 (=2 Lahore 40). But now the Legislature has intervened and by Act XXX of 1930 all doubts have been removed and it is laid down that a Hindu member of a joint family who receives education at the expense of the family can keep his earnings as his self acquisition, however high the expenditure may be.

868 For another meaning of *apavaste* vide my notes on V. 31. 1. 213

869-70 By propounding a question (i.e. when a solution is given on a question made by a sinner &c. about the *prajapatih* for a fine), exhibiting one's knowledge (i.e. when there is a knotty historic point or when the question is what is to be put first at the lecture among laws &c. to be known). The same contrast is to be seen. The contrast is between *vidya* (purely literary studies) and *śilpa* (arts and crafts). Whoever acquires an art by his own exertion

rival, what is gained by deep learning — all this is declared to be *vidyadhana* and it is not divided at a partition

The same is the rule even in the case of artisans and whatever is obtained (as a reward &c) over and above the proper price of an article ( becomes the self acquisition of that member who sells it )

871 After vanquishing an adversary in a wager whatever is obtained by (superior) knowledge should be known as *vidyadhana* (gains of learning) and is not liable to be partitioned. This is the view of Bṛhaspati

872 What is gained by an assertion of one's learning what is obtained from a pupil (i.e. by teaching) and what is acquired on the analogy of priests officiating at a sacrifice —all these are known as *vidyadhana*, this is the view of Bāru

873 What is obtained by the superiority of one's learning and from a sacrificer (by officiating as a priest for him) and from a pupil (by teaching him) —all this is declared to be *vidyadhana* acquisitions other than these are common (i.e. jointly owned) with others

and not at joint family expense keeps his earnings as his self acquisition. 'Whatever is obtained &c. — If a member of a joint family is entrusted with the sale of an article belonging to the joint family and by his skill he sells it for a price far in excess of its real value or he gets a reward from the purchaser the excess or reward is his separate property

871 'Superior knowledge — of dice or gambling

872 'By an assertion &c. — by saying 'I alone of the assembled people know this science and proving this to be true' *ṛtein nyāya* is explained by the Sm C and V M as *upa dāśāna* (supervising a sacrifice &c) Vide Manu VIII 206 210 about the rewards of officiating priests at sacrifices

874 Uśhaspati declares that the wealth acquired by valour (in battle) by brothers who were instructed in the family or by the father is liable to be divided (among all the members of the family)

875 A learned man should never give to his unlearned kinsmen (brothers &c) anything from his gains of learning; but a learned man should give his gains of learning to those (of his kinsmen) who are equally learned or more learned than himself

876 Where a reward was given by the king (or master) being pleased by the actions of a person who putting his own life in danger did an act of strength (or valour) whatever is thus obtained is the wealth due to valour

877 What is acquired by valour and by learning and what is known as wealth of the wife—all this is not liable to be partitioned by co-sharers at the time of partition.

874 'Instructed in the family' i.e. instructed at the expense of joint family property or by the other members of the family such as the grand father, uncle &c. In all cases of partition of *vidyādhana* the acquirer got two shares as laid down by Vas 17 51

875 The first half contains a prohibition against a learned man giving a portion of his self acquired *vidyādhana* to his brothers who are not learned. Compare Gautama 28 28 Nār p 191 v 11 says that out of wealth acquired by learning, not imparted at family expense one need not give a share of it to his unlearned coheirs if he is unwilling to do so but impliedly allows him to do so if he so wills

876 This is Katyāyana's definition of 'śauryadhana', which he distinguishes from 'dhvanyādhana' (v 878). Other writers like Nārada (p 190 v 6) and Br (p 381 v 78) put both under 'śantryadhana'

877 'Wealth of the wife — *strīdhana* or *bhāryādhana* is wealth which a man gets at the time of being married. Br (p 381 v. 78) and Nār (190 v 6) speak of only 'bhāryādhana'.

878 What is called 'dhvajābhṛta' is declared to be impartible. That is said to be 'dhvajābhṛta' which is recovered from a battle after putting one's life in danger of death for the king (or master) and after putting to flight the army of the adversary.

879 What is obtained at the time of marriage with a maiden of the same caste is known as 'kanyāgata' (coming with a maiden) wealth. It is declared to be pure and as promoting prosperity.

880 That is known as 'vaivāhika' (nuptial) which comes with the wife, all wealth of this sort should be known as the means of (performing) dharma (religious duties).

881 Whatever is given at the time of marriage as meant for the bridegroom, all that is the wealth of the maiden and it cannot be partitioned by the cosherers.

882-883 Money that is entered in a document, whatever is intended (or set apart) for a religious purpose, water, slaves, a *nibandha* that descends hereditarily, clothes that

879-880 Manu IX 206 and Yāj II 118 speak of 'vaivāhika' or 'audvāhika' as impartible. That is the same as the 'bhāryadhana' of Nār and Br. But Kāt who has a great penchant for distinctions and definitions distinguishes between 'kanyāgata' and 'vaivāhika' both of which are really 'bhāryadhana'. The V M explains 'kanyāgata' differently. It is that wealth which a man obtains at the time of giving his daughter in marriage in the *arṣa* form. But this is far fetched. Vide Yāj I, 58 and Manu III, 29 for *arṣa* form.

881 'Wealth of the maiden' i.e. wealth that may be called 'kanyāgata' as in 879.

882 'Money document'—this means 'bond debts not yet recovered'. It is possible to take the first half of 882 as one clause (as the V M does) the meaning being 'what is entered in a document as set apart for religious purposes' 'Nibandha'—This is a technical word. It means 'a pension, a periodical payment in cash or kind or an allowance granted permanently by a king, a corporation or a village to a person, temple or a family'. The Mit. on

are worn on the body (every day) ornaments and whatever else that is not fit to be divided these should be so employed by co-sharers that they may be enjoyed (in common by all of them) at the proper time

Yaj II 121 gives as examples 'so many betel leaves from each bundle of betel leaves or so many betelnuts from each betelnut-load' (to be paid to a temple or Brahmana or other person) The Sm C gives as an example the agreement made by traders to deliver to a temple or Brahmana every year or every month a fixed sum 'Nibandha' is paraphrased as 'vriti' by V M The word 'nibandha' is rendered as 'corrody' by Colebrooke in his Digest, but as observed by the Privy council in *Falkenberg v Dossakallianpaya* L R 11, A p. 31 at p 51 it is not a very happy translation Vide *Collector of Thana v Krishnanath* I L R 5 Bom 322 at pp 331-32 (for a discussion of what is included in a *nibandha*), *Collector of Thana v Hara Sutarani* I L R 6 Bom 546 (P B) at pp 555-559, *Lakshmandas v Manohar* I L R 10 Bom 149, *Jalindra Mohan v Ghanshyam* I L R 50 Cal 366 at p 271 (where an annuity was held to be a *nibandha* and various definitions of *nibandha* are given) 'Water'—Rights to water and wells belonging to a joint Hindu family are ordinarily impartible and so the burden of proving that a well was exclusively assigned to one member at a partition is on him who alleges it, vide I L R 36 Bom 275 and 379 'Clothes that are worn'—(this means 'that clothes that are highly valuable and are worn only on festive occasions are to be divided' according to price Vide Br p 382 v 79-81 where he finds fault with Manu (IX, 219) and others for declaring that clothes and other things are impartible and suggests that they may be sold and the price divided &c These verses of Kat are quoted in I L R 30 Mad 340 at p 344 and *Khushalkhand v Mahadevgeri* (1875) P J p 276 refers to Kat and says that any portion once assigned for purposes of religion shall be excepted from partition so as to be kept available for its intended object Compare Guntama 28 44-45, Manu IX 219 and 200, Visnu 18 44, Sankha-Lukhita 'न वस्तुविभागे नादकवाप्रादहारावपुनर्व्ययमभास्य, अपा प्रचारात्मनापविभागायेति प्रवर्तते' quoted in V R p 503) Kaut p 161 (उदकवाप्यापि निश्चित भा विनश्येन्नित्याचारः)

884 A pasture for cattle way, clothes (every day) worn on the body money lent and what is set apart for religious purposes should not be divided this is the view of Brhaspati

884 A. Whatever is declared as the rule of law in a particular country caste group or village (the king) should prescribe partition of heritage in accordance with that (This is the view of) Bhrgu

( *Fresh partition as to concealed ancestral estate* )

885 If (joint) wealth was concealed but is afterwards found the sons should divide it equally with their brothers in the absence (i.e. death) of the father

886 Whatever was concealed from each other (by the co-sharers) and what was divided in an unjust manner and whatever was recovered after (partition) should be partitioned in equal shares This is the view of Bhrgu

884 It is better to read rathya (way) for 'raksa (which may mean a reserved pasture or forest) If 'raksa' is kept 'gopracara would mean way for leading cattle Pracara has two meanings way or pasture Vide *Shantaram v Vaasani* L. R. 47 Bom 380 at p 396 for the meaning of pracara Compare Br p 382 v 84 Prayojya was explained as a book by *Pārijiti* (which says that they should not be divided with fools) *Vishu* 19 44 reads 'दायकम् प्रसारकं न त्वन्यम् च पुनस्तद'

884 A This is practically the same as the last verse of the *Nautiliya* on *putracarbhāga* (II 7 p 160) *Manu* 118 speaks of the dharmas of देय्य लाति कुल and पुत्र

886 Divided in an unjust manner i.e. divided by giving unequal shares recovered after partition i.e. debts due from debtors of the family if recovered after partition should be equally divided The meaning is that all co-sharers are entitled to divide it and not be alone who finds out concealed wealth &c. Compare *Manu* IX 218 *Yaj* II 1.5 *Rant* p 161 दु वमकमन्धोन्वापकृतम तद न्यविद नो ए न वा पुनर्विमपनद

887 Whatever wealth was acquired by a man after he became separated belongs to him alone (exclusively); but whatever is found after it was carried off (by strangers) or after it was (given up as) lost and what is mentioned already should be again divided.

888 (The king) should not by force compel the co-sharer to return (joint) wealth taken away by one co-sharer; he should not make undivided coparceners to return (the price of the) enjoyment (over and above their proper share)

(Partition of a joint field etc even after a long time)

889-890 If a man, leaving the common country, goes to another country, his share should be given to his descendant when he comes (to demand it), there is no doubt. Even if he (the descendant) be the third, fifth or even seventh (from the man who left the country), he should get the share that descends hereditarily on his birth and family name being ascertained.

887 'Lost'—a deposit or debt that was regarded as irrecoverable at the time of partition mentioned already.—This refers to verse 886 viz. wealth concealed from other co-sharers or inequitably divided.

888 The first half means that a co-sharer who takes away more wealth out of the joint property than would properly fall to his share should be made to yield up by other modes than force (such as trick &c.) Vide v 478 above and Br. p. 391 v. 96. If when the family is undivided one co-sharer has more enjoyment of the joint property than others (because he has more children or because other members are absent) he cannot be made to account for the extra enjoyment to his co-sharers at the time of partition, because such excessive enjoyment by one is inevitable in a joint family. The *Vir.* reads 'nivartayati' which means 'the king should not prevent undivided co-sharers from enjoying the joint property'.

889-90 'Common country' i. e. the country where he and his co-sharers lived. Vide Br. p. 373 vv. 24-25 for same verse! Compare Art. 127 of the Indian Limitation Act.



891 The *gotrajas* (members of the family) should allow (a share in the ancestral) lands to the descendant of a man whom the *manus* and neighbours know to be a co owner (in the land) by descent, when he (the descendant) comes (after partition to demand his share)

892 If persons become separate as to ancestral wealth and then again begin to live together, they should again divide (their wealth after living together), but he who acquires property should get two shares

(*Indications of partition*)

893 When for ten years brothers reside (separately) doing religious observances separately and have separate transactions, they should be known as separate so far as ancestral property is concerned

891 The Sm C explains that this applies only to lands (and not to movables) and a share will be allowed after the lapse of a long time only as to land. For the meaning of *manus* vide v 743 above. This is the same as Br p 374 v 28.

892 This verse refers to a case where divided members again live together: i.e. reunite and mix up their shares and one of them acquires more wealth with the help of the wealth that is reunited and so is common. In such a case the acquirer gets two shares and the other members who have joined together get one share each. If any one of the reunited members acquires wealth with his own efforts without detriment to the reunited wealth he would keep it as his self-acquisition. This is the explanation given by the *Dāyabhāga* following *Saikara*. Vide *Vasistha* 17/51 and Br p 381 v 77.

893 'Others'—this is illustrative and stands for all members of a family that was once joint doing separately—the *dharma*s are such as *Vaisnavada* when members are joint worship of gods *Vaisnavada* and other *Mahayajnas* and rites are one. Compare *Manu* IX 111 *Gautama* 28/4 *Nār* p 108 v 37 and Br p 370 v 6. Transactions such as bearing witness lending money, standing surety (a. Compare *Nār* p 109 vv 33/40 and Br p 381 v 92 *Lakṣha* v *Da* *Imr* I L R 2 Bom. 293 refers to this verse (at p 309). This verse is almost the same as *Nār* p 109 v 41.

( *Definition of stridhana and the kinds thereof* )

894 What was given before the nuptial fire, what was given at the time of the bridal procession what was given to a woman through affection what was received from the brother mother or father this stridhana is declared to be sixfold

895 What is given to women ( by anybody ) at the time of marriage before ( the nuptial ) fire that is declared to be *adhyagni* stridhana by the wise

896 That again which a woman obtains when she is being taken ( in a procession ) from her father's house ( to the bridegroom's ) is termed stridhana of the *adhyavahanika* kind

894 Kātyāyana's treatment of stridhana is classical and the fullest of all smṛti writers. This verse is practically the same as Manu IX 194. The Mit explains that the mention of six is not meant to exclude a larger number but means that there cannot be less than six kinds. In *Bhagavadgītā* v *Mynabai* II Moore's Indian Appeals at 513 it is said that the Vivādacintamani and the Mayukha confine *stridhana* within the definition of Manu and Kātyāyana and that they exclude the property inherited and the other acquisitions which are comprehended in the 1st clause of the para of the *Mitākṣarā*. Compare Yaj II 143 Viṣṇu 17 18 for these and other kinds of stridhana. In the *Taittirīya Saṃhitā* VI 2 1 1 we read for the wife is master of her household gear. This is the germ of the law of Stridhana. Āp Dh S II 6 14 9 says that the ornaments of a wife belong to her. Vas 17 40 refers to the marriage gifts of a woman and Baud Dh S II 21, 4 says the ornaments of a woman go to her daughters. Gāṇḍama 28 22-24 has three sūtras on stridhana.

895 The V R ( p 593 ) says that when the married girl is taken back from the bridegroom's house to her father's what is given by her father-in-law and others is also *adhyavahanika* and the V C says that it is what is obtained at the time of *dvayagamana*.

897. Whatever is given ( to a woman ) through affection by the father-in-law or mother-in-law and what is received at the time of saluting the feet of elders is termed *prthidatta* ( gift through affection )

898 That is declared to be *śulka*, which is obtained as the price of household utensils, of beasts of burden, of milch cattle, ornaments and slaves

899 Whatever is obtained by a woman after marriage from the family of her husband and also what is similarly obtained from the family of her ( father's ) kinsmen is said to be *anvādheya* ( gift subsequent )

900 Whatever is obtained by a woman through affection after her marriage from her husband or from her parents, that is *anvādheya*. This is the view of Bhṛgu

898 'Śulka' generally means 'bride price' i.e. the price paid by the bridegroom for giving the girl in marriage. Vide Manu III 51 who prohibits the taking of a *śulka* by the father of the girl for himself, but in III 54 allows *śulka* being taken if it is kept apart for the girl herself. The Sm C and Vir explain it as the price of the articles which the bridegroom was in the habit of presenting to the bride at the time of marriage or when he started a home. The Dāyabhāga explains that it is either that wealth which is obtained by a wife as a bribe from artisans for inducing her husband to embark on building a house &c. or it is what is given to a married girl for inducing her to go to her husband's house.

899-900 The two verses convey practically the same meaning. Many digests omit 900. If v 900 is really Kātyāyana's as the Dāyabhāga and V R. say then Kat is therein merely transcribing Bhṛgu's view which somewhat restricts the scope of *anvādheya*. Vide Manu IX. 193 which contains the word '*anvādheya*'. In L. L. R. 33 Cal. 313 the definition of *anvādheya* ( v 899 ) is quoted ( at p. 320 ) and it is held that a permanent lease at a nominal rent granted by a father to his married daughter is her *anvādheya stridhana*. In *Suāhu* v, *Parasūra* 3 Rom. L. R. 201 it is said that *anvādheya* extends to gifts from parents as well as to gifts from the husband ( p. 206 )

901 That is known as *saudāyika* which is obtained, by a married woman or by a maiden in her husband's or father's house from her brother or from her parents

( Discussion of ownership of *stridhana* )

902 The father, mother the husband, brother and kinsmen should give *stridhana* to a woman according to their means up to two thousand ( *panas* ) except immoveable property

901 *Saudāyika* is a technical word used in a peculiar sense by Kāt. For its derivation vide my notes to V. M. p. 285. *Saudāyika* comprehends several kinds of *stridhana* property. It is specially coined for saying that over *Saudāyika* *stridhana* a woman has absolute power of disposal even during her husband's lifetime. It is that wealth which a woman receives from her brother or parents or their relatives but not from her husband or his relations. This is the interpretation of the Sm C and V. I. (p. 511) but the *Dāyabhāga* reads ' *सौदयिका* ' which, runs counter to this explanation. In I L R. 39 Mad 298 Kātyāyana's definition of *saudāyika* is quoted (at p. 300) the views of the Sm C and other digests are set out and it is held that a gift by a father of immoveable property to his daughter before marriage is *saudāyika* and at her absolute disposal. In 3 Bom L R. 201, at p. 207 it is said that *Saudāyika* is not used in contradistinction, to a *stridhana* in connection with succession.

903 Whatever was given to a woman on an occasion (or condition) or with a fraudulent intent by the father, brother or husband is not held to be stridhana

904 In that wealth which is obtained (by a woman) by mechanical arts or from a stranger through affection the ownership is of the husband, the rest is declared to be the stridhana

905 906 On obtaining wealth of the *saudayika* kind it is held (it desired) that women have independent ownership (over it), since it was given by them (by the kindred)

903 If the father or husband gives some ornaments to his daughter or wife for wearing on some special occasion (*upādhi* means condition) or if a father or husband in fraud of his coparceners gives some family property to his daughter or wife that cannot become her stridhana

904 A stranger is from one who is a friend but not the father or brother or other near relative. This verse according to the *Dāyabhāga* and *Vir* means that over such wealth the husband has complete power of disposal even when he is not in distress and the woman who acquires it has no power of gift or sale over it without the husband's consent. But the object of the verse is not to deny that it is stridhana at all. In *Muktā Samakṣina v. Narayana: Gaudin* 33 Mid 1036 this verse of Kāt is quoted (at p 1040) and it is held that all the texts recognise the wife's ownership in the property acquired by her own labour; they only restrict her right of alienation and make it subject to the wishes of the husband and that where husband and wife jointly earned profits in a trade and purchased properties, the properties were jointly of the husband and wife and on the wife's death her interest devolved on her heirs and not on the husband.

905 906 In order condition — this is the explanation of *Annamasyartha* according to V R p 511, others explain it as meaning 'out of affection' always — even during husband's lifetime. The Digests quote a text of Narada that over gifts made by the husband through affection women have absolute power

as a support in order that they may not be reduced to a terrible (or wretched) condition. It has been declared that women always have independence in their wealth as regards sale or gift at their pleasure and even in immovables (if *saudāyika*).

907 A woman, when her husband is dead, may deal with the gift given by her husband just as she pleases, but

of disposal except over immovables (which she cannot dispose of at her pleasure even after his death) Vy 90a 90b are quoted in 1 Mad H C R p 85 at p 90 (note). *Bhagvathidas v Kalyanasree* 11 Bom 285 (F B) refers to Kat on *saudāyika* (at p 302). In *Ramu v Raghunath* 1 L R 34 Bom 223 these two verses and the definition of *saudāyika* are quoted (on p 233) and it is held that except as to the kind known as *saudāyika* a woman's power of disposal over her *stridhana* is during coverture subject to her husband's consent and that she cannot dispose of such *stridhana* (other than *saudāyika*) by will where the husband survives her and is not shown to have assented to the will. Vide *Bhagavathal v Bai Divali* 27 Bom L R 633 (where when a woman had lived 30 or 40 years apart from her husband inherited property from her father and willed it away it was held that she was in the peculiar circumstances of the case competent to dispose off by will without her husband's consent) where 30 Bom 299 was distinguished. Vide *Yathakhan v Jaffer* 1 L R 1 Bom 191 where verse 90b is relied upon (at p 193) for the proposition that a Hindu female is not on account of her sex absolutely disqualified from entering into a contract. In spite of the text of Nārada referred to above it was held in *Mulchanil v Bai Manchanil* 1 L R 7 Bom 191 that an absolute bequest by a Hindu of his separate immovable property to his widow confers on her as full dominion and power of alienation over that property as if the bequest had been made to a stranger.

907 This verse is variously interpreted. Bhartidaya's is explained by the Sm C as the *stridhana* given to a woman by her husband through affection' while the V R and V C take *stridāyika* as meaning the husband's own property. According to Sm C in *Priddatta stridāyika* a from the husband a woman has

she should preserve it while he is alive, or she may spend ( the affectionate gift of the husband ) on his family

908-910 If the husband has married two wives and he does not honour ( reside with ) her ( one of them ) he should be forcibly made to return ( by the king the stridhana of the ill treated wife ) even when she bestowed it upon him through affection ( for him ), where food raiment and residence are denied to ( or withheld from ) a woman, she may exact her own stridhana and also the share ( that would have fallen to her husband on partition ) from the coparceners ( of her husband ) This is the rule of law laid down by *Likhita* when she recovers ( her wealth ) she should reside in the husband's house if she is afflicted with disease she may at the time of death go to her kinsmen

after his death absolute power of disposal except over immovables given by him and that during her husband's life she can dispose of the affectionate gifts of the husband only with his consent ( even moveables ) The last pāda would also mean she may pass her day in her husband's family 'She should preserve it — means 'she cannot dispose of it without his consent' The verse is interpreted by *V R* and *V C* means a woman succeeding to her husband's wealth after his death because there is no son &c. may dispose of it as she pleases except immovable property and that when the husband is alive she can spend it only with his consent The *V R* ( p 512 ) says that *Halayudha* and *Parīkṣit* took *bhartṛdāya* to mean gifts of affection made by the husband and it prefers the view of *Prakāśa* *I L R* 1 *Mad* 281 quotes this verse at p 256

908-910 In 11 *Moore's I A* 487 at p 511 and in 8 *Mad* 290 at p 291 this verse is quoted 908 909 are ascribed to *Devala* by *V M* but other ancient and weighty authorities ascribe them to *Kaṭ* Both 908 and 909 refer to the husband only 'Husband's house — that is though the husband does not honour her she must stay in her husband's house This is the old Hindu sentiment to which classical expression is given in the *Śakuntala* Act V verse 17





915 The husband the sons the brothers in law and kinsmen on the paternal side of a woman are declared to have no power over her *stridhana* while she is alive, those that deprive her of it should be fined

916 That *stridhana* which was promised (to a woman) by her husband should be paid to her as a debt by the sons (of the husband), provided she resides in the husband's family - she should not reside with her paternal family

(Hears to the wealth of a deceased woman)

917-918 Sisters having husbands should share with their brothers the *stridhana* of their mother this is the rule of law and a partition (among the brothers and sisters) is prescribed.

915 Vide v 912 and Manu VIII 29 who says that the fine is the one for a thief.

916 \*The sons of the husband i.e. either her own sons or step sons This is only illustrative even the grand son would be liable to pay it as he is liable to pay a debt of the grandfather The first half is attributed to Devala in V M Compare verse 910 above

917-918 There is great divergence of view as regards the succession to *stridhana* among the smṛti writers and the *subandhā* *laxas*. The Mit. on Yaj II 145 speaks of two lines of devolution, one for *sulka* (following Gautama 28 23) and the other for all kinds of *stridhana* other than *sulka* (following Gautama 28 22 Yaj II 117 latter half) The Mayukha speaks of five different lines of devolution viz for *ancestral* and *dharmaputrika*, (II) for *Yatula* (III) for *sulka* IV for *stridhana* of the technical kind other than the first three (V) for *stridhana* which is not technical The two verses 917 and 918 are apparently in conflict the first saying that sisters whose husbands are living take along with brothers, while 918 says that sons succeed to *stridhana* only on

In the absence ( i.e. on failure ) of daughters the wealth ( *stridhana* ) goes to the sons ( of the deceased woman ), the wealth given by a woman's ( paternal or maternal ) kinemen goes in the absence of *landhus* to her husband

919 Whatever immoveable property was given by the parents to their daughter always goes to her brothers, if she dies without progeny

failure of daughters. Therefore 918 must be interpreted to mean (in accordance with Gautama 28. 33) that unmarried daughters succeed in preference to married daughters and sons. Verse 917 applies to a case where there are no maiden daughters and it lays down that married daughters whose husbands are living succeed along with sons. The word 'abhartakāh' excludes widowed daughters of the deceased woman when there are married ones. The V R says that verse 918 (first half) applies to marriage gifts, *yautaka* and gifts given by father only. With 917 compare Manu IX 192 and vide the explanation of Mit. on Yāj II 145 of this verse of Manu where it is made to mean that full brothers take equally the wealth of their mother and that full sisters do so. The verse of Manu does not mean according to the Mit. that the brothers and sisters succeed together. Bṛ p 383 v 87 and Nar p 191 v 3 both say in a general way that *stridhana* goes to the children. The Sm. C says that the latter half applies to the case of a woman married in any of the three forms of marriage viz *śura*, *rākṣasa* and *pañśa*. That half may also mean 'on failure (of even sons) the wealth given by paternal or maternal relatives goes to such relatives and in their absence to the husband'. Compare with 917 Kaut. III 2 p 153 'अपति मर्ति पुत्राय पुत्रा दुहितर्य स्त्रीष्वपि विभक्तम्' अयुवाय दुहितर 'हदधाने भार्या' and with 918 (lower half) Kaut. 'पुत्रस्य वापेयस्य दादपुत्रिणस्य वा-परा पत्युः'. The *Mañukha* has 'putragāmi' in 918. The *Samyama* says that 918 applies to *śulka* and the Sm. C that it applies to a marriage other than the first five.

919 'Without progeny'—this is illustrative here and in 92 and includes daughter's daughter and son and son's son also.

920. That stridhana which was obtained by a woman from her parents in the forms of marriage beginning with the *asura* is desired (held) to go to her parents on failure of her progeny

( *Heirs such as the widow to the wealth  
of a sonless man* )

921 "A sonless (widow) preserving the bed of her husband (unsullied) and residing with her elders and being self controlled (or forbearing) should enjoy (her husband's property) till her death, after her the (other) heirs (of the husband) would get it (succeed to it)

920 'In the forms of *Asura* — i e in any one of the four forms *Asura gāudharva rakṣasa* and *paśara*. These four forms are said to be unapproved and the other four, *brāhma*, *daiva*, *Arśa* and *priyapatya* are said to be approved forms. Vide Manu III 21 and Yāj I 58 61 for the eight forms. The essence of the *Asura* form is the receipt of a bride price from the bridegroom. Compare Manu IX 197 and Yāj II 145 who prescribes that on failure of progeny stridhana goes to the husband, if the marriage be in the *brāhma* and other approved forms and to the father if it is in the *asura* and the other unapproved forms. Compare Kautilya p 152 (text)

921 'Should enjoy — i e, she has no power of sale or gift 'Heirs' of her husband such as daughter daughters son mother, father, brother &c. Being self controlled — the V C explains 'kṣanta' as not spending too much. Compare Dr p 377 vv 49 50 and Kaut (III 2 p 153) 'अपुत्रा वनिश्वर्यम वारवत्ता पुत्रसमाप्ते स्त्री धनमायुः स्याद्भुजति आरुद्रम् हि स्त्री धनम् । अथ दायाद न-उ-१ I L R 2 AH 150 (P B) refers to this verse of Lat (at p 152) and holds that subsequent unchastity does not cause a forfeiture in the case of a widow. This verse and v 924 are the foundation of the rights of a widow and the reversioners after her death. In 5 Patna 616 at p 618 this verse is quoted and it is held that a widow can make a gift of a small portion of immovable property to the bridegroom at the time of her only daughter's marriage. Vide 5 Lahore p 70 also. In *Bhagwandeen v Myna Bai* 11 Moore's I A, 487 at p 511 this verse is quoted. 8 Mad 290 at p 293 quotes this verse and v 924

922-923 When her husband is gone to heaven (is dead) the wife is entitled only to food and raiment if her husband was not separated or she may get a share in (ancestral) wealth till her death (The widow) intent on serving her elders is entitled to enjoy the share allotted to her, if she does not serve (her elders), food and raiment should be assigned to her

924-925 (A wife) who keeps (the honour of) the family would get the share of her husband, when he is dead, till her death, but she has no power for gift, mortgage or sale (A widow) engrossed in religious observances and fasts, fixed in (the vow of) celibacy, always intent on restraining (her

922-923 These verses mean that when the husband dies unseparated (or reunited) his widow may at the choice of the other members get a share in the joint family property or she may be given only food and raiment. Elders' is the father-in-law &c. Verse 922 is quoted in I L R. 2 Bom 494 at p 511 and at p 532 (F B)

924-925 These verses do not absolutely forbid a widow from making a gift or sale of her husband's property, on the contrary Kāt enjoins on her the duty of making gifts. As the V M says the prohibition as to gifts applies to gifts to birds &c. The Privy Council laid down in 8 Moo I A 29 that 'for religious and charitable purposes and those which are supposed to conduce to the spiritual welfare of her husband' a widow has very large powers of disposition. Verse 924 is referred to in *Narasimha v. Venkaiadri* 8 Mad 200 at p 202 and it is held that the restrictions on the widow's power apply to both moveables and immoveables and in *Elanga v. Jyoti* 11 Moores Indian Appeals p 487 it was held that both properties are included in the text of Kāt. *Pandharinath v. Goind* I L R 32 Bom 59 refers to this verse and holds that a Hindu widow is not competent to make a gift of moveables inherited from her husband (p 70). In I L R 43 Bom 136 both verses are referred to (at p 143) and it was held that a gift by a Hindu widow of 4/5ths of her husband's property for the religious benefit of her husband was not valid. Vide 11 All 130 at p 145. Even though sonless.—It was believed that one to whom no son was born did not go to heaven vide Ait Br VII 3 *nāputrasya lokottih* &c., Tai Sam VI 3 10 5 (about the three debts), Śaṭa pītha Brahmana I 7 2 1. Tai Sam I 4 46) *prapitṛagao*

sonless) and making gifts would go to heaven even though sonless

926 The widow if chaste, takes the wealth of her husband in default of her, the daughter (takes the wealth) if she be unmarried

927 Of a sonless man (the heirs) are declared to be wife of good family, daughters on default of them father, mother, brother and (brothers) sons

928 When a person dies separated (or in a state of separation) on default of sons his father takes his wealth or his brother, or mother or father's mother in order

*amrtatvam atyām* An exception was made in the case of those who observed a vow of perpetual student hood. Compare *Ap Dh S I 1 4 29* and *Manu V 159 160* (where it is expressly said that a widow who observes perpetual celibacy after her husband's death goes to heaven even though sonless just as ascetics who observed perpetual studenthood went to heaven without sons)

926 Compare *Manu IX 130* *Nar p 201 v 60* *Br p 378 vv 55 5* for the claims of the daughter. From *Ap Dh S II 6 14 4* (*dāhīlā va*) it appears that her claims were not clearly recognised in his day. In a competition between unmarried and married daughters the unmarried were preferred v do the well known sutra of Gautama 28 27. It is to be noted that Kāt does not expressly require chastity in the case of the daughter as he does in the case of the widow (before the latter can succeed as he r). In *Aśyāpā v P 1 301 I L R 4 Bom 104* this verse of Kāt is quoted (on p 114) and it was held that the daughter was not debarred from inheritance on the ground of incontinence. V do also *I All 46 (F B)*. In *11 Bom 285 (F B)* it was held that a daughter inheriting from her mother or father takes an absolute estate which on her death passes to her heirs but this is not the law in Madras Benares and Bengal. Vide *L R 47 I A 213 at p 233*.

927 Compare *Yaj II 135 136 V sūta 17 411* for the order among these heirs. There was great divergence of view on the order of heirs. Vide *Gautama 28 19* *Ap Dh S II 6 14 2-4* *Kaut p 160* (who omits *पुत्र*) *Sankha Likhita* (अथागुप्तस्य स्वपुत्रस्य मातुष्मदि प्राप्य पुत्रमात्रं विहाय विहाय पुत्रं वा न विहाय) quoted in *Mīt* and *Apararka*.

928 Compare *Manu IX 185* and *217* where the father brothers mother and father's mother are mentioned as heirs

929. A wife who is full of evil deeds, who is immodest, who wastes property and who is given to adultery does not deserve (inherit) the wealth (of her husband).

930 Whatever a woman does that relates to (benefit in) future state (i.e. state after death) without the permission of her father, husband or son would become fruitless

931 Hairless (property) goes to the king after keeping aside (wealth sufficient to provide) for the women, the servants, and the s'vaddhas (of the deceased), the wealth of a

929 This verse is quoted in I All 46 (I B) at p 49

930 Ia) I 85 says that father, husband and sons protect a woman respectively in her maidenhood, married life and old age and a woman has no independence. The same idea is expressed here. If a woman performs certain observances for the benefit of her soul after her death without the permission of the father and the rest, she does not reap the fruit of those acts

931 Compare Nār p 202 v 52 for a similar provision for the 'women (strī)'. The Mit, V M and other works say that 'women' here means 'the concubines of the deceased since a king cannot take the wealth when the wife is living. That the king was the ultimate heir and that the property of an heirless brahmana did not go to the king are propositions laid down by all ancient writers. Vide Band Db S I 5 102 (अस्मादन्ता वाङ्मनस वाददीन परम स्वर्ग-  
त्तु न नान्यथा), Mann IX 180 Ap Dh S II 6 14 5, Gautama 28 39-40, Vas 17 83 87 (who requires it to be given to those learned in the three Vedas and those who are virtuous) Vignu 17 13 14 Kaṭ p 161 (अद्वयदत्त राजा हस्त स्त्रीपुत्रितत्तद्वैवर्तमपन ओषिद्वद्व्याद ।  
त्त्वेन परम स्वर्गत्तु) This verse is quoted in I L R 2 Bom 573 at p 608 and is the foundation of the right to maintenance of a concubine (against the heirs of the deceased) recognised in modern decisions. Vide I L R 12 Bom 26 (where it is held after quoting this verse that continued continence is a condition precedent to a concubine of a deceased person claiming maintenance) 26 Bom 163 (where it was said that the concubine has no legal right against her paramour but on the latter's death, she has a legally enforceable right against the heir if she continued to be a concubine up to the

brāhmana learned in the Vedas ( when there is no heir ) should be assigned to other learned brāhmanas.

932 In the absence (of sons grand sons and great grand sons or the wife) the re-united coparceners are declared to take the wealth of ( deceased re-united coparceners ) and separated kinsmen of ( deceased separated kinsmen ), they being persons who mutually inherit though they are not the descendants of each other

( Gambling and prize-fighting )

933 One should not resort to gambling which inflames the passions and greed ( of men ), which engenders bad charac

death of the deceased and was contingent afterwards ; This last case was approved of in L R 53 I A 163 ( = 50 Bom 604 ) where it was held that an *asurādītha* woman ( a concubine ) of the deceased can claim maintenance from his heirs out of the estate of the deceased but it is not a condition that she should have resided in the same house with the deceased together with his wife and regular family Vide I L R 48 Bom 203 ( where a kept mistress whose husband was alive was not treated as a concubine entitled to maintenance from the heirs of her deceased paramour ) The wealth of a brāhmana etc This direction of the ancient sages as to the wealth of a brāhmana has not been respected in modern times Vide *Collector of Masulipatnam v Corraly Venkat* ; 8 Moore's Indian Appeals 500 at p 537

932 This verse is somewhat obscure The translation is made according to V O and V M *nirbhāṣyābhāṣinā* is explained by V O as 'निरुद्धावस्थायां स्थितः'

933 Gambling is one of the most ancient vices. Rigveda V 34 is a hymn which contains the lament of a gambler There are numerous references to gambling in the Vedas vide Rig I 41 9 VII 86 6 Vājasaneyi Sam 30 18 ( *akṣa rāṣya kṣaram* ) Pāṇini ( II 1 10 II 3 57 58 IV 4 2 and IV 4 19 ) in several sūtras explains words referring to gambling and mentions *akṣa* ( dice ) and *astakā* as instruments of gambling Ap Dh 8 ( II 10 25 12 13 ) refers to gambling houses Manu ( IX 215 ) defines gambling as that which is carried on with inanimate objects ( like dice, draughts etc ) and 'samāhṛaya' as that which is carried on by means of sentient beings ( i.e. birds like cocks and animals like rams and bulls ) Nar p 212 v 1 and Br p 385 v 3 make the same distinction Manu IX 22; 227 condemns gambling and calls

ters which is cruel, and causes loss of wealth to men

934 Since strife is certain ( to follow ) from gambling just as poison ( is sure to issue forth ) from the mouth of a serpent, therefore the king should stop this vice in his country

935 If it ( gambling ) has to remain ( has to be allowed ) he (the king) should allow it to be done openly with an ( ornamental ) arch erected near the door (of the gambling hall) in order that respectable people may not be mistaken ( about its real nature ) and he should make it yield revenue ( tax )

936 The keeper of the gaming house should make the gambling go on and should himself pay to the king ( the latter ) dues He ( the keeper ) should take from the defeated party ten per cent as his profit

937 The keeper should give to the winner his money (out of his own pocket) and he should recover from the defeated gambler within three fortnights or at once, there is no doubt ( about this rule )

---

upon the king to punish gamblers and vintners and to banish them from the kingdom, while Kautilya (III 20 p 197 text) allows gambling under the supervision of the king's officers and Yaj II 199 203 also does the same and says that regulated gambling is helpful in detecting thieves Br p 385 v 1 refers to this divergence of views between Manu and other legislators

934 Since strife &c -compare Manu IX 227 which is the same as Mahabharata Udyogaparva 37 19

935 Gambling may be carried on secretly or openly Even Yaj II 203 precribes that those who carry on gambles secretly should be branded and banished, with an arch &c - that is it had probably an arch with a sign board and from its exterior it must have been clear to everybody that it was a gambling den and not an ordinary habitation Compare Br p 385 v 5 for gambling being allowed only if done openly

936 Compare Nar p 212 v 2 / for a closely similar verse ) and Br p 386 v 8 Yaj II 199 Kautilya ( III 20 p 198 text ) allows only five per cent to the keeper besides the hire for supplying gambling accessories and for water and accommodation The last half may also mean that he should take 10 per cent as interest if the defeated party has not paid down the wager in cash

937 The reading hitavad dhana samdaya ( he should recover at once when there is doubt as to whether the money will be forthcoming ) is better



938 Where ( the throw ) of a man playing with dice in gambling is the same as or double ( of the previous throw ) there the ( former ) gambler is the winner and his protection ( from the other gamblers ) is to be arranged for

939 Or the gambler (himself), after giving to the king his share ( of revenues ) as declared, should carry on gambling openly In this way he will be guilty of no fault

940 The keeper of the gaming house should make the defeated gambler forcibly pay what ( the defeated ) has to pay in the same place ( i.e. in the gaming hall ) and not else where, since the procedure ( in gambling matters ) entirely rests with the keeper of the gaming house.

941 A person ignorant ( of gambling ) if defeated ( in gambling ) should be released ( from his liability ), but one who knows gambling should not be released if he is defeated in secret ( gambling ), when one knowing gambling has lost his all ( in a wager ) he should not be made to pay his all

942 In disputes ( among gamblers ) in ( deciding on ) victory, in the matter of the gain ( to the winner ), and as regards the means ( i.e. dice ) of those who are ( alleged to be ) playing with false dice, the keeper of the gaming house if he is honest, is the final authority

943 In the case of mlecchas, cāṇḍālas ( lit dog eaters ), rogues, gamblers vesties the decision is to those who ( are

938 The translation of this verse is merely tentative. Compare a similar verse in Nar p 213 v 3

939 This verse is an exception to the rule mentioned by Br p 38 v 2 that gambling should be carried on under the eye of a master of the gaming house. The gambler - includes also the plural. 'No fault - i.e. he will not be guilty of depriving the state of its revenue and of engaging in gambling unauthorizedly. Compare Nar p 213 v 8 which is the same

941 Compare Br p 38 v 7

943 The idea is that the king should not take upon himself to decide their disputes but should assign that work to other gamblers etc. who alone are proper judges (and witnesses also) in such cases

alleged to) have violated their conventions does not rest with the king

( *Miscellaneous* )

944-946 Whatever was omitted in the preceding discourse ( on the titles of law ), and whatever is cut off from its proper context, what is taken from another system of knowledge and is not quite appropriate, what is stated at the close of the sâstra ( i.e. of the dharmaśâstra ) by way of illustrations and occupies the position of being ( more or less ) a repetition — those passages which are set forth in this way are called *prakīrnaka*. The duties of kings one's own duties and disquisition on doubtful matters and what is omitted from the preceding discourse all this is *prakīrnaka*

947-948 ( Fixing ) a proper share ( of the produce )

Vide Yāj II 202 (first half) Nār p 213 v 4 Br p 386 v 6 for the same idea.

944-946 There is some difference as to the exact meaning of 'prakīrnaka'. Manu ( VIII 47 ) does not enumerate among his eighteen titles such a separate title as 'prakīrnaka'. Yāj does not expressly name the word nor does he define it, but according to the Mit ( and other digests ) the last few verses ( 290-307 ) of his second chapter ( on Vyavahāra ) treat of prakīrnaka. This word literally means 'scattered about'. Nār p 214 v 1 & sets out the subjects that fall under this head, the first of which is transgression of the king's commands and the last is 'whatever is omitted from the discussion of the preceding titles of law'. And Br p 386 v 1 says that *prakīrnaka* comprehends those causes that are instituted by the king himself ( and not by a private person ). It is therefore that the Mit ( on Yāj II 293 ) says that that judicial investigation which is started by the king himself is prakīrnaka. Kāt mentions only a few out of the thirteen subjects that Nārada enumerates. In verses 949-951 Kāt. mentions some of the topics that fall under prakīrnaka according to Nārada. *Tantra* means *siddhānta* ( propositions propounded as a system of knowledge or philosophy. Compare Njāyasūtra I 1 28-31. It is difficult to connect the accutatives in 'dharmañ' in v 946 and to say definitely what 'svadharma' here means. We should probably read 'rājadharmā' svadharma ca' and 'svadharma' may be taken to mean 'the attributes of the soul'.

947-948 It is probable that the proper reading is 'sālbhāga' ( 1/3 of the produce ). Kāt ( II 15 p. 93 ) and Manu VIII 307 distinguish between 'sālbhāga' and 'kara', though very often 'kara'

as the king's dues of subsidies (from vassals) and of tolls what is to be paid at the pits divalging (the secrets) of a battle or conspiring with thieves assault on another's wife, desire to kill (i.e. attempt to kill) cows and brahmanas, destruction of crops — these ten wrongs the king should himself look into (i.e. should start and investigate)

949 950 Non performance of *prayascittas* (penances), transgression of (royal) commandments and arrests (*asedha*), the obliteration (or destruction) of (the duties of) the *varnas* and *as'ramas* (the four stages of life), the prevention of the confusion of castes (finding of) treasure-trove (accumulation

simply means tax Kaut (II 21 and 22) has two chapters on the superintendent of tolls (called *śūkhadhyaśka*) and on the levying of tolls. According to ancient ideas the king was entitled to one-sixth of all wealth (which was called his *ratana* wages in *Sāntiparva* 71 10) and also of the merit (*punya*) of his subjects. Vide Band Dh S I 10 1 (वसुधैवकुतूभाय नमः) Gautama X 74 (6th was the maximum) Vas I 42 44 (राजा तु योगेश्वरस्य वसुधैव कुटूभाय नमः) Manus VII 130-132 and VIII 305. It is difficult to say what *garta* (pit) means, probably the reference is to mines. Vide Kaut (II 12) on mines as a royal preserve and also Vigna 3 55. Under Sec 69 of the Bombay Land Revenue Code all mines and minerals belong to Government, except where Government has alienated its rights. For ten *aparādhas* mentioned by Pītāmaha vide note to v 27 above. For including the fines for ten *aparādhas* in royal grants vide grant of Dhruvasena of Valabhi (619-90 A D) in E. I vol. I p 65 and also in E. I I 55 E. I vol. VI p 136. According to Narada quoted in Sm C III p 63 transgression of commands killing a female confusion of castes illicit intercourse with another's wife, robbery pregnancy from a man other than the husband abuse, insulting (or obscene) language, assault and abortion were ten *aparādhas*. Vide Nar p 234 vv 11 12.

949 950 For *asedha* vide note on v 101. It would be better to read *kopanam* (fomenting or provoking) for *lopanam*. 'Treasure-trove' — the ancient Hindu Law on this point was that the finder of treasure-trove if he informed the king was to get one sixth and the rest went to the king; that if the finder was a learned brāhmana he could keep the whole and that the king was to some the whole and also inflict a fine if the finder did not inform the king.

of) wealth without useful employment of it, ( sudden ) accession of riches in the case of an indigent man, — after clearly ascertaining these through spies, the king should himself employ preventive measures

931-952 Matters on which no express provisions are found in the *śāstras*, the wranglings of disputants about the burden ( or means ) of proof fomenting ( dissensions or hatred ) among the constituent elements of the state the mutual conventions ( to be observed by the constituent elements of the state ) whatever actions not permitted by the *śāstras* come into vogue among the subjects — these the king should ( try to ) settle ( or reduce ) by such expedients as conciliation division &c

953 ( The king ) should employ the punishment of words towards his allies ( or friends ) the punishment in the word ' Śa ' in the case of ascetics Whatever is expressly declared

Vide Gaṇtam X, 43-45 Vas, III 13, Manu VIII 35-39, Yaj II 34 Yajnu III 36-64 The Indian Treasure-trove Act ( VI of 1875 ) requires the finder to give notice in writing to the Collector of the District ( sec 4 ) and prescribes that on failure to give such notice he will forfeit the treasure and be punishable with one year's imprisonment or fine or both Accession of riches etc. — the king was to investigate how a poor man at once became rich ( whether it was by theft or other offences )

951-953 'Matters etc' — compare Manu XII 108 ( where also the word *anumitta* is used ). The reading should be *kriyāyāda ca vādinām*. The meaning of *kriyāyāda ca vādinām* is not quite clear constituent elements of the state there are seven such elements ( *prakṛtis* ) according to Manu IX 294 ( the king the ministers the country the treasury the fort the capital army and allies are the seven ) and Kaut ( VI 96 p 237 ) whatever actions etc — compare Yaj I 351 expedients — of royal policy are four vide notes on v 192 above and Manu VII 10-109

953 Vide notes above on v 481 where the four kinds of dāya

(in the *śāstra* for a certain offender) that should be done in his case, if nothing is said (in the *śāstra*) then he (the king) should well prescribe (the proper punishment)

954 He who succeeds in his cause by means of false evidence (document) or by a false seal, should be made to pay the highest amercement

955 Those who are addicted to the pastimes (specially reserved) for kings, those who betake to the avocation of the king those who are given to speaking what is distasteful (to the king) should be awarded corporal punishment

956 Those who imitate the king in his appearance (dress &c.), those who become spectators (when they should be doing their duties) those who recover more taxes (than are due) and those who steal the king's wealth should be punished with various corporal punishments.

957 —Same as 486 above

*viz* *vāk*, *dāś*, *dāna* and *udāha* are mentioned Compars B p 387  
vv 4-8

954 Vide Manu IX 232, (who prescribes death for manufacturing a false royal edict) Yaj II 295 (who prescribes highest amercement for making unauthorised alterations in royal edicts) Śāṅkha—Lukhita (वृत्तसुसन्नामिणे राजसुसन्नामिण्ये वृत्तसुसन्नामपतिमानव्यवहारे प्राप्तिरेव वहेतुः अ' quoted in V R p 369)

955 Pastimes etc — we have to understand 'without the permission of the king' here and in the next clause, 'betake to the avocation of the king' i.e. those who take upon themselves the function of protecting the people (without the king's permission) 'those who are given to do' — the punishment is prescribed for persistent indulgence in uttering what is distasteful to the king (*viz* praising his enemies &c.) Compare Yaj II 302 303 for punishment for persistent utterance of what is distasteful and riding the king's own horse or sitting on his throne

956 Spectators — those who look on dancing &c. that is going on before the king neglecting their duties as servants of the king those who recover — The V, II p 369 explains that those who take fine in excess of those declared by the king are meant,

958 (The king) should ask the culprit when (caught) handed the reason why he committed the offence and then having carefully brought the guilt home to him, he (the king) should prescribe the punishment

959 When an offence is committed by any one, who is well-conducted through helplessness or ill luck, in that case (the king) should not prescribe a punishment

960 Kings who prescribe proper punishments are honoured even by gods, (the king) should award the first part of a fine for only beginning (an offence), the middle part for being in the midst of doing it, the (full) punishment prescribed (in the texts) for an offence is to be awarded when the whole offence is completed

961 Kings and especially ministers would get this (hell) by not punishing sinners and by punishing those who bow (to the law)

962 Those who are dependent on another, and those who are reduced to slavery — these are said to be not their own masters. Beating is (the only) punishment in their case

958 'Sachnam' — may also mean 'after branding on the forehead'. For various grave offences branding on the forehead was prescribed by Manu IX, 237 and 240

959 'Through helplessness or ill luck' i.e. through fear or through mistake

960 When a completed offence is constituted by several acts each of which is punishable, this verse prescribes a fourth part of the fine for the completed offence, if only the first of the several acts constituting the complete offence is perpetrated, half the punishment is prescribed when half of the acts constituting the full offence are committed

961 Read 'enam for 'evam, which latter makes no sense, 'bow to the law' i.e. who are on the right path and so do not deserve punishment. Compare Manu VIII 128 (who speaks of hell for doing this)

962 'Dependent on another' — such persons as wife, sons &c. 'beating' — they are not to be fined, but only corporal punishment is to be inflicted on them

963 Beating (whipping), imprisonment and making him a laughing stock — these are the punishments (proper) for a slave, monetary punishment is not prescribed (for him)

964 The man who deserves capital punishment should be made to pay one hundred suvarnas (as fine in lieu of death sentence), one deserving of having a limb cut off (should be made to pay) half of that (i.e. 50 suvarnas), one deserving banishment (should be made to pay) twenty five (suvarnas)

965 In the case of offenders who are of high family or are respectable or possessed of good qualities, but who are not well off, (the fine should follow) the above proportions, or having seized their all (when they are not well off) the king should quickly banish these from the capital

966 Those who have no wealth should be kept in jail, (the king) should not carry out the death sentence. In the case of all offenders, special procedure (as to punishment) should be observed in accordance with the (rules of *śāstras*).

967 A brāhmana who is guilty of an offence punishable with death or cutting off a limb should sit in a jail without having to do anything. He is (in that way) prevented from doing bad acts. That (keeping him in a jail without allowing

963 'Making him do.'— i.e. tonsuring his head or making him ride an ass

964 For *suvarṇa* vide notes to v 494, compare Bṛ p. 388. v 12 for a very similar verse

965 'Should follow &c.'—that is if a hundred suvarnas can not be had, then a reduced fine may be awarded

966 This seems to ordain that death sentence should be as rare as possible

967 This refers to a brāhmana offender who was well-conducted and devoted to his duties till then. He should be confined in a jail and should not be allowed to do his duties. If we read '*śādhakarma*'—the sense becomes easier, 'he is then not able to do his usual religious duties'. Compare Br p. 333 vv 10-11 (who forbids capital punishment for a brāhmana and recommends shaving,

him to do his religious duties) becomes the proper punishment for him

968 (A brāhmana) who gives false evidence should be banished a brāhmana who accepts a gift from a sinful person should be proclaimed (to all people) one who is guilty of cutting a limb of another should be deprived of the performance of his religious duties by being sent to jail

969 In the case of those who are guilty of offences similar to these similar punishments should be prescribed (by the king) In the case of minors old men, diseased persons and women, there is no punishment but that of beating

970 A king who follows the law should make (a woman offender) pay a fine out of her stridhana but a woman guilty of an offence who has no wealth deserves beating as punishment

branding and banishment instead) Manu VIII 370 Gautama 12 43 44 Band Dh S I 10 17-18

968 Manu VIII 123 and Yāj II 81 prescribe banishment for a false brāhmana witness and monetary fine for false witnesses of other castes Manu II 185 enjoins upon a brāhmana brahmacari not to beg at the houses of grave & upas Manu V 78 says that ordinarily a brāhmana was to accept gifts from a pure person while X. 103 allows him to accept gifts from censurable people in times of adversity, but X. 109 says that gifts from unworthy persons are worse than even teaching those who should not be taught or officiating as priest for those for whom it should not be done Nārada p. 290 v 40 enumerates people from whom gifts should not be accepted

969 This verse has reference to offences similar to those mentioned in v 781 above Compare verse 487 for lesser punishments in the case of women Gautama (2 43) Manu (VII 16 and VIII 126) and Yāj I 368 enjoin that time, place ability age learning should be looked to in awarding punishment Manu IX 230 prescribes that "could be beaten with ropes &c.



971-97 What is unjustly acquired (by the king) should be consigned to the treasury, the treasury should be used for doing (meritorious) works, (otherwise) the king's undertakings will be destroyed, a wise (king) should not bring about destruction (of himself) All that wealth that arises from fines should be bestowed on (learned) brāhmanas and after transferring the kingdom to his son the king should betake himself to the forest

973 The king being always intent on observing the rules (of the śāstra) should act in this manner and should employ all his servants for the welfare of the people

---

971-72 Verse 971 cannot be easily construed It refers to fines wrongly imposed Yāj 11 307 says that the king should offer to Varuna (who is the king of kings) 30 times the fine wrongly imposed by him and should then distribute it among brāhmanas Manu (IX 346-45) asks the king to throw into *śvara* or to distribute among brāhmanas all fines imposed upon great sinners and not to put them in his treasury through greed Manu VI 1 ff speaks of the order of forest hermit (*vānaprastha*)

## APPENDIX A

### List of Cases and Law Reports referred to in the notes

The figures refer to the verses    The abbreviations employed are as follows—

Moo I A—Moore's Indian Appeals

I, A—Law Reports, Indian Appeals

I L R—Indian Law Reports

Bom—Indian Law Reports Bombay Series

Bom L R—Ratanlal's Bombay Law Reporter,

Bom H C R—Bombay High Court Reports Series

Cal—Indian Law Reports, Calcutta Series

P J—Printed Judgments of the Bombay High Court

All—Indian Law Reports Allahabad Series

Mad H C R—Madras High Court Reports,

Patna—Indian Law Reports Patna Series

Patna L J—Patna Law Journal

Lahore—Indian Law Reports Lahore Series

- |                                     |                                     |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <i>Aditya v Rudra</i> 4 Bom         | <i>Collector of Masulipatam v.</i>  |
| 101-v 926                           | <i>Civil, Venkata S Moo I A</i>     |
| <i>Bhagwanlal v Bha Dival</i>       | 500 v 931                           |
| 27 Bom L R 633 v 905                | <i>Collector of Thana v Krishna</i> |
| 900                                 | <i>nath 5 B m 3 2 v 852</i>         |
| <i>Bhagirthibai v Kakhoyarao</i>    | <i>Collector of Thana v Haru</i>    |
| 11 Bom 283 (F B)-vv                 | <i>Satiram G Bom 546 (t B)-</i>     |
| 905 8                               | <i>v 852</i>                        |
| <i>Bhagwati Shukul v Ram</i>        | <i>Debi Pershad v Thakur Dial</i>   |
| <i>Jatan 45 All 267-v 853</i>       | <i>1 All 105 (F B)-vv 855-56</i>    |
| <i>Bhanu v Kashunath 54 Bom</i>     | <i>Darjindat v, Ganesh 32 All,</i>  |
| 210 vv 905 6                        | <i>305 v 867</i>                    |
| <i>Bhugwandeen v Mynates 11</i>     | <i>Taltesingh v Denu Kallian-</i>   |
| <i>M I A 483, p 512 vv 894,</i>     | <i>ingh 1 I A 31 v 862</i>          |
| <i>921, 924 25</i>                  | <i>Jatindra Mohan v Ghana-</i>      |
| <i>Collector of Madura v Mooloo</i> | <i>shyam 10 Cal. 200 v 882</i>      |
| <i>Kamlinga 12 M. I. A. 327-</i>    | <i>Ekulachand v Achander-</i>       |
| <i>vv 803-804</i>                   | <i>gins (1875) P J 176-v, 832,</i>  |

|                              |                           |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Lakshmandas v Mawhar 10      | Varasami v Appasami 1     |
| Bom 140 v 882                | Mad H C R 315 v 545       |
| Laluldas v Easton 2 Bom      |                           |
| 593 vv 2 6, 316 893          |                           |
| Mutha Rukmishna v            | 8 Moo I A 29 vv 924 925   |
| Marumutha Goun an 38         | 8 Moo I A 500 v 931       |
| Mad 1033 v 904               | 11 Moo I A 487 512-vv     |
| Moro v Ganes 10 Bom H C      | 894 908 910, 911, 924 25  |
| R 444 vv 8 5 56              | 12 Moo I A 397 vv 863     |
| Mulchand v Jai Vancha 7      | ~ 804                     |
| Bom 491 vv 905 6             | 1 I A 31 v 882            |
| Nagindas v Gachoe 43 I A     | 4 I A 109 v 867~          |
| 56 v 837                     | 6 I A 88 v 550            |
| Varasimha v Venkatacharya 8  | 26 I A 71 v 556           |
| Mad 290 vv 924 925           | 43 I A 56-v 857           |
| Narajan v Venkatacharya 6    | 45 I A 41 v 867           |
| Bom L R 434 v 534            | 47 I A 213 v 926          |
| Narotam v Lanka 6 Bom        | 48 I A 162 v 867          |
| 413 v 546                    | 48 I A 280 v 657          |
| Natha v Chotala 32 Bom L     | 51 I A 129 v 500          |
| R 1318 vv 863 64             | 53 I A 163 v 931          |
| Nathubhai v Javer 1 Bom 121  | 53 I A 204 v 550          |
| vv 905 906                   |                           |
| Pindharinath v Govind 32     | 2 Bom H C R 64 v 560      |
| Bom 59 vv 924 25             | 6 Bom H C R 1 v 867       |
| Ponappa Pillai v Pappusa     | 10 Bom H C R 441 vv       |
| yangar 4 Mad 1 vv 840        | 855 56                    |
| 849 50                       | 1 Bom 28 v 931            |
| Saundhanappa v Shasthasan    | 1 Bom 72 vv 510-512       |
| 31 Bom 354 v 504             | 1 Bom 121 vv 546 905 906, |
| Shantaram v Laman 47 Bom     | 911 12                    |
| 3 9 v 884                    | 2 Bom 299 vv 210 316      |
| Shri Sataram v Shri Harishar | 503                       |
| 25 Bom, 169 vv 618 619       | 2 Bom 494 vv 922 23       |
| Sitabai v Lasantrao 3 Bom    | 2 Bom 573-v 931           |
| L R 20 vv 892-900            | 4 Bom 101 v 918           |
| Surya Luma Kcer v Sheo       | 3 Bom 131 vv 510 512      |
| Prashad 6 I A 83 v 560       | 5 Bom 90 v 274            |
| Tutarambhai v Gangaram       | 5 Bom 822 v 882           |
| 23 Bom 454 v 534             | 6 Bom 24 v 558            |

|                           |                           |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 6 Bom 478-v 546           | 22 Bom L R 220 vv 753~    |
| 6 Bom 546 (F B)-v 882     | 753                       |
| 7 Bom 491 vv 905 6        | 27 Bom L R 633 vv 905     |
| 10 Bom 149 v 882          | 906                       |
| 10 Bom 528 v 830          | 31 Bom L R -189-v 835     |
| 11 Bom 285 (F B)-vv       | 1030 v 835                |
| 903 906 926               | 32 Bom L R 1349 vv 863 64 |
| 14 Bom 482 v 566          |                           |
| 15 Bom 32 v 867           | 6 Cal 148(F C) v 560      |
| 16 Bom at p 49 v 839      | 33 Cal 315 v 899 900      |
| 17 Bom 100-v 837          | 39 Cal 813-v 536          |
| 17 Bom 351 v 566          | 45 Cal 606-v 867          |
| 18 Bom 136 v 566          | 47 Cal 274 v 375          |
| 20 Bom 721 (F B)-vv 510   | 50 Cal 266 v 882          |
| 512                       |                           |
| 23 Bom 454 v 536          | 1 Mad H O R 85-vv 905     |
| 23 Bom 725 (P C)-v 566    | 906                       |
| 26 Bom 163 v 931          | 1 Mad H O R 375 v 545     |
| 31 Bom 354-vv 504 505     | 2 Mal H C R 56 v 867      |
| 32 Bom 59-vv 924 25       | 5 Mad H C R 150 v 866     |
| 34 Bom 229 vv 905 906     | 1 Mad 281 vv 907 511 12   |
| 35 Bom 169 vv 648 649     | 4 Mad 1 vv 849 849 50     |
| 35 Bom 199 vv 510 512     | 7 Mad 407 v 723           |
| 36 Bom 275 v 882          | 8 Mad 290 vv 908 910      |
| 36 Bom 379 v 882          | 921 924 925               |
| 40 Bom 270 v 857          | 28 Mad 377-v 890          |
| 42 Bom 136 vv 924 925     | 30 Mal 310-vv 566 832     |
| 46 Bom 213 v 335          | 38 Mad 1056-v 904         |
| 47 Bom 389 v 884          | 30 Mad 208 v 601          |
| 48 Bom 203 v 931          | 41 Mad 136 v 548          |
| 49 Bom 672 v 857          | 42 Mad 711 (F B) v 543    |
| 50 Bom 604 v 931          | 43 Mad 995 v 857          |
| P J (1875) p 276 v 882    | 44 Mal 656 v 87           |
| 1 Bom L R 551 vv 510 512  | 50 Mad 941 vv 913 14      |
| 2 Bom L R 451 v 752 753   |                           |
| 3 Bom L R 201 vv 859      |                           |
| 300 901                   |                           |
| 6 Bom L R 474 -v 534      | 1 All 46 (F B)-vv 9 60 9  |
| 8 Bom L R 375 v 287       | 1 All 105 (F B vv 800 86  |
| 21 Bom L R 419 vv 510 512 | 2 All 157 (F B) v 921     |
|                           | 19 All 26 (F B)-v 560     |
|                           | 20 All 611 v 536          |

|                       |                   |
|-----------------------|-------------------|
| 82 All 303-v 887      | 4 Patna 478 v 560 |
| 83 All 118 vv 852-853 | 5 Patna 648 v 921 |
| 41 All 130 vv 914-25  | 10 Patna 94-v 524 |
| 45 All 297-v 8 8      | 4 Patna L J-v 531 |
| 48 All 95(PC) v 530   | 2 Lahore 40 v 867 |
| 48 A1 518(PC) v 560   | 5 Lahore 70-v 921 |
| 60 All 532 vv 852-853 |                   |

## APPENDIX B.

List of important and technical Sanskrit words occurring  
in the text of Kātyāyana The figures refer to verses

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| Abhiyuktā, complainant or plaintiff 121                                  | Anvadhī, delivery by bailee to another for handing over to the bailor, 611 |
| Abhiyukta accused or defendant, 121                                      | Anvāhita, „ 692  |
| Ācarita sitting dharmas at the door of a debtor 586                      | Anyakṛta, an attested writing 249  |
| Ādhibhoga, taking profits of pledge or mortgage in lieu of interest, 501 | Anyārtha irrelevant reply 181  |
| Adhyagni, kind of stridhana, 895   | Anyavādi one who changes his pleading 202                                  |
| Adhyāvahanika, kind of stridhana 896                                     | Aparādha matter investigated suo motu by king 948                          |
| Āgama, title or source of title 317                                      | Apravāddha, ununderstandable reply 176                                     |
| Āhuta-prapalāyi, party absconding after summons 202                      | Āpta one who speaks the truth 347  |
| Ājñakṛaya, sale of land by king for state revenue 701 704                | Āpta 361   |
| Ākramodhī, a woman married in an improper order, 863                     | Arthan, plaintiff or complainant 89  |
| Ākula, making no sense 185   | Asāra, absurd (reply) 186  |
| Anakalabhrta (a slave) maintained during a famine, 731                   | Āśedha restraint on or arrest of suitor 105                                |
| Āndika, same as Karaṣāpana, in Panjab 494                                | Aśhla indecent 769, 771  |
| Anurūpa, 520   | Ātatayin desperate man, felon, 803 804                                     |
| Anugama certainty that there is no title 321                             | Atibhūti too wide reply 179  |
| Anuśista, decision on testimony of witnesses 495                         | Ātyayika matter of an urgent nature 365                                    |
| Anvadhya gift subsequent made to a woman, 899 900                        | Avāraya transfer by a bailee 711   |
|  | Avyāpaka, not meeting the roughly, evasive 182                             |
|  | Bhogaśābha a kind of interest 600  |

- Bhogyādhi a pledge that is to be enjoyed 516  
 Bhṛti, reward for finding out a lot 614  
 Bhukti, possession 313  
 Caritra desecration by usage 37  
 Catuspatha, public road 755  
 Dhānuka a coin equal to four kāśapana 491  
 Dharanika, a debtor 273  
 Dharma a method of decision in a suit 35  
 Dharmādihikarana, hall of justice 52  
 Dhatā, ordeal of balance 410  
 Dhvajāhṛta booty recovered in battle after putting to flight the enemy 878  
 Dindra a coin, same as suvarṇa 494  
 Divya, an ordeal 411  
 Dufala, a person in the confidence of both parties 353  
 Ekacchāyāpraviṣṭa } under  
 Ekacchāyāśrīta } taking joint and several liability 537 538  
 Gaṇa as a tribunal 87, 225  
 Gaṇa a group of brāhmanas 680  
 Gopachara pasture or right of way for cattle 831  
 Gopyāṇi, hypothecation or simple mortgage 518  
 Gudhā concealed witnesses 37  
 Gudhachari, witness secretly moving about 370  
 Guṇa, group of cāḍālas and other lowest castes 684  
 Hinavadi, a cast off or losing party 195 ff  
 Indrasthāna, 434  
 Jayapatra a judgment passed ex parte or without full trial 265  
 Kākani, equal to fourth part of masa and also of paṇa 493  
 Kāmakṛta, debt due to lust 564  
 Kanyāgata 870  
 Karana a reply of a special plea 170  
 Kāritā a mode of taking interest 498  
 Kāśapana a coin 493 04  
 Kārya madhyāgata, witness to whom something is divulged by both parties 376  
 Klīṣa, impotent person 831  
 Kośa ordeal by drinking water used in bathing images of Gods 452  
 Kṛiyā burden of proof 51, 211  
 Kṛiyadvesa party shunning judicial inquiry 198  
 Kṛōḍhakṛta debt due to injury caused by wrath 565  
 Kṛta witnesses appointed by a party 300  
 Kṛtrika, a person on whose wife a son has been begotten by another according to dharmasūtra 809  
 Kula family as tribunal 82  
 Kuladharmā, usage of family 85  
 Kulya member of family as witness 357

- Lagna** a surety 530  
**Lakṣṭa**, subscribing witness 350 371  
**Lāṅgī** one wearing peculiar sect marks 349  
**Masa** twentieth part of *kāśā* pona 493  
**Maṇḍa** hereditary 57  
**Maṇḍa** defined 743  
**Mithya** reply of denial 166, 167  
**Mrtāntara** a kind of witness 877  
**Mula** vendor of an article of which a third man claims to be the real owner 157, 615  
**Naigama** trader or group of citizens 49 678  
**Nastika**, owner whose goods are lost 614  
**Nibandha** periodically recurring right or privilege 882  
**Nigudhartha** mysterious reply 184  
**Nirarthā** disclosing insignificant injury 140  
**Niruttara** a party remaining silent though questioned 200 202  
**Nisṛṣṭārtha** one appointed or entrusted with a matter 470  
**Nisprayojana** 140  
**Nisthura**, reproachful 769 70  
**Nopasthātā** party not presenting himself even after summons 199 203  
**Paśa**, title of law 29  
**Pāḍavandanika** a kind of *śāstra* 897  
**Pakṣa**, plaintiff 150  
**Paścādhāsa** defective plaintiff 140  
**Pala** a weight 451  
**Paṇāya** defeat in a law suit 246  
**Paripatti** exchange 701  
**Parokṣa** defeat declared by judge after evidence 246 248  
**Pasa** da apostate from society csm 679  
**Paścātākāra** a judgment after contest 259 264  
**Paunarbhava** son of a woman twice married 260  
**Poganda** a minor 845 A  
**Pradvivaka**, judge 69  
**Praharna** miscellaneous matters 911 46  
**Prāmāṇya** means of proof 214 313  
**Pratibhu** surety 531  
**Pratijna** averments in plaintiff 137, 141  
**Pratipatti** reply of confession 163  
**Pravādi** defendant  
**Pratvādi** any party to a litigation 335  
     representative or agent of a suitor 93  
**Pratyakṣa** defendant by judge and *śāstra*, as 31 212  
**Pratyarthina** defendant 145  
**Pratyavastandana** reply of a special plea 165  
**Pratidatta** affectionate gift to a woman 897  
**Puga**, association of merchants &c 225 349 679



- Purusa, court's officer or bailiff 88  
 Purvanyāya, reply of res judicata 171-172  
 Purvapaksa, plaint 31, 136  
 Rajakiya, a royal document or edict 258  
 \* Rajamārga, a royal road 755  
 Rajaśāmana, decision of a suit by royal edict 33  
 Sabhya, assessor, member of judicial assembly 57, 71  
 Sādhana, means of proof, evidence 213, 216  
 Sādhyā, point at issue, 213 216  
 Sāgama, accompanied with title 217  
 Sāhasa, a heinous crime attended with force 703 80  
 Sāmantā, a kind of witness in boundary dispute 736, 718  
 Sāmsakta, a kind of witness in boundary disputes 743  
 Sāmsrsta, reunited to partner 832  
 Samsābhi, near cognate relative 802  
 Sandhapatra, deed of peace 256  
 Sandigdha, ambiguous reply 180  
 Sāṅgha, association of Bauddhas and Jainas 631  
 Sāṅgraha, adultery 820  
 Satya, a reply of confession or admission 165  
 Satyāśikāra, an earnest 541  
 \* Sandāyika, gift of affectionate kindred 901  
 S'auryadhana, present earned by bravery 878  
 S'ikhavyaddhi, interest calculated every day 490  
 Simāpatra, deed of boundaries 257  
 Saras, undertaking to pay fine on defeat 413  
 Smārta, a kind of witness 872  
 S'reni, as tribunal 82  
 S'reni, guild 225, 349  
 Staya, theft 786  
 Stūtipatra, deed of conventions 254  
 Stobhaka, informant about offences for money 33  
 Sutaka, salaried informant 84  
 S'ulka, price of household utensils &c 893  
 Suvarna, a gold coin equal to 12 dhāvakas, 494  
 Svahasta l-khiya, a document written in one's own hand 250  
 Svokta, (defeat of a party) by his own admission 246  
 Tandula, ordeal by chewing rice grains placed in holy libation, 453  
 Tirita, decision as true of what is false 425  
 Tivra, charging a man with grave sins 729, 772  
 Tripurasa, ( enjoyment ) for sixty years 315, 318  
 Uddhṛta, a kind of witness in boundary disputes 745  
 Uktalābha a mortgage by conditional sale 711  
 Una, incomplete reply 176

|  |  |
|--|--|
| Upamāna bailment of goods 592                          | Viśuddhapatra deed of purification 255                                       |
| Utkoṣa a bribe or illegal gratification 650 51         | Vṛāta group of soder or merchants 3 96 8                                     |
| Uttara defendant's reply 31                            | Vṛddha a kind of witness n boundary disputes 744                             |
| Uttara an indirect witness 369 375                     | Vyastapada reply interrupting words of plaintiff 143                         |
| Uttihāna source of vyavahāra 80                        | Vyavahāra judicial proceeding 25 26  |
| Vaiśāhika wealth obtained by a man at his marriage 690 | Vyavahāra dealing disputes in accordance with smṛti texts 26                 |
| Vakpārūṣya abuse and defamation 768                    | Yac taka an article taken as a loan for temporary use 502 592                |
| Varga groups of various kinds 349                      | Yukt consideration of certain character-steps as leading to a hypothesis 214 |
| Viśhāga partition 838                                  |  |
| Vidyādhana gains of learning 867 873                   |  |
| Viruddha self contradictory plaint or reply 140 177    |  |

## GENERAL INDEX

Words included in appendix B have generally been omitted. Sanskrit words and proper names have been put in italics, figures refer to the verses, n refers to the notes on the verses. No references are given to works like the smṛtis of Manu, Yājñavalkya, Nārada, Bṛhaspati and like the Mātāṅgarā, the Vyavahāra mayukha, since they are quoted on almost every verse.

Abuse 768 778

Ārya defined 756 n

Act XXX of 1330 867 n

Āiti pledge 516 529

kinds of 510 n

Ādipala surety in case of pledge called gopyadhi 530 n

Adultery 820 824

indications of, 820

punishment for 831n

Agent

not officially authorised, binds principal 40

Aitareyabrahmana vide notes on 471 624 924 25

Amṛtasmṛti vide notes on 845A

Amṛtas, on sale 837n

Āṅgīrasa 838n

Aparāthas fines for, included in ancient grants 947 48  
ten mentioned by Pitāmaha 27n

ten, enumeration of 947-48  
were to be inaugurated by the king n of 947 48

Aparāthas, vide notes on 24, 25, 31, 101, 133 137, 140,

177, 178, 184, &c.

Āpastambadharmasūtra, vide notes on 20, 114, 479-780, 484 580, 756, 772, 778, 794 811 n, 814, 822A 827 891, 850, 894 926 7 931 938

Apprentice, duty of, to work at his master's till stipulated period 714  
who is 713 n

Aprasiddha plaint defective as 140  
reply defective as 176

Ārāṇyaka, meaning of 813 14

Arhat, followers of, are styled saṅgha 681

Arrest before judgment when allowed 103 104

Article, liability of borrower of 607 610

Article, liability of, for loss of material supplied for being worked up into an article 604 605

liability of for loss of article after it is ready 605

- four grades of, according to skill 632
- Arthasastra*: in conflict with *dharmaśāstra* rule of conduct, when 20
- Arundhati* type of conjugal fidelity 837n
- Āryas*, cannot sell their children 471n.
- Asādhyā*, plaint defective as 140
- Asahāya*, 288n
- Ascetics, apostates from order of, how treated 721
- Āśādha*, restraint or arrest 108 105  
punishment for wrongful 106, 110  
when to be employed 104 105  
who should not be subjected to 107 108 109  
person breaking should be fined eight *panas* 119
- Assault 779 794  
various fines for 784 786
- Āsmārtakāla*, time beyond living memory, explained 318n
- Associations: members of were to appoint two, three or five persons as advisers 671  
rights of members of, to property of 376 677
- Asyāsavikraya* sale by one who is not the owner 612 73
- Asvalayana gṛhyas sūtra* 837n
- Atipattala* 93
- Atharvaveda, 802  
hymns of, employed against one's enemies 802 803n
- Ātalya* whether a *brāhmana*, could be killed 801 n  
who is 802 804
- Attachment before judgment 104 105
- Attendants: five kinds of 713n  
except one from Hell 9
- Bailiff: could demand *śharaka* 112 113  
called *purusa* by Kautilya 112 113n  
wages of, to be paid by defeated party 112 113n,  
to be paid by plaintiff, when 117
- Bailments 502n
- Bandhāyana dharma sūtra*  
vide notes on, 756 772, 802,  
803 843 845 857 859 862 894  
831, 947 43
- Dharaḍvya* vide notes on 516 701
- Bharuci* vide notes on 491
- Bhāṣa* plaint 136n
- Bhaskarācārya* 492 493n
- Bhavadēra* 183n
- Bhogyadha* defined 516  
two kinds of 516 n
- Bhūṇyāpala* surety in pledge that is to be enjoyed 510n
- Books not liable to partition 884n
- Bondaries, disputes about 732 751  
document settling dispute about must be challenged within 20 years 801  
even a single person is

acceptable to both parties  
may lay down 717

means of proof in disputes  
about 734 737

neighbours, the best evi-  
dence in disputes about 734.

possession is principal means  
of proof in disputes as to 733.

six causes of disputes about  
732.

to be determined by king  
according to his own lights

in the absence of evidence  
743

witness in disputes as to,  
how defined 733

Brāhmanas, no death sentence  
for 483

could not be slave even of  
a brahmana 715 16

debt due to, to be paid  
even before debt due to  
a kṣatriya, 514

may do menial work for  
another learned brāhmana  
but not for wages 719.

may be killed if guilty of  
causing abortion, or kills an  
innocent woman 806

not to be subjected to cor-  
poral punishment such as  
beating 479 80n.

qualifications of, when pre-  
siding in a court 57-59

proper punishments for 479-  
480n, 567

punishment for, when guilty  
of murder, theft 479 80n

should not accept a gift, if

devoid of learning 828,  
should be honoured by king 7,  
should enlighten king as to  
his duties 5

son of, from a sūdra woman  
was called nīśāda or pāṣāṇava  
863-64.

tonsured head of, was equal  
to sentence of death 479-80n,  
were to accept gift only from  
a pure person 968.

were liable to be imprisoned  
479 80n, 867.

wealth of heirless, does not  
go to king but was  
distributed among learned  
brāhmanas 931n.

Brāhmacāri does not lose his  
wealth by a stranger's pos-  
session, unless it is for more  
than fifty years 331-32.

Bhāspati finds fault with  
Manu 882n.

Brhatsaṃhitā 434-435.

Bribe, if delivered, could be  
recovered from acceptor 849.  
promised, need not be given  
even when object accom-  
plished 643

one who offered bribe  
was not punished 851.

taker of, fined eleven times  
as much 649, 852, 853.

what is 850-51.

Candśvara 37n.

Caste, loss of, what acts cause  
772.

Cattle trespass by 664-667.

- Caveat emptor* doctrine of 621 623 n, 688
- Cauroddharaṇika* 813 14
- Chala*, mistake or mistaken utterance 160
- Chalas* fifty, enumerated by Piṭāmaha 27n
- Champerly, not allowed 91 92n
- Chāndogyopaniṣad* vide notes on 411 836
- Civil justice 29n
- Civil Procedure Code of 1908 vide notes on 89 90 96 104 108 120, 124 126, 130 31, 136, 142 144 163, 182, 194 209 221, 259 264, 352
- Co heir possession of one is for all coheirs 330 335
- when in union cannot make a gift or sale without the consent of others 853
- Colebrooke 882n
- Compacts examples of 668 669 violation of 668-677.
- Compromise, of suit not allowed without king's permission 210
- Concubine entitled to maintenance from harsa, who take the wealth of her paramour 931
- Conflict between arthasāstra and dharmaśāstra, rule in 20
- between various systems, rule in 47 n
- Conqueror, duty of, not to ruin country for vanquished king's fault 21
- Contract Act, vide notes on 271, 570, 574, 579 539 594, 595 596 97 603, 606, 609 627, 691
- Coparcenary limits of 855 856n
- Corporations 682
- Cosharer, descendant of a should be allowed to have his share in land even after a long time 889 891
- taking away more wealth than would fall to his share was to be made to yield up by modes other than force 888
- Counter claim by defendant when allowed 163
- Court gradation among various courts 82
- to meet in first half of day 60 61
- was constituted of sabhyas, brāhmanas and merchants 57-59
- was to be presided over by sabhyas and brāhmanas 57 59
- Creditor, harassing debtor claiming trial in a court, would lose his debt 589
- Creditor should not hand over loan to dependent women, slaves and minors 497
- Criminal justice 29 n
- Criminal Procedure Code 481n
- Dalea*, 721 n.
- Damdupat rule of 510, 512n
- Dattapradānika* 638 655
- Daya bhāga* vide notes on 851, 854, 857, 858, 862, 892

898 901, 903 917 18

Daughters as heirs 900 904  
fourth share as provision for  
unmarried 898 n  
unmarried succeed in pre-  
ference to married ones 917  
918n 976n

Debtor if brahmana not to be  
sent to jail 480

if unable to return debt or  
to work should be sent to  
jail 480

to be made to work for  
creditor if unable to pay  
479 480

imprisonment of rules about  
581 585

may be made to work for a  
creditor of the same or  
higher caste 586

Debts five ways of recovering  
477 80 530 595

how proved 231

incurred for liquor gambling  
lost need not be paid by  
son of debtor 560n

must be paid by debtors  
three descendants if latter  
have ancestral assets in their  
hands 555 558 560 n

must be paid with interest  
by debtors son but with-  
out interest by debtors  
grandson if no ancestral  
assets taken 553

of liquor sellers must be paid  
by those who take their  
wives 567

of wife, when payable by

husband 568 570

order of persons liable to  
pay 562 570 77

recovery of several, contract-  
ed on same date 573  
result of non payment of 591  
to be paid in order of dates  
513

when family liable for if  
contracted by head of family  
or a member 542 543

when head of family liable  
for if contracted by depen-  
dents 545

when grandson liable to pay,  
555 556

Decisions

by caritra 37

by dharmas explained 35

by royal edict 33

by vyavahāra 36

may overrule decision by  
dharma 39

may be overruled by  
caritra ( usage ) 41

not to be disturbed by king  
though wrongly given by  
sabhyas 81

to be arrived at by dharma  
vyavahāra caritra and royal  
edict 35n

without jurisdiction is null  
and void 68

Definitely two kinds of due to one  
self or declared by another  
246

ten kinds of due to another  
247 248

- Defendant, counter claim by,  
when allowed 163  
decree *ex parte* against, when  
to be passed 162 n  
fines to be paid by, if dis-  
obeying summons to appear  
101  
has to adduce proof in a  
reply of special plea 211  
reply of, when to be given  
145 ff  
when and how to be sum-  
moned 86-88  
when substitutes and agents  
of, admitted 89 90
- Defamation 769 778
- Dharmasāstra* in conflict with  
*arthaśāstra*, rule of conduct,  
when 20
- Delay, in examining witnesses,  
defeats justice 339
- Dependent persons transactions  
made by may be repudiated  
by their masters 465  
who are 466
- Deposit, 592 611  
care required of person who  
holds a 594  
price of, to be paid by de-  
positors, if lost after demand  
even by act of God or king  
595
- Devāla*, 908 910 n, 916 n
- Dhānaka*, equal to four *karsa-*  
*panas* 494  
twelve, equal to a *suvarna*  
494
- Dindra*, another name for  
*suvarna* 494
- Dīvyatattva* of *Rāghunandana*,  
*vide notes* on 433 434 35
- Document, about boundaries  
must be challenged within  
20 years 301  
attestation of 252  
cannot be overruled by  
ordeals or oral evidence 306  
can ordinarily be annulled  
by document 307  
contents of, 270-271  
defective, 251.  
denial of by executant 282  
false when 268 277  
invalid, may become effect-  
ive by laches 272  
eight kinds of, according to  
*Vyasa* 254 n  
latent defects of, must be  
pointed out, 275  
patent defects of, are to be  
found out by court 275  
not produced for over thirty  
years, becomes ineffective 292  
royal characteristics of 258  
seven kinds of, according to  
*Bṛhaspati* 254 n  
two kinds of, written by  
one self and written by  
another 249  
three kinds of, 284 n  
to be proved by comparison  
of signatures when scribe  
and witnesses dead 285  
under royal seal, to be  
presumed to be genuine,  
though all parties and wit-  
nesses are dead 287  
unchallenged as invalid for



- twenty years, becomes binding on party affected there. by 298-300  
 validity of, depends upon us age of country 249  
 various kinds of, 254ff  
 vitiated, how 273-274, 280  
 Drinking, was a grave sin for a brâhmana 769  
 would involve expulsion from caste for a brâhmana 769  
 Earnest, forfeited, if contract goes off through default of person giving it 541n  
 double of, to be recovered when the party to whom it is given breaks contract 541  
 Easements, possession is the weightiest proof of 314  
 various kinds of, 752-53  
 Easements Act (of 1882), 226n  
 Edict, royal, when to be declared valid 296  
 Evidence oral, must be direct and not hearsay 346  
 taken on commission 352  
 Evidence Act, Indian, (of 1872) vide notes on 181, 223, 232, 235, 307, 346, 370, 381  
 Fabrication of documents 308, 354  
 punishment for, 311, 354  
 Failure in litigation, causes of 191ff, 217  
 Father, debt of, must be paid by son even in father's life time when he is afflicted with disease or he goes abroad for 20 years or becomes an ascetic, or mad 548-50  
 power of to make unequal distribution 839n  
 power of, over sons, extended even to sale in ancient times 471n  
 two shares for, when allowed 851n  
 may complain if teacher beat his son 794  
 should not make a distinction between one son and another 843  
 when liable to pay debt of son 679  
 property seized from or lost to family and recovered by father with his own efforts is his self-acquisition 866  
 Fines, are to be understood as payable in panas, if nothing more than figures mentioned 102  
 figures of, to be understood as referring to kâsâpanas 490.  
 for abuse to be half, if the accused expresses regret 775.  
 for being a witness when not asked to be so 402-6.  
 for buying or selling a brâhmana woman as a slave 726  
 for causing obstruction or making nuisance on a thoroughfare 757,

- for cattle trespass 664 667.  
 for causing various kinds of  
 hurts 761 82  
 for compromising suit with  
 out king's permission 210  
 210n  
 for cruelty to animals 789  
 for defiling a tank holy  
 places or gardens 758 50  
 for destruction of trees and  
 shrubs 793  
 for enslaving a woman of a  
 respectable family 727  
 for fabricating a false docu-  
 ment or a false seal 954  
 for falsely accusing witnesses  
 of faults 280  
 for injury to birds and beasts  
 790  
 for making a pledge of same  
 article to two creditors 517  
 for master abandoning a  
 servant on a journey 660  
 for master employing app-  
 rentice in other work 713  
 for pointing out faults in  
 witnesses at a late stage  
 378  
 for purchaser who does not  
 produce vendor or establish  
 sale in market overt 819  
 for refusing to eat in the  
 same row with a caste-man  
 673  
 for selling a faithful female  
 slave 729  
 for servant causing obstacle  
 or giving up work 657 659  
 for stealing breaking or  
 burning idols 808  
 for using false evidence 954  
 for using false balances or  
 measures 812  
 for witnesses pretending to  
 be ignorant about bound-  
 aries 741  
 for women half of those for  
 men as to same offences 487  
 heavy for taking bribes 649,  
 652 53  
 higher for theft if as to of  
 offender is higher 824n  
 highest 1000 according to  
 Manu and 1000 according to  
 Yajñavalkya 290n  
 in māsas or kṛśṇalās to be  
 understood as referring to  
 silver ones 492  
 levied from those who were  
 defeated in ordeals 459 61  
 Five three in Vedic sacrifices  
 835n  
 Force, vitiates documents 271n  
 Forest, land reserved for, was  
 called *arṇava* 813 14n  
 Forgery, of documents of sale  
 and mortgage, how punished  
 311  
 Fraud transactions brought  
 about by, to be annulled by  
 king or judge 655  
 Gains of learning or science  
 vide under *vidyādāna*  
 when self acquired should not  
 be shared with unlearned  
 brothers 675

**Gambling 933 948**

disputes as to how decided  
942-43

distinction between samā-  
bhaya and, 933n

one of the most ancient  
vices 933

condemned by Manu 933n  
allowed by Kaṇṭilya and  
Yaj 933n

should be stopped by king  
934

should be made to yield a  
tax to king 934

if allowed, should be restri-  
cted 823.

*Gautamas, school of* 935

*Gautama dharmaśāstra* vide

notes on 241, 317, 318 330

231 32, 342 43 347 8 249,

50 365, 479 80, 481, 483

484 492 93 498 499, 523,

530, 594, 642 647 667, 711.

756 794 813-814, 822A,

824 827, 845, 857, 867, 875

894, 926

for sources of ownership  
317n

Generation, length of, as to  
possession 318n

Gift, invalid 647

even if only promised was  
enforced when for a religi-  
ous purpose or made to a  
brāhmana 506, 642

wife, son and entire wealth  
cannot be subjects of, 638  
639

taking back of, or non-deliv-  
ery of promised, results of  
643

seven or eight kinds of 644-  
45

revocation of, when allowed  
647

Goals, of life, three 420-421n

Grandfather, debt of, when  
to be paid by grandson 555  
556

Grandson, not liable to pay  
suretyship debt of grand-  
father unless latter received  
consideration for being sure-  
ty 534n, 561

*Gudhacārī*, a kind of witness  
to be distinguished from  
guṇha 376, 376n

*Guru*, defined 750n

Handwriting, proof of, by com-  
parison 285-286, 288 9, 370

*Halayudha*, vide notes on 611,  
907

*Haradatta*, vide notes on 114  
116, 304 95 492 493 499

*Harita*

about cutting of tongue as  
punishment 778

definition of vyavahara  
given by 25n, 22n

four means defined by 192n  
mentions five kinds of sure-  
ties 530n

Heirs, of sonless man 927 28

Hell, 21 kinds of, 8n

- Hindu Gains of learning Act  
( of 1930 ) 867n
- Hindu Home Relief Act,  
560n 562 63n
- Hinavadi, cast out in a pend-  
ing suit 195 208, 617n  
five sorts of according to  
Nārada 188n  
does not altogether lose suit  
though liable to fine 202n  
fines for various kinds of  
202
- Husband and wife, duties of  
835 838
- Hypothecation without deli-  
very of possession 518n
- Impotent, who is 861
- Impure work, what is 719n
- Indradhvaja festival of 424-  
435n
- Inference not to overrule what  
is directly perceptible 288  
least weighty of all means  
of proof 315
- Inheritance to wealth of son  
less than 921
- Interest, maximum recover-  
able at one time 509  
either *kṛta* ( agreed upon )  
or *ukṛta* 498n  
called *kṛta* is of six kinds  
498n  
promised must be given  
498  
rates of, allowed by *sāstras*  
498n, 510 512.  
when allowed if there is no  
agreement at the inception  
502 504 505 507  
when no interest is allowed  
508
- Interest Act ( of 1839 ) 505n.
- Invalidity, grounds of, as to  
documents 271  
grounds of, as to transactions  
of gift, sale etc 464 471
- Jaimini vide notes on 560  
632
- Jayapatra, defined 265  
to be given by the king  
when he himself decided a  
matter or after hearing from  
a judge 476
- Jolly, Dr vide notes on 122  
210, 376, 378 437, 446  
560
- Judge, *kāstriya* or *vaiśya* may  
be a 67  
qualifications of 63 66  
should ordinarily be a *brā-*  
*hmana* 67  
*śūdra* cannot be a 67
- Judgment called *jayapatra*,  
when the claim is cast off  
without a thorough trial  
265  
called *paścādhāra* contents  
of 259 264  
review of, allowed on the  
ground of ignorance or mis-  
take of former judge 293  
review of, when allowed  
495n 496
- Kali all secondary sons except  
*dattaka* are prohibited in  
863-64

Kaipa enormous period equal  
to a day of Brahmā 10n  
643n

Kalpātara, vide notes on 321,  
857

Karāṇa, a document 627n

karasottara reply of special  
plea 170n  
burden of proof in on defen  
dant 191

Karṣa equal to one fourth  
pala or 20 masas 492 93n  
dṛaṣṭṛa, is copper equal to  
one karṣa or one fourth pala  
in weight 492 493n

Kaṭhaka—sambhāta 802 803n

Kausitakeśvara 802 803n

Kausitaki brāhmaṇa 619n

Kaṭhaka vide notes on 20,  
24 35, 39 108 112-113  
168 194 195 202 344  
400 401, 405-406 420 421,  
464 466, 471 525 532 560  
592, 620 638 39 723 757  
770, 775 796 812 843,  
844 847 857 858, 860  
882 894A 886 902 913  
-14 917 18, 920 921, 927  
947-48  
on kautakodddharana 15

King as ultimate heir 931  
duties of a 3 24  
entitled to take one sixth of  
land produce 16 17  
and of all wealth 947 8  
god Indra stands before  
people as, 8

is owner of all land 10  
order of, if in conflict with  
general rules of dharma  
need not be obeyed 668-  
669n

qualities of a 1 2  
purposes of the office of a  
15

should not take upon him  
self disputes of men 27  
should not be angry with  
ministers or sabbhyas who  
tell the truth 12 13

should discard teaching of  
politics when in conflict  
with dharmaśāstra 20

should respect usages of a  
conquered country 39n

should decide causes accord  
ing to a śāstra, but in its ab  
sence according to usages of  
the country 45

should enter courtroom  
when and how 53 54

should not himself decide  
causes particularly of jugg  
lers and rogues 83

to investigate into ten spe  
cial cases without complaint  
of any one 947 48

to recover debts from per  
sons of various castes in  
different ways 477 478

to restore things stolen or  
the r price to the person  
who lost it 813 817

to take cognisance of certain  
matters without a private  
person's complaint 949 50

- unjustly raising taxes and fines incurs sin 19
- Kṛiyā* means burden of proof 190n  
means 'means of proof' such as witnesses, documents 216  
of two kinds divine and human 216
- Kṛṣṇala* one fifth of *māsa* 492 93
- Ku'a* as a court to decide disputes 82n
- Kulluka* vide notes on 55 491 495, 808
- Land Revenue Code, Bombay vide notes on 18 17 514 947 948
- Law aids the vigilant 621 623n
- Lakṣita* on women's rights 903 910
- Līlavati* a work of Bhaṣkarācārya 492 498n
- Limitation Act vide notes on 329 330
- Limitation—see under Boundaries Possession, Documents does not apply to minors, state temples, women 330 stops in the case of those who are studying Veda or crafts and in convicts 334 twenty years for avoiding a voidable document 293 299
- Lunacy as a ground for invalidating transactions 464 5
- Madanaratna* vide notes on 114 116 654
- Mahabhāra'ta*, vide notes on 471, 724 756 934 947 48
- Mahabhāṣya* 678n
- Mahāyājñas* 803n
- Manava's school of prescribed punishment for thieves caught with booty 823
- Manager of joint family rights of to contract debts binding on family 560n
- arrāga, approved forms of 290n  
śura form of 920n  
eight forms of 920n  
son of sagotra, not entitled to inherit to father 862
- Maruts spoken of as a *gana* 680
- Māsa* equal to five *Kṛṣṇalas* 492 493n  
equal to one twentieth of a *kārasapana* 493 494
- Maxim *de minimis non curat lex* 822A n  
of *śatrukyata* 660n
- Merchants appointed to take part in administration of justice 57 59
- Medhatithi* 678n
- Mines a royal preserve 947 48
- Minister, qualities of 11
- Minor king's duty to protect estate of 845n
- Minority, extends up to sixteenth year 552n, 844n

extends up to end of sixteen  
th year, according to *Viva*  
*daratnakara* 844n

Misappropriation, of money by  
managers of associations 674

Mischief, punishment for as to  
valuable articles 807

punishment for, to city  
walls or as to flow of water  
809

Miscellaneous matters 944-978

*Mathyottara* reply of denial  
167

four kinds of 169

*Mlecchas* disputes among to be  
decided by their own men  
943

ordeals to be administered  
to 433

words like *tamasasa*' borro-  
wed from 148n

sold or hypothecated their  
children without being  
guilty of a crime 471n

Mother gets a share on parti-  
tion equal to that of a son  
851

*Micchhalatka* 129n

*Mriantara* a kind of wit-  
ness 377

*Nibandha*, a periodical pay-  
ment or allowance granted  
permanently by a king or  
corporation or village to a  
person or temple 832n

*Narabadha*, plaint defective as  
140

*Nirukta* 471n

*Niyoga* condemned by *Manu*  
859n

countenanced by *Gautama*  
*Kautilya* *Vaṛiṣṭha*, *Nārada*  
and others 859n  
practice of 859n

to whom son produced by  
*niyoga* belonged, whether  
to the begetter or to the  
husband of the woman 859n

*Nyayasūtra* 944-46n

Oath 462-463

right hand to be used in  
taking 462

touching the head of one's  
son was a form of 420-21

Offences what entailed death  
penalty 232n

Officers, making innocent per-  
sons restore price of articles  
stolen how dealt with 821

Ordeals 411-461

administered at improper  
time place or in improper  
manner 437

assignment of according to  
castes of parties 422-23

assignment of, according to  
diseases suffered 425-26

assignment of, according to  
professions followed by par-  
ties 424

history of 411n

if one unsuccessful, fresh  
one may be offered 440-41  
may be undergone by any

- one of the parties by agree-  
 ment 411n  
 most elaborate treatment of,  
 in Pitamaha 411n  
 number of 411n  
 not to be administered to  
 untouchables mlecchas, *śi-  
 vas* 433  
 of fire 441 445  
 of kosa, sacred libation  
 452 415n  
 of kosa when to be employ-  
 ed 415  
 of poison 446 451  
 of sandulas 453  
 of taptamasa 459-461  
 of water 442 445  
 oldest clear reference to in  
 Chāndogyaopaniṣad 411n  
 person undergoing fined  
 and held defeated if certain  
 calamities befell him within  
 a certain time 456 58  
 place of 434-435  
 to be administered to un-  
 touchables mlecchas 433n  
 to be avoided in disputes  
 about immovables 239 240  
 to be employed only in  
 absence of witnesses and  
 documents 217n  
 to be generally offered to  
 the defendant 244 411  
 to be resorted to when wit-  
 nesses on both sides are  
 equal 232  
 to be resorted to when joint  
 property is alleged to be  
 concealed 841-42  
 to be resorted to when a  
 document is declared to be  
 fraudulent 238  
 to be undergone in accord-  
 ance with the price of the  
 thing in dispute 416 419  
 to whom not to be adminis-  
 tered personally 427 432  
 when to be undergone with-  
 out an undertaking to pay  
 fine on defeat 412 414  
 Ownership of immovables, ac-  
 quired in seven ways 317n  
 over wife and children 471n  
 Padas, twenty two, mentioned  
 by Pitamaha 27n  
 Pāṇa plant 126  
 characteristics of a good  
 141  
 Pāṇa equal in weight to 960  
 yāvas 451n  
 equal to four or five auver-  
 nas 492 493n  
 equal to 64 or 80 māśas 492  
 493n  
 Para vide karsapana equal  
 to four kākims 492 493n  
 Pāśas vide notes on 502  
 504 530 541 678 679  
 711, 769 933  
 Parasara madhaviya vide  
 notes on 25 27, 170, 173-  
 175 178 184 226 318,  
 434-35 484 684 902



*Pāryāḍa*, a work explains  
'bhartṛdāya' 807n

explains 'prayojya' as book  
884n

*Parivedana*, marriage of a  
younger brother or sister  
before an elder brother or  
sister 862n

regarded as very sinful 862n

Parties bound by the actions  
of their agents and substitu-  
tes 91

must generally appear in  
person 90

precedence amongst, when  
several approach in several  
litigations 122.

should be fined if they do  
not appear before court in  
a decent manner 90

should be fined if they dis-  
regard summons to appear  
in court 100

signs leading to inference of  
falsity of 836

substitutes or agents of, who  
can be 89 90, 92

substitutes or agents of,  
when not allowed to  
litigate 93-95

successful, had to pay to  
the king  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the claim  
459 461n

succeeding in proving inno-  
cence by ordeal had to pay  
fifty panas to the king 459

Partition 828 865

allowed during father's life-  
time even in the *Rgveda* 843n  
by moles and bounds, can-  
not be made by a single  
coparcener 853

double share at, to acquire  
874n

how effected when some  
coparceners minors 845  
indications of the status of  
893

mother entitled to a share  
when sons effected 838n

of *vidyādharma*, when allow-  
ed 874n

per stirpes 855 50.

provision for unmarried  
daughters at time of 858.  
what is a just 838

what property is not liable  
to 866 884A.

when allowed to be reopen-  
ed 865 86

Partner, authorised act of one,  
binds other partners 627

special remuneration for  
one saving partnership  
property 631

Partnership 624 637

*Pasatikara*, judgment after  
contest 269 264.

writing purporting to be,  
must be carefully scrutinized  
294 95

Pastores, belonging to joint  
family, ordinarily impar-  
tial 884

- Pāśupata*, sect of 873n
- Penal Code, Indian, vide notes  
on 432, 650-51, 770, 776-77,  
780, 800, 822A, 827
- Pāṭimaha*, vide notes on 37,  
49-50, 240-243  
views of, as to when king  
was to act *sup mōti* 27n
- Plaint, amendment of 130-131  
characteristics of a good 124-  
126, 141-142  
contents of, as to immove-  
able property 127-128  
defects of 136ff  
defective what is 138
- Plaintiff, may be given time to  
write down his plaint  
133, 135  
mode of questioning 86-88  
should begin 121  
taking down on a board  
averments of 129
- Pleaders germ of the pro-  
fession of 91n
- Pleading, result of change of  
195-197
- Pledge 516-529  
enjoyed for twenty years  
under defective document  
makes document binding  
300  
kinds of 516n  
loss of, due to fate or king  
falls on debtor 523  
kinds of 516n  
of same thing to two per-  
sons, result of 517  
person making a, of same  
thing to two is liable to fine  
517  
of all property in general  
and of specific property 521,  
sale of, 529  
to be enjoyed is of two  
kinds 516n
- Pledgee, fine for, if he harasses  
or rebukes pledgee 526
- Policy, four expedients of  
royal 951-952n
- Possession 313-335  
effect of twenty years, in  
case of immovables 318n  
for three generations is in-  
dependent proof of ownership  
318, 328.  
is equal to a faultless docu-  
ment 313  
of one coheir is not neces-  
sarily adverse to other cohe-  
irs 330-335  
of two sorts, with title and  
without 317  
mere, does not avail against  
women, temples, state,  
minors etc 330  
over fifty years necessary  
to bar a brahmacāri 331-332  
person in wrongful, cannot  
rely on it in case of brahmins,  
slaves and women 316  
strongest means of proof, as  
to easements of water &c  
226  
twenty years, on invalid  
document makes document  
unchallengeable 299,

uninterrupted and beyond living memory, is independent proof of ownership 318n  
unbroken, for three generations, is weightiest proof 315

within living memory, cannot by itself confer ownership according to Nārada 318n

*Pradarsaka* etymology of 69

*Prapajati* on witnesses 369n

*Pramāna* means of proof 242, 313

*Pran Nath* Dr 494n

*Prāṇ nyaya*, *res judicata* 171

of three kinds 172

*Pratyāṇa*, plaint 136n

is the essence of all judicial proceedings according to Nārada 141n

*Pratidoma* meaning of, 40n 423n

offspring of, marriage rights of 863 81n

*Pratyakalita* meaning of 31n, 212n

*Pratyavaskandennottara*, reply of special plea, 170

Precedence rules as to on road 756n

Price proper, how determined 705 706

sale for inadequate 707 710

Priests, division of *dakṣina* given at sacrifices to, 872n

Private defences of body, right of— vide notes on 482, 780, 799–800

Proof means of, three 214, 242 313

divine, balance &c 216

divine to be avoided when human means available 217 218

of part of the claim, when may lead to proof of whole claim 396–97, 474

Property, equal ownership of father and sons in ancestral 839

not liable to partition 866 884

that is impartible by nature and is to be enjoyed in common by all coparceners 882 84

*Punarbhū*, of three kinds 860n

Punishment—banishment as 965

beating only for certain offenders 783

by way of fine and corporal, not to be inflicted on teachers parents &c, 481

corporal 955 G

either physical or monetary 479 480n

for adultery and rape varied according to the caste of the parties and according as woman was maiden or married 830n 831n

- for brāhmana officiating as priest and teaching a śāstra without learning 828  
for brāhmanas and ksatryas to be four times and twice as much as for sudras 485  
for brāhmana thieves who are strong but poor 825  
for claimant to an article sold by a third person to another if he cannot establish ownership 620  
for forgery of document of sale or mortgage 311  
for murder 799  
for party citing false witnesses to support claim 407  
for robbers who injure another's limb is to cut off that limb 822  
for robbers caught with booty is banishment 823  
for traitors and highway robbers 820  
four kinds of according to Manu 481n 953  
none for first offenders 959  
of banishment for a brāhmana offender 823 968  
of beating only for minors, women old men 969 70  
of cutting off tongue for spreading false reports 778  
of death for rape 830  
of death not awarded to women but only cutting off a limb allowed 487  
of death not awarded to brāhmana offender 823  
of death should be rare 963  
of fine for adultery according to Yajñavalkya 831  
of fine in lieu of death or other corporal punishment 964  
of forfeiture of all property for a brāhmana thief 824  
proper for a slave offender 963  
to be prescribed by king after bringing guilt home to the offender 958  
Purchase from one of several co-owners not good 697  
on trial 698 4  
Purchaser not taking delivery result of 688, 696 7  
right of to return article for defects 686  
when may return article 698 699  
Purification for wrong doing is two fold prāyascitta and daṇḍa 472  
*Purekṣita* qualities of 24  
*Purvaśāntamasa* 632n  
*Putrikāputrā* 857n  
*Raghunandana* 423n  
*Ramāyana* v. de notes on 486  
Reasoning 336 338  
is means for finding out the truth 241n  
Reciever appointment of 120  
Registration Act vide notes on 287 518 512  
Religious endowments not partible 884  
Remarriage of widows condempned by Manu 562 63n

- Re-opening, of partition 885  
886
- Reply, 176-186  
defects of 178 183  
defendant, to be induced to  
give, by four means 192n  
four kinds of 163  
*onus probandi* in several  
kinds of 190  
on defendant in reply of  
special plea or *res judicata*  
211n  
when time should be given  
to defendant to put in 145ff  
when no time should be  
given to defendant to put  
in 149 151
- Rescission of sale and pur-  
chase 683-712  
period allowed for 684 685
- Res judicata*, plea of 171  
constructive 221  
witnesses to prove 355
- Retrial of suit, when allowed  
209
- Re union 892
- Rgveda, vide notes on 678,  
680, 682, 810, 842 933
- Road, rules as to precedences on  
756n
- Robbers highway, punished  
with forfeiture of all proper-  
ty and impaled on stakes 820
- Rudra, called *Atatāyā* in  
*Vājasaneyā samhita* 802  
803n  
called *puga* 679n
- Sabara, *bhāṣya* of, on *Jaimini*  
184n
- Sabhyas distinguished from  
*brahmanas* 57 59n  
duty of, to prevent the king  
from doing wrong 74 75  
liable to double the fine  
paid by a litigant if deciding  
without understanding 80  
number of 57 59n  
qualifications of 71.  
should pronounce decision  
according to *dharma* and  
science of statecraft 77  
should be punished if they  
decide wrongly through  
affection, greed etc 79  
were to preside in the court-  
room 57 59.
- Sādhyapala court's officer or  
bailliff 86 88n
- Sages, who observed perpetual  
studenthood went to heaven  
without a son 924 925
- Sahasra, vide under violence  
fines for 231n  
of three kinds 231n  
person charged with, had to  
prove innocence by ordeals  
and oaths 797
- Sakuntala, 908 910n
- Sale, by one not the owner 612-  
628  
inadequacy of price when  
invalidates 707-710  
of land, consent of villagers,  
kinsmen and co heirs to 709  
purchaser to prove that it  
was in market overt or must  
produce vendor 612 709  
when invalid 692
- Samāvartana* 821-2

- S'ambūka*, story of 486n  
*Sampratiṣṭyuttara*, reply of confession 168n  
*Saṅgha* of Buddhists and Jains 681n  
     of Vṛṣṇa 681n,  
*Saṅkha*, vide notes on 481  
*S'āṅkha Likhita* vide notes on 746, 808, 843, 858, 859 882 927, 951  
*Sarasvatīvilāsa*, vide notes on 491, 499, 527, 529, 576 700, 701, 711, 832-834  
*Sārṅga*, poison of 446n  
*S'atapatha brahmana* 924 25  
*Sātyamkara*, explained 541n.  
*Saudayika*, kind of stridhana 901  
     a woman has absolute dominion over 901n, 905 6  
 Scribe, to be fined if he writes down parties averments in a different way 132  
 Self acquisition, see under vidyodhana, property not liable to partition  
 Seller, entitled to resell if purchaser does not take delivery 691  
     not delivering article sold 688  
     not delivering articles according to sample 689  
     to bear loss, if he does not deliver article sold 690  
 Service breach of contract of 713 731  
*Sham Sastry*, Dr 112 113n  
*Śilpiṇ*, meaning of 624n  
 Sins, five great, vide notes on 427 490, 772  
 Slave, Brahmana could not become a 715 716  
     emancipation of several kinds of 731  
     female, when freed from slavery 723  
     free woman by marrying a slave became a 725  
     fifteen kinds of, 731n  
     one may become a, of a person of the same or higher caste, but not of a lower caste 715 716  
     wealth acquired by, belongs to master 724  
     work usually assigned to sons of female 720  
*Smarta kālā*, living memory, explained 817n  
*Smṛticandrika* vide notes on 25, 27, 35 37, 39, 86 88 120, 124 126, 173 175 184, 192, 205 215, 216, 240, 265 etc  
 Son, fourth share, if *aurasa* be adopted takes only a born subsequently 857n  
     debts of, when father liable to pay 544.  
     enabled father to go to heaven 924-25n  
     kṣetrāja 859, 862n  
     liability of for suretyship debts of father, 560n  
     liable to pay his father's debt as surety for repayment of a debt or for *rudravyārpaṇa* 586

not bound to pay even deceased father's debt as long as he is minor 552

*paunarbhava* defined 860  
power of father over son 471n

relieves father from indebtedness 551

share of, of a brāhmana from a Śudra woman 863 64n

shares of sons of a brāhmana from wives of different castes 863 64n

twelve kinds of, according to Yājñavalkya 857n

thirteen kinds of according to Manu 857n

when liable to pay father's debt 557 559

who is not *aurasa* gets only one fourth on partition with *aurasa* if of same caste with father 857

who is not *aurasa* only entitled to maintenance if not of same caste with father 857  
wealth acquired by son be longed to father in ancient times 471n

*S + kara* 892n

State property of not lost by possession of a stranger 330  
seven constituent elements of 901 52n

Stolen goods must be returned by the king or the officers appointed to catch thieves or by village headman 818-817

receiver of, liable to same punishment as thieves 827.

*Stridhana* husband's power over 911 14

maximum limit up to 2000 *panas* according to some smṛtis 902n

promised by husband must be paid like a debt by the sons 916

six kinds of 894

succession to 917 918

wealth obtained by *meeha* means or from a stranger through affection is not 904  
what is not 903 4

woman's power over 907

*Sucaks*, meaning of 84

Śudra, liable to be punished by king if he entered the order of ascetics 486

may be made to work like a slave 722

*Sumantu* 701

Summons on whom should not be served to appear in person 96 97

*Sunāśepa* story of, as an indication of father's powers 471n

Sureties 530 451

harassed by creditor, entitled to demand double of debt from debtor 539  
kinds of 530

known from ancient times 530 n

known to Pāṇini 530 n

four kinds of according to Bṛhaspati 530n

- three kinds of, according to Nārada 530n  
 remedies of 540  
 several, liable to pay according to shares 537n  
 time to be allowed to surety for finding an absconding debtor 532  
 who are not proper 113-116  
 five kinds of, according to Hanta 530  
 for repayment of debt distinguished from one for *śraddhavyārpana* 530n  
 for appearance and honesty, liable to pay debt, but not his son 536  
 for keeping the peace 530n  
 for carrying out decretal order 530n  
 for appearance, bound to pay debt except when appearance prevented by act of God or the king 531  
 joint and several liability of 537-538  
 liable in case of death of debtor 533
- Suvarṇa*  
 equal to 16 māśas 492 493n  
 equal to  $\frac{1}{4}$ th or  $\frac{1}{5}$ th of pala 492 493n  
 equal to twelve dhānakas 494  
 is also called *Dvāra* and *Citraka* 494
- Taṭṭarīya Samāhita*, vide notes on 551, 680, 779, 810, 894, 924-25.
- Tandya vakabrahmana* 769n  
 Temple, property of, not lost by possession of stranger 330  
 Theft 810-828  
     distinguished from *sāhaca* 796  
 Thieves, of two kinds, open and secret 812n  
     abettors of, receive same punishment 827  
     made to deliver or pay for all articles proved to be stolen, if part recovered from them 818 19  
 Title to property perfect, when a man shows legal origin for it and twenty years' enjoyment 819  
     when one is called upon to prove 323-324-326.
- Traitors punished with forfeiture of property and impaled on stakes 820
- Transactions, grounds of invalidity of 464 471  
     brought about by coercion or with lunatics, could be annulled by the king 465  
     of dependent persons, when valid 467-471,  
     of gift, mortgage or sale, first in time prevails 517  
     of gift, sale or mortgage, if in writing prevail over oral 518
- Transfer of property Act, vide notes on 514, 711



- Traveller when hungry, was allowed to take two sugar canes and two roots from another's land 822
- Treasure trove Act (VI of 1878) 849 950n
- Treasure, ancient Indian law on 949 950
- Trees ownership in fruits and flowers of, growing on boundaries 760  
rights as to overhanging 761
- Trial of a cause, how begins 86 82
- Upāyas means four, according to Hārta 101n, 951 52n.  
seven according to Vasiṣṭha 192n.
- Usage, is transcendental law 87n  
of caste country or village, to be followed in partition 884A.  
of caste, corporation or guild 48-50n  
of country in recovering debts should be followed 580  
of trade, must be given effect to by king 49-50  
overruled by royal edict when opposed to sacred law 42  
should be preserved in writing sealed with the royal seal 49-50  
of arani pūga and gāva to be proved only by documents 225
- what is a 46  
what is a family 85
- Usanas, vide notes on 497 98  
560, 859
- Uttara, reply of defendant 145
- Uttara a kind of witness 875
- Vaisvadeva 893
- Vajrasanya Samhita vide notes on 678 802 803 810, 933.
- Varāhamihira 434 435
- Varatakas, 20 are equal to one kakmu 492-493n
- Vasistha, vide notes on 214  
330, 331 32 351, 394-395,  
510-512 560, 734, 741,  
756 772 802 803, 845,  
857, 859 874, 892
- Vatsanabhata, poison 448-449
- Veda, sounds of made gods  
deizens of heaven 6  
study of each, requires  
twelve years 333
- Vedāntasūtra 836 n
- Violence, crimes of 795-809
- Vitransirodaya vide notes on  
25 31 87, 89, 86 88  
122n, 157, 178 179 183 205  
6, 210 211 216 &c
- Vishaudharmasūtra, vide notes  
on 93-95, 219, 284-285,  
345, 350, 363, 375, 386, 410  
411, 417, 410, 446 452, 459  
461, 474 502 504 517, 542-  
543, 548, 550, 772, 793, 818-  
819 839, 845 857, 858, 867,  
882, 884, 894
- Vishvarūpa 318 n

- Vivadacintamani* vide notes on 724, 813 14 823 857 858, 894, 896 907, 913 14, 922.
- Vivadaratnalara* vide notes on 568 580 611 633 34, 663, 679, 686 687, 720 723, 742 746, 771, 778, 815 817 823, 843 844, 858 907 913, 914, 921
- Vrata* 349
- Vrddha Katyayana* 701
- Vrddha Manu* 657 n
- Vrsats* perished through attempt against *Draupdyana* 681n
- Tyasa* vide notes on 86 88 216 254, 313 381 516, 902
- Vyasa* defined 25  
etymology of 26  
four feet (or stages of) 31, 31n 245n  
fruits of 32  
is a means of arriving at a decision 36  
titles of, are 18, 23 n  
two aspects of, civil and criminal 29 29n  
two branches of 32  
two springs of are non redemption of what is due and injury 30  
two stages only of in reply of admission 245
- Vyavaharamatrkha* vide notes on 55, 69 82, 93 95, 120 124 126 134 140 141, 232, 318, 319 321 356 57 378
- Vyavaharatattva* 183 n
- Wages* measure of, when none settled beforehand 656  
non payment of 657 673  
payment of according to work done, though unfinished when allowed 661
- Water*, rights to belonging to a Hindu family are ordinarily impartible 882n
- Way*, right of is impartible 884
- Wells*-vide under water
- Widow* as heir, has no power of sale or gift 921n, 921 5  
entitled only to maintenance if husband joint 922 23  
not prohibited from making any gift whatever 924 25  
succeeds only if chaste 921, 921c  
observing perpetual celibacy goes to heaven without a son 924-25
- Wife* duties of 835  
may become *sati* after husband's death 837  
obtains all desired objects by ministering to husband 836  
should stay in husband's house though husband may not honour her 908 910  
taker of, liable to pay husband's debts 562n, 575  
vow of celibacy prescribed for, after husband's death 937
- Will* germ of the idea of 506
- Witnesses* deposing to more or less than what parties aver, result of 396 400 401.  
deposition of to be taken in court in presence of parties 387.

deposition of when to be taken elsewhere than in court 339-91

faults of, to be pointed out at time of their examination and not afterwards 378

in disputes between members of guilds, corporations &c 349 50

must have actually seen or experienced what they depose to 340

of high character to be preferred 359n

pointing out faults of, so as to affect credit or character 381

should be questioned by judge in a conciliatory way 342 343

should be three at least, as a general rule 357 n

should ordinarily be of the same caste as the parties 348, 351

signs leading to inference of falsity of 386

six kinds of a kṛta, according to Nārāyaṇa 250 37n

suitances for, to be paid by defeated party 112 113

testimony of majority of, to be relied on 359n

testing of character or competency of when not allowed 305

time to be given for producing 341

to be examined by judge in presence of idols, brahmanas and fire 344

twelve kinds of enumerated by Bhaṣpati 356-57n

two kinds of, kṛta and akṛta 356-57, 369

when a single person may be sufficient as a witness 353-54

when the best means of proof 227 228

• who are incompetent to be 361 362

who should not be asked to be 364

Woman cutting off of a limb equal to death sentence for 487

not to be arrested if not independent 188

should be witness for a woman litigant 331

to be fined half of the fine for male offender 487

when may be summoned to appear in person in cause 98 imprisonment for how long 489

foraking her minor son as if going to another may be deprived of her strīdhana 573

when liable to incur debts of her husband or son 546-547.

under tutelage of father, husband or son 230

Yagloka 400 401